1	Tuesday, 31 October 2017	1	to me would be useful, at least from your perspective,
2	(11.00 am)	2	if not from ours, to have in one place all the various
3	Submissions by MR GAMMIE	3	decisions that have been handed down in this matter,
4	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	4	including the Court of Appeal's decision of last week,
5	MR GAMMIE: Good morning, my Lady. I appear this morning	5	the most recent decision which resolves a number of the
6	with my learned friend Ms Catherine Addy on behalf of	6	issues and which I must say I read with some relief in
7	Her Majesty's Revenue & Customs, and my learned friend	7	the sense that I think it clears the way for the tax in
8	Mr Gardiner and Mr Bayfield appear for the respondent	8	resolving a number of the other issues that might have
9	administrators of Lehman Brothers International Europe.	9	arisen.
10	My Lady, I'll be absolutely honest with you, I won't	10	Apart from our skeleton, my Lady, which is at
11	say	11	tab 2
12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: That's refreshing!	12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, I have your list.
13 14	MR GAMMIE: I won't say that I'm a fish out of water, but I'm certainly a fish swimming in rather unfamiliar	13	MR GAMMIE: of the core bundle, there is also
14	waters of the Insolvency Acts, and so if there are	14	a supplemental skeleton that I think we served with your
15	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We were under the impression this was	15 16	leave which I do not anticipate going to. The aim of it
17	a tax case, so	10	was to bring the court up-to-date with the developments that have occurred in the insolvency legislation,
18	MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I'm very pleased to hear that you see	17	because the provisions that were looked at below and
19	it in that way, and indeed most of what I am going to	10	which obviously feature in other decisions, have been
20	says going to relate to tax. But I was going to say if	20	replaced in recent months.
20	there are any difficult questions of insolvency law that	20	We don't say that that generates any difference in
22	arise, I shall certainly defer to Ms Addy to deal with	21	the tax issues that have to be argued about, but it at
23	those.	23	least ensures that we have a note of the most recent
24	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Are you a late substitute for	24	material.
25	Mr Goy?	25	Then there was a very short note yesterday which
20		25	Then there was a very short note yesterday when
	Page 1		Page 3
1	MR GAMMIE: Mr Goy has retired from practice.	1	just picked up on one slight oversight in that earlier
2	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Has he? We were told it's Mr Goy, but	2	skeleton argument in relation to an amendment that had
3	Mr Gammie	3	been made more recently by
4	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No, we're delighted to see you	4	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.
5	Mr Gammie, which is why when I looked at the little	5	MR GAMMIE: I am just looking at it's a Small Business
6	listing sheet, I thought that's somebody I know.	6	Enterprise and Employment Act 2015.
7	Mr Goy	7	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: This is a document headed "Minor
8	MR GAMMIE: My Lady, yes, Mr Goy retired at the end of March	8	correction to HMRC's supplemental skeleton argument".
9	this year and so I was instructed to take his place.	9	MR GAMMIE: It is, my Lord, and it doesn't make any
10	I obviously do not have the familiar knowledge of	10	difference at all to the issues that we need to address
11	knowing exactly what was said or done below, but I'm	11	but it ensures that the supplemental skeleton argument
12	sure many of my learned friends here will be able to	12	is absolutely correct in at least noting that change
13	assist you if there is any issue that arises about that.	13	that has been made by the Small Business Enterprise and
14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, take your own course. But	14	Employment Act.
15	speaking for myself, I think we're more concerned with	15	I have to say being more used to tax legislation,
16	tax issues at the moment and if you find there's a need	16	one tends to think that you live in a world where things
17	to reply on a lot of insolvency issues raised by	17	always change. I'm rapidly finding out that much the
18	Mr Gardiner, then you will have an opportunity to do so	18	same occurs in other areas such as insolvency and small
19	in reply.	19	business and the like.
20	MR GAMMIE: Yes. Thank you, my Lady.	20	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We're getting a transcript, are we?
21	Well, my Lady, you have a core bundle and a number	21	MR GAMMIE: I believe so.
22	of other bundles, but I think the core bundle is what	22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, thank you.
23	I will be going to mainly, along with the two bundles of	23	MR GAMMIE: Yes. Yes, my Lady, we are.
24	authorities. Then there was an additional bundle of	24	My Lady, I don't know how much material you've had
25	authorities that we sent down yesterday which it seemed	25	the opportunity to read in advance. I have to say my
	Page 2		Page 4

1	learned friend Mr Goy, who did write the skeleton	1	rank equally.
2	argument on behalf of the Commissioners, I think set out	2	9. The rate of interest payable under paragraph 7
3	everything in commendably straightforward terms.	3	is whichever is the greater of the rates specified under
4	I don't anticipate going through that line by line or	4	paragraph 6 or the rate applicable to the debt apart
5	paragraph by paragraph, but where I thought I would	5	from the administration."
6	start would be to take up a suggestion that my learned	6	Just going back to paragraph 6, that tells us that
7	friend made in his skeleton in the sense that at	7	rate of interest to be claimed is the rate specified in
8	paragraph 30 in his skeleton, he said and put it in	8	section 17 of the Judgments Act 1838 on the date when
9	bold:	9	the company entered administration.
10	"Rather it is the legislator's intention in creating	10	So that is effectively the statutory regime we're
11	the statutory right that is relevant, the matter is	11	looking at and has been the subject of various elements
12	accordingly to be determined by reference to the	12	of the decisions that have already been reached in this
13	characteristics of the rights as discerned by the	13	matter, and I'll elaborate them under eight or nine
14	legislation which characteristics are in the context of	14	headings. My first point in relation to the statutory
15	statutory interest clear from the wording of the rule	15	regime is that it provides a complete statutory code for
16	and have accurately been described by [Mr Justice David	16	the award of statutory interest on proved debts. So
17	Richards as he was then] in Waterfall IIA."	17	everything one needs to know about the right is to be
18	And we may go to that particular passage of that	18	found in those rules.
19	particular decision in due course, but it seemed to me	19	I don't think I need take you to it necessarily, but
20	that the right place to start for this before we	20	that is apparent from the Court of Appeal's decision
21	consider the tax is actually to identify and be clear	21	last week in paragraphs
22	about what the creature, the payment, the statutory	22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, that's our decision.
23	right, we're actually dealing with. That is usefully	23	MR GAMMIE: Indeed my Lady paragraphs 25 to 30 following
24	dealt with in most of the decisions that have already	24	on from the Supreme Court's analysis earlier this year.
25	been made in this case, and most particularly the	25	As a consequence of that, one of the issues that was
	Page 5		Page 7
1	Court of Appeal's decision of last week, and they	1	much debated in other hearings, the rule in
2	surround a statutory regime is in Insolvency	2	Bower v Marris does not apply because the language of
3	Rule 2.88, which is in the first authorities bundle at	3	those rules, the rules in 2.88, is inconsistent with at
4	tab 23, now found in Insolvency Rule 14.23 as we say in	4	the application of that principle. That we can see in
5	our supplemental skeleton, but I will continue to refer	5	particular in the decision, which if one goes to the
6	to 2.88.	6	supplementary appeal bundle and tab 1, the decision in
7	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What tab are we in?	7	Waterfall IIA on 31 July 2015. In particular, one can
8	MR GAMMIE: It's tab 23 of the first authorities bundle, and	8	go through to pages 50, 52
9	page 26 at the bottom. I am sure this will all be	9	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, where in the bundle is it,
10	extremely familiar, certainly to Lord Justice David	10	Mr Gammie?
11	Richards, but 2.88, if I can just refresh your mind in	11	MR GAMMIE: I was looking at the supplemental bundle we sent
12	paragraph 1:	12	vesterday. It's headed "HMRC's supplemental bundle of
13	"Where a debt proved in the(Reading to the	13	authorities".
14	words) that interest is provable as part of the debt	14	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Tab?
15	except insofar as it is payable in respect of any period	15	MR GAMMIE: Tab 1, and in particular this is subject to
16	after the company entered into administration."	16	extensive discussion by my Lord Justice David Richards.
17	Then I think we can go straight down to paragraph 7	17	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You can assume at least some sort of
18	which is the start of the three main paragraphs:	18	familiarity with these
19	"Any surplus remaining after payment of the debts	19	MR GAMMIE: I have taken the liberty of assuming that, but
20	proved shall before being applied for any purpose be	20	in particular I was just going to draw attention at
21	applied in paying interest on those debts in respect of	21	paragraph 145 on page 50. The point is made that the
22	the periods during which they had been outstanding since	22	rule in Bower v Marris in particular applies where there
23	the company entered administration.	23	are two debts payable by the estate to the creditor. So
24	8. All interest payable under paragraph 7 ranks	24	in Bower v Marris, there is both an obligation to repay
25	equally whether or not the debts on which it is payable	25	the principle and an obligation in respect of interest,
25	equally whether of not the debts on which it is puyuble		
23	Page 6		Page 8

1	which is the foundation of the ability of the creditor	1	of interest under rule 2.8, but also that the principle
2	to choose whether he is allocating the payment to	2	itself is to be modified to fit in with the regime
3	interest or to the principal.	3	created by rule 2.88. As will already be apparent, I do
4	Then over the page at page 51, starting at	4	not accept that the regime created by rule 2.88 leaves
5	paragraph 149, these are sidelined. So at the beginning	5	room for the application of the principle in
6	of paragraph 149, it is said:	6	Bower v Marris."
7	"The right to interest arising out of a surplus	7	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What is said against you is the fact
8	under rule 2.88 is not a right to the payment of	8	that Mr Justice Richards, as he then was, didn't accept
9	interest accruing due from time to time during the	9	the submission that the right to interest is treated as
10	period between the commencement of the administration	10	having accrued during the relevant period, that means it
11	and the payment of the dividend or dividends on the	11	can't be annual interest.
12	proved debts. Dividends cannot be appropriated	12	MR GAMMIE: That is certainly one of my learned friend's
13	(Reading to the words) under rule 2.88 because at	13	arguments. I read the whole paragraph because I think
14	the date of the dividends no interest was payable at	14	it's important to see that what is said there is said in
15	that time. Pursuant to rule 2.88, entitlement under	15	the context of considering the rule in Bower v Marris so
16	rule 2.88 to interest is only a purely statutory	16	you can understand why, if that principle has to work on
17	entitlement arising once there is a surplus and payable	17	the basis that there is an outstanding debt as is said
18	only out of that surplus."	18	in paragraph 145, both the principal and interest at the
19	So that is the foundation of the analysis that	19	same time that payment is made so that it can be
20	concludes that Bower v Marris isn't applicable in	20	allocated, that is the context within which
21	relation to the calculation of interest under the	21	My Lord Justice addressed that particular point.
22	statutory rule. Following on from that, we can just	22	I think it has been said and accepted by my learned
23	look down the page and they are sidelined. For example,	23	friends on the other side that the right to interest
24	at paragraph 152, it's said:	24	which arises under 2.88, apart from being a complete
25	"The purpose behind"	25	statutory code, is essentially a payment of interest,
	Page 9		Page 11
1	LADY HIGTICE CLOGTED W 14	1	
1	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We can read that.		which is sui generis. In other words it is something of
2	MR GAMMIE: "the introduction of the new regime (Reading to the words) is to introduce	2	its own kind to be considered in the context of course
3		2	af de a dans ata ta ta anna an an an de ta chia la if anna a anna d
4		3	of the tax statutory language, but which if one cannot
4	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest."	4	find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is
5	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that	4 5	find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory
5 6	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach.	4 5 6	find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind.
5 6 7	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which	4 5 6 7	find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the
5 6 7 8	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to	4 5 6 7 8	find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely
5 6 7 8 9	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest."LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach.MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case.	4 5 6 7 8 9	find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate.
5 6 7 8 9 10	 a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. So
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there being an ultimate surplus. Once the event occurs, the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. So LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This court did it on the basis that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there being an ultimate surplus. Once the event occurs, the right to interest is treated as having accrued during	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. So LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This court did it on the basis that it was a simple and complete statutory code without
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there being an ultimate surplus. Once the event occurs, the right to interest is treated as having accrued during the relevant period. I do not accept this submission.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. So LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This court did it on the basis that it was a simple and complete statutory code without considering whether or not it was relevant to decide
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there being an ultimate surplus. Once the event occurs, the right to interest is treated as having accrued during the relevant period. I do not accept this submission. It involves saying not only that the principle in Bower	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. So LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This court did it on the basis that it was a simple and complete statutory code without considering whether or not it was relevant to decide whether the right is treated as having accrued during
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there being an ultimate surplus. Once the event occurs, the right to interest is treated as having accrued during the relevant period. I do not accept this submission.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. So LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This court did it on the basis that it was a simple and complete statutory code without considering whether or not it was relevant to decide
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that approach. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to some extent and was influential below in this case. Mr Dicker submitted that: "The principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to be treated(Reading to the words) payable at the date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of their debts. The statutory right to interest arising under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there being an ultimate surplus. Once the event occurs, the right to interest is treated as having accrued during the relevant period. I do not accept this submission. It involves saying not only that the principle in Bower	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory creation and a right of its own kind. So that's my first point in relation to the statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely separate. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it accrued during the relevant period, did they? MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, paragraph 27 of our judgment MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. So LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This court did it on the basis that it was a simple and complete statutory code without considering whether or not it was relevant to decide whether the right is treated as having accrued during

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

1 MK GAMME: where my Lady, that's mer- LADY USITCE GLOSTER: Is that using if '' MK GAMME: met sense that you only a phomoge he same conducts in the sense that you only a phomoge he same conducts in the sense that you only a phomoge he same conducts in the sense that you only a phomoge he same conducts in the sense that you only a phomoge he same conducts in the sense that you only a sub- same conducts in the sense that you only a sub- same conducts in the sense that you only a sub- same conducts in the sense that you only a sub- same to be a sub-				
3 BK GAMME: In the sense that you drag to through the same analysis as my Lord David Richards did, but on the basis they are notwork bit scondusion and readed the same conclusion, then I finit, you asy use sentially 3 MK GAMME: In the deter that are proved and the interest is calculated by reference to in debts that are proved in the administration. 6 ancer the interest is calculated that Bower v Marris diff and rais was the same one the securitally three and the interest is and the interest is and principal at the issues time as the segment are made. So I don't finite there's anything I had detected in this court issues to be proved in the diministration and why they or into a bower is there's anything I had detected in this court issues to be proved in the diministration marg yer rise to interest, either show in the same issues which are proved a principal at the issues cources my the interest that the interest, either show in the same issues which are proved as part of the statutory process of administration. 1				
4 same analysis as my Lord David Richards dub to on the 4 the debts that are proved in the 5 basis that conclusion, then I think you say you essentially 5 by reference to the debts that are proved in the 7 accept Its reasoning. I think the basis upon which 7 administration, as get of the statutery process of 9 did/l arise was the same one that essentially there 9 administration, as get of the statutery process of 10 same time as the provent is are make. So I don't think 11 anticipate from the tax perspective of which that means, 12 there's anything I had detected in this court's decision 12 to be proved in the administration may give rise to 14 the debts that are proved in the administration may give rise to 13 instress, 'there show that that means, 15 by to course that doesn't interest, 'there's miniture of different type of interest, 14 may be a whole miniture of different type or interest, 16 previous cases concerning this matter is that the 16 debts do not surve, they only surve where we get to 18 which are proved in the administration. 16 administration. 17 19 administration. 18 the debts due are onoon' thich is is administon they are indivis		ç		-
5basis flat you endered his conclusion, then I think you say you essentially5by reference to the dashs that are proveds in the6administration, as part of the statutory process of7accept his reasoning. I think the basis upon which88meta sub estimation that beasis upon which89dirk arise was be same one hat assemitably the:910wash both a right to the interest and principal at the1011an endershift the meta station may give rise to12there's anything I had detected in this court's decision1213bast weak which assta dush on how my foot had reached1314the sconshift which weak similar the1015My secord point which really again drives from the1416debts are proved as stilling them1517original debts are discharged and replaced by the debts1718which are proved a part of the statutory process of1819administration1610administration1611administration1612how now, they only aurive when we get to2023how now, they only aurive when we get to2124LADY LISTEE GLOFFIE: The is the reprodust submission2325the reprodust submission by asying this was2526the statutory regime. My Lady, I an trying to drine what327LADY LISTEE GLOFFIE: The is the reprodust submission?328So of course whon yove that sing about interest	3			
6 accept his reasoning. 1 think the basis upon which 6 administration. as part of the statutory process of 7 accept his court coulded that Rover V Marris 7 administration. 7 9 didt arise was the same one that seemitally there 9 anticipate from the tax perspective of vhat that mems, 10 wash boh angit to the interest and principal the 9 of course the does which exist at the point at which 11 same time as the payments are make. So I don't flink 11 the company goes into administration may give rise to 12 there's anything I had detected in this court's decision 12 to be proved in the administration may give rise to 14 this conclusion when he was sitting below. 14 may be a whole misture of different types of finances, there with in the interest which is 16 previous cases concerning fits matrie is that the 16 but of course that does misture of different types in in your 19 administration. 13 administration of the administration 14 the administration 10 administration of the interest we give of the does and inclustes the point which hese 17 administration. 16 11 administration. 16 administration. <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><th></th><td>-</td></td<>				-
7 accept his reasoning. I think the basis upon which. 7 administration. 8 presumably his court concluded that lower v Marris 8 10 was the same one that essentially there 9 10 was the basis more that essentially there 9 11 and tricitate from the tax perspective of vhat that means, 12 there's anything I had detected in this court's decision 13 last weak what cast doubt on how my Lord had reached 14 there course the dolbs which exist at the point which really again derives from the 15 My Lord Life and the stature ty totes of interest, 16 dord are proved as part of the stature ty provide still 17 original dots are discharged and replaced by the dobts 18 which are proved as part of the stature ty provides 19 So which are proved as part of the stature ty provides 20 or the dobt shat are proved - 21 LDY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondent' submission? 23 the respondent' submission by saying this was 25 the respondent' submission? 26 the statuery regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 27 the statuery regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 28 So or, yup orficed this statures of the stature of the stature of the right and the	5			
8 my Lord, if Lam just hand, out slightly and 9 didn' arise was the same one that essentially here 9 10 wash both a right to the interest and principal at the 9 11 same time as the payments are made. So I don't think 11 12 the bear synthing I had detected 11 13 bas work which casts dou't on how my I ord had reached 13 14 his conclusion when he was stimg below. 14 15 budy scond point which rathy again derives from the 16 16 previous cases concerning this matter is that the 16 17 original debta are discharged and replaced by the amount that the creditur proves in 18 which are proved a part of the statution where the previous 19 20 ofth debts that rear proved in the calculation of the rate of interest. 10 21 the quastion of the statution where we get to 20 calculated by reference to the amount this the cereditur proves in 23 LADY JUSTICE CLOSTER: Why is shall applus point in your 21 The ray of the statution where the previous 23 the ray of the statution where we get to 21 calculated by reference to the amount that the crediture proves in 24 Soft, you prefixed this submission by saying this was 25 Which rest as the synthy intrest				
9 ddn't arise was the same one that essentially there 9 anticipate from the 'tax perspective of what that means, 10 want both a right to the interest and principal at the 10 11 same time as the symeme are made. So I dot think, 12 there's anything I had (detected in this court's decision 13 interest, wheth easts dout how my Lord had trached 14 his conclusion when he was sitting below. 15 My second point which neally again derives from the 16 represent sease concerning the matter is that the 17 original debts: are discharged and replaced by the debts 18 which are proved a part of the stantary process of 19 administration. 20 the debts that are proved - 21 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondent' submission? 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 24 MR GAMMIE: M Law, jour submission? 25 the respondent's submission? 26 file respondent's submission? 27 MR GAMMIE: M Law trans and out interest, what the norme of matters and the interest and the interest of anothy which west are the anytical right. The 22 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 24 MR GAMMIE: M Law normatin interestory				
10 wawt both a right to the interest and principal at the 10 of course the debts which exist at the point at which 11 same time as the payments are made. So I don't think 11 the company goes into administration and which then have 12 the text synthing it had detected in this courd's description 11 the company goes into administration and which then have 13 that week which exist adth on how my Lord had reached 13 interest, entitiest, equity interest. There 14 his conclusion when he was sitting below. 14 may be a whole mixturest, equity interest. 16 previous cases concerning this matter is that the 16 administration. 17 19 administration. 18 the administration. 18 the administration. 10 of the debt shat are proved a part of the statutory process of 18 the administration. 19 So what one is looking at is the interest which is 11 the quicks that a proved of the statutory proces of sub-site. 19 So what one is looking at is the interest which is 12 oth debt shat are proved - 22 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER. This is the respondent's administration. 23 favour so far as this party interest - 14 LADY JUSTIC		* •		
11 same time as the payments are made. So I don't think 11 the company goes into administration and which then have 12 ther's anything I had detected in this court's decision 12 to be proved in the administration muty yers itse to 13 interest, which as its doubt on how my for durated 13 timeters, either short interest, yearly interest, 14 his conclusion which really again drives from the 15 but of course that descrit meters, to the short previous cases concerning the shatture preves in at meter the previous and the short previous and the short previous and the short the previous and the short previous of as the short previous and the short previous and the short short is short as a situation where the previous 19 So what one is looking at its that the crediter proves in 15 my be a whole as a proved = and the short short previous as a statiant where the previous 19 So what no is looking at its that the short 20 debts on tarrive, they only survive when we get to 21 administration. 11 21 LADY INSTICE GLOSTER. Why is that a plus point in your factors in so it and which when the previous 23 factors in a sit with prevent and the 22 LADY USTICE GLOSTER. This your submission? 24 MR GAMMIE: No - 1 I and definity what the anarco of the right and the 24 satuatory regime. My Lady, I a		-		
12 there's anything I had detected in this court's decision 12 to be proved in the administration may give rise to 13 that week which casts doubt on how my Lord had reached interest, either short interest, yearly interest. There 14 may be a whole miniture of different types of interest, 15 My second point which really again derives from the 15 16 previous cases concerning this matter is that the 16 17 and replaced by the amount that the creditor proves in 18 which are proved as part of the statutory process of 19 administration. 18 20 debts do not survive, they only survive when we get to 20 21 on the dobts that agrowed – 22 22 LADY USTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondent' submission. 23 23 LADY USTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 24 24 So of course when you're talking about in relation to the 2 25 the approved point relation to the 2 26 LADY USTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 2 27 LADY and the approved point relation to the 2 3 MR GAMMIE: Mo = 2				-
13 last week which easts doubt on how my Lord had reached 13 interest, either short interest, yearly interest. There 14 this conclusion when he was siting below. 14 may be a whole mixture of different types of interest, 15 by second point which really again derives from the 16 may be a whole mixture of different types of interest, 16 previous cases concerning this matter is that the 16 dobts - Leall them "original debts" - are discharged 17 administration. So it is a sinuation where the previous 19 So what one is looking at the interest which is 20 debts do not survive, they only survive when we get to 21 administration. 21 LADY USITCE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. 22 LADY JUSITCE GLOSTER: This your submission? 23 ADV JUSITCE GLOSTER: This your submission? 24 MR GAMMIE: - whis my second point which is a granted, what we fire hard and the 2 ti is were looking at to which we then have to apply 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 2 ti is serving of the debts in the administration. 2 I and defining what the nature of the right and the 2 LADY JUSITCE GLOSTER: This is the respondent submission? I and defining what the nature of the right and the				
14 his conclusion when he was sitting below. 14 may be a whole mixture of different types of interest, 15 My second point which really again derives from the 16 debts -1 call them "original debts" - and them comparison is a situation where the previous 20 administration. 18 situation of the rate of interest 21 the question of the calculation of the rate of interest 20 calculated by reference to the amount which has been 21 the question of the calculation of the rate of interest 21 administration. 22 on the dobts that are proved - 22 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondent's submission. 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 24 MR GAMMIE: No - 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 Ng GAMMIE: No - 3 MR GAMMIE: No - 1 1 I and refining what the original debts are relevant when 3 which are verted was thy po of interest, 3 legislation applies to docide what type of interest, 3 which are verted was ond on interest which is a doesern matter what type of i				
15 My second point which really again derives from the 15 but of course that doesn't matter because those original 16 previous cases concerning this matter is that the 16 debts -1 call them "original debts" - are discharged 18 which are proved as part of the statutory process of 18 the administration. 19 administration. So it is a stuation where the previous 20 20 debts do not surve, they only survive when we get to 20 21 the question of the calculated by the respondents' submission. 20 22 on the debt stat are proved - 21 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. 22 24 Sorry, you prefineed this submission. 23 25 the respondents' submission. 24 26 MR GAMMIE: No - 24 21 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 34 34 Statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 15 4 statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 16 5 ti we re looking at to which we then have to apply 16 4 the statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 2				
16 previous cases concerning this matter is that the 16 debts - I call them "original debts" - are discharged and replaced by the anount that the creditor proves in 17 administration. So it is a situation where the previous and replaced by the anount that the creditor proves in 19 administration. So what one is looking at is the interest which is 20 debts do not survive, they only survive when we get to 21 the agention of the calcubation of the rate of interest 22 on the debts that are proved - 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. 24 Sory, you profaced this submission by asying this was 25 the respondents' submission? 24 MR GAMMIE: No - 25 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 MR GAMMIE: - this is my second point in relation to the 3 this were looking at to which we then have to apply 6 the tax principles to decide what type of interest, 7 whether if's yearly interest or not. 8 So of course when oyne't alking about interest, 9 you're normally talking about a principal sum by 10 reference to which interest or the sum of interest is		-		
17 original debts are discharged and replaced by the debts 18 which are proved as part of the statutory process of 19 administration. So it is a statutory were the previous 20 debts do not survive, they only survive when we get to 21 administration. So it is a statutory proved - 22 on the debts that are proved - 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. 24 Sorry, you prefaced his submission by saying this was 25 the respondents' submission. 26 Page 13 Page 15 1 I am defining what the narre of the right and the 1 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 MR GAMMIE: No 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 I and defining what the narre of the right and the 4 statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 1 I am defining what the narre of the right and the 1 I and defining what the narre of the right and the 1 I and defining what the narre of the right and the 1 I and defining what the narre 1 I and defining what the na	15			-
18 which are proved as part of the statutory process of 18 the administration. 19 administration. So it is a situation where the previous 20 debts do not survice, they only survive when we get to 21 the question of the calculation of the rate of interest 22 on the debts that are proved - 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondent's submission by saying this was 25 the respondent's submission by saying this was 26 more space of the submission by saying this was 27 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 28 Sorry, you prefaced this submission by saying this was 29 Page 13 Page 15 1 Tam defining what the nature of the right and the 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 1 3 MR GAMMIE: No 1 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 1 3 It is were looking at to which we then have to apply the tax principles to decide what type of interest, 4 whether if sycarly interest or not. 7 8 So of course when you're talking about interest, 9 9 <td>16</td> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td>	16			
19 administration. So it is a situation where the previous 19 So what one is looking at is the interest which is 20 debts do not survive, they only survive when we get to 21 calculated by reference to the amount which has been 21 the question of the calculation of the rate of interest 20 calculated by reference to the amount which has been 22 on the debts that are proved - 21 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondent's submission. 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is our submission? MR GAMMIE: No - 24 MR GAMMIE: No - 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? MR GAMMIE: No - 1 I am defining what the noarce of the right and the 2 ta by reference to choking at to which we then have to apply the tax principles to decide what type of interest, 1 I am defining what the noarce of the right and the 3 whether it's yearly interest or no. 3 legislation applies to that particular right. It 4 doesn't matter what type of interest, 1 I am defining what the noarce on the debts that 5 origing to be caid, it's not a calculation which is 3 are proved. 8 As well see, those original debts are relevant when 3 are proved.	17			
20 debts do not survive, they only survive when we get to 21 in the question of the calculation of the rate of interest 22 on the debts that are proved - 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. 24 Sorry, you prefaced this submission by saying this was 25 the respondents' submission. Page 13 Page 15 1 MR GAMMIE: No 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 MR GAMMIE: No 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 MR GAMMIE: No 2 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 3 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 4 MR GAMMIE: No 2 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 3 legislation applies to that particular right. It 4 doesn't matter what type of interest, 6 which it's yearly interest or no. 7 generation which is a arrout of interest is 9 you're normally talking about a principal sum by 10 reference to which interest or the supplies to decide what				
21 the question of the calculation of the rate of interest on the debts that are proved – 21 admitted as a proved debt in the – 22 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why is that a plus point in your favours so far as this yearly interest – 22 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. 23 24 Sorry, you prefaced this submission by saying this was the respondents' submission. 24 25 the respondents' submission? MR GAMMIE: No – 26 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 MR GAMMIE: - this is my second point in relation to the statutory regime. My Lady, 1 am trying to define what it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the interest is so I can then come on and see how the tax 7 whether if's yearly interest or not. 3 legislation applies to that particular right. It doesn't matter what type of interest, which is being calculated by reference to the debts that are proved. 8 So of course when you're talking about interest, you're normally talking about a principal sum by 8 As we'll see, those original debts are relevant when one accourse to lost at what rate of interest the proved 10 reference to which interest or the sum of interest is going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking the proving of the debts in the administration. 1 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You ar		-		-
22 on the debts that are proved – 22 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why is that a plus point in your 23 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. 23 favour so far as thy searly interest – 24 Sorry, you prefaced this submission by asying this was 24 MR GAMMIE: No – 25 the respondents' submission? Page 13 Page 15 1 MR GAMMIE: this is my second point in relation to the statutory regime. My Lady, I an trying to define what 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 2 is we're looking at to which we then have to apply 1 I am defining what the nature of interest the original debts 3 So of course when you're talking about interest, 9 you're normally talking about a principal sum by 10 reference to which interest or the sam of interest, 9 one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved 11 going to be calculation of an amount of interest that is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is 3 As we'll see, those original debts are relevant when 12 here about the calculation which is 10 debts may carby out? 14 are proved. 13 signing to be baid, it's not a calculation wifnit is 13 are proved.				-
 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. Sorry, you prefaced this submission by saying this was the respondents' submission. Page 13 MR GAMMIE: No - LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? MR GAMMIE: - this is my second point in relation to the statutory regime. My Lady, 1 an trying to define what the statutory regime. My Lady, 1 an trying to define what the statutory regime why Lady, 1 an trying to define what there it's yearly interest or not. So of course when you're talking about interest, you're normally talking about a principal sum by reference to the original debts are relevant when you're talking about a principal sum by reference to the original debts. That's my submission, because those dobts we're talking the reabout the calculation of an amount of interest is a going to be paid, if's not a calculated by reference to the original debts. That's my submission, because those dobts we're talking the proving of the debts in the administration. ToRD JUSTICE PATTEN: I's mory, I'm not quite following, Mr Gammie: When you say it's not calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms of working out how much interest is payable. MR GAMMIE: My Lard, if 1 said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention. MR GAMMIE: My Lard, if 1 said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that wasn't calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms of working out how much interest is payable. MR GAMMIE: My Lard, if 1 said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention. MR GAMMIE: My Lard, if 1 said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention. MR GAMMIE: My Lard, if 1 said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention. MR GAMMIE: My Lard, if 1 said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that w		-		
24 Sorry, you prefaced this submission by saying this was 24 MR GAMMIE: My Lady, Tm not at the moment making points 25 the respondents' submission. Page 13 Page 15 1 MR GAMMIE: No 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 3 MR GAMMIE: - this is my second point in relation to the 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 4 doesn't matter what type of interest the original debts 1 I are proved. 5 original debts are relevant when 2 So or course when you're talking about a principal sum by 9 9 point nor teal submission, because those debts we're talking 1 As well sec, those original debts are relevant when 11 going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking 1 As well sec (LOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the 13 is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is 1 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the rate on the 14 doesn't matter what we egt to that, my Lady, we'll see what we 1 1 15 performed by reference to the original debts. That's my 1 <td></td> <td>*</td> <th></th> <td></td>		*		
25 the respondents' submission. 25 which are necessarily pluses or minuses in my favour. Page 13 1 MR GAMMIE: No 2 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 3 MR GAMMIE: this is my second point in relation to the 4 desart matter what the original debts 4 statutory regime. My Lady, I an trying to define what 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 5 it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 6 the tax principles to decide what type of interest, 3 degistation applies to that particular right. It 7 whether it's yearly interest or not. 8 As well see, those original debts are relevant when 9 pour normally talking about a principal sum by 9 one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved 10 reference to the original debts. That's my 1 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the 15 is ging to be paid, if's not a calculation which is 1 are looking at in relation to that. <		*		
Page 13 Page 15 1 MR GAMMIE: No - 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 3 MR GAMMIE: this is my second point in relation to the 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 4 statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 1 I am defining what the nature of the right and the 5 it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply 6 the tax principles to decide what type of interest, 1 6 the tax principles to decide what type of interest, 6 which is being calculated by reference to the debts that 7 whether if's yearly interest or not. 8 As well's exphose original debts are relevant when 9 you're normally talking about a principal sum by 9 one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved 10 reference to which interest or the sum of interest is 1 been discharged. 11 going to be paid, if's not a calculation which is 1 been discharged. 12 here about the calculation which is 1 been discharged. 13 signing to be paid, if's not a calculation which is 1 been discharged. 14 DROD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quit				
1 MR GAMMIE: No 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? 3 MR GAMMIE: this is my second point in relation to the 4 statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what 5 it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply 6 the tax principles to decide what type of interest, 7 whether it's yearly interest or not. 8 So of course when you're talking about interest, 9 you're normally talking about a principal sum by 10 reference to which interest or the sum of interest is 11 going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking 11 bere about the calculation of an amount of interest that 11 going to be calculation of an amount of interest that 12 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the 13 is going to be calculation which is 14 performed by reference to the original debts. That's my 14 performed by reference to the original debts. That's my 15 submission, because those debts we've told by the 16 provious decisions have effectively been discharged by 17 the proving of the debts in the administration.	25	the respondents' submission.	25	which are necessarily pluses or minuses in my favour.
2LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission?2interest is so I can then come on and se how the tax3MR GAMMIE: - this is my second point in relation to the3legislation applies to that particular right. It4statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what3legislation applies to that particular right. It5it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply5carried, what we're looking at is an amount of interest6the tax principles to decide what type of interest,6which is being calculated by reference to the debts that7whether it's yearly interest or not.7are proved.8So of course when you're talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved9you're normally talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is10debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively11going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the13sig ong to be paid, it's not a calculated by the15are looking at in relation to that.14performed by reference to the original debts. in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I		Page 13		Page 15
2LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission?2interest is so I can then come on and se how the tax3MR GAMMIE: - this is my second point in relation to the3legislation applies to that particular right. It4statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what3legislation applies to that particular right. It5it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply5carried, what we're looking at is an amount of interest6the tax principles to decide what type of interest,6which is being calculated by reference to the debts that7whether it's yearly interest or not.7are proved.8So of course when you're talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved9you're normally talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is10debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively11going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the13sig ong to be paid, it's not a calculated by the15are looking at in relation to that.14performed by reference to the original debts. in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I	1	MD CAMMER No	1	I am defining what the nature of the right and the
3MR GAMMIE: - this is my second point in relation to the3legislation applies to that particular right. It4statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what4doesn't matter what type of interest the original debts5it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply5carried, what we're looking at is an amount of interest6the tax principles to decide what type of interest,6which is being calculated by reference to the debts that7whether it's yearly interest or not.7are proved.8So of course when you're talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved9you're normally talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is10debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively11going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking11been discharged.12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the13sigoing to be paid, it's not a calculation which is1214performed by reference to the original debts. That's my15submission, because those debts we've told by the16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by17the proving of the debts in the administration.18That is reflective of a principle -19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by21reference t				
4statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply4doesn't matter what type of interest the original debts5it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply6the tax principles to decide what type of interest, whether it's yearly interest or not.4doesn't matter what type of interest the original debts6the tax principles to decide what type of interest, whether it's yearly interest or not.8So of course when you're talking about a principal sum by 999you're normally talking about a principal sum by going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking 108As we'll see, those original debts are relevant when 910reference to which interest or the sum of interest that is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is submission, because those debts we've told by the 1512LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the 1314performed by reference to the original debts in teration. 17the proving of the debts in the administration. 1714MR GAMMIE: What we ween't looking at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see 1019LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Th sorry, I'm not quite following, 201010MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by 2124MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by 25reference to that, then that was not my intention.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are 2425what we the must be appropriate rate24proved which is going to be the principal amount on 2525 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <th></th> <td></td>		-		
5it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply6the tax principles to decide what type of interest,7whether it's yearly interest or not.8So of course when you're talking about a principal sum by9you're normally talking about a principal sum by10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is11going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking12here about the calculation of an amount of interest that13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my15submission, because those debts we've told by the16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by17the proving of the debts in the administration.18That is reflective of a principle19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is22calculated by reference to the provable debts, it clearly is23of working out how much interest is payable.24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by25reference to that, then that was not my intention.				
6the tax principles to decide what type of interest,7whether it's yearly interest or not.8So of course when you're talking about interest,9you're normally talking about a principal sum by10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is11going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking12here about the calculation of an amount of interest that13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my15submission, because those debts we've told by the16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by17the proving of the debts, in the administration.18That is reflective of a principle19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is22calculated by reference to the provable debts, it clearly is23of working out how much interest is payable.24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by25reference to that, then that was not my intention.				
7whether it's yearly interest or not.7are proved.8So of course when you're talking about interest,9you're normally talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is10debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively11going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking10been discharged.12here about the calculation of an amount of interest that12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my14MR GAMMIE: When we get to that, my Lady, we'll see what we15submission, because those debts we've told by the15are looking at in relation to that.16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.17debt? It says so.18That is reflective of a principle -18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it, I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22c		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		-
8So of course when you're talking about interest,8As we'll see, those original debts are relevant when9you're normally talking about a principal sum by9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is10debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively11going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking10debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively12here about the calculation of an amount of interest that12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't you?14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my14MR GAMMIE: When we get to that, my Lady, we'll see what we15submission, because those debts we've told by the15are looking at in relation to that.16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms23MR GAMMIE: So basica				
9you're normally talking about a principal sum by reference to which interest or the sum of interest is going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking here about the calculation of an amount of interest that is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is submission, because those debts we've told by the submission, because those debts we've told by the freference to the original debts. That's my submission, because those debts we've told by the freference to the original debts. That's my submission, because those debts we've told by the freference to the debts in the administration.9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively been discharged.16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by the proving of the debts in the administration.14MR GAMMIE: Why aren't we looking at the original debt? It says so.18That is reflective of a principle freference to the provable debts, it clearly is calculated by reference to the provable debts, it clearly is of working out how much interest is payable.18MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention.9one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved debts may carry, but the original debts may carry, but the original debts may carry, but the original debt under sub-rule 9, aren't you?14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my at is reflective of a principle the proving of the debts in the administration.17debt? It says so.18That is reflective of a principle - calculated by reference to the provable debts, it clearly is to what exactly it is that you do look at for those21				
10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is10reference to which interest or the sum of interest is11going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking12here about the calculation of an amount of interest that13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my15submission, because those debts we've told by the16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by17the proving of the debts in the administration.18That is reflective of a principle19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms23of working out how much interest is payable.24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by25reference to that, then that was not my intention.26Proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.				-
11going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking11been discharged.12here about the calculation of an amount of interest that11been discharged.13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my13original debt under sub-rule 9, aren't you?14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my14MR GAMMIE: When we get to that, my Lady, we'll see what we15submission, because those debts we've told by the16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate ra				1
12here about the calculation of an amount of interest that12LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is13original debt under sub-rule 9, aren't you?14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my14MR GAMMIE: When we get to that, my Lady, we'll see what we15submission, because those debts we've told by the15are looking at in relation to that.16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original18That is reflective of a principle18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
13is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is13original debt under sub-rule 9, aren't you?14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my13original debt under sub-rule 9, aren't you?15submission, because those debts we've told by the15are looking at in relation to that.16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
14performed by reference to the original debts. That's my14MR GAMMIE: When we get to that, my Lady, we'll see what we15submission, because those debts we've told by the15are looking at in relation to that.16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original18That is reflective of a principle18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				-
15submission, because those debts we've told by the15are looking at in relation to that.16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original17the proving of the debts in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original18That is reflective of a principle18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
16previous decisions have effectively been discharged by the proving of the debts in the administration.16LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original debt? It says so.18That is reflective of a principle 1918MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see 2020Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by reference to the provable debts, it clearly is calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms of working out how much interest is payable.2024MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention.2325reference to that, then that was not my intention.24				
17the proving of the debts in the administration.17debt? It says so.18That is reflective of a principle18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate		· · ·		e
18That is reflective of a principle18MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following,19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
19LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following, Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by19at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
20Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by reference to the provable debts, it clearly is20what exactly it is that you do look at for those21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
21reference to the provable debts, it clearly is21purposes.22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
22calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
23of working out how much interest is payable.23MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by24proved which is going to be the principal amount on25reference to that, then that was not my intention.25which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
24MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by reference to that, then that was not my intention.24proved which is going to be the principal amount on which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
25 reference to that, then that was not my intention. 25 which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate				
Page 14 Page 16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Page 14		Page 16

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

1	is calculated. And if there is a qualification to that	1	We can see that in the Court of Appeal's decision of
2	which emerges from the decisions below, it is that as	2	last week, for example, as to how it's looked at. If we
3	part of the administration procedure, if you are dealing	3	go to the fifth tab of the supplementary bundle,
4	with things like contingent or future debts, it may be	4	paragraph 51 of the Court of Appeal's decision last week
5	that you can revise your proof if the contingency, for	5	where it's a summary of the reasons given by
6	example, has occurred before there is a distribution in	6	Lord Justice David Richards below. So item B is that
7	relation to that debt.	7	creditors are compensated by the payment of statutory
8	So the debt proved is the amount to which the	8	instrument for the delay in payment of their proved
9	creditor is regarded as entitled at the date at which	9	debts from the date of administration, not their
10	the company goes into administration. But in reality,	10	underlying claims.
11	he's only going to receive the sum that's due to him	11	And similarly in paragraph 57 of the
12	so his debt that's been proved at some later time.	12	Court of Appeal's decision, it's said:
13	The principle, as I understand it, of insolvency law	13	"More fundamentally we agree with the principal
14	from reading the decisions below is that you work on the	14	basis for the judge's analysis which treats the debts as
15	basis that the assets are realised and distributed among	15	the provable (Reading to the words) on account of
16	the creditors according to their entitlements at the	16	provable debts having to be paid after, sometimes long
17	date at which the administration commences. That's as	17	after, that cut-off date and does not depend upon there
18	I understand it the underlying theory. But of course,	18	being any right to interest under the underlying claim
19	what you are looking at is a circumstance where that's	19	even though the rate of interest may do."
20	only going to be paid much later as the administration	20	So what we're looking at is true interest, interest
21	proceeds and as it proceeds in accordance with the	21	usually being defined certainly or talked about for tax
22	statutory procedure for the administration.	22	purposes as payment by time for the use of money or for
23	So whilst that doesn't prevent an adjustment in	23	being out of one's money for a particular period of
24	respect of contingent or future debts, that adjustment	24	time.
25	which occurs effectively goes back to the date of the	25	We can see from that same passage in the
	Page 17		Page 19
			6
1	administration to provide the sum in respect of which	1	
1	administration to provide the sum in respect of which	1	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on
2	the principal amount in respect of which interest is	2	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from
2 3	the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated	2 3	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not
2 3 4	the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't	2 3 4	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later
2 3 4 5	the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts	2 3 4 5	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition.
2 3 4 5 6	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest 	2 3 4 5 6	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the administration commences, but at some later time, and 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove, even though the proof is adjusted during the course of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the administration commences, but at some later time, and provided that there are sufficient assets in other 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove, even though the proof is adjusted during the course of the administration.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the administration commences, but at some later time, and provided that there are sufficient assets in other words provided there is a surplus and that is 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove, even though the proof is adjusted during the course of the administration. That then raises the question of what is the nature
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the administration commences, but at some later time, and provided that there are sufficient assets in other words provided there is a surplus and that is therefore performing the usual function of interest of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove, even though the proof is adjusted during the course of the administration. That then raises the question of what is the nature of the right to interest? It's obviously a right which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the administration commences, but at some later time, and provided that there are sufficient assets in other words provided there is a surplus and that is therefore performing the usual function of interest of compensating the creditors for being out of their money 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array} $	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove, even though the proof is adjusted during the course of the administration. That then raises the question of what is the nature of the right to interest? It's obviously a right which can only arise in terms of an entitlement to payment at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the administration commences, but at some later time, and provided that there are sufficient assets in other words provided there is a surplus and that is therefore performing the usual function of interest of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove, even though the proof is adjusted during the course of the administration. That then raises the question of what is the nature of the right to interest? It's obviously a right which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 the principal amount in respect of which interest is going to be calculated LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, it all turns on sub 7 doesn't it? I mean, the surplus after payment of the debts proved shall, et cetera, be applied in paying interest on those debts. I mean, you're not making any or are you making any other point than that? MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, I am probably making in a rather long-winded way just that point. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So the focus and indeed the obligation to apply the surplus in paying interest is geared to the debts that are being proved in the liquidation or administration. MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, including any adjustment that is made to contingent or future debts. So the statutory interest that is provided for in paragraph 7 of the rule recognises that in practice creditors are not going to be paid out on the date the administration commences, but at some later time, and provided that there are sufficient assets in other words provided there is a surplus and that is therefore performing the usual function of interest of compensating the creditors for being out of their money 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array} $	Court of Appeal's decision that interest is paid on contingent and future debts under this rule, again from the date of commencement of the administration and not from the date of the occurrence of some later contingency or future satisfaction of a condition. Of course, to that extent, it might be said that the interest doesn't truly represent the time value of money because it's effectively going back to an earlier time than, for example, the contingency was originally satisfied. But that's because the creditor can and will prove for his contingent or future debt as at the date of the commencement of administration. The values ascribed to those debts are calculated in accordance with the insolvency rules, and those rules allow the amount that can be proved to be adjusted to take account of that later event in terms of the contingency or future condition. So it is still compensation for being kept out of money for which the creditors were entitled to prove, even though the proof is adjusted during the course of the administration. That then raises the question of what is the nature of the right to interest? It's obviously a right which can only arise in terms of an entitlement to payment at

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

1	seen the statutory interest doesn't accrue in the usual	1	the start of the period of the indebtedness?
2	sense because of that contingency. It was actually	2	MR GAMMIE: I do accept there's no accrual in the way you've
3	described as akin to a contingency or something like	3	just described it, my Lord. As I will go on to
4	that in a case which my Lord David Richards referred to	4	illustrate, one can of course have an amount that is
5	in his decision in Waterfall IIA, which again is at	5	contingently payable which when the contingency is
6	tab 1 of the supplemental bundle, paragraph 125 on	6	satisfied is payable with interest over the period that
7	page 44.	7	it's been outstanding. You might say that in the same
8	We see at paragraph 125 this is citing from a case	8	way, that interest does not accrue in the conventional
9	called Attorney General of Canada v Confederation Trust	9	sense at a point at which the principal is only
10	Co. So Blair RSJ held that:	10	contingently payable.
11	"Section 95(ii) applied to the proper disposal	11	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, my Lord rejected Mr Dicker's
12	(Reading to the words) notwithstanding it had not	12	argument that there was a sort of contingent accrual as
13	come into force after the commencement of the	13	part of his reasoning in the Waterfall case, as you've
14	liquidation."	14	just shown us.
15	This is not of course relevant to the present issue,	15	MR GAMMIE: As part of the analysis in relation to
16	but it is worth noting a passage from that part of his	16	Bower v Marris, that is correct.
17	judgment dealing with this issue at paragraph 25:	17	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: In that sense, there isn't an accrual.
18	"To say this is not to give the provision	18	MR GAMMIE: No, no, my Lord, there isn't an accrual. But
19	retroactive effect. Although it is not free from doubt,	19	if, for example, you had a contingent but I am saying
20	I do not accept the contention that the claimants	20	that that is not necessarily the only situation in which
21	(Reading to the words) in my opinion, they	21	you would not have an accrual in the conventional sense
22	acquired at best the contingent right to payment of	22	that you have just described it, my Lord. Yet the
23	post-liquidation interest conditional on there being	23	question we have to ask ourselves for tax purposes
24	a surplus in the liquidated estate after payment of all	24	is: is the absence of that conventional type of accrual
25	the company's debts and obligations and of the costs	25	a key factor for deciding whether or not it's yearly
23	the company's doots and congations and of the costs	25	a key factor for declaring whether of hot its yearly
	Page 21		Page 23
1	associated with the liquidation. The condition cannot	1	interest?
2	be determined and satisfied until the liquidation of the	2	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I mean, we've got to decide what
3	estate is at least substantially completed."	3	yearly interest means for tax purposes. But clearly the
4	So although it's a Canadian case, it's cited by	4	word "interest", is used in the insolvency rules to
5	my Lord David Richards as at least a passage worth	5	describe the payment which is made to compensate the
6	noting. It describes the type of right to interest	6	creditors for being kept out of their dividends.
7	we're talking about at a point prior to the emergence of	7	MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and it's not disputed in this
8	a surplus. Going back again now to the Court of Appeal	8	case that it is interest truly described. In other
9	decision last week at tab 5, we can see about halfway	9	words, it's not
10	down in paragraph 27 the sentence:	10	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I thought it was common ground
11	"Thus the debts proved referred to in rule 2.88(7)	11	that statutory interest wasn't only interest as a matter
12	will include the whole of the(Reading to the	12	of ordinary speech but was interest within the meaning
13	words) all outstanding pre-administration interest.	13	of the word "interest" in the relevant provisions of the
14	The aggregate of those amounts will constitute the debt	14	Taxes Act.
14	upon which statutory instrument period since the onset	15	MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, because I don't think there's
16	of the administration is payable. The requirement that	16	necessarily a distinction between what is generally
10	there should be a surplus out of which statutory	17	recognised as interest in the commercial world and what
18	instrument is paid means that the aggregate of principal	18	is
18	and pre-administration interest will (Reading to the	19	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Right. So what does the word
20	words) known figure ascertained during the course of	20	"interest" mean?
20	the administration prior to the calculation and payment	20	MR GAMMIE: Payment by time for the use of money or to
21	of any statutory interest."	21	compensate somebody for being out of their money for
22	So that's the type	22	a period of time.
23 24	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Do you accept there's no accrual in	23	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Right. So it's not dependent on it
24 25	the conventional sense of a debt bearing interest from	24	being used to describe a compensatory payment which
23	the conventional sense of a debt bearing interest from	25	song asea to deserve a compensatory payment which
	Page 22		Page 24
	0	1	0

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

1	accrues in the sense we've just been discussing. That's	1	MR GAMMIE: There are of course tax cases which deal for
2	not an essential component of it being interest.	2	example with premiums and discounts where a debt is
3	MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord.	3	well, discounts are always slightly tricky, let me just
4	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So is the point about accrual then	4	take a premium as an example where an amount is
5	only relevant to the word "yearly"?	5	borrowed and it is repayable at a premium, and there
6	MR GAMMIE: Well, you might have to ask my learned friend	6	have been cases that have held that that is essentially
7	his answer to that	7	interest, the premium is in reality interest because
8	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I know, but I'm just seeing how	8	it's payment by time for the use of money. It's not
9	I mean, your argument presumably is that it isn't.	9	expressed at an annual rate but most of these things can
10	MR GAMMIE: I would say not, no.	10	always be expressed as an annual rate of interest even
11	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So what's your argument that it's (a)	11	if in the document recording the loan it's just
12	interest which is agreed and it's yearly because	12	expressed as a single sum.
13	it covers a period of more than a year?	13	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But in order to recognise the
14	MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord.	14	elephant that is yearly interest, what characteristics
15	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: It's as simple as that.	15	do I have to look for? I mean, can you list them?
16	MR GAMMIE: It is indeed, my Lord, which is why I may not	16	MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lady, I think we say that it's paid in
17	take a great deal of your time this morning. But that	17	respect of a period greater than a year. There's always
18	is essentially our case.	18	been a question mark over if you have a payment that's
19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Don't you say also that it's	19	exactly a year, what is that, but I think it's generally
20	calculated by reference to a yearly rate? Or do you	20	accepted that that is yearly interest.
21	think are you saying that doesn't matter?	21	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But when you say "paid in respect
22	MR GAMMIE: Well, I think my Lady is, as Goslings & Sharpe	22	of", has the calculation got to be by reference to
23	illustrates, one of the cases that we can look at, you	23	a period of time?
24	may have interest which is calculated by reference to	24	MR GAMMIE: Well, interest is most normally calculated by
25	a yearly rate but for a period shorter than year.	25	reference to time, because I think the authorities
	Page 25		Page 27
1	Therefore you wouldn't recorrectly conclude that	1	describe it as normant by time for the use of money or
1	Therefore, you wouldn't necessarily conclude that	2	describe it as payment by time for the use of money or compensation being out of your money, and that is most
2 3	because it's an amount outstanding for less than a year	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	naturally expressed as being by reference to a period of
3 4	and interest is paid in respect of less than a year that it becomes yearly interest just because you describe the	4	time.
5	interest in the yearly terms.	5	Of course, you can in a particular loan instrument
6	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is longer than a year,	6	express it as a lump sum which then has to be converted
7	isn't the rate to be yearly interest doesn't the	7	into an annual rate if you want to convert it into
8	rate have to be calculated by reference to an annual	8	an annual rate, but it's something for which in
9	rate?	9	particular the obligation to pay on the principal amount
10	MR GAMMIE: Well	10	in respect of which it's outstanding is expected or does
10		11	last for more than a year, because it's the existence of
12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I mean, if you just said: okay, your debt is a million, you are going to get everybody is	12	the principal amount by reference to which the
13 14	going to get 4 per cent on their debt irrespective of how long it's been outstanding.	13 14	compensation that is interest is going to be calculated
14	MR GAMMIE: Yes. So it's 4 per cent of the principal amount	14	to arrive at your figure of interest.
15		15	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if for example the so-called return is not defined by reference to the period during
10	that's been paid irrespective of whatever length of	10	which it's outstanding but defined by reference to the
17	time. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	17	profits which the borrower have made on the loan in
18		19	a particular period since he took out the loan, does
20	MR GAMMIE: My Lady, that would potentially still be	20	that qualify as interest? Because in some loan
20	interest, but you would express it as a different rate	20	
21	according to if you wanted to express it as an annual rate, it would obviously be a different rate for each of	21	instruments, there isn't interest payable at all, but there's another form of reward that may not be, as it
22		22	
23 24	the debts, depending on how long they've been outstanding.	23	were, calculated expressly by reference to a period of time but is a payment for the use of the money that
24 25	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	24	is I am thinking of interest under a sharia not
	Page 26		Page 28

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

1	interest, return payable under a sharia-compliant loan	1	money at your bank on an ordinary deposit account and it
2	instrument.	2	remains outstanding for more than a year, it's not
3	MR GAMMIE: Well, of course the whole objective of Islamic	3	generally been regarded that the interest that the bank
4	finance of one sort or another is to be able to	4	is crediting to your deposit account is yearly interest
5	construct a series of payments which are not described	5	in the conventional sense.
6	as interest or not recognised as interest. And of	6	Now one of the problems with banks is that banks,
7	course for tax purposes, there are specific legislative	7	because money is their commodity, they are always
8	provisions to try and deal with some of these things	8	treated rather differently. But if we're talking about
9	from a general perspective without maybe bringing in	9	a situation
10	sharia finance as a complicating factor. If in the	10	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So I leave a pathetic amount of money
11	circumstances you describe, so for example money is	11	in a deposit account and I get a pathetic rate of
12	leant on terms that the amount that is going to be paid	12	interest on it and it sits there for a year, that's not
13	for the use of that money is dependent upon the profits	13	yearly interest, even though the bank will say to me I'm
14	which are generated	14	going to give you 1 per cent per annum on this deposit
15	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Or the increase in value of the	15	or less
16	property which has been purchased.	16	MR GAMMIE: My Lady, one reason for that is well, let's
17	MR GAMMIE: Indeed. Then as an ordinary matter, I would say	17	think to the prospect that the Bank of England might
18	that was interest, but of course for tax purposes you	18	increase the rate this week
19	can get in when I say into deep water you can get	19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right.
20	into a lot of other statutory provisions. For example,	20	MR GAMMIE: but let's think back to earlier times when
20	if you are talking about a company that issues a debt	20	the rates were more substantial. One reason that has
21	where the interest is dependent upon the results of the	22	been given in a case called Garston Overseers by
22	company, that will usually be treated as a dividend	23	Mr Justice Rowlatt is because effectively you can
23	rather than as interest for tax purposes.	24	withdraw that money at any time so therefore it's
25	So there you are straying into areas where the way	25	effectively a balance which is here today and could be
25	so here you are snaying into areas where the way	25	encentrely a balance which is here today and could be
	Page 29		Page 31
1	in which I mean, you know so many different payments	1	gone tomorrow, the mere fact that you choose to leave it
2	as a commercial matter can be described as equivalent to	2	there for tomorrow and for several more tomorrows
3	interest as a particular rate. Then of course the tax	3	doesn't convert the interest into something that is
4	system has to deal with that by deciding either that it	4	ordinarily regarded as yearly interest.
5	is interest and will be taxed as interest, or to the	5	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are not saying we shouldn't
6	extent that the character of the transaction takes it	6	follow that?
7	out of the category of interest and gives it some other	7	MR GAMMIE: I am saying that's not the situation we have
8	income description for tax purposes, you've got to	8	here. The type of situation we're looking at is
9	decide whether you have special legislation to deal with	9	a situation where there is a sum that is due that is
10	it. And I have to say we have hundreds of pages of	10	outstanding over an extended period of time, not because
11	legislation dealing with just that sort of thing.	11	you can turn up and demand payment immediately or
12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But here it's common ground it's	12	withdraw the balance of your amount, but because you
13	interest.	13	effectively have to await the statutory processes which
14	MR GAMMIE: But here it's common ground it is interest, my	14	have to be gone through until a surplus emerges which
15	Lady, yes.	15	then gives rise to your statutory entitlement. That
16	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's a return based on the time or	16	statutory entitlement is then calculated by reference to
17	you say it's based on the period of time during which	17	a period of time which is going to be considerably
18	the money has been outstanding.	18	longer than a year.
19	MR GAMMIE: Indeed. Because that's	19	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: The Judgments Act which is one of
20	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You say the only thing one needs to	20	the two possible rates, and in this case the appropriate
21	add to that once it's interest is the fact that it's	21	rate because it's 8 per cent fixes the amount in the
22	been outstanding for longer than a year and make it	22	relevant section, section 17, as 8 per cent per annum.
23	yearly interest.	23	So going back to the first question my Lady asked you,
24	MR GAMMIE: Yes, essentially. I have to say "essentially"	24	you don't seem to be saying, or do you, that that is
25	because there are for example, if you deposit some	25	conclusive in itself?
	-		
	Page 30		Page 32

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

1 MK GAMME: No, my Lond, Imone, the more find that and a full of it. 1				
3 Lond JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, less due an example. Less 3 now in respect of a low which is going to have which 4 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, less due an example. Less 4 called a fitture tract of time, you are having to decide 6 mb stantory interest at the ond of is months rather 6 whelher flat interest subsides or has the quality of 7 than ayar. But by reference to the Jugments Artine 7 9 8 which is a peri annum rate, in the Revenués position 8 But we're on in that simation, we're in 9 astintory interest provinterest because the 11 in which respect of interest is calculated, has been 10 MR GAMMIE: My Lond, my understanding is that below it vass 10 11 in which respect of interest is calculated, has been 11 calculation is made is less than ay sur. 13 10 CORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, "Thy oup in morey remeright 15 deposito for our ay year, would that be yearly 16 and having year that yearly and the congular more clooply 18 NLR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, J think the answer to that is it 17 administrators can then calculate what is due to by interest the assert to that is in the web mith administration is all the compact and the sec wass 20 into the basis year which this money was being <td>1</td> <td>MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord. I mean, the mere fact that a rate</td> <td>1</td> <td>this that we should note: if you are talking about so</td>	1	MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord. I mean, the mere fact that a rate	1	this that we should note: if you are talking about so
4 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, less take an example. Lars 4 5 assume the administration lists six nombs rather 6 the stantory interest at the end of six mombs rather 7 than a year. But by reference to the payment you are making as to whether that interest statilics on has the quality of 7 than a year. But by reference to the payment you are making as to whether that interest statilics on has the quality of 9 that that would not be yardy interest? 10 MR GAMME: W Lord, with finds in the point of the bosis that a year. 11 accepted that would not be yardy interest? 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Woll, which respect of which 13 calculation is made is less than a year. 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Woll, which respect of interest is calculated, has been 15 deposition ap er dem ration that point and in respect of which 16 the deposit, for over a year, would that be yardy 17 interest? 18 MR GAMME: Well, regult that be yardy 19 would epend. (yea would have to engire more closely 20 into the basis upon which this momey was being 21 deposition. that the assume if how you are closely by thowere in this. 22	2	of interest is expressed as an annual rate I don't think	2	supposing if we're talking about payment of interest
5 assume the administration last six months solve get 5 new by reference to the payments year are making as to 6 the statutory interest at the end of six months rather 6 whether that interest solves forward. 8 which is a per ammunate, is the Revenue's position 7 yearly interest looking forward. 9 MR GAMMIE: My Lord, my understanding is that below it was 7 a situation where you are looking back and you can see 10 MR GAMMIE: Well, my understanding is that below it was 7 a situation where you are looking back and you can see 12 principal amount that is paid and in respect of which 7 a situation where you are asyming about our canes because 13 calculation is most is loss than a your. 13 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that an out are about that. 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: would that be yearly 16 and when you need the finit, stop out finits to be yearly 15 deposit for ver a year, would have to enquire more clocely 18 reference to the relevant period, which in this case was 16 the deposit for ver a year, would have to enquire more clocely 18 reference to the relevant period, which in this case was 17 interest has heen paysable, intrinstation is now thang this peremy you 18 re	3	I could say was the be all and end all of it.	3	now in respect of a loan which is going to have what's
6 the statutory interest a the and of SX months rather 6 wheher that interest sariftics or has the quality of 7 than a year. But by reference to the Judgments Act rate 6 wheher that interest sariftics or has the quality of 9 that that would not be yardy interest? 7 a situation where you are looking have, and you can see 10 mcANMLE: My Load, yu undestanding is that below it was 7 a situation where you are looking have, and you can see 11 accepted that would not be yardy interest? 7 a situation where you are looking have, and you can see 12 principal amount that is load on respect of which 10 a situation, where you are saying about that. 14 LORD USTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overright 11 I men, isse what you are saying about that. 16 the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly 16 administrator an then calculuta what is due by 17 inderse basis yon which its mony was being 10 administrator an then calculuta what is also by 18 MR GAMMIE: Well, MI this the masser to that is it 11 I men, a conventional deposit, you ingit need to enguine more clocy 19 into the basis yon which its montow sate about that. 11 I mean elaw ather about that.	4	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, let's take an example. Let's	4	called a future tract of time, you are having to decide
7 yearly interest looking forward. 8 which is a per annum rate, is the Revence's position 9 MR GAMMIE: My Lord, my understanding is that below it vass 10 MR GAMMIE: My Lord, my understanding is that below it vass 11 coeffed that would not be yearly interest sector which the annuut, the principal mount that is paid and in respect of which 12 principal amount that is paid and in respect of which 13 coeffed that would not be yearly interest sector wass 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, The not sare about that. 15 deposit for over a year, would that be yearly 16 the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly 17 interest? 18 WR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it 19 would depend. You would have to enquire more clocely 10 into the basis you witch this money was being 21 deposit for over a year, you witch the simily reader on anito and deposit which is simily near order was a 22 wheeler that was the nature of the 23 straightforward bank deposit which is simily near order or any annow you make the payment. 24 bask deposit which is a bank for 3 inthere for a long as you to you years.	5	assume the administration lasts six months so you get	5	now by reference to the payment you are making as to
8 which is a per annum rule, is the Revence's position 8 Bat we're not in that situation, we're in 9 that that would not be yearly interest? 9 a situation where you are looking back and you can see 11 accpted that would not be yearly interest because the 10 the period of intere st is calculated, has been 12 principal amount that is pind and in respect of which 11 in which respect of interest is calculated, has been 13 calculation is made is less than year. 13 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, mot sare about that. 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, my Lord, Ithink the answer to thits it 14 I mean, I see what you are saying about our case because 15 at position is more yas abeing 10 and it's clear there is going to be anylus, the 16 the doposit on a per diam thic sits money was being 10 loan, for example, wheth in company or whoever it is, 17 administrators can use annual basis, be is going to be anylus, their and sits, be is going to be anylus, their and sits, be is going to be anylus, their and sits, be is going to be may anylus, their and sits, be is going to be anylus, their anylas or how we when you make the payer is, comes to make up hista returns. 21 deposit on a long as you like. 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up hista returns. 23 <td>6</td> <td>the statutory interest at the end of six months rather</td> <td>6</td> <td>whether that interest satisfies or has the quality of</td>	6	the statutory interest at the end of six months rather	6	whether that interest satisfies or has the quality of
9 that that would not be yearly interest? 9 a situation where you are looking block and you can see 10 MR GAMME: MI, WI Lord, my understanding is that bolow it was 10 the period of time over which the amount, the principal 12 principal amount that is paid and in respect of which 11 in which respect of interest is calculated, has been 12 calculation is made is best han a year. 13 IARD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I'm ont sure about that 13 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, Pin not sure about that 14 I'mean, I see what you are saying about our case because 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I'm not sure about that is going to be a surplice, the 16 16 14 I'mean, I see what you are saying about our case because at the time when the administrations is all but complete 15 deposit or ore a year, would thab be yearly 17 administrations can then calculated what is due by 17 interest? 17 administrations can then calculated what is due by 18 Would depend. You would have to enquire more closely 10 10.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.	7	than a year. But by reference to the Judgments Act rate	7	yearly interest looking forward.
10 MR GAMME: Will, Will, only understanding is that below it was a accepted that would not be yearly interest because the principal anount this is paid and respect of which 10 the period of time over which the anount, the principal in which respect of interest is calculated, has been a substanding. 11 accepted that would not be yearly interest because the principal anount that is paid and respect of which 11 in which respect of interest is calculated, has been a substanding. 13 calculation is made is less than a year. 12 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, mot sure about that. 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, mut is it money was being a mount his year. But in a nordinary case of a continuing load depend. You would have to enquire more closely and when you need the fands, it just to happens you and the fands, it just to happens you as of the making of the return for what period of time a which you mick the payment. 2 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any as of the making of the return for what period of time a which you make the payment. 2 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any as of the making of the return for what period of time a which you make the payment. 2 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, what is going to be true of any as a struct the payer is conces to make the payment. 3 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any as ware the strue which the far	8	which is a per annum rate, is the Revenue's position	8	But we're not in that situation, we're in
11 accepted that would not be yardy intrest because the 11 in which respect of interest is calculated, has been 12 principal amount that is paid and in respect of which 11 in which respect of interest is calculated, has been 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overnight 13 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I'm not sure about that. 16 the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly into the in in mean, is exe what you are spring about our case because 17 intorest? and it's clear there is going to be a surplus, the 19 would depend. You would have to enquire more closely 19 20 into the hasis upon which this maney was being 20 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 21 23 and when you need the finds, it justs ob happens you 23 23 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 24 the interest has been payable, isn't he? 25 whether that was the nature of the - 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that Page 33 24 the interest has been you payle, isn't he? 25 whether that was the nature of the - 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord	9	that that would not be yearly interest?	9	a situation where you are looking back and you can see
12 principal amount that is poid and in respect of which 12 outstanding: 13 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overnight 14 Iman, I see what you are saying about our case because 15 deposit on a per diem rate but in flact you left it in 15 Iman, I see what you are saying about our case because 16 the deposit for over a year, would hat be yearly 16 Iman, I see what you are saying about our case because 18 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it 18 reference to the relevant period, which is it is going to be a surplus, the 19 would depend. You would have to enquire more closely 10 10 10 20 into the basis upon which this money was being 20 Ioan, for example, when the company or whoever it is, 21 depositd. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a 21 which are done on an annual basis, be is going to how 23 and when you nored the funds, it just to happens you 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 24 MR GAMMIE: Well, that is going to be trae of any 25 whether that was the anothority where the Page 33 1 you have to know when you make t	10	MR GAMMIE: My Lord, my understanding is that below it was	10	the period of time over which the amount, the principal
13 calculation is made is less than a year. 13 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overnight 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overnight 14 I mean, I see what you are subjing about our case because at the time whether the administration is any any about our case because at the time whether the administration is all but complete and if's clear there is going to be a surplus, the 16 the deposited rover a year, would that be yearly interest? 16 and when you noet the the durinistration is all but complete and if's clear there is going to be a surplus, the 20 into the basis upon which this money was being 20 loan, for example, when the company or wherever it is, where the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 23 and when you noet the indus, it just so happens you 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 24 leave it there, but you might need to enjurg about you nake the payment. 23 as of the making the ret of any 25 whether that was the nature of the - 24 I ORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. 26 I ORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. 3 MR GAMMIE: Well, if whoid any eart was the aution of the return for what any eart was the anither of a year or two years.	11	accepted that would not be yearly interest because the	11	in which respect of interest is calculated, has been
14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overnight 14 I mean, 1 see what you are saying about our case because 15 deposit on a per diem mite but in flat you left it in 16 at the time when the administration is all but complete 16 the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly 16 and it's clear there: is going to be a saying about our case because 17 interset? 17 administrators can then actuatule what is due by 18 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it 18 reference to the relevant period, which in this case was 20 into the basis you which this money was being 20 loan, for example, when the company or whever't it is, 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 22 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to how 22 sartightforward bank deposit which is withdrawable as 22 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to how 23 and when you need the funds, ij us to happens you 23 as of the making of the returns 24 there for a long as you like. 21 whoever the payer infor more what period of time 25 whether that was the nature of the – 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that	12	principal amount that is paid and in respect of which	12	outstanding.
15 deposit on a per diem rate but in fact you left it in 15 at the time when the administration is all but complete 16 the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly 17 17 interest? 18 18 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it 18 19 would depend. You would have to enquire more closely 19 21 deposited. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a 21 23 and when you need the funds, it juts so thappens you 23 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 23 25 whether that was the nature of the - 24 26 whether that was the nature of the - 25 27 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you lave 23 3 and when you need the funds, it juts so you lave 23 4 IACRD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 24 5 apperished period of time for a year or two years. 3 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 3 8 opee-nedied ham that. There is an authority where the 3 9 loan was in tetems for a p	13	calculation is made is less than a year.	13	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I'm not sure about that.
16 the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly 16 and it's clear there is going to be a surplus, the 17 interest? 17 administrators can then calculate what is due by 18 MR GAMME: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it 17 administrators can then calculate what is due by 19 would depend. You would have to enquire more closely 18 reference to the relevant period, which in this case was 20 into the basis upon which this money was being 20 loan, for example, when the company or whoever it is, 21 whoery the payer is, comes to make up his tax treturs whoery the payer is, comes to make up his tax treturs 22 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to know 23 as of the making of the tretum for what period of time 23 and it's clear there is a going to be true of any 24 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to he true of any 24 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 14 the interest has been payable, inthe? 25 whether that was the nature of the 25 MR GAMME: Well, indeed. But of course you can 3 it there for as long as you like. 19 you have to know when you make up pour return at the 4 MR GAM	14	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overnight	14	I mean, I see what you are saying about our case because
17 interest? 17 administrators can then calculate what is due by 18 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, 1 think the answer to that is it 18 reference to the relevant period, which in this case was 19 woold depend. You would have to enquire more closely 19 18 more than year. But in an ordinary case of a continuing 20 into the basis upon which this money was being 20 loan, for example, when the company or whoever it is, 21 deposited. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a 22 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to know 23 and when you need the funds, it just so happens you 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 24 the interest has been payable, isn't he? 25 whether that was the nature of the – 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that 2 bank deposit. I man, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 2 bank deposit. Hinds is going to be true of any 1 you have to know when you make up your return at the 4 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can 1 you have to know when you make up your return at the <td>15</td> <td>deposit on a per diem rate but in fact you left it in</td> <td>15</td> <td>at the time when the administration is all but complete</td>	15	deposit on a per diem rate but in fact you left it in	15	at the time when the administration is all but complete
18 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it 18 reference to the relevant period, which in this case was 19 into the basis upon which this money was being 10 more than year. But it an ordinary case of a continuing 20 into the basis upon which this money was being 10 more than year. But it an ordinary case of a continuing 21 deposited. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a 11 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 23 and when you need the funds, it just so happens you 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 25 whether that was the nature of the - 25 more than you. might need to enquire as to 26 whether that was the nature of the - 26 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that 2 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 2 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 3 deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for 3 more than year. 3 4 GAMMIE: Well, mode. But of course you can 7 make the payment. So you have 10 <	16	the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly	16	and it's clear there is going to be a surplus, the
19 would depend. You would have to enquire more closely 19 more than year. But in an ordinary case of a continuing 20 into the basis upon which this money was being 20 loan, for example, when the company or whoever it is, 21 deposited. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 22 straightforward bank deposit which is withdrawable as and when you need the funds, it just so happens you 23 as of the makking of the return for what period of time 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 23 as of the makking of the return for what period of time 25 whether that was the nature of the - 24 WR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that 2 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 3 MR GAMMIE: So its not when you make the payment. 2 bank deposit with a bank for 1 you have to know when you make up your return at the 4 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. Dus of the you cans. 7 MR GAMMIE: So its not when you make up your return at the 5 open-ended than that. There is an authority where the 9 10 you have to know whoelds cheme of things, because - 10ROR JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but lef's assume it's m	17	interest?	17	administrators can then calculate what is due by
20 into the basis upon which this money was being 20 loan, for example, when the company or whoever it is, whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 21 straighforward bank deposit which is withdrawable as 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 23 and when you need the funds, it just so happens you 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 24 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to know 25 whether that was the nature of the – 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that Page 33 Page 35 1 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 2 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 2 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but lef's assume it's more 3 MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the 6 open-ended than that. There is an authority where the 9 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You. 1 1 put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing 1 marce it's open-ended than that. There is an authority where the 9 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You.	18	MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it	18	reference to the relevant period, which in this case was
21 deposited. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a 21 whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns 22 straightforward bank deposit which is withdrawable as 22 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to know 23 and when you need the funds, it just so happens you 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 25 whether that was the nature of the - 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that Page 33 1 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any you have to know when you make the payment. 3 it there for as long as you like. 3 MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up our return at the 4 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can 4 IORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 6 aspecified period of time for a year or two years. 7 MR GAMMIE: So it's not wheny ou make up your return at the 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 6 interest has be exercised at the time at which you 8 theore for a sear or two years. 100D JUSTICE PATTEN: Y	19	would depend. You would have to enquire more closely	19	more than year. But in an ordinary case of a continuing
22 straightforward bank deposit which is withdrawable as 22 which are done on an annual basis, he is going to know 23 and when you need the funds, it just so happens you 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 23 as of the making of the return for what period of time 25 whether that was the nature of the – 24 the interest has been payable, just the? 26 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that 27 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 28 DORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 5 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can 3 it there for as long as you like. 3 MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the 4 of delberately put money on deposit with a bank for 5 to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly 6 aspecified period of lime for a year or two years. 100RD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 7 DAR MAMIE: Well, indeed the payment is nore 100RD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 10 raspecified the bars matheverts is the nevailing	20	into the basis upon which this money was being	20	loan, for example, when the company or whoever it is,
 and when you need the funds, it just so happens you leave it there, but you might heed to enquire as to whether that was the nature of the Page 33 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Vell, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more pan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended than that. There is an authority where the lean was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume is the open-ended than this. For rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what for on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest what were is the prevailing rate of interest what were is the prevailing a year or less than year. I mean, is that what corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to e contrigage within six months, but authority would suggest mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold that because mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest of its wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its character. But I think there's an important element to 	21	deposited. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a	21	whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns
24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 24 the interest has been payable, isn't he? 25 whether that was the nature of the 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that Page 33 1 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 2 bank deposit. Imean, a conventional deposit, you leave 3 it there for as long as you like. 4 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can 5 deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for 6 a specified period of lime for a year or two years. 1 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 8 open-ended than that. There is an authority where the 9 loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we 10 know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just 11 put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing 12 rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 14 determines whether bity sendy timeterst? 15 MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases	22	straightforward bank deposit which is withdrawable as	22	which are done on an annual basis, he is going to know
24 leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to 24 the interest has been payable, isn't he? 25 whether that was the nature of the - 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that Page 33 1 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 2 bank deposit. Imean, a conventional deposit, you leave 3 it there for as long as you like. 4 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can 5 deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for 6 a specified period of lime for a year or two years. 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 9 loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we 9 loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we 10 know. But let's assume it's oner-ended and it's just 11 put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing 12 rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 14 determines whether bity senty interest; fer 15 MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like 16 Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would	23	and when you need the funds, it just so happens you	23	
25 whether that was the nature of the – 25 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that 21 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any page 33 Page 35 21 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any public true of any sou like. 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 23 it there for as long as you like. 1 you have to know when you make up your return at the 3 deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for 3 MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the 4 end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation 5 to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly 6 a specified period of time for a year or two years. 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's open-ended dand it's just 7 make the payment. So you have to know that's one of 10 know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just 10 recognisable ab initio at the outset? 11 put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing 11 MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the 12 rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 13	24	leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to	24	
1 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 2 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 2 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 4 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can 3 MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the 6 deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for 5 to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly 6 a specified period of time for a year or two years. 7 make the payment. So you have to know that's one of 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 8 the essences of the whole scheme of things, because - 9 loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we 9 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view 10 rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 12 rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 13 course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going 14	25	whether that was the nature of the	25	
1 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any 2 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 2 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave 1 you have to know when you make the payment. 4 MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can 3 MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the 6 deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for 5 to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly 6 a specified period of time for a year or two years. 7 make the payment. So you have to know that's one of 7 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more 8 the essences of the whole scheme of things, because - 9 loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we 9 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view 10 rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 12 rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 13 a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 13 course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going 14				
2bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.2LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes.3it there for as long as you like.3MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the4MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years.3MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the6a specified period of time for a year or two years.6interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because 99loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because 910know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest?1114determines whether it's yearly interest?1415MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like1516Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to e enquire into what was the intention behind this. For e anytage within six months, but authority would suggest1519a mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest1621that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you ha		Page 33		Page 35
2bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.2LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes.3it there for as long as you like.3MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the4MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years.3MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the6a specified period of time for a year or two years.6interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because 99loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because 910know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest?1114determines whether it's yearly interest?1415MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like1516Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to e enquire into what was the intention behind this. For e anytage within six months, but authority would suggest1519a mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest1621that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you ha				
3it there for as long as you like.3MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the4MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can4end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation5deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for5to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly6a specified period of time for a year or two years.6interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you7LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more7make the payment. So you have to know that's one of8open-ended than that. There is an authority where the9LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view10know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing11MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the13a year or less than year. I mean, is that what13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthyl, quarterly or half-yearly period.17example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest, because ofterwise very few payments of19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly18interest, because whith is is months, but authority would suggest2	1	LORD IUSTICE PATTEN: Well that is going to be true of any	1	you have to know when you make the navment
4MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can4end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation5deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for5to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly6a specified period of time for a year or two years.6interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you7LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more6interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you8open-ended than that. There is an authority where the8the essences of the whole scheme of things, because -9loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we9LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view10know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing11MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the12rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15are going to be paying frequently is interest fora morthage which then you sell the house and taking out16corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a morthage which then you sell the house and repay the19a nortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage which then you sell the house and			1	
5deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for5to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly6a specified period of time for a year or two years.6interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you7LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more7make the payment. So you have to know that's one of8open-ended than that. There is an authority where the8the essences of the whole scheme of things, because9loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we9LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view10know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing11MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the12rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to case like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthy, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19	2	bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave	2	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes.
6a specified period of time for a year or two years.6interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because 97LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just7make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because 99loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just7make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because 910know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing a year or less than year. I mean, is that what13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to case like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the a mortgage which six months, but authority would suggest1810that because mortgage interest is normally treated as that because mortgage interest is normally treated as the house within six months and repaid the mortgage2021that because in port and han bort	2 3	bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.	2 3	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the
7LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we loan a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing 	2 3 4	bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can	2 3 4	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation
8open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just8the essences of the whole scheme of things, because - 910know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing11MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the12rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which hen you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always pai	2 3 4 5	bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for	2 3 4 5	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly
9Loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we (loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we (loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we (loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we (loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we (loan was in terms for a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms terms to a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms the determines whether it's yearly interest?)9LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view (loan the outset?)11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing (loan terms that of the interest is the prevailing (loan terms), so it has the termines whether it's yearly interest?)11MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the (loan bear, you are frequently not going (loan termines whether it's yearly interest?)14determines whether it's yearly interest?)14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you (loan certaing a payment of loan mothy, quarterly or half-yearly period.15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like (loan mottgage which then you sell the house an	2 3 4 5 6	bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years.	2 3 4 5 6	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you
10know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just10recognisable ab initio at the outset?11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing11MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the12rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than12time at which you first come to make a payment. And of13a year or less than year. I mean, is that what13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25 <td>2 3 4 5 6 7</td> <td>bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years.LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more</td> <td>2 3 4 5 6 7</td> <td>LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of</td>	2 3 4 5 6 7	bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years.LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more	2 3 4 5 6 7	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of
11put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing11MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the12rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than12time at which you first come to make a payment. And of13a year or less than year. I mean, is that what13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its <td< td=""><td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td><td>bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years.LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the</td><td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8</td><td>LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because</td></td<>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like.MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years.LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because
12rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than12time at which you first come to make a payment. And of13a year or less than year. I mean, is that what13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25 <td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td> <td> bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we </td> <td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9</td> <td>LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view</td>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view
13a year or less than year. I mean, is that what13course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset?
14determines whether it's yearly interest?14to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the
15MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like15are going to be paying frequently is interest for16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to16a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of
16Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the ortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold the house within six months and repaid the mortgage the house within there's an important element to16 the mortgage for the interest is normally treated as the house within six months and repaid the mortgage the interest of its the house within six months and repaid the mortgage16 the mortgage interest is paid. And if you are to be key mortgage for which the interest is paid. And if you are to be key mortgage for which the interest is paid. And if you are to be key mortgage for which the interest is paid. And if you are to be key mortgage for which the interest is paid. And if you are to be key mortgage for which the interest is paid. And if you are to be key mortgage for which the interest is paid. And if you are to be within a sking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going
17enquire into what was the intention behind this. For17So the fact that you are making a payment of18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest20interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you
18example, you might be buying a house and taking out18interest that covers, that has accrued for less than19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest20interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for
19a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest19a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly20mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest20interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period.
20mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest20interest, because otherwise very few payments of21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest, because otherwise very few payments of22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of
21that because mortgage interest is normally treated as21interest would ever be yearly interest because you would22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than
22yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold22make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly
23the house within six months and repaid the mortgage23to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly interest, because otherwise very few payments of
24wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its24respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are25character. But I think there's an important element to25looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest is normally treated as 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly interest, because otherwise very few payments of interest would ever be yearly interest because you would
25 character. But I think there's an important element to 25 looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly interest, because otherwise very few payments of interest would ever be yearly interest because you would make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold the house within six months and repaid the mortgage 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly interest, because otherwise very few payments of interest would ever be yearly interest because you would make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in
Page 34 Page 36	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold the house within six months and repaid the mortgage wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly interest, because otherwise very few payments of interest would ever be yearly interest because you would make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave it there for as long as you like. MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for a specified period of time for a year or two years. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more open-ended than that. There is an authority where the loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than a year or less than year. I mean, is that what determines whether it's yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to enquire into what was the intention behind this. For example, you might be buying a house and taking out a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest that because mortgage interest is normally treated as yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold the house within six months and repaid the mortgage wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its character. But I think there's an important element to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you make the payment. So you have to know that's one of the essences of the whole scheme of things, because LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view recognisable ab initio at the outset? MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the time at which you first come to make a payment. And of course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you are going to be paying frequently is interest for a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. So the fact that you are making a payment of interest that covers, that has accrued for less than a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly interest, because otherwise very few payments of interest would ever be yearly interest because you would make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as

1 a matter of commercial practice, terms of the loan, or 1 Indisgit how long he period is. But in how hose 2 wheterer, it has a future trace of time which provides 2 examples, it is the period which is the critical factor 3 But of course wheny on are looking a parabel that 3 in all that. 5 emerges under the staturtory regime, you are not looking 5 is short, has - if the obligation is gaing to varive 6 to the fadue at all, you are looking to the post. You 6 or excepted to market looking to varive 7 can see eactly how long the payment - as the 7 woulded horomaby ho holong a you'n primes. 8 administration has load, the payment - as the 7 woulded horomaby ho holong a you'n primes. 10 for the period fit. Administration. 10 LOBD JUSTICP PATTEN: Note can ble loader and you and present the anneal status and the trans of decemining whether it's you'n transe and eact the it's as anneal transmant. 10 LOBD JUSTICP PATTEN: Note call be loader and you and the fast of your examples where 15 days bydy example decement is normalized whether it's you'n prime status and the calculation of interest. 12 you'n example status and the calculation and the calculation and the calculation of interest. 10 days bydy you we you decastata decasthe days by the calculation of interest.				
3 the increts with that character as yourly increat. 3 in all dis. 4 But of course when you are looking to a surplus that 5 is MR GAMME: It is, because generally speaking if something 6 to the future at all you are looking to the past. You 6 or is expected to survive less than a you; then you 7 can as exactly how long the payment - as the 7 would in nonally be looking a yearly interest. 8 administration has lots of, the payment - sub 8 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. 9 or for the paried of the administration. 10 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: It's got nothing therefore to do with 10 for the paried of the administration. 11 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Use can be average 13 of accrual, I think what we're taking about is eavering 13 eriteria of determining whether it's yarry interest are 13 of accrual, I think what we're taking about is eavering 14 14 deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where 15 dwyb-ydy even houly it's not immediately paymely. 20 MR GAMME: No, In not saying the criteria are different. 16 Pare 57 Page 30 21 to say the criteria are different. 17 of just saying. The obligation increase to a speany t	1	-	1	hindsight how long the period is. But in both those
4 But of course when you are looking to the past. You 4 MR GAMME: It is, because generally specific is specific specific is going to survive 5 energies under the statutory regime, you are looking to the past. You 5 is bott, fields - if field - if specific is and the past. You 7 can see exactly how long the payment - is the 5 is bott, fields - if field - if specific is and the past. You 7 compressing the creditor for being out of his more, paint 7 wouldn't normally ho looking at yearly interest. 11 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can Ib to clear thm - I mean, 11 accmat. 12 12 obviously Lunderstand that bott are you saying the 13 of accmal, 1 think what we're taiking about is accmarg 14 different as between the conventional loan situation 14 14 MR GAMME: Well, as law, my Lord, when we taik in terms 15 point specific specin specific specin specific specific specif	2	whatever, it has a future tract of time which provides	2	examples, it is the period which is the critical factor
5is short, less - if the obligation is going to savive6is the fitter at all, you are looking to the past. You7can see exactly how long the payment - as the8administration has lasted, the payment is how much it's9compensating the criterito for being out of his money and10for the period of the administration.11LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can Ib be clear then - I mean,12obviously Lunderstand that- but are you saying the13criteria of determining whether if's yearly interest are14different as between the conventional loan stuation15obviously Lunderstand that- but are your saying the16one needs to know at the due of payment whether tax is17deducthble or not, and the present case, our case, where18you're just described, the first of your camples where19the -20MR GAMME: No. Thin ot saying the criteria are different.21Th assign that in relation to an expost payment such22as we have under the statutory regime, you can see23as we have under the statutory regime, you can see24to say the criteria are different when you are looking25at apyment of interest which means that26at apyment of interest which means that27at a payment of interest which means that28to say the criteria are different when you are looking29at a payment of interest which means that20The say in a moult's time the first transhord21to say the crit	3	the interest with that character as yearly interest.	3	in all this.
6 to the future at all, you are looking to the past. You 6 or is expected to varvice less than a you, then you 7 can see exactly how long the payment – as the 7 wouldn't hormally be looking at you'y interest. 8 administration has lass dat, the payment is how much it's 8 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. 9 MR GAMME: Of course – 1 DOB JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. 11 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: The ant an the clear them – I mean, 11 accrual, Hink what we'te taking about is accruing 12 obviously Lunderstand that- bot are you saying the 11 Ba of course accrual can also be though about is accruing 14 different as between the conventional loan situation 14 In the sense of there is an amount of interest, what's 15 you're gid scarbed, the first or your camples where 16 Bat of course accrual can also be though about in terms 16 one needs to know at the date of payment whether tax is 16 Bat of course accrual can also be though about in terms 17 deductible on on, and the present case, our case, where 17 of farst syng. The oil digitato anost the interest 18 you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of 19 you are moding an ex post facto calculation at the end of	4	But of course when you are looking at a surplus that	4	MR GAMMIE: It is, because generally speaking if something
7 cas see exactly how long the payment - as the 7 wouldn't normally be looking at yearly interest. 8 administration has lasted, the payment is how much if is 8 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. 9 for the period of the administration. 10 DOR JUSTICE PATTEN: But can be locate the on-unstainable of events is accurate. 12 obviously Lunderstand that- but are you saying the criteria are between the coverentical loan situation 12 MR GAMME: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we talk in terms 13 criteria a between the coverenticinal loan situation 12 MR GAMME: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we talk in terms 14 different star between the coverenticinal loan situation 13 of accural, I finit what we'te talking about is accuring 15 dup-by-Lay even though it's not inmediately payable. 16 Bart or covers cancul an anount of it is arising 16 the or orous cancul and ana sho through tabout in terms of accural. 16 Bart or covers cancul and an anount of it is arising 17 deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where 10 10 10 10 18 year, for now entiled to a annout of it is arising 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	5	emerges under the statutory regime, you are not looking	5	is short, less if the obligation is going to survive
8 administration has lasted, the payment is how much it's 9 LORD USTICE PATTEN: Yes: 9 compensating the creditor for being out of his money and for the period of the administration. 10 LORD USTICE PATTEN: It's can administration. 11 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can be clear then – I mean, on young the criteria of determining whether it's yearly interest are different as between the conventional loan situation. 11 LORD AdMME: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we talk in terms of accual, I think what we're talking about is accuing in the sense of there is an amount this arsing. 13 oriteria of determining whether it's yearly interest are different. 16 But of course accual can also be tholigation aroue this time bas at you pure doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of the present case, our case, where is the advect or post payment such as have under the strutory regime, you can asee immediately that the criteria are different when you are looking at the verture train are different. 10 Volve calculated by reference to a period of time twich could capally be talked about is cousing on the rate point, and I am really kind theres to be increase a statid. 14 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you and the statubory regime, you can be as indicated it. by reference to the imcrease in the value of a property, for example? 11 24 to say the criteria are different when you are looking and the rate point and the rate is a dualation of the theres to it is interest. 12 13 14 14 14 <	6	to the future at all, you are looking to the past. You	6	or is expected to survive less than a year, then you
9 compensating the creditor for being out of his money and 9 MR GAMME: Of scarse - 10 for the period of the administration. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can be clear then - I men, 10 12 accual. MR GAMME: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we dia kines in terms of accual, I think what we'ts taking about is accuing 14 different as between the conventional loan situation 14 MR GAMME: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we dia kines 15 you've just described, the first of your camples where 16 But of course accual can also be thought about in terms 16 of accual, think what we'ts taking about is accuing, in the accualiation of interest over that period? 17 deductible or na, and the present case, our case, where 17 18 you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of 18 19 the calculation of interest what's the end pair, men weight bac and could be approximated by take the calculation of interest over that period? 20 MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the in relation are case parament such 21 21 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you act as we have under the statutory regime, you can sae 21 22 at apyment of interest which means that 23 in the value of a pooryty, for scample? <	7		7	wouldn't normally be looking at yearly interest.
10 for the period of the administration. 10 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: It's got nothing therefore to do with accrual. 11 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can 1 be clear then I mean, or the consequence of	8		8	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes.
11 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can l be clear thenI mean, 11 accrual. 12 obviously Lunderstand that but are you saying the 13 accrual. I think what we'te talking about is accruing. 14 different as between the conventional loan situation 14 in the sense of there is an annount this arising 15 you've just described, the first of your camples where 15 day-by-day even though if's not immediately payable. 16 But of course accrual can also be thought about in terms of just saying. The obligation arous this time last 17 deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where 17 of you've calculated by reference to a period? 20 MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are satified. That's not 18 yeu, reference to a period of time 21 which could equally be talked about in terms of accrual. 12 Which could equally be talked about in terms of accrual. 22 as we have under the staturery regime, you can see 23 the calculation of the interest are satisfied. 23 immediately that the cinetia are satisfied. That's not 23 the tae point, and 1 anreathy kind of focusing on 24 to say the criteria are satisfied. 14 the say our of the interesta' is by reference to	9	compensating the creditor for being out of his money and	9	MR GAMMIE: Of course
12 obviously 1 understand that but are you saying the 12 MR GAMMIE: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we talk in terms 13 criteria of determining whether it's yearly interest are 13 of acctual, I think what we're talking about is accruing 14 different as between the conventional loan situation 14 in the sense of there is an amount that is arising 15 you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of 16 But of course accrual can also be thought about in terms 16 one needs to know at the date of payment whether tax is 17 of just sying, "The obligation arcset this line last 17 giust a payment of interest, what's 18 year, Tri now entitled to an amount of interest, what's 18 year, Pin now entitled to an anount of interest, what's 18 19 18 whet one outstantomy regime, you can see 20 You've calculated by reference to a period of time 21 aw how under the stattory regime, you can see 21 LADY USTICE CLOSTER: Hash if a due to be – going back to 22 at a payment of interest or whet may our anouthy interest whith regene to be 22 LADY USTICE CLOSTER: Hash if a due to be – going back to 23 at a payment of interest or whet may our anouthy interest is by reference to a period of time 2	10	for the period of the administration.	10	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: It's got nothing therefore to do with
13 criteria of determining whether it's yearly interest are different as between the conventional loan situation different as between the conventional loan situation in the sense of there is an amount that is arising different as between the conventional loan situation in the sense of there is an amount that is arising different as between the conventional loan situation in the sense of there is an amount that is arising different as between the conventional loan situation in the sense of there is an amount that is arising different as a different whether tax is deductible or non, and the present case, our case, where is an amount of therest, what's the calculation of interest vert the period?" 20 MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are different. When you are loaking as whave under the statutory regime, you can see is any simp that in relation to an expost payment such as we have under the statutory regime, you can see immediately that the criteria are adifferent when you are loaking is a payment of interest now in respect of an amount is a set with the calculation giving rise to this interest now in respect of an amount is a set well of a property, for example? 11 maximum and its in the type of debt and the deb of the site part interest? 22 a apayment of interest which means that the dbt has been outstanding for a year or where you are loaking interest? 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase in the yeard of time is calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time is a calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time is a calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time is a calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time is a calculated. I appropring the the ist maters what is a set in the refere of a manount is is intherest is a set is a calculated. I appropr	11	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can I be clear then I mean,	11	accrual.
14different as between the conventional loan situation you've just described, the first of your examples where 1614in the sense of there is an amount that is arising day-byday even though its not immediately pushle. 1615openeds to know at the date of sparment whether trux is you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of the -16But of ourse accuratic an also be though its and so the integrith about in terms of just saying. The obligation ares this time last year. In now entitled to an amount of interest, what's 1920MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are different. 21177 worke calculated by reference to a period of time 2221as we have under the statutory regime, you can see ta may the criteria are different. 22207 worke calculated by reference to a period of time22at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 2323Eeb vb Pamy, haves to me pt to say well, 2425at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount actually have to ask yourself, is this the type of debt obligation giving rise to the sine thers tranche of a interest, I work Know just by reference you and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of a interest, I work Know just by reference to the time reference to a period of time. 271128which has been outstanding of a year or where you a and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of a interest, I work Know just by reference to the time reference to a period of time. 28113I neema, surely the method by which the tratest of so you obviously have to enquire into what, regrest the question straighforwardly; is this year' references	12	obviously I understand that but are you saying the	12	MR GAMMIE: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we talk in terms
15 you've just described, the first of your examples where 15 day-by-day even though it's not immediately payable. 16 one needs to know at the date of payment whether tax is 16 But of course accruit an allo be thought about in terms 17 deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where so, ure ase, where an anount of interest, what's 17 19 the - 18 year, 'The obligation arose so this time lat 19 the - 20 WR GAMME: No, 'In not saying the criteria are different. 19 21 Tri saying that in relation to an ex post payment such 21 Which could equally be tailed about in terms of accrual. 22 is immediately that the criteria are satified. That's not 22 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Hasn't ig ot to be - going back to 24 to say the criteria are satified. That's not 23 interest, in an annual rate rather than by reference to 25 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 24 Bebb V Bunny, hasn't neg ot to say well, 26 at a payment of interest ower move move move and a callaly have to ask yoursell. 11 an annual rate rather than by reference to 27 Deg 37 Page 39 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to be intereas 28 <td>13</td> <td>criteria of determining whether it's yearly interest are</td> <td>13</td> <td>of accrual, I think what we're talking about is accruing</td>	13	criteria of determining whether it's yearly interest are	13	of accrual, I think what we're talking about is accruing
16 one needs to know at the date of payment whether tax is 16 But of course accrual can also be thought about in terms 17 deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where 17 of just saying. "The obligation arcse this time last 20 MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are different. 18 you are olong an ex post facto calculation at the end of 21 I'm saying that in relation to an ex post payment such 20 You've calculated by reference to a period of time 22 as we have under the statutory regime, you can see 21 which odd equally be talked about in terms of accrual. 23 immediately that the criteria are satisfied. Thar's not 23 the calculation of interest you allo kang 24 to say the criteria are different. Page 37 Page 39 1 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of dbt a in the value of a property, for example? 3 obligation giving rise to this interest which means that 4 the debt has been outstanding and the period that's 4 ecalculated by reference to a partiod of time 2 in the rate is a to be relevant? If in fact the 7 interest, I won't know just by reference to the titime 3 I'mean, surely th	14	different as between the conventional loan situation	14	in the sense of there is an amount that is arising
17 deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where 17 of just saying, "The obligation arose this time last 18 you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of 18 year, fnn now entitled to an anount of interest, what's 20 MR GAMMIE: No, Fm not saying the criteria are different. 19 the calculation of interest over that period?" 21 aw have under the statutory regime, you can see 12 Vou've calculated by reference to a period of time 22 as whe under the statutory regime, you can see 12 LADY USTICE GLOSTER: Hast'l ing to to b – going back to 23 immediately that the criteria are statisfied. That's not 23 the rate point, and I am really kind of focusing on 24 to say the criteria are different when you are looking 24 Beb v Bunny, hasn't one go to say well, 25 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 25 mannual rate rather than by reference to 26 at this is yearly interest? 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase 3 obligation giving rise to this interest which means that 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase 4 this is yearly interest? 9 1 an annual rate rather than a yea, has to be relovant?	15	you've just described, the first of your examples where	15	day-by-day even though it's not immediately payable.
18 you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of the 18 year, I'm now entitled to an amount of interest, what's the calculation of interest over that period?" 20 MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are different. 19 the calculation of interest, what's the calculation of interest over that period?" 21 I'm saying that in relation to an ex post payment such as we have under the statutory regime, you can see in the criteria are stifferent when you are looking at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 21 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Hash't got to be - going back to the rate point, and I am really kind of focusing on at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount Page 37 Page 30 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt obligation giving rise to this interest which means that this is yearly interest? 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase in the value of a property, for example? 3 Immention by uity by reference to the fints transacting the nuclest of the rate is in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure, bow could it be yearly interest; 1 5 Because there you can't so if I borrow money now and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest. I won't know, the increase that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's ecovered by the interest I am paying. I won't be able to answe the question witing by reference to a period of time. <t< td=""><td>16</td><td></td><td>16</td><td>But of course accrual can also be thought about in terms</td></t<>	16		16	But of course accrual can also be thought about in terms
19 the 19 the calculation of interest over that period?" 20 MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the inrelation to an expost payment such 20 YorVe calculated by the fetence to a period of time 21 I'm saying that in relation to an expost payment such 21 YorVe calculated by the fetence to a period of time 22 as we have under the statutory regime, you can see 10 YorVe calculated by the fetence to a period of time 23 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 21 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Hasn't it got to be – going back to 24 to say the criteria are different when you are looking 23 the rate point, and I am really kind of focusing on 25 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 24 Beb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, 26 actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 2 actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 3 Because there you can't – so if l borrow money now 5 greater than a year, has to be relevant?! If in fact the 7 interest, I won't know just by reference to the time 7 caclulated by reference to a period of time <td>17</td> <td>deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where</td> <td>17</td> <td>of just saying, "The obligation arose this time last</td>	17	deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where	17	of just saying, "The obligation arose this time last
20 MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are different. 20 You've calculated by reference to a period of time 21 as we have under the statutory regime, you can see 21 which could equally be talked about in terms of accrual. 22 as we have under the statutory regime, you can see 22 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Haari it got to be – going back to 23 immediately that the criteria are statisfied. That's not 23 the rate point, and I am really kind of focusing on 24 to say the criteria are different when you are looking 24 Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, 25 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 25 the calculation of the interests is by reference to 2 actually have to ask yourself. is this the type of debt an annual rate rather than by reference to a period of time 3 obligation giving rise to this interest? 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 5 Because there you can't – so if I borrow money now 5 greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the 6 and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of 6 money was outstanding for over a year but it was 9 covered by the interest I am paying. I won't be able to 9 how could i be yerly interest in	18	you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of	18	year, I'm now entitled to an amount of interest, what's
 Im saying that in relation to an expost payment such as we have under the statutory regime, you can see immediately that the criteria are satisfied. That's not to say the criteria are different when you are looking at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount Impact that is criteria are different when you are looking at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount Page 37 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt obligation giving rise to this interest which means that this is yearly interest? Beause there you can't - so if I borrow money now and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest. I am paying, I won't be able to asswer the question straightforwardly; is this yearly answer the question straightforwardly; is this yearly answer the question straightforwardly; is this yearly the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest which could equally be talked about in terms of accrual. LORD JUSTICE FATTEN: So then is this right; you say that envert by the interest even nough it may nominally as most mortagaes are be repayable within six months. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right; you say that the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one kno	19	the	19	the calculation of interest over that period?"
22 as we have under the statutory regime, you can see immediately that the criteria are satisfied. That's not 23 the rate point, and 1 am really kind of focusing on 24 to say the criteria are different when you are looking 24 Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, 25 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 24 Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, 26 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 24 Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, 26 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 24 Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, 27 at an annual rate rather than by reference to 24 Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, 28 actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 3 Because there you can't - so if I borrow money now 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 3 calculated by reference to the increase in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure, 4 the taces of this transaction giving rise to interest? 5 so surely one of the criteria is the method by which 1 interest? you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the facts of this transacti	20	MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are different.	20	You've calculated by reference to a period of time
23 immediately that the criteria are satisfied. That's not to say the criteria are different when you are looking at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 23 the rate point, and I am really kind of focusing on Bebb v Bunny, hasn' one got to say well, the calculation of the interest is by reference to The interest is yearly interest? 1 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you actually have to ask yourself, is this the type of debt obligation giving rise to this interest which means that this is yearly interest? 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase in the value of a property, for example? 3 Because there you can't - so if I borrow money now and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest, I won't know just by reference to the time? 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to a period of time. 7 interest, I won't know just by reference to the time? 2 calculated by reference to a period of time. 7 answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly interest? 10 answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly interest? 11 interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest? 10 MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say - 12 you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest? 10 MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady	21	I'm saying that in relation to an ex post payment such	21	which could equally be talked about in terms of accrual.
24 to say the criteria are different when you are looking at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 24 Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, the calculation of the interest is by reference to 25 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt obligation giving rise to this interest which means that this is yearly interest? 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase in the value of a property, for example? 3 Deg 37 Imean, surely the method by which the rate is calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time greater than ayear, has to be relevant? If in fact the money was outstanding and the period that's covered by the interest I am paying. I won't be able to answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest which confer upon it the character of yearly interest? MR GAMMIE: Neul, My Lady, I must say – I LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't hat what Bunny v Benby is say in – never mind what the Goaling case or other about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid parchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year. So the interest being paid of time which makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as most mortgages are be repayable within six monts. MR GAMMIE: The oddity – when I say oddity – one feature amonies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year. So the interest being paid on that Ioan has effectively bought that money for a period of time which makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as most mortgages are be repayabl	22	as we have under the statutory regime, you can see	22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Hasn't it got to be going back to
25 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 25 the calculation of the interest is by reference to 23 at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount 25 the calculation of the interest is by reference to 24 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase 3 obligation giving rise to this interest which means that 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase 3 Decause there you can't so if I borrow money now 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 5 Because there you can't so if I borrow money now 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 6 and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure, 7 interest. I won't know just by reference to the time 7 calculated by reference to a period of time. 8 that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's 9 how could it be yearly interest: 1 10 answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly 10 So surely one of the criteria is the method by which 11 interest. So you obviously have to enquire into what, 1	23	immediately that the criteria are satisfied. That's not	23	the rate point, and I am really kind of focusing on
Page 37Page 391which has not been outstanding for a year or where you actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt obligation giving rise to this interest which means that this is yearly interest?an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase in the value of a property, for example?3Decause there you can't - so if I borrow money now and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest. I won't know just by reference to the time secure d by the interest I am paying. I won't be able to answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the factest of this transaction giving rise to interest?an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase a calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time second by the interest I am paying. I won't be able to answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the factest of this transaction giving rise to interest?how could it be yearly interest?15But of course that will go to a question as to makes it yearly interest being paid on that loan has effectively bought that money for a period of time which makes it yearly interest energiad of time which makes it yearly interest is casier than the essential criterion for both those examples, the calculation for the statutory interest is casier than the other example because one knows with the benefit or the other example because one knows with the benefit or to pay to find the ind was quest)116which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?IADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isot that what Bunny V Benby is	24	to say the criteria are different when you are looking	24	Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well,
1 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase 2 actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt 2 in the value of a property, for example? 3 obligation giving rise to this interest which means that 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 4 this is yearly interest? 4 calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time 5 Because there you can't so if I borrow money now 5 greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the 6 and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest, I won't know, just by reference to the time 7 interest, I won't know, just by reference to the time 7 8 that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's 9 9 covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to 9 10 answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly 10 So surely one of the criteria is the method by which 11 interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, 11 the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest 12 you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are 15 LADY USTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is </td <td>25</td> <td>at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount</td> <td>25</td> <td>the calculation of the interest is by reference to</td>	25	at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount	25	the calculation of the interest is by reference to
1 which has not been outstanding for a year or where you 1 an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase 2 actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt 2 in the value of a property, for example? 3 obligation giving rise to this interest which means that 3 I mean, surely the method by which the rate is 4 this is yearly interest? 4 calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time 5 Because there you can't so if I borrow money now 5 greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the 6 and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest, I won't know, just by reference to the time 7 interest, I won't know, just by reference to the time 7 8 that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's 9 9 covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to 9 10 answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly 10 So surely one of the criteria is the method by which 11 interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, 11 the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest 12 you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are 15 LADY USTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
2actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt2in the value of a property, for example?3obligation giving rise to this interest which means that3I mean, surely the method by which the rate is4this is yearly interest?4calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time5Because there you can't so if I borrow money now5greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the6and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of6money was outstanding for over a year but it was7interest, I won't know just by reference to the time7calculated by reference to, I don't know, the increase8that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's8in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure,9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to9how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances?10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest?14saying – never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say – why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity – when I say oddity one feature17interest being paid on that loan has17about Bebb V Bumy is I thi		Page 37		Page 39
2actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt2in the value of a property, for example?3obligation giving rise to this interest which means that3I mean, surely the method by which the rate is4this is yearly interest?4calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time5Because there you can't so if I borrow money now5greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the6and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of6money was outstanding for over a year but it was7interest, I won't know just by reference to the time7calculated by reference to, I don't know, the increase8that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's8in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure,9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to9how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances?10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest?14saying – never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say – why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity – when I say oddity one feature17interest being paid on that loan has17about Bebb V Bumy is I thi	1	which has not been outstanding for a year or where you	1	an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase
3obligation giving rise to this interest which means that this is yearly interest?3I mean, surely the method by which the rate is calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time4this is yearly interest?4calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time5Because there you can't - so if I borrow money now and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest, I won't know just by reference to the time56and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's so covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are 1310So surely one of the criteria is the method by which the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time. Pow could it be yearly interest is that the debt by by which the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time. Pow could it be yearly interest and paying, I won't be able to pow know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are 1310So surely one of the criteria is the method by which the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time. Pow could it be yearly interest?14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?11Ithe rate is calculated by reference to a period of time. saying - never mind what the Goslings case or other cases say - why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a year. So the interest being paid on that loan has perfectively bought that money for a period of time which makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as most mortgages are				-
4this is yearly interest?4calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time5Because there you can't so if I borrow money now5greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the6and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of6money was outstanding for over a year but it was7interest, I won't know, just by reference to the time7calculated by reference to, I don't know, the increase8that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's8in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure,9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to9how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances?10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say -13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying - never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say - why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity - when I say oddity - one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17a				
5Because there you can't - so if I borrow money now5greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the6and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of6money was outstanding for over a year but it was7interest, I won't know just by reference to the time7calculated by reference to, I don't know, the increase8that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's8in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure,9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to9how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances?10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say -13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest?13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying - never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to16MR GAMMIE: The oddity - when I say oddity - one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that m	4		4	
6and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of interest, I won't know just by reference to the time6money was outstanding for over a year but it was7interest, I won't know just by reference to the time7calculated by reference to, I don't know, the increase8that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's8in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure,9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to9how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances?10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say -13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest?13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying - never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to16MR GAMMIE: The oddity - when I say odity - one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more t	5		5	
7interest, I won't know just by reference to the time7calculated by reference to, I don't know, the increase8that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's8in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure,9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to9how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances?10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say -13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying - never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say - why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a20makes it yearly interest went hough it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months	6		6	
8that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's8in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure,9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to9how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances?10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say -13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now22LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this r	7		7	
9covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, 119how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances? So surely one of the criteria is the method by which the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?10MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say I ADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is saying never mind what the Goslings case or other cases say why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a year. So the interest being paid on that loan has effectively bought that money for a period of time which most mortgages are be repayable within six months.16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year, about Bebb v Stundy is I think it was yearly interest, and part of that might lie behind the point that you are now making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would say that.23the essential criterion for both those examples, the calculation for the statutory interest is easier than the other example because one knows with the benefit or2525the other example because one knows with the benefit or25	8		8	•
10answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly10So surely one of the criteria is the method by which11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what,11the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
11interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest11the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time.12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now22LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that23say that.23the essential criterion for both those exampl			10	
12you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest12MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				5
13the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest13LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to14saying never mind what the Goslings case or other16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is15cases say why isn't the rate relevant?17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				
14which confer upon it the character of yearly interest?14saying never mind what the Goslings case or other15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				
15But of course that will go to a question as to15cases say why isn't the rate relevant?16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				
16whether or not this loan or amount obligation is16MR GAMMIE: The oddity when I say oddity one feature17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now22LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that22making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				
17intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a year. So the interest being paid on that loan has17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as most mortgages are be repayable within six months.19but they still found that it was yearly interest, and part of that might lie behind the point that you are now20LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that the essential criterion for both those examples, the calculation for the statutory interest is easier than the other example because one knows with the benefit or17about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year, 2021most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would say that.23the essential criterion for both those examples, the the other example because one knows with the benefit or24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not binding on us anyway.				
18year. So the interest being paid on that loan has18purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase19effectively bought that money for a period of time which19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year,20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now22LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that22making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.		C C		
19effectively bought that money for a period of time which makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as 2019monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year, but they still found that it was yearly interest, and 2120most mortgages are be repayable within six months. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that the essential criterion for both those examples, the calculation for the statutory interest is easier than the other example because one knows with the benefit or19monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year, but they still found that it was yearly interest, and part of that might lie behind the point that you are now making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would say that.23the essential criterion for both those examples, the calculation for the statutory interest is easier than the other example because one knows with the benefit or24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not binding on us anyway.				
20makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as most mortgages are be repayable within six months.20but they still found that it was yearly interest, and part of that might lie behind the point that you are now21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now22LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that the essential criterion for both those examples, the calculation for the statutory interest is easier than 2522making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than the other example because one knows with the benefit or24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not binding on us anyway.				
21most mortgages are be repayable within six months.21part of that might lie behind the point that you are now22LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that22making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				
22LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that22making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would23the essential criterion for both those examples, the23say that.24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				
23the essential criterion for both those examples, the calculation for the statutory interest is easier than the other example because one knows with the benefit or23 24say that. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not binding on us anyway.				
24calculation for the statutory interest is easier than24LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether it's not25the other example because one knows with the benefit or25binding on us anyway.				
25 the other example because one knows with the benefit or 25 binding on us anyway.		-		-
Page 38 Page 40				
		Page 38		Page 40

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

1	MR GAMMIE: Well, no, my Lady. But just to take your point,	1	debt on apart from the administration might not be. So
2	so I borrow money now	2	the point could arise.
3	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	3	MR GAMMIE: Well, I hesitate, my Lord, to but it would be
4	MR GAMMIE: and I am going to repay it in, say, 13	4 5	expressed as a rate, I think. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You yourself have been giving,
5	months' time, and the amount that I will repay will be	6	perfectly correctly I should have thought, examples
6 7	that amount plus an amount based on an index of some	7	where interest is payable at a rate which is not
8	sort. For example, if the index has fallen, I will just repay the amount and I won't have to pay anything	8	expressed as an annual rate, and that could be the rate
9	further. If the index has gone through the roof, I will	9	applicable to the debt apart from the administration.
10	pay something extra on that. Leaving aside the	10	MR GAMMIE: My Lord
10	possibility that that type of arrangement is covered by	11	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You could have this
12	innumerable other statutory provisions, is the amount	12	example: you could have a loan for 13 months with
12	over and above what you repay yearly interest?	13	interest payable at the aggregate of the overnight rates
14	I think it would be difficult to say it wasn't	14	applicable during the 13 months. So it's undoubtedly
15	interest of some sort. You could think about a similar	15	a loan for 13 months, it's fixed. But the rate of
16	arrangement under which you were going to pay interest	16	interest is not expressed as an annual rate, but you
17	at a specified rate but it was contingent upon the index	17	would say that's yearly interest.
18	moving a certain extent. I must say I	18	MR GAMMIE: Yes.
19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But it's not related to the time use	19	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to see why it
20	of money. Of course, in one sense it's reflecting	20	shouldn't be. The fact that it's expressed not as
21	what's happened over a period. But to say it's yearly	21	a yearly rate but as an aggregate of overnight rates, it
22	interest whether you repay at the end of six months or	22	would be very surprising if that made a difference as to
23	whether you repay at the end of 9 months, or whether you	23	whether it was yearly interest.
24	repay at the end of 15 months, is going to depend on	24	MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I certainly would endorse
25	what the index has done in the meantime. So even in the	25	that.
	Page 41	<u> </u>	Page 43
1	15-month example, why is that a yearly interest payment?	1	In terms of the rate that is paid here, paragraph 9
2	MR GAMMIE: Well, I think in that instance, the return not	2	of the rule says:
3	being calculated by any rate as such, it would be yearly	3	"The rate of interest payable under paragraph 7 is
4	interest by reference to the time over which the money	4	whichever is the greater rate specified under
5	was outstanding and effectively the reward you've got	5	paragraph 6 or the rate applicable to the debt part from
6	for having the use of that money for a period of time	6	the administration."
7	which exceeded a year. It just so happens that you've	7	The rate as has been noted under 6 is expressed as
8	constructed the basis upon which it's calculated, your	8	an annual rate, so I would have thought that to be able
9	return on that use of money, by reference to an index.	9	to work out whether you had a greater rate applicable to
10	So it's not the	10	the debt apart from the administration, you'd at least
11	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can't quite understand why you are	11	have to be able to express that in terms of an annual
12	shirking from the proposition that the fact that the	12	rate, even if it's calculated in the way you say,
13	rate is calculated by reference to an annual rate,	13	my Lord.
14	an interest rate over a year, is not an indicia of	14	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You must be right. But as you
15	whether this is yearly interest. I thought	15	have said, leaving aside the examples of rates
16	MR GAMMIE: My Lady if I'm shirking from it I am shirking	16	calculated by reference to an index or something, all
17	from it for two reasons. Firstly, because it's not	17	rates are capable of expression as an annual rate. So
18	relevant here because what we're looking at is a rate	18	I mean even overnight rates are capable of expression as
19	specified either by reference to the Judgments Act or	19	an annual rate, so I don't think there would be
20	calculated by the terms of the loan, so it doesn't arise	20	a practical difficulty in working out which was the
21	in this case. I'm also shirking from it because	21	greater. But that doesn't exclude the possibility of
22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But we are because the Judgments Act	22	a contract which does have a rate applicable to the debt
23	rate is a yearly rate.	23	which is not expressed as an annual rate.
24	MR GAMMIE: It is.	24	MR GAMMIE: That, my Lord, I would agree with. I don't
25	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But the date applicable to the	25	actually think it matters for yearly interest that you
20			
20	Page 42		Page 44

11 (Pages 41 to 44) 8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street

London EC4A 2DY

1 capters it a an annual rate. 1 restore we're concerned about whether or in the sy analy in creates it is a submission: it does it matter. You don't accept ray an obligation to withhold tax if it is yearly interest. bow exp at whether or in the secasary megnetized in creates it we're submission: it does it is expressed in enterest is the number of interest. interest is the number of interest of which is expressed and anal rate, is that an indica of one of periphers and anal rate, is that an indica of one of periphers was yab'n controls on with being at the second in the was yab'n controls of a white year's interest. was yab'n controls on with be matters to it the horing difficult of ket at the interest is the horing difficult of ket at the interest is the interest is in the indica of one of periphers Will GAMMIE: I weak estimation when it is and you've got the arts is number and indica is and you've got the matter, in other member is and you've got the out any robusing at the interest which is only out you have and the interest in the matter of the its yearly interest. the matter, in other works from the Recence's could at a number of interest is and you've got the order and you are dataing which is and you've got the out any robusing at the interest which of the Resplation is not any relation of the security is gost blate. the matter, in other works from the Recence's could at a number of interest is and you've got the out and you had is attend from the Recence's the out any robusing at the interest is and you've got the matter, in other works				
3 an oblightion to withhold us if it is yearly interest. 4 Ladys proposition that it a necessary ingredient of 4 5 yearly interest, but the interest, the rate of interest, to interest of these 5 6 is expressed as a manual rate. 6 7 LADY LINCE GLOSTER: That this what I put to you apart 6 8 from of interest hout give rest to an obligation to 9 are an annual rate, is that in indicis of one of perhaps 9 9 are an annual rate, is that in indicis of one of perhaps 9 10 many that it is in fast yearly interest? 10 11 what I an having difficulty with. 11 12 WB GAMMER: I would centaring year with the proposition; 11 13 that it's something you would look at and lake into 13 14 excount in mirring at a contexplex matters to 14 15 I conduct at the moment just by looking at the 16 16 not class: Ofwionsity you know what it is and you've got 16 legislation see anything yeary bivous that defined the 20 index: Of course one loss to rearrandve from this is do? 20 IKIG GAMME: Well, my lowell, more stato	1	*		reason we're concerned about whether or not it's yearly
4 Do we get are help from that in deciding with Parliament 5 yearly interest, the rate of interest, interest for those 6 is expressed as an annual rate. purposent? Interest, fair the interest, interest of interest for those 7 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER. But I think what I put to you apart 7 8 many hat is in fact year it is corressed 9 9 as an annual rate, is that an indicia of one of perhaps 9 10 many that is in fact year it is corressed 9 11 what I am haring difficulty with. 10 12 MR GAMMIE: I would certainly agree with that proposition: 10 13 account in arriving a conclusion on there matters to the ance you would look at and late into 11 14 account in arriving a conclusion on there matters to 11 11 15 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got in rest. It is and you've got in the interest is is and you've got in the interest is is and you've got in the interest is is and you've got in rest. It is and you've got in rest. It is an you've you with the is is annuel when you with an end is an end in the interest is is an you you with it is an you've you with the is an end you had annuel is in the interest is is an you you with it is an you've you in the interest. It is an you've you we with the interest is is an you you with an end is an end you had in the interest is is	2	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, that's your		
5 yearly interest, but the interest, the rate of interest, of interest, but the interest interest, but the interest interest, but its int	3			
6 is expressed is an annual rate. 6 purposes? I mean, clearly they diah docut that any they fail of expression to an obligation to an annual rate, is that an indicia of one of perhaps 7 LADY JLSTICE GLOSTER: But think what I put to you apart 7 timm of interest should give rise to an obligation to was yorly, monthly or anything edse. So obligation to was yorly, monthly or anything edse. So obligation to the interest think that's 9 as an annual rate, is that an indicia of one of perhaps 9 withhold tax regardless of obligation? 10 many that is in farty around look at and take is to account in arriving a a conclusion on these matters to the history of this. 11 With CAMME: My earned friend 1 think may weel want to delve in the history of this. 11 to make ap your mind. 12 MR GAMME: My learned friend 1 think may weel want to delve in the history of this. 12 to make ap your mind. 13 14 LORD JUSTICE FLOW. Hook they obligation? 13 think my relaxance — at one point 1 was mindful 16 legislation see anything very obvicins that defined the 14 to make ap your mind. 17 characteristics of what is yeadi to a foreign 14 to make ap your mind. 18 18 18 18 15 reculukti. 14 16 16	4			
7 Form of interest should give rise to an obligation to 8 form of interest should give rise to an obligation to 9 an annual rate, is that an itics of ore of pethaps 9 many that it is in the yeart' interest? I think that's 10 many that it is in the yeart' interest? I think that's 11 MR GAMME: I would certainly agree with that proposition; 12 MR GAMME: I would certainly agree with that proposition; 13 that it's something you would look at and take into 14 account in arrying at a couchiso on these matters to 15 the extent you are dealing with a situation where it is 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got 17 characteristics of what is yearly interest. But 20 index. Of course one has to remember from this side of 21 the matter, in other would icent in the regional 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 24 to daligntow's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax 25 onlice the away the would ba singet theriprinpl 26	5	• • • • • • • •		*
8 from thap proposition was the fact that it is expressed 8 withhold tar regardless of how - you know, whether it 9 as an annual rate, is that an indicia of one of perhaps 9 was yearly, monthly or anything clue. So what is it 11 what Larn having difficulty with. 11 was yearly, monthly or anything clue. So what is it 13 MR GAMMEI: Ivoid certainly agree with that proposition: 13 14 backMMEI: My latened friend 1 think may well want to delve into the history of this. 14 account in arriving at a conclusion on these matters to 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Linow. I looked at it, but 15 the extern you are dealing with a situation where it is 15 I couldn't at the moment just by looking at the 16 to rink up your mind. 18 Something must have been in the minds of the legislation 19 in relation to your early example, my Lady, about the 19 in intring it to that type of payment. 21 perspeciry, there is the very important question of 22 assore where effectively if you were barrowing at a situation of max taxe be collected on interest which. 23 22 perspeciry, there is the very important question of 22 assore where effectively if you were barrowing at a structure withohod ing is the principal 23 interes	6	-		
9 as an annual rate, is that an indicia of one of perhaps 9 was yearly, monthly or anything clue. So what is it 10 many that it is in fact yearly interset? It think that's 10 that they were trying to identify age the they be of 12 MR GAMME: It would certainly agree with that proposition; 11 payment that is hoad fatter as withholding obligation? 13 that its something you would look at and their its 16 Its its something you would look at and their its 14 account in arriving at a conclusion on these matters to 16 Its its and you've got 15 the cxtent you are dealing with a situation where it is 16 Its its and you've got 16 not clare; Own with it is and you've got 16 Its its and you've got 16 not alcare; Not all of the got yearnel; 17 Its on all of the cytes and the indication of the legislation 17 to radia up your mind. 17 columents is not your only yearnel; must hall obtain they period payment. 17 18 Think my reheating: 18 Addingtory system in 1802/1803 of dodicition of the gislation on annul interim in 1802/1803 of dodicition of the system, interim in 1802/1803 of dodicition of the system interim in 1802/1803 of dodicition of the system interin any othe with with with the nease any and the interes	7			
10 many that it is in fact yearly interest? 1 think that's 10 that they were trying to identify as being the type of 11 what I am having difficulty with. 11 perment that should attract a withbolding obligation? 13 MR GAMME: I would cost and take into 13 into the history of this. 14 account in arriving at a conclusion on these matters to 14 16 15 the extern typus to identify as being the type of 16 16 that it's something you would look at and take into 13 16 the extern typus to identify as being the type of 16 16 the extern typus to identify as being the type of payment 17 to make up your mind. 16 18 Think my reluctance - at one point I was mindful 18 something must have been in the minds of the legislation 19 index. Of course on bas to remember from this side of 22 22 24 10 MR CAMME: Well at aud fund them the option of 22 24 flows abroad, because the withbolding is the principal 24 withch or on tax can be collected on interest which 25 26 Wellefor on tax can be collected on interest which 2 LAUY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Wm	8			
11 what am having difficulty with. 11 payment that should attract a withholding obligation? 12 MR GAMME: My karned fried 1 huis may well want to delve in the bistory of this. 12 13 the it is something you would look at and take into 13 14 14 account in arring at a conclusion on these matters to 14 14 15 the extern you are dealing with a situation where it is 15 16 1000 JUSTICE PATTEN: Lincow. The looked at it, but 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got 16 16 legislation see anything yery obvious that defined the 17 to make up your entry example, my Lady, about the 19 17 characteristics of what is yeady interest. But 20 index. Of course one has to remember from this side of 20 10 Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deluction of tax 21 bab abroad, because the withholding is the principal 21 10 Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deluction of tax 22 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 23 astarter effectively if you were borrowing at 23 wether on tax can be collected on interest which 24 indows abroad, because the withholding is the principal	9	as an annual rate, is that an indicia of one of perhaps		
12 MR GAMMIE: I would certainly agree with that proposition; 12 MR GAMMIE: My learned friend I think may well want to delve 13 that it's something you would look at and take into 14 LODD USTICE PATTEX: I know. I looked at it, but 14 account in arriving at a conclusion on these matters to 14 LODD USTICE PATTEX: I know. I looked at it, but 15 the extent you are dealing with a situation where it is 15 I couldn't at the moment just by looking at the 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got 16 legislation see anything very obvious that defined the 17 to make up your mind. 17 characteristics of what is yearly interset. But 18 Think my relutance - at one point I was mindfid 18 something must have been in the minds of the legislation 19 in relation by our carrydrey important quotison of 22 at source where effectively if you were borrowing at 23 whether or not us can be collected on interest which 23 interest and you had a taxof dund with the londer. I think 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 25 collection the weight and to a foreign 25 against your own ta liability, so effecti	10	many that it is in fact yearly interest? I think that's		
13 that it's something you would look at and take into 13 into the history of this. 14 account in arriving at a combusion on these matters to 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I know. I looked at it, but 15 the cettent you are dealing with a situation where it is 15 Loudht at the moment just by looking at the 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got 16 legislation see anything very obvious that defined the 17 to make up your mind. 17 characteristics of what is yearly interest. But 19 in the my reluctance - at one point I was mindful 18 something must have been in the minds of the legislation 19 index. Of yourse one has to remember from this side of 10 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lod, I think historically it goes back 20 whether on not tax can be collected on interest which 21 in dexing you were borrowing at 21 oldengton's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax at source where effectively if you were borrowing at 22 perspective, there is the very important question of 22 at source where effectively if you were borrowing at 23 whether on not tax can be collected on interest which 23 init interest and reading thithe the tareline of tax 24	11			
14 account in arriving at a conclusion on these matters to 14 LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I know: I looked at it, but 15 the extent you are dealing with a situation where it is 15 I couldn't at the momeni just by looking at the 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you're got 16 legislations sea urbing very obvious that defined the 17 to make up your mind. 17 characteristics of what is yearly interest. But 18 I think my reluctance - at one point I was mindful 18 something must have been in the minds of the legislation 20 index. Of course one has to remember from this side of 20 MR GAMME: Well, my Locd, I think historically it goes back. 21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 21 to Addingtor's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 at source where effectively if you were borrowing at 24 flows abroad, because the witholding is the principal 24 witheld the tax can be collected on interest which 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 25 against your own tax liability, so effectively you were 26 Page 45 Page 47 1 sharing your taxed fund wit				
15 the extent you are dealing with a situation where it is and you've got 15 I couldn't at the moment just by looking at the 16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got 16 legislation see anything very obvious that defined the 17 to make up you mind. 17 characteristics of what is yearly interest. But 18 1 think my relactance – at one point 1 was mindful 18 something must have been in the minds of the legislation 19 in dex. Of course one has to remember from this side of 20 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think historically it goes back 21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 21 to Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax 22 at source where effectively you ware borrowing at interest and you had a taxel fund then the – you 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 24 withheid the tax on the interest which 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 25 against your avact fund with the lender. I think 2 has may your taxed fund with the lender. I think 18 historically that is the origins of the idea, but over 4 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 4 when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that	13			-
16 not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got to make up your mind. 16 legislation see anything very obvious that defined the characteristics of what is yearly interest. But 18 I think my reluctance at one point I was mindful in relation to your early example, my Lady, about the index. Or course one has to remember from this side of the matter, in other words from the revenue's 20 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lady, 1 think historically it goes back to Addingtor's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax at source where effectively if you were borrowing at interest and you had a taxed find then the -you withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 22 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 as a source where effectively if you were borrowing at interest and you had a taxed find then the -you withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 23 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 24 24 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign Page 45 1 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. 3 1 sharing your taxed fund with he lender. I think has gradauly got the traces of think that we cample you gave by 5 1 6 reference to think that example you gave by 5 1 sharing your taxed fund with he lender. I think has gradauly got the traces of that have become 5 7 flow a witholding obligation existed. 7 1 sharing your taxed fund withe hender. I think has gradauly got the traces of	14	-		
17 to make up your mind. 17 characteristics of what is yearly interest. But 18 I think my reluctance at one point I was mindful 18 something must have been in the minds of the legislation 20 index. Of course one has to remember from this side of 20 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think historically it goes back 21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 21 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think historically it goes back 22 perspective, there is the very important question of 22 at source where effectively if you were borrowing at 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 interest and you had a taxed fund than theyou 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 25 against your wont tax lability, so effectively you were 7 person. 1 sharing your taxed fund with the Inder. I think 8 historically that is the origins of the idea, but over 4 9 reference to the index did not give rise to interest 6 10 LADY UNSTEC GLOSTER: Mm. 3 14 11				
18 Think my reluctance – at one point I was mindful 18 something must have been in the minds of the legislation 19 in relation to your carly example, my Lady, about the 10 in limiting it to that type of payment. 20 index. Of course one has to remember from this iside of 20 MR GAMME: Well, my Lord, 1 think historically it goes back 21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 20 MR GAMME: Well, my Lord, 1 think historically it goes back 22 perspective, there is the very important question of 23 withhed the tax on the interest and retained that 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 interest and you had a taxed fund then the - you 24 flows abread, because the withholding is the principal 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 25 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mn. 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 3 MR GAMME: Although I havert taken instructions from 4 has gradually got - the traces of that have become 6 reference to think that the example you gave by 5 has any I dan't see that thas a necessary <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
19 in relation to your early example, my Lady, about the 19 in limiting it to that type of payment. 20 index. Of course one has to remember from this side of 21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 21 the Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax 22 perspective, there is the very important question of 22 at source where effectively fyous were borrowing at 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 interest and you had a taxed find then the - you 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 23 interest and you had a taxed find then the - you 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 26 Page 45 Page 47 1 sharing your axed find with the lender. I think 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mn. 1 sharing your taxed find with the lender. I think 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I havert taken instructions from 3 the years of course, that has essentially sort of - 4 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 4 when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that 5	17			
20 index. Of course one has to remember from this side of 20 MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think historically it goes back 21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 21 to Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax 22 perspective, there is the very important question of 22 at source where effectively if you were borrowing at 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 interest and you had a taxed fund then the - you 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 26 Page 47 1 person. 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 2 historically that is the origins of the idea, but over the years of course, that has essentially sort of - 3 MR GAMMIE: Athough I haven't taken instructions from 4 when I say fallen out of use in our system. I mean that 5 refurence to think that the cample you gave by 5 has gradually got - the traces of that have becone 6 reference to the index did not give rise to interest 7 But the contrast in general terms would have been 1 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER	18	I think my reluctance at one point I was mindful		something must have been in the minds of the legislation
21 the matter, in other words from the Revenue's 21 to Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax 22 perspective, there is the very important question of 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 23 interest and you had a taxed fund then theyou 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 26 Page 47 1 person. 2 2 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 2 historically that is the origins of the idea, but over 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from 3 4 behind mc, I would suspect there would be some 4 5 reluctace to think that the example you gave by 5 6 reference to the index did not give rise to interest 7 7 from which a withholing obligation existed. 7 8 As I say, I don' see that that is a necessary 8 9 feature	19	in relation to your early example, my Lady, about the	19	in limiting it to that type of payment.
22 perspective, there is the very important question of 22 at source where effectively if you were borrowing at interest and you had a taxed fund then the - you 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 23 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 26 Page 47 24 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. 2 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from 3 the years of course, that has essentially sort of 4 which a withold with de tax and you ago you you gou you you gou you you set there would be some 4 when I say fallen out of use in our system. I mean that 5 relevance to think that the example you gave by 5 has gradually got the traces of that have been 8 As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary 6 to incur a bit of interest but for no particular 10 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, 10 I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay 14 to f. Theman hand Ata 1 Sharpe you are dea	20	index. Of course one has to remember from this side of	20	
 23 whether or not tax can be collected on interest which 24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal 24 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that 25 against your own tax liability, so effectively you were Page 45 Page 47 1 person. 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I havent taken instructions from 4 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 5 reluctance to think that the example you gave by 6 reference to the index did not give rise to interest 7 from which a withholding obligation existed. 8 As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary 9 feature of the decision. 10 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: but if there is a reference, as here, 10 an annual rate 11 to an annual rate 12 MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account 13 of. 14 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: - then that can be taken into account. 16 LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of 17 Lehmans. 18 if any institution had a wide range of instruments by 19 reference to all sots of matters, it was probably 10 LADY JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of 11 to an anual rate - 12 LADY JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of 13 after y institution had a wide range of instruments by 14 LADY JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you 15 the roters, a perk of your argument so we can postpone this the 25 as part of your argument so we can postpone this the 26 MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax 	21	the matter, in other words from the Revenue's		to Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax
24 flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 24 withheld the tax on the interest and retained that against your own tax liability, so effectively you were 25 Page 45 Page 47 1 person. 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 24 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from 1 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 4 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 5 reference to think that the example you gave by 5 has gradually got - the traces of that have become 6 weaker and weaker and weaker as the years have gone by. 7 But the contrast in general terms would have been 9 feature of the decision. 9 1 near, you just incur a small debt which you pay 11 to an annual rate - 1 near, you just incur a sort of the decision in the 13 of. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, 1 mean, you just incur a sort of transaction in the 14 LADY JUSTICE GL	22	perspective, there is the very important question of	22	at source where effectively if you were borrowing at
25 collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign 25 against your own tax liability, so effectively you were 21 person. 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. 1 sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from 4 the years of course, that has essentially sort of 4 when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that 5 reluctance to think that the example you gave by 6 reference to the index did not give rise to interest 6 weaker and weaker as the years have gone by. 7 from which a withholding obligation existed. 7 But the contrast in general terms would have been 8 As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary 8 between short-term accommodation where you just happened 9 to in an anual rate - 11 mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay 10 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, if any institution had a wide range of instruments by 11 financial markets on a short-term duration. So the 12 MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account 15 sharip gou are dealing with a sort of transaction in the	23	whether or not tax can be collected on interest which	23	interest and you had a taxed fund then the you
Page 45Page 471person.1sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think2LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.1sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think3MR GAMME: Although Haven't taken instructions from3the years of course, that has essentially sort of -4behind me, I would suspect there would be some4when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that5reference to the index did not give rise to interest6weaker and weaker as the years have gone by.7from which a withholding obligation existed.7But the contrast in general terms would have been8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.1I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate -11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.11contrast has always been between yearly interest and14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: - then that can be taken into1415account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of1617Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because1818if any institution had a wide range of instruments by1919reference to all sorts of matters, it	24	flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal	24	
1 person. 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from 4 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 5 refluctance to think that the example you gave by 6 reference to the index did not give rise to interest 7 from which a withholding obligation existed. 8 As I say, I don' see that that is a necessary 9 feature of the decision. 10 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, 10 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, 11 to an annual rate - 12 MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account 13 of. 14 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: - then that can be taken into 15 account. 16 LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of 17 Lehmans. 18 if any institution had a wide range of instruments by 19 reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably 10 LADY JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you 21 MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure ou have a much <td>25</td> <td>collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign</td> <td>25</td> <td>against your own tax liability, so effectively you were</td>	25	collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign	25	against your own tax liability, so effectively you were
1 person. 2 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. 3 MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from 4 behind me, I would suspect there would be some 5 refluctance to think that the example you gave by 6 reference to the index did not give rise to interest 7 from which a withholding obligation existed. 8 As I say, I don' see that that is a necessary 9 feature of the decision. 10 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, 10 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, 11 to an annual rate - 12 MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account 13 of. 14 LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: - then that can be taken into 15 account. 16 LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of 17 Lehmans. 18 if any institution had a wide range of instruments by 19 reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably 10 LADY JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you 21 MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure ou have a much <td></td> <td>D (5</td> <td></td> <td>D (7</td>		D (5		D (7
2LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.2historically that is the origins of the idea, but over3MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from3the years of course, that has essentially sort of4behind me, I would suspect there would be some4when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that5reference to the index did not give rise to interest6weaker and weaker as the years have gone by.6reference to the index did not give rise to interest6weaker and weaker as the years have gone by.7from which a withholding obligation existed.7But the contrast in general terms would have been8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account15share you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.12Sharpe you are dealing with a sile always been between yearly interest and14LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe15reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively16LORD JUSTICE DA		Page 45		Page 4/
3MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from3the years of course, that has essentially sort of4behind me, I would suspect there would be some4when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that5reluctance to think that the example you gave by5has gradually got - the traces of that have become6reference to the index did not give rise to interest6weaker and weaker as the years have gone by.7from which a withholding obligation existed.7But the contrast in general terms would have been8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular -10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into1415account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of1617Lehmans.18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21hand gart	1	person.	1	sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think
3MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from3the years of course, that has essentially sort of4behind me, I would suspect there would be some4when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that5reluctance to think that the example you gave by5has gradually got - the traces of that have become6reference to the index did not give rise to interest6weaker and weaker as the years have gone by.7from which a withholding obligation existed.7But the contrast in general terms would have been8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &13of.12Sharey ou are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LORD JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of1617Lehmans.18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19 <td>2</td> <td>LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.</td> <td>2</td> <td>historically that is the origins of the idea, but over</td>	2	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm.	2	historically that is the origins of the idea, but over
4behind me, I would suspect there would be some4when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that5reluctance to think that the example you gave by5has gradually got - the traces of that have become6reference to the index did not give rise to interest6weaker and weaker as the years have gone by.7from which a withholding obligation existed.7But the contrast in general terms would have been8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular -10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,11I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17Lehmans.18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably20Lehmans.21	3	MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from	3	
5reluctance to think that the example you gave by5has gradually got the traces of that have become6reference to the index did not give rise to interest6weaker and weaker as the years have gone by.7from which a withholding obligation existed.7But the contrast in general terms would have been8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of1617Lehmans.18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a mu	4	behind me, I would suspect there would be some	4	-
7from which a withholding obligation existed.7But the contrast in general terms would have been8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of1617Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because1718if any institution had a wide range of instruments by1819reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably1920Lehmans.2021MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much2122better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Who lent you23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you2324this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this2325<	5	reluctance to think that the example you gave by	5	
8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOST	6	reference to the index did not give rise to interest	6	
8As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary8between short-term accommodation where you just happened9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOST	7	from which a withholding obligation existed.	7	But the contrast in general terms would have been
9feature of the decision.9to incur a bit of interest but for no particular10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on ove	8	As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary	8	
10LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, to an annual rate10I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this prov	9	feature of the decision.	9	
11to an annual rate11quickly and pay some interest on it, or as in Goslings &12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the15account.14contrast has always been between yearly interest and16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of15what is called short interest; in other words just17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax	10	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here,	10	•
12MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account12Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the15account.14contrast has always been between yearly interest and16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax	11	to an annual rate	11	
13of.13financial markets on a short-term duration. So the14LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into14contrast has always been between yearly interest and15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax	12	MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account	12	
15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax	13	of.	13	
15account.15what is called short interest; in other words just16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax	14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: then that can be taken into	14	contrast has always been between yearly interest and
16LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because16something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
17Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because17one's business but without having any longevity to it.18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				-
18if any institution had a wide range of instruments by18And part of that is because originally back in19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
19reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably19history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
20Lehmans.20sharing part of your income with the person who lent you21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
21MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much21the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose.22better grasp21LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
22better grasp22LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
23LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you23on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
24this Mr Gammie perhaps you are going to come to this24this provision?25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
25as part of your argument so we can postpone this the25MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax				
				-
Page 46 Page 48				
		Page 46		Page 48

^{12 (}Pages 45 to 48)

		-	
1	to the extent they give rise to interest that may be	1	then you took it into account; but the extent that
2	taxable on you or as the person entitled to it. But it	2	judgment was obtained after administration was entered
3	doesn't necessarily give rise to an obligation on the	3	into or could have been obtained after you went into
4	person who is paying the interest to withhold on account	4	administration, that was not within the contemplation of
5	of your liability.	5	paragraph 9 of this statutory scheme. I won't read it
6	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, I see. So this is all to deal	6	all, but it's summarised by Mr Justice Hildyard in
7	with withholding, is it? It matters that it's yearly	7	paragraphs 454 to 464.
8	interest because of the withholding	8	The point he was then having to consider was whether
9	MR GAMMIE: In the modern era, yes, that is essentially what	9	or not or to what extent a rate applicable to the debt
10	it's about.	10	apart from the administration could take account of
11	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So the fact that it contributes to	11	subsequent actions by the creditor following the
12	profits means it will be taxable at the end of the day,	12	administration.
13	or may be taxable at the end of the day	13	We can see that if we turn on to page 1590. He has
14	MR GAMMIE: Yes, and of course relatively short periods of	14	set out the contentions of the various parties in the
15	interest or transactions that give rise to that	15	intervening period, and as he says at paragraph 517:
16	overnight interest and the like would frequently be	16	"The central dispute is as to whether or not
17	between financial traders and the like who are or	17	(Reading to the words) purposes between on the one
18	people who are going to bring it in as part of their	18	hand the rate of interest the entitlement to which
19	business profits rather than just you and I getting	19	arises by virtue of a judgment obtained after the date
20	a bit of interest on our savings.	20	of administration and on the other hand a right of
21	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	21	interest proscribed by contract is applicable to
22	Yes, I see, thank you.	22	a contractual entitlement contingently or prospectively
23	MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lady, we sort of have gone slightly	23	available to a non-defaulting party but which has not
24	away from matters but I think not irrelevantly so.	24	been triggered prior to the date of administration and
25	We've been focusing on things I was going to come on to.	25	which cannot be(Reading to the words) without
	Page 49		Page 51
1	The one aspect I hadn't specifically looked at or in		
		1	further action by that non-defaulting party after that
1		$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	further action by that non-defaulting party after that date for example by designating an early termination
2	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or	2	date, for example by designating an early termination
2 3	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88	2 3	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate
2 3 4	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the	2 3 4	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest."
2 3 4 5	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that.	2 3 4 5	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518:
2 3 4 5 6	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the	2 3 4 5 6	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The
2 3 4 5 6 7	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4	2 3 4 5 6 7	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term (Reading to the words) and the rules relating to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term (Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration in 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration in paragraph 9 of the rules was apt to include or	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b) the existence of present right to payment on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration in paragraph 9 of the rules was apt to include or whether it was apt to include a foreign judgment rate of 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration ruler right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b) the existence of present right to payment on the fulfilment of a condition."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration in paragraph 9 of the rules was apt to include or whether it was apt to include a foreign judgment rate of interest or other statutory rate. The decision by 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b) the existence of present right to payment on the fulfilment of a condition."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration in paragraph 9 of the rules was apt to include or whether it was apt to include a foreign judgment rate of interest or other statutory rate. The decision by my Lord David Richards was that to the extent foreign	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b) the existence of present right to payment on the fulfilment of a condition."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration in paragraph 9 of the rules was apt to include or whether it was apt to include a foreign judgment rate of interest or other statutory rate. The decision by 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b) the existence of present right to payment on the fulfilment of a condition."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	relation to the past decisions was what was taken or what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration. We've talked a little bit about that. We can see that if we go, for example, to the supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can pick up his summary of what he says LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called supplemental issue 1A, which as is recorded in paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate applicable to the debt apart from the administration in paragraph 9 of the rules was apt to include or whether it was apt to include a foreign judgment rate of interest or other statutory rate. The decision by my Lord David Richards was that to the extent foreign	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	 date, for example by designating an early termination date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate of interest." Then he goes on at 518: "In my view, there is such a distinction. The distinction lies in the source of the right or entitlement and the existence or not of that source as at the date of administration. A right or entitlement which arises not because of a contractual term(Reading to the words) and the rules relating to interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." Then going on at 520: "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by contract which even if its exercise and quantification post-dates the date of administration is in existence at that date whether as a contingent or future right. I agree therefore with the administrators(Reading to the words) fail to recognise the difference between (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b) the existence of present right to payment on the fulfilment of a condition."

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

1	affirmative(Reading to the words) apart from	1	court either finding that a debt is due by and therefore
2	administration in rule 2.88, paragraph 9, will include	2	has to be accounted for, or that there is a breach of
3	in the case of a provable debt that is a close-out sum	3	trustees' duties or fiduciary obligations by directors;
4	under a contractual rate of interest that begins to	4	where we say that the relevant right to interest, that
5	accrue only after the close-out sum became due and	5	is the factor that triggers the right to interest, it's
6	payable due to action taken by the creditor after the	6	not there is no distinction, we say, between our case
7	date of administration."	7	where you are looking at a debt which has been proved
8	And that was considered in this court in its	8	which under the statutory scheme subsequently gives rise
9	decision last week, and in particular it's dealt with	9	to a right of interest because there is a surplus and
10	this is at the next tab, tab 5 in the supplemental	10	a situation where, for example, a trustee is in breach
11	bundle as item 12.	11	of trust and is found subsequently to be in breach of
12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Paragraph?	12	trust and the court orders him to make good the
13	MR GAMMIE: Paragraph 70, going through really to the end of	13	financial consequences of that together with interest.
14	the decision, because it had been suggested that there	14	In each case (a) you are looking back and (b) you
15	was a conflict between what my Lord David Richards had	15	are dealing with a situation where there is in one case
16	decided and what Mr Justice Hildyard had decided in that	16	a statutory regime, but you are looking at in other
17	subsequent decision, the conclusion being that there	17	cases an order by the court as to what should be made
18	wasn't. They each this is paragraph 75 where this	18	good.
19	court notes there was no irreconcilable or even	19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I am surprised that there isn't
20	significant tension.	20	a situation where previously the Revenue has regarded
21	So to that extent, as we can see, the terms of the	21	interest payable under the Judgments Act as yearly
22	original debts for which a proof is made can be looked	22	interest. Is there authority which illustrates that or
23	at to determine the rate of interest and including	23	not? I mean, it must have come up, mustn't it, under
24	action that is permitted under the terms of the contract	24	the Judgments Act previously?
25	which is relevant to the determination of the rate of	25	MR GAMMIE: My Lady, you would think so, I can't
	Page 53		Page 55
1			
		1	LADY HIGTIGE CLOCTED MILL ALL COLOR
1	interest, and therefore whether it is a rate greater	1	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's just a plain situation where, as
2	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act.	2	it happens, because of an appeal or something like that,
2 3	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for	2 3	it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the
2 3 4	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the	2 3 4	it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year.
2 3 4 5	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with	2 3 4 5	it happens, because of an appeal or something like that,interest has been outstanding since the date of theoriginal judgment for a year.MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case
2 3 4 5 6	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is	2 3 4 5 6	it happens, because of an appeal or something like that,interest has been outstanding since the date of theoriginal judgment for a year.MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a caseexplicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of
2 3 4 5 6 7	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest	2 3 4 5 6 7	it happens, because of an appeal or something like that,interest has been outstanding since the date of theoriginal judgment for a year.MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a caseexplicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But ofcourse the various cases there are which have considered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sin yearly are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach of trust and the financial consequences of his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach of trust and the financial consequences of his breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach of trust and the financial consequences of his breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest paid in respect of personal injuries, where again they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years, whether that's treated as yearly interest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest paid in respect of personal injuries, where again they share the common feature with the statutory scheme here, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years, whether that's treated as yearly interest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest paid in respect of personal injuries, where again they share the common feature with the statutory scheme here, but they look back over a period to determine whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years, whether that's treated as yearly interest. MR GAMMIE: I'm not aware of any authority that has had to consider it. I can obviously take instructions as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach of trust and the financial consequences of his breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest paid in respect of personal injuries, where again they share the common feature with the statutory scheme here, but they look back over a period to determine whether or not the interest payable is yearly interest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years, whether that's treated as yearly interest. MR GAMMIE: I'm not aware of any authority that has had to consider it. I can obviously take instructions as to how it is generally viewed within or dealt with within
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest paid in respect of personal injuries, where again they share the common feature with the statutory scheme here, but they look back over a period to determine whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years, whether that's treated as yearly interest. MR GAMMIE: I'm not aware of any authority that has had to consider it. I can obviously take instructions as to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. I note in particular that one of the reasons for Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the two was the fact that where you are dealing with a judgment which is obtained after the administration is entered into, the source of that particular interest that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to that judgment. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking or at least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of instances where interest has been regarded as yearly interest where it arises from a judgment of the court either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest paid in respect of personal injuries, where again they share the common feature with the statutory scheme here, but they look back over a period to determine whether or not the interest payable is yearly interest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, interest has been outstanding since the date of the original judgment for a year. MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of course the various cases there are which have considered interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the like have all LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. MR GAMMIE: said it's yearly, yes. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate cases. MR GAMMIE: No. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years, whether that's treated as yearly interest. MR GAMMIE: I'm not aware of any authority that has had to consider it. I can obviously take instructions as to how it is generally viewed within or dealt with within

1	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, it may be in line with	1	It's section 874 of the Income Tax Act 2007.
2	the cases that I mean the purpose a judgment	2	It obviously has a much greater longevity in terms of
3	should normally be paid. It may be stayed pending	3	its history in the tax provisions, but what it says now
4	an appeal but it's not like a loan which though	4	is:
5	expressed to be for six months is in fact intended to	5	"This section applies if a payment of yearly
6	last for more than a year, it's a rather different	6	interest arising in the United Kingdom is made."
7	situation. That may be why it's not come up, I don't	7	The House of Lords has previously considered or had
8	know.	8	occasion to consider what "arising in the
9	MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I'm not sure I can immediately	9	United Kingdom" means, but I don't think that is
10	help you. I can certainly make further inquiries.	10	an issue here. So:
11	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: The reason why the interest hasn't	11	"Where it is made by a company, by a Local
12	been paid here is no doubt because of all the	12	Authority, by or on behalf of a partnership of which
13	litigation.	13	a company is a member, or by any person to another
14	MR GAMMIE: Well, I	14	person whose usual place of abode is outside the
15	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Out of the surplus.	15	United Kingdom."
16	MR GAMMIE: Well, I assume this particular litigation	16	Then subsection (2):
17	especially, yes.	17	"The person by or through whom the payment is made
18	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I would think in Lehman	18	must on making the payment deduct from it a sum
19	Brothers well, for the first year of the	19	representing income tax on it at the basic rate in force
20	administration, in fact for rather longer than the first	20	for the tax year in which it is made."
21	year of the administration, there wasn't and wasn't	21	That particular rule is subject to a whole variety
22	anticipated to be a surplus. So in this case, clearly	22	of exceptions in later sections, but I don't think they
23	the litigation must have prolonged matters, but	23	need detain us here because we're just concerned on what
24	MR GAMMIE: My Lord, I think I noted somewhere I may not	24	is meant by yearly interest and the implications of
25	be able to immediately find it but I think it was	25	section 874.
	Page 57		Page 59
1	something like September 2012 and I think you recorded	1	LORD IUSTICE PATTEN: And the payer then has to account to
1	something like September 2012, and I think you recorded in one of your earlier decisions my Lord. It was	1	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: And the payer then has to account to the Revenue, does it, for the tay that's been deducted?
2	in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was	2	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted?
2 3	in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that	2 3	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact,
2 3 4	in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus.	2 3 4	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because
2 3 4 5	in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus.LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies
2 3 4 5 6	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. 	2 3 4 5 6	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they
2 3 4 5 6 7	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of the features of the interest that we're looking at. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of the features of the interest that we're looking at. I suppose the next logical point is to look at least at the tax provision under which interest or tax on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at which you are making the payment that your obligation to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of the features of the interest that we're looking at. I suppose the next logical point is to look at least at the tax provision under which interest or tax on interest has to be withheld. Just to look at it, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at which you are making the payment that your obligation to deduct arises. Interest, certainly for companies these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of the features of the interest that we're looking at. I suppose the next logical point is to look at least at the tax provision under which interest or tax on interest has to be withheld. Just to look at it, that is in authority bundle 1 at tab 34, which we can look at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at which you are making the payment that your obligation to deduct arises. Interest, certainly for companies these days of course, tends to be taxed and relieved on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of the features of the interest that we're looking at. I suppose the next logical point is to look at least at the tax provision under which interest or tax on interest has to be withheld. Just to look at it, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at which you are making the payment that your obligation to deduct arises. Interest, certainly for companies these days of course, tends to be taxed and relieved on an accruals basis, but this is always operated by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of the features of the interest that we're looking at. I suppose the next logical point is to look at least at the tax provision under which interest or tax on interest has to be withheld. Just to look at it, that is in authority bundle 1 at tab 34, which we can look at briefly and will not enlighten us to a significant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at which you are making the payment that your obligation to deduct arises. Interest, certainly for companies these days of course, tends to be taxed and relieved on an accruals basis, but this is always operated by reference to payment. And you can understand that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was about September 2012 that it started to begin that there might be a surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or not the rate under the debt in the absence of the administration would have been greater than the judgment rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of the features of the interest that we're looking at. I suppose the next logical point is to look at least at the tax provision under which interest or tax on interest has to be withheld. Just to look at it, that is in authority bundle 1 at tab 34, which we can look at briefly and will not enlighten us to a significant extent because it will just express the problem we are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so they were part of this old regime. But of course when Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments between companies, certainly if they are resident in this country, can be made without withholding but that is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. So as I've previously noted, it depends upon payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at which you are making the payment that your obligation to deduct arises. Interest, certainly for companies these days of course, tends to be taxed and relieved on an accruals basis, but this is always operated by reference to payment. And you can understand that particularly if you are thinking of payments to persons

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

1	And	1	with interest. There will only be a single payment of
2	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Are these just simply Revenue	2	interest at the end of the two-year period, but the fact
3	collection provisions? They are not intended to	3	that there is no recurrence involved in terms of the
4	I mean, because you've got to account to the Revenue for	4	number of payments you make can't affect the fact, in my
5	the tax you deduct, it's not a provision which enables	5	submission, that that's still yearly interest.
6	you to retain the tax on the basis that it's already	6	In fact, if you think about if you go back to
7	been paid out of your	7	Bebb v Bunny, there I think if you are talking about
8	MR GAMMIE: No. In their current form, they are essentially	8	interest payable on unpaid completion monies, again you
9	a collection mechanism, indeed an essential collection	9	would normally be thinking about a single payment of
10	mechanism in relation to persons abroad, because the	10	interest made at the time at which the completion monies
11	liability of a person abroad to UK tax is often limited	11	are discharged. So recurrence of payment is not,
12	to the amount that is withheld at source. So they have	12	I would say, a feature that comes into or is any
13	no further liability.	13	particular relevance in determining whether it's yearly
14	I have to say that I mean, that is as a sort of	14	interest.
15	starting point. Again, there are lots of further things	15	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Before you leave this section,
16	one could say about that, but that's the essential	16	Mr Gammie, can you just help me on subsection (5)A,what
17	function of it.	17	that is dealing with.
18	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes.	18	MR GAMMIE: "The following to be treated as (Reading to
19	MR GAMMIE: One obvious point of course on the basis that it	19	the words) made by a registered society"
20	is focusing on payment is that generally speaking,	20	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: No, forgive me, it's
21	you're not talking about a situation where you are	21	subsection (5) A.
22	having to ask yourself whether or not the obligation to	22	MR GAMMIE: I see.
23	pay interest is contingent or conditional in some way.	23	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, sorry.
24	Because if it was, you wouldn't generally be making the	24	MR GAMMIE: "For the purposes of subsection (1) (Reading
25	payment of the interest anyway.	25	to the words) payment of yearly interest irrespective
	Page 61		Page 63
1	So you are talking about I would have thought	1	of the period in which interest is paid."
2	almost every case, my learned friend may think of	2	My Lord, I am not sure that I can necessarily
3	an exception you are thinking of a case where there	3	I mean, somebody obviously thought
4	is an obligation, current and immediate obligation, to	4	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, presumably compensation
5	pay interest in respect of some debt or other obligation	5	is defined somewhere. I am just wondering what this
6	giving rise to it.	6	going to. Anyway, I am just curious about that
7	As I've already noted, frequently the payment of	7	provision, what it's dealing with. You may need to look
8	interest will be for a period of less than a year. So	8	up the relevant reference.
9	as I've already said, it can't be by reference to the	9	MR GAMMIE: My Lord, I was just trying to identify when that
10	period for which the interest is actually paid; in other	10	was introduced.
11	words, the period that it's dealing with which the	11	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I think, presumably anyway,
12	relevant identifier for yearly interest. So in most	12	I'm not sure, but
13	cases as we've discussed, you need to look at some other	13	MR GAMMIE: Over the short adjournment, my Lord, I can see
14	feature of the obligation under which the interest	14	if I can come up with an answer for you on that.
15	arises to identify whether or not it is yearly interest.	15	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's slightly yes, thank
16	As I've already said, that generally speaking	16	you.
17	involves looking to the future and, in the language	17	MR GAMMIE: Yes.
18	certainly of some of the case law, whether or not the	18	My example was a two-year loan with interest paid as
19	obligation under which the interest arises has a future	19	a single payment at the end of that and I say it's not
20	tract of time.	20	because you've paid interest on several occasions. Of
21	But it doesn't depend, in my submission, upon the	21	course you can say in the conventional manner we've
22	frequency or the recurrence of the payment of interest.	22	already talked about that the interest can be regarded
23	There's no reason why you can't borrow money, for	23	as accruing over that two-year period, even though there
24	example, for two years on the basis that when you repay	24	is no point in the two-year period until the loan is
25	your loan at the end of two years, you will repay it	25	repaid where interest can actually be immediately
	D (2		D (4
	Page 62	1	Page 64

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

I'm not saying they're identical, I'm just saying

that I see no relevant criteria of distinction between

the two which identifies one as yearly interest and

LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, Mr Gardiner may argue

Page 68

1	demanded for payment. But it can at least be regarded	1	examples in terms of how we should view the interest.
2	as accruing over the two-year period.	2	They are all examples of payment of yearly interest.
3	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, this is a case where, what,	3	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: There is a difference between the
4	like the late completion case you are talking about?	4	statutory interest example and the contingent liability
5	MR GAMMIE: Which case, my Lord?	5	to pay interest because you can say in that case if it's
6	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I don't know, I am asking you. The	6	a contractual arrangement it accrues from day-to-day or
7	point you are making relates to what sort of case are	7	month to month, or whatever, and is payable contingently
8	you talking about?	8	upon the happening of some future event. But it's still
9	MR GAMMIE: I was talking about a loan of money for	9	a term of the agreement which is subject to that
10	a two-year period where it's repayable with interest at	10	occurrence, there is that liability. Because that was
11	the end of two years.	11	the argument which was advanced unsuccessfully to
12	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: At the end of the term, yes.	12	support the Bowers v Marris argument in relation to
13	MR GAMMIE: So there is one payment of interest which occurs	13	statutory interest; namely that one way of looking at it
14	at the end. There is no point in time between the loan	14	was that there was an accrual but it was simply
15	being made and being repaid when interest can be	15	contingent on and that's been rejected.
16	demanded, but we could talk in terms of the interest	16	MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord. So I'm not saying I'm not
17	having accrued over that two-year term of the loan.	17	saying that these are identical cases because the way in
18	So my next proposition, or at least the point I make	18	which I've posed them, of course they are not. But what
19	is: does it matter if the interest does it alter the	19	is it about a situation where you have a known sum
20	character of the interest if the interest is conditional	20	that's due to be paid, and if a surplus emerges you will
21	or contingent in some way? Suppose A pays B a sum of	21	then be entitled to statutory interest under the regime
22	money but with repayment of that money subject to	22	in a situation where you have contingently the
23	a contingency. And if the contingency occurs, the	23	possibility that you may get the sum back or not plus
24	amount is repayable with interest from the day at which	24	interest in due course which means one is yearly
25	the money was provided. So at the end of the second	25	interest and the other is not.
	Page 65		Page 67
1		1	Page 67 I say there isn't any relevant distinction because
1 2	Page 65 year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how	1 2	
	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how		I say there isn't any relevant distinction because
2	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with	2	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am
2 3	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because	2 3	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've
2 3 4	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate	2 3 4	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in
2 3 4 5	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was	2 3 4 5	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if
2 3 4 5 6	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would	2 3 4 5 6	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to
2 3 4 5 6 7	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the	2 3 4 5 6 7	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and therefore the amount becomes payable.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing with a situation where the principal amount by reference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and therefore the amount becomes payable. In the statutory case of the administration, you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing with a situation where the principal amount by reference to which the statutory interest is going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and therefore the amount becomes payable. In the statutory case of the administration, you are dealing with a situation where you have proved for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing with a situation where the principal amount by reference to which the statutory interest is going to be calculated is an amount that isn't contingent at all in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and therefore the amount becomes payable. In the statutory case of the administration, you are dealing with a situation where you have proved for a debt and you have a sum you know you are going to get.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing with a situation where the principal amount by reference to which the statutory interest is going to be calculated is an amount that isn't contingent at all in the same sense because if it's a proved debt, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and therefore the amount becomes payable. In the statutory case of the administration, you are dealing with a situation where you have proved for a debt and you have a sum you know you are going to get. The only contingency is whether or not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing with a situation where the principal amount by reference to which the statutory interest is going to be calculated is an amount that isn't contingent at all in the same sense because if it's a proved debt, it's an amount which is to be repaid. It may well be that in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and therefore the amount becomes payable. In the statutory case of the administration, you are dealing with a situation where you have proved for a debt and you have a sum you know you are going to get. The only contingency is whether or not the administration generates a surplus, in which case you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with interest, does it make any difference to our view of how that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate payment over that two-year period, but there was a contingency which attached to whether or not you would get the payment back with interest at all. So both the principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any difference to the character of the interest? I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing with a situation where the principal amount by reference to which the statutory interest is going to be calculated is an amount that isn't contingent at all in the same sense because if it's a proved debt, it's an amount which is to be repaid. It may well be that in calculating the amount that is proved, you have to take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I say there isn't any relevant distinction because in both of the cases you're well, if anything, I am saying that the contingency in my example where you've paid a sum which you're only going to get back in two-years' time or at some point in the future if a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to whether you are going to get the principal and the interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case for saying that actually the interest only arises at the point at which the contingency is satisfied and therefore the amount becomes payable. In the statutory case of the administration, you are dealing with a situation where you have proved for a debt and you have a sum you know you are going to get. The only contingency is whether or not the administration generates a surplus, in which case you will get interest. I say there is no relevant

amount that has been proved under the administration is

an amount which is due to be repaid. It's just that if

it is repaid in full so that a surplus emerges, you are

I say there is no difference between any of these

Page 66

also entitled to interest.

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

24

25

other as not.

^{17 (}Pages 65 to 68)

1	that neither is yearly interest.	1	otherwise at large.
2	MR GAMMIE: I'll wait to hear Mr Gardiner and then	2	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That can't be right surely?
3	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Anyway, we'll come back and deal with	3	Because compensation is a word of very wide meaning.
4	that at 2.05. I think this clock is five minutes slow.	4	MR GAMMIE: Yes.
5	(1.02 pm)	5	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: If it's targeted in the way
6	(The short adjournment)	6 7	you describe, I would have expected the word
7	(2.05 pm)	8	"compensation" would be defined somewhere.
8 9	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, Mr Gammie. MR GAMMIE: My Lady, perhaps I could deal first with	9	MR GAMMIE: I'm told it isn't. It may be there was some
10		10	uncertainty as to how they would define the nature of
10	Lord Justice David Richards' point about subsection (5)(a) of section 874.	11	claims that were being made against banks in a way which would encompass everything.
12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, about compensation.	12	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: We'll have to think about
12	MR GAMMIE: Yes. So in the authorities bundle 1 at tab 34,	13	that.
13	the second page. The provision says:	14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It refers to a building society, does
14	"For the purposes of(Reading to the words)	15	it? I'm looking at footnote 4.
16	payable to an individual in respect of compensation [so	16	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Added by the Finance Act
17	it's interest on compensation] is to be treated as	17	yes.
18	payment of yearly interest irrespective of the period in	18	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Maybe that's
19	respect of which the interest is paid."	19	MR GAMMIE: No, I think that must relate to
20	Now the origins of this particular provision are the	20	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Is that something else?
21	claims that have been made against banks for	21	MR GAMMIE: That's footnote 4. I can't immediately see
22	PPI compensation, and I understand there were two	22	where footnote 4 is.
23	particular aspects to it. Firstly, the banks not	23	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's just after 5B.
24	knowing whether in respect of interest paid on that	24	MR GAMMIE: Of course 5B itself says the Commissioners can
25	compensation they might have an obligation to deduct,	25	make regulations providing that subsection (5)(a)
	Page 69		Page 71
1	but more importantly most of the people that they would	1	doesn't apply in the circumstances proscribed in
2	be paying interest to at the time would be used to	2	regulation. So I assume they took the view that rather
3	getting interest on their deposit accounts or any money	3	than undertake the difficult task of defining what
4	from the bank under deduction of tax so they didn't have	4	compensation was, it was easier to reserve the right to
5	to make a tax return because they received tax interest.	5	exclude things if it suddenly started hitting things it
6	So if they started receiving small amounts of	6	wasn't intended to. I'm not aware as to whether any
7	interest from which tax had not been withheld, they	7	regulations have been made.
8	would have had an obligation to report that to the	8	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes.
9	Revenue and file a tax return, and this would mean	9	MR GAMMIE: But anyway
10	a very large number of individuals who were not used to	10	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Thank you.
11	having to file a tax return would suddenly have to do	11	MR GAMMIE: The next point I should just deal with, my Lady,
12	so.	12	was your point about judgment interest. I had forgotten
13	In the light of that, the Government evidently	13	that the interest involved in a case called in Re Cooper
14	concluded that the easiest thing was to ensure that the	14	was actually judgment interest.
15	interest on the compensation was paid under deduction of	15	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Oh, right.
16	tax so the recipients could just deal with it in exactly	16	MR GAMMIE: It's in the authorities bundle 2 at tab 8, and
17	the same way as they dealt with interest on deposit	17	I think I can say this was a fairly well, perhaps
18	accounts.	18	I shouldn't say unmeritorious but it certainly did
19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	19	not look a very hopeful case. The individual concerned
20	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So compensation is defined	20	a bankrupt who had had a judgment against him in respect
21	somewhere presumably to encompass what you've described.	21	of a debt, which if you're at the report the amount
22	MR GAMMIE: Well, I think not. I'm not aware if it's	22	appears on the second page on page 551. So £3,750 or so
23	defined it's not specifically defined. If you go	23	to which interest at 4 per cent had been added for
24	back to the original enacting statute and what was said	24	effectively seven days' interest, and his argument was
25	in Parliament, it explains what it's about. But it is	25	that when they made the relevant demand, they should
	Page 70		Page 72

1	have deducted tax from the interest, so the amounts	1	character as whatever that character may be of judgment
2	stated in the particulars were wrong.	2	debt interest. It's just applying a particular rate.
3	The question was whether or not in specifying the	3	So I say the answer in this case has to be answered
4	amount that was due you should or should take any notice	4	independent of however judgment interest may or may not
5	of whether tax was to be deducted from the interest. In	5	be treated in any particular case, which we would
6	respect of that, I think the court is fairly clear that	6	obviously say will depend upon the circumstances and the
7	the creditor only needed to state the amount of the	7	length of time that the interest is payable.
8	debt, the interest and the cost, they didn't have to	8	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But there is a sort of analogy, isn't
9	deduct tax from that. Then there was the question of	9	there, between interest under the Judgments Act on
10	would tax have been deducted anyway, to which the	10	judgments because it's a sort of compulsory but
11	conclusion by the Master of the Rolls seems to have been	11	involuntary payment to which you are subjected to by
12	that seven days' interest on this judgment debt wasn't	12	statute and it may or may not go on, you may or may not
13	yearly interest, although if you look on page 554 just	13	pay your judgment debt for a year or more. So isn't
14	below the black lining, you can see he slightly defers	14	there an analogy in nature of the obligation? I mean,
15	a bit on that because he says:	15	one is a statutory obligation to pay interest on
16	"I am not personally satisfied although I desire not	16	a surplus and the other is a statutory obligation to pay
17	to(Reading to the words) unless and until he	17	interest on a judgment debt.
18	himself paid the income tax and produced evidence to	18	MR GAMMIE: Yes, one looking forward and one looking back.
19	that effect."	19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. So what's the difference in
20	Lord Justice Buckley doesn't conclude anything on	20	MR GAMMIE: Because when you look back, you can see in
21	the interest, whether it was yearly interest or	21	respect of what period this payment is made. Whereas
22	otherwise, and Lord Justice Kennedy agrees, says he's of	22	when you look forward, you are inevitably in some sense
23	the same opinion, but doesn't quite say who he is of the	23	having to speculate on what the obligation giving rise
24	same opinion with. So it's not a very satisfactory	24	to this particular payment of interest, what is its
25	I would say it doesn't cast much light on anything other	25	nature. Whereas you know looking back in the case of
	Page 73		Page 75
			1 460 + 0
1	than that if you've paid seven days' interest or seven	1	our statutory scheme, there was a proven debt at the
2	days' interest is demanded in this way, tax doesn't have	2	date of the administration and the interest is
3	to enter into the matter.	3	compensating you for the time it has taken to reach
4	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Isn't the ratio contained in	4	a point where interest can be paid out.
5	what the Master of the Rolls says at the foot of	5	You may not have accrued in the sense we've already
6	page 553 and the top of 554 and Lord Justice Buckley	6	discussed, but the fact of the matter is it is payment
7	does not differ from anything he says.	7	by reference to the time that has elapsed between the
8	MR GAMMIE: Yes. I mean, as he says, seven days' interest	8	start of the administration and the date of the surplus
9	in this particular case is not even an agreement for	9	emerging, and it is compensating for the fact that you
10	a short loan.	10	haven't been paid or hadn't been able to be paid at the
11	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I don't think it's the	11	point at which the administration started, which is the
12	seven days' interest. He says:	12	period
13	"Is there any reason for saying that the full amount	13	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is judgment debt interest, isn't
14	including the interest was not undoubtedly due"	14	it? Obviously you're looking forward and you don't know
15	I think he is talking about the judgment debt and it	15	how long the judgment debt is going to remain unpaid,
16	was due to be paid.	16	but you know what the figure is. That doesn't change,
17	MR GAMMIE: It was due to be paid, yes, indeed.	17	the capital figure remains a constant.
18	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: There was no arrangement for	18	MR GAMMIE: It would depend upon I mean, judgment debt
19	it to be outstanding for any length of time.	19	interest and whether or not it would be treated as
20	MR GAMMIE: Absolutely not, no, my Lord. I agree on that,	20	yearly interest would depend upon the particular
21	so I don't think it casts a great deal of light. Of	21	circumstances on the basis that in the vast majority of
22	course, in relation to the statutory scheme we're	22	cases, it is unlikely to continue for very long because
23	looking at under rule 2.88, all paragraph 6 of that rule	23	the judgment will be satisfied or enforced, then
24	does is to import the rate of judgment of interest on	24	normally you wouldn't expect it to be treated as yearly
25	judgment debts. It doesn't say that this has the same	25	interest.
	D 74		D 7/
	Page 74		Page 76

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But in the appeal court, the	1	a certain period, interest on it is going to be paid.
2	appeal so that's a stay of execution followed by	2	It might be a mortgage, so it might be a 6-month loan,
3	appeal it might well happen.	3	but circumstances would tell you it was going to have
4	MR GAMMIE: In which case you might well conclude that by	4	potentially a longer life. Here you just have a Local
5	the time the interest is paid, then it has acquired that	5	Authority that is entitled to call upon owners to
6	character, maybe.	6	properties to contribute to their expenditure. There
7	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So your argument that the critical	7	isn't a loan transaction and you can see that in what
8	criterion is the length of time which the period relates	8	Lord Sumner says, because certainly right at the end of
9	to or the obligation has been outstanding and not paid	9	his judgment on pages 889 to 890 at the very bottom, he
10	would happen then.	10	says:
11	MR GAMMIE: Yes. Because if the obligation is discharged	11	"I am unable to see how the words yearly interest
12	within the year, that doesn't necessarily mean it's not	12	can apply to this transaction. There is no agreement
13	yearly interest because other factors may tell you it	13	for a short or a long loan. The debt is due and
14	is. For example, if it's mortgage interest and you just	14	repayment is not enforced. Only in that sense is there
15	happen to have sold your house and repaid the mortgage	15	a loan. Truly speaking, there is simply a forbearance
16	in under a year, that wouldn't normally remove it from	16	to put in a suit the remedy for a debt. The repayment
17	the category of yearly interest, because the nature of	17	might have been enforced at any moment. The debt might
18	the transaction and the anticipated longevity when it's	18	have been paid by the debtor at any moment."
19	being paid.	19	So it's rather similar to the situation where you
20	But in other circumstances, you might well conclude	20	have a deposit account with a bank or an account with
21	that well, I'm sorry, I'm just trying to think which	21	the bank which can be drawn down at any particular point
22	way round the of course, if you are in	22	in time and it's a fluctuating balance, as it was indeed
23	a circumstance where those sorts of factors aren't at	23	a fluctuating balance in Mayor of Gateshead because the
24	play, the period of time that would have to elapse would	24	individual concerned paid certain amounts when he could
25	ordinarily have to be a year before you started asking	25	and ran up and didn't pay when he couldn't,
	Page 77		Page 79
1	yourself the question: when the interest is paid is this	1	I suppose. But in that situation, and as Lord Sumner
2	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it	2	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below
23	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period	2 3	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down:
2 3 4	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time.	2 3 4	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the
2 3 4 5	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one	2 3 4 5	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for
2 3 4 5 6	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of	2 3 4 5 6	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown
2 3 4 5 6 7	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was	2 3 4 5 6 7	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the ordinary case in which yearly interest is considered,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the touchstone is, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the ordinary case in which yearly interest is considered, and certainly at this time if you are putting your mind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the touchstone is, isn't it? MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. If you read all these cases
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the ordinary case in which yearly interest is considered, and certainly at this time if you are putting your mind back to 1914 when this case was heard, you'd think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the touchstone is, isn't it? MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. If you read all these cases through, what you start to come to appreciate is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the ordinary case in which yearly interest is considered, and certainly at this time if you are putting your mind back to 1914 when this case was heard, you'd think that most obvious cases of yearly interest would be cases of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the touchstone is, isn't it? MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. If you read all these cases through, what you start to come to appreciate is that a lot of the early cases they're not exactly doubted in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the ordinary case in which yearly interest is considered, and certainly at this time if you are putting your mind back to 1914 when this case was heard, you'd think that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the touchstone is, isn't it? MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. If you read all these cases through, what you start to come to appreciate is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it that the obligation remained outstanding for that period of time. I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one in the next divider from Cooper at 9, Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly interest in that particular case. There are particular features about that case which one can draw attention to which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of premises fronting particular streets to contribute to expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making up that street. So what you are looking at is an obligation, a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the ordinary case in which yearly interest is considered, and certainly at this time if you are putting your mind back to 1914 when this case was heard, you'd think that most obvious cases of yearly interest would be cases of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below halfway down: "Whether or not the present case(Reading to the words) it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown here." So if the Local Authority had reached a specific accommodation with the frontages that they could pay over a particular period of time, that might well have meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of yearly interest. But this was merely just a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that had been failed to be made for which they were entitled to call property owners to make and on which if they weren't made they could charge interest. But that was not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly interest on the interest that was paid. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the touchstone is, isn't it? MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. If you read all these cases through, what you start to come to appreciate is that a lot of the early cases they're not exactly doubted in

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1	essentially is I say essentially going on is	1	alternative to whether this supposing it to be interest
2	a process of looking at the particular type of the	2	was not yearly interest."
3	interest and the transaction under which the interest or	3	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Where are you reading from?
4	the obligation arises to identify whether or not it has	4	MR GAMMIE: Page 363, my Lady, and it's the second paragraph
5	that quality which calls it yearly interest.	5	with the marking. So the first question was whether or
6	But generally speaking, if it's interest that is	6	not it was interest, this amount, or whether it was
7	paid for less than a year, unless it's paid under	7	effectively a capital payment to reimburse the trust.
8	a transaction such as a mortgage which could be expected	8	It was found to be interest. The second question was
9	to have a longer life, it's frequently regarded as short	9	was it yearly interest, to which Mr Justice Finlay
10	interest. If it's paid for more than a year, then	10	refers to Bebb v Bunny and Goslings & Sharpe v Blake,
11	generally speaking it is yearly interest, unless as	11	and he says about halfway down the paragraph:
12	I say you have the type of you can look at something	12	"It is very well known that in the City of London
13	about the transaction or the obligation which suggests	13	(Reading to the words) sometimes it is a period of
14	otherwise as in Mayor of Gateshead.	14	hours, for a week or for a fortnight or a month. What
15	The three particular cases which the Revenue draw	15	was held there was that the decision in Bebb v Bunny did
16	attention to, and may be it's perhaps four cases I can	16	not apply to these banker short loans(Reading to the
17	just briefly refer you to, as supporting the idea that	17	words) to be very different subject matter from this,
18	certainly when you are looking back and computing	18	and I think it would be enough to say that in my
19	interest by reference to a sum due over a period of	19	opinion, upon this point of yearly interest of money,
20	time, even though it may not be said to be accruing in	20	this clearly is yearly interest of money and I think
20	that period of time, the first is a case called Barlow v	21	that Bebb v Bunny shows that."
21	Commissioners of Inland Revenue, which is behind tab 12	22	So that again is a point where the trustee has to
22	in the second authorities bundle, where the individual	23	reimburse his trust and pay interest.
23	concerned as a trustee decided that the trust funds	24	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Again, it's not very illuminating as
24	weren't appropriately invested so he withdrew all the	25	to what are the relevant criteria.
23	weren i appropriatery invested so ne withdrew an the	25	to what are the relevant efferta.
	Page 81		Page 83
1	funds and gave the funds to his own stockbroker to	1	MR GAMMIE: No, indeed.
2	invest in other securities and ended up losing	2	Yes, sorry. My learned friend reminded me it was
3	a considerable sum of money for the date at which this	3	an obligation under a deed effectively to reimburse the
4	all occurred. Ultimately his fellow trustees called him	4	trust. So he entered into a deed under which he agreed
5	to account and he was ordered to reimburse the trust	5	to reimburse the trust, it wasn't as such a court order
6	with the principal amount but also a sum of interest for	6	if I indicated that.
7	the period from the time at which he'd withdrawn the	7	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But Mr Justice Finlay regarded
8	monies to the time which he effectively put the trust	8	the case as really governed by the decision of this
9	back in the appropriate funds. That amounted to a sum	9	court in Barnato. We don't have Barnato in the
10	of $\pounds7,700$, and the question was whether or not that was	10	authorities bundle, but Barnato seems to have been
11	yearly interest for these purposes. The conclusion was	11	a case similar to Regal Hastings v Gulliver where there
12	that it was.	12	was a court order requiring the trustee to pay a sum
12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And that the interest period was	13	plus interest.
14	longer than a year, was it?	14	MR GAMMIE: Yes.
15	MR GAMMIE: It was about five years, I think.	15	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Should we not see Barnato
16	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	16	since it is a decision of this court?
17	MR GAMMIE: He withdrew the sums in 1923 and it was repaid	17	MR GAMMIE: We can certainly produce a copy of that,
18	in well, he was ordered to repay it in about 1930 and	18	my Lord, yes.
19	he repaid it over a period up to 1932 or so, I think.	19	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes.
20	So it was a period considerably longer than a year.	20	MR GAMMIE: The next case I was going to take you was Regal
20	We can see Mr Justice Finlay on page 363 of the	21	v Gulliver which is behind tab 14. This was a case
21	report, so the long paragraph towards the end of the	22	where the directors entered into a transaction in
23	page, he says:	23	relation
23	"That leaves only the point which also the special	24	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I suspect we're all quite
25	Commissioners decided. They decided it as an	25	familiar with the underlying facts of this case.
	Page 82		Page 84

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

1	MR GAMMIE: Thank you, my Lord.	1	individuals had entered into this transaction, the one
2	Just then to take you straight to the passages	2	who was supposed to account for the profit had only
3	they are marked in which Mr Justice Cassels deals	3	disclosed a very small part of the profit. So when the
4	with it. It's the second column on page 299 where he	4	fraudulent partner died, the other partner took action,
5	refers to the case of in Re Cooper, which we've looked	5	or co-venturer, took action against his estate to
6	at, and Gateshead v Lumsden, which he says I don't think	6	recover the unaccounted for profits and was awarded
7	either of the cases are very helpful, and he says:	7	an amount of interest in respect of those unaccounted
8	"I have to deal with the facts of this case that the	8	profits. That was some £10,000 of interest, and that
9	House of Lords held in 1942 that the	9	was in the exercise of the judge's discretion to award
10	defendants/directors are to be treated as having had	10	interest under section 3 of the Law Reform
11	each of them since 1935 the sum of 1,402 in trust for	11	(Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1934. That appears in
12	the plaintiffs and the directors must be taken to have	12	the middle of the page 391.
13	invested it at the moment they received it and therefore	13	The main question in issue in Riches v Westminster
14	must pay interest from that moment in time (Reading	14	Bank was whether this was actually compensation or
15	to the words) or rule 21 at what rate tax should be	15	a damages sum, or whether it was actually interest in
16	deductible, rule 19~"	16	respect of which tax should be paid, and the
17	Then he sets that out, and just the final bit which	17	House of Lords concludes quite forcefully that it is
18	is sidelined where he notes in the second column on	18	interest, not capital damages or anything of that ilk.
19	page 300 that this was a case of interest which nobody	19	They don't specifically discuss the issue of whether or
20	knew would be payable and a rate which was unknown until	20	not it was yearly interest, but one can note on
21	the House of Lords gave its decision and indicated the	21	page 396
22	rate:	22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Before you get there, on page 392,
23	"I do not think the amount of interest became due	23	there's a very interesting historic description by
24	until the date of that decision. Further, I think there	24	Mr Grant for the appellant about the origins of
25	was a certain amount of force in the argument	25	interest. I don't think any of that is relevant, but it
	Page 85		Page 87
	I age 05		1 age 07
1	(Reading to the words) became due it had to be	1	is quite interesting, "the Aristotelian view of the
2	paid by the defendants and if it came out of the	2	barrenness of money".
3	defendant's profits or gains, those profits or gains had	3	MR GAMMIE: I see that, my Lady. Of course, one has to
4	been subject to tax at 10 shillings in the pound,	4	remember his submissions were being made for the
5	certainly were entitled to deduct and retain the tax."	5	taxpayer on the basis that this payment of £10,000 was
6	Again, I say not especially analytic in terms of	6	not interest or was not taxable as interest.
7	what is or isn't yearly interest, save that of course	7	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.
8	one notes that one is looking back and seeing interest	8	MR GAMMIE: Anyway
9	is being paid on a sum of money calculated over six and	9	One can actually see, if you are looking at the
10	a half years and that sum of money would not have been	10	arguments put, that Donovan KC on page 394, he has
11	known to be due and in fact only became due when the	11	a very pithy reply to all these arguments that this is
12	House of Lords gave their judgment.	12	not taxable as interest. He just says:
13	The next tab is Riches at 15 which concerned	13	"The Act of 1934 by section 3 subsection (1)
14	interest awarded under the Law Reform (Miscellaneous	14	(Reading to the words) accordingly the award made
15	Provisions) Act 1934 in relation to a transaction where	15	thereunder is this case must be interest."
16	the taxpayer concerned had entered into a deal with	16	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.
17	another party on the basis that they'd share the	17	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But the appellant's argument was
18	profits. The other party, having made a profit of	18	that I mean, as we can see from the argument and
19	£93,500-odd effectively told his co-venturer that he'd	19	indeed the judgments you are about to come to was
20	only made about 21,000	20	that it was really in the nature of compensation or
21	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Which tab is this?	21	damages.
22	MR GAMMIE: Apologies, my Lord. It's tab 15.	22	MR GAMMIE: Yes, indeed, my Lord. And the court says no,
23	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 15, yes.	23	it's interest. It doesn't specifically talk in terms of
24	MR GAMMIE: If you look on page 391, effectively that just	24	whether it is yearly interest or
25	gives you the context I was referring to: the two	25	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But did it have to be yearly interest
	Page 86		D 00
		1	Page 88

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

1	in order to be deducted under rule 21 of the Schedules	1	MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, absolutely.
2	Rules?	2	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You are not saying there is.
3	MR GAMMIE: No, but if you look at what Viscount Simon says	3	MR GAMMIE: I'm not suggesting there is. It was just that
4	on page 396, he says:	4	the reference by Viscount Simon to deducting and
5	"Included in the total sum for which judgment is	5	retaining is, I think but my learned friend may want
6	given is interest of money within the meaning of	6	to correct me I thought that that would only apply if
7	schedule D of the Income Tax Act 1918. If it is, the	7	it was a yearly interest.
8	respondent when paying the judgment debt is entitled to	8	Because the obligation to deduct an account for tax
9	deduct income tax on the amount of the award of interest	9	on interest, on all interest, as opposed to yearly
10	10,000 and the appellant must allow such deduction upon	10	interest.
11	receipt of the balance."	11	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I think you had better check that.
12	That is only if it's yearly interest.	12	MR GAMMIE: I can check that.
13	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: No.	13	The final case
14	MR GAMMIE: Under rule 19, it is, of the Schedules Rules.	14	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Interestingly enough at 398,
15	We can see rule	15	Viscount Simon deals with the further argument this
16	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just let me read this.	16	is in the sideline passage about accretions, which
17	MR GAMMIE: I think	17	you might say is really talking about accruals. I mean,
18	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: The issue is whether it's interest or	18	the other argument seems to be:
19	not, but where do we get on to whether it's yearly?	19	"It could not be interest because they only came
20	MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady. As I said, the discussion in this	20	into existence(Reading to the words) under the
21	is whether or not it is interest or whether it's	21	order."
22	compensation.	22	So it was different from interest on judgment debts,
23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	23	which under the terms of the order were accrued for
24	MR GAMMIE: The only point I was drawing attention to was	24	want of a better word over the period of time from
25	what Viscount Simon says when he refers to:	25	the date of the judgment until payment. Here, one was
	Page 89		Page 91
1	" entitled to deduct income tax on the	1	awarding a back normant having report to the period of
2	(Reading to the words) deduction on receipt or if	2	awarding a back payment having regard to the period of time between the liability and the judgment.
3	it is not made out of profits brought into charge"	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, that's correct. And that comes
4	In which case, they must account for interest.	4	out of the facts on page 391 because the judgment was
5	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why does that help you?	5	given in the the transaction originally occurred back
6	MR GAMMIE: I think my learned friend may correct me, but	6	in 1936 and the judgment was given in 1943, so the
7	I thought that in relation to the first of those it	7	$\pounds 10,000$ of interest was what effectively represented the
8	would only be if it was yearly interest. But maybe my	8	interest from 1936 to 1943.
9	learned friend will put me right about that.	9	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: He says it's the accumulated fruit of
10	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, the relevant section should be	10	a tree which the tree produces regularly until payment.
11	around in the facts, shouldn't it?	11	Is that different from statutory interest?
12	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The question seems to have	12	MR GAMMIE: Well, it is interest paid pursuant to
13	been whether it was "interest of money", rather than	13	a statutory provision and at the discretion of the judge
14	yearly interest.	14	who
15	MR GAMMIE: Yes, yes, indeed, my Lord. It is in fact in the	15	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I mean, it's very similar in the sense
16	later decision of Lord Denning in Jefford v Gee. He	16	it is I know it's discretionary but it is the
17	certainly refers to it as having been yearly interest.	17	payment of an additional sum by way of compensation for
18	But in terms of the actual decision, it doesn't	18	the passage of time, in time value of the money
19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: If you look at the bottom of	19	MR GAMMIE: Indeed.
20	page 391, the deduction was made under rule 21 of the	20	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: under a statutory power.
21	All Schedules Rules of the Income Tax Act 1918. So we'd	21	MR GAMMIE: Yes. And in so I mean as there is no if
22	need to look at that to see whether there was any	22	it were argued in this case that the interest paid
22	requirement as to yearly, wouldn't we?	23	because a surplus has emerged is not interest in truth
24	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: There's no reference to yearly	24	and taxable as such, then I say Riches would be
25	interest that I've spotted.	25	fairly pretty conclusive authority to the contrary.
	Page 90		Page 92

1	Of course, they didn't address the question in Riches as	1	actually taxable well, certainly taxable then when
2	to whether in fact when the interest was paid it would	2	received for one year, which has been the usual when
3	be paid under deduction of tax as yearly interest or	3	I say usual principle, it has certainly been a principle
4	whether it could be paid gross.	4	that's operated for many years for this type of receipt;
5	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, the point was that the	5	indeed, I think it still operates now if somebody
6	judgment debtor was entitled to deduct interest by tax	6	receives interest covering a longer period in a single
7	but wasn't obliged to. He evidently exercised that	7	year.
8	statutory right and was held entitled to do so.	8	Then he goes on to say about:
9	MR GAMMIE: Yes. And as I say, my Lord, if I'm wrong about	9	"There are special statutory provisions about
10	this, I'm sure my learned friend or I will put it right.	10	deducting tax(Reading to the words) we do not
11	But my understanding was that the only circumstances in	11	think the courts when awarding interest should get
12	which you could deduct and retain would be if it was	12	involved in such questions."
13	yearly interest.	13	Of course he says that because the Finance Act 1969
14	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I see.	14	amended the manner in which interest, particularly
15	MR GAMMIE: As I say, we'll get to the bottom of that before	15	interest paid by individuals, was dealt with. So prior
16	the end of the hearing.	16	to 1969, generally speaking, you effectively got relief
17	So the final case to take you to is Jefford v Gee.	17	on interest if it was yearly interest because you could
18	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Where are you going now?	18	deduct and retain. Following 1969, you could only get
19	MR GAMMIE: Jefford v Gee, my Lady, tab 19.	19	relief for interest if it was applied for certain
20	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	20	purposes; for example, to buy your principal private
21	MR GAMMIE: This was interest for damages for personal	21	residence. The rules for interest having initially been
22	injury which again was awarded under section 3 of the	22	changed by the Labour Government in 1969 were further
23	Law Reform (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1934, although	23	amended by the Conservative Government in 1972 before
24	the Administration of Justice Act 1969 had provided	24	I think we sort of settled down for a slightly longer
25	a compulsory power to award interest on damages in	25	period when things didn't change, but mortgage interest
	Page 93		Page 95
1	normanal inium accord but only these desided offer	1	relief schemes drew out of that. But I don't think any
2	personal injury cases, but only those decided after	1	Tener schemes diew out of that. But I don't think any
	1 January 1970 This was a case which I think just	2	-
	1 January 1970. This was a case which I think just	2	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the
3	shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about	3	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner
3 4	shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these	3 4	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments
3 4 5	shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions.	3 4 5	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather
3 4 5 6	shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report,	3 4 5 6	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly
3 4 5 6 7	shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says:	3 4 5 6 7	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise.
3 4 5 6 7 8	shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969	3 4 5 6 7 8	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. MR GAMMIE: No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." And he cites Riches. We've seen that Riches didn't 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. MR GAMMIE: No. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So the point we're wrestling
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969(Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." And he cites Riches. We've seen that Riches didn't include any discussion of that, other than the point I've mentioned about whether or not deducting and 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. MR GAMMIE: No. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So the point we're wrestling with was not in contention in that case.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." And he cites Riches. We've seen that Riches didn't include any discussion of that, other than the point 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. MR GAMMIE: No. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So the point we're wrestling with was not in contention in that case. MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, and I quite accept that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969(Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." And he cites Riches. We've seen that Riches didn't include any discussion of that, other than the point I've mentioned about whether or not deducting and retaining was only available for yearly interest. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. MR GAMMIE: No. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So the point we're wrestling with was not in contention in that case. MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, and I quite accept that. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's not what you would call a tax case is it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." And he cites Riches. We've seen that Riches didn't include any discussion of that, other than the point I've mentioned about whether or not deducting and retaining was only available for yearly interest. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. MR GAMMIE: No. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So the point we're wrestling with was not in contention in that case. MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, and I quite accept that. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's not what you would call
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about the power of the court to award interest under these provisions. As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, it says: "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 (Reading to the words) these will be governed of course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles which the court should apply in awarding interest." So they're mainly concerned with that. But Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address tax and as he says there: "When the court awards interest on debt or damages for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to tax because it is yearly interest of money." And he cites Riches. We've seen that Riches didn't include any discussion of that, other than the point I've mentioned about whether or not deducting and retaining was only available for yearly interest. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GAMMIE: Then he goes on to point out that even though 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner in which individuals got relief for interest payments themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather than the basic question of whether interest was yearly or otherwise. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to have been any dispute between the parties on the tax treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as well, he doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, and I quite accept that. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's not what you would call a tax case is it? MR GAMMIE: No, indeed, they were not principally concerned

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street London EC4A 2DY

1	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. MR GAMMIE: even though it has that passing reference.		there. That doesn't determine whether or not the
2		2	degree of recurrence in payment certainly isn't of any
3	Insofar as these cases indicate anything, it's	3	particular significance to the question. But the period
4	certainly the case that when one is looking back over	4	in which the amount, the obligation, is outstanding, is
5	a period	5	obviously one of the key if not the main feature when
6	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am not saying the same about	6	you are looking back to decide whether or not the tax
7	Regal Hastings and the other cases. They are directly	7	should be deducted from the interest.
8	addressing this question. Just Jefford v Gee doesn't	8	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right.
9	take us very far, I don't think.	9	MR GAMMIE: My Lady, unless I can help you further, I think
10	MR GAMMIE: Yes, I would accept that, my Lord.	10	I've probably covered everything.
11	So those are the particular cases, the authorities	11	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Those are all the cases that you want
12	that we have in particular which are looking, as I would	12	to refer to?
13	say, in the same type of situation that we find	13	MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady.
14	ourselves in with this statutory scheme of interest. In	14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Thank you very much indeed,
15	other words, where you have a sum of money, the debt	15	Mr Gammie. Yes, Mr Gardiner.
16	that is proved at the point at which the company enters	16	Submissions by MR GARDINER
17	into administration, where interest is paid by reference	17	MR GARDINER: My Lady, I would like to start if I might by
18	to the period that has elapsed between that sum becoming	18	making the point which is perhaps at the heart of our
19	due and it being repaid, and the interest compensating	19	submissions, and in the course of that I will try and
20	for the delay that has occurred in that payment.	20	deal with what my learned friend has said so far today.
21	And in a situation such as we are looking at here	21	The first and obvious point is that we support the
22	where that period stretches over a number of years, we	22	judgment below. We say it's right for the right
23	say that that ensures that the interest has the	23	reasons. I won't elaborate on that, and obviously my
24	character of yearly interest. It doesn't the fact	24	Lady and your Lordships have read it.
25	that it doesn't accrue in one particular sense of the	25	The learned judge, Mr Justice Hildyard, sets out his
	Page 97		Page 99
1	word of accruing over the period in which the assets are	1	analysis at paragraphs 56 to 63 and I'll come back to
2	being got together and the creditors are being paid off	2	that if I might later. Prior to that, he set out
3	in respect of their proven debts, I say that does not	3	a summary of our submissions at paragraphs 27 to 34.
4	deny the interest the character of yearly interest	4	Since I draw particular attention to the submissions
5	because it's still interest which is calculated over	5	that he records at 34 (1), (2) and (3), could I just
6	a period of time which exceeds by some large margin	6	remind you of those three because they do lie at the
7	a year, and one can see that looking back.	7	heart of our submissions.
8	It's not a case where you are having to look at	8	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, certainly.
9	a payment of interest and having to discern by reference	9	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: What are we looking at?
10	to the future whether or not this is the type of	10	MR GARDINER: The judgment, paragraph 34, (1), (2) and (3).
11	interest from which tax should be deducted and accounted	11	He has referred to all the authorities we referred
12	for. And most of the other cases are concerned with	12	to before him and he summarises here. So he says in
12	that forward-looking problem as to what it is.	13	paragraph 34:
13	It doesn't mean that there are different criteria	14	"Drawing these criteria together(Reading to the
14	that are applying to decide whether or not the interest	15	words) since statutory interest does not accrue from
16	is yearly interest. It's merely that one can see the	16	day-to-day and is not payable from year year so there is
10	criterion that stamps it with that quality when you are	17	no period of accrual and no interest is payable unless
17	looking back which you can't necessarily immediately	18	and until a surplus has been ascertained following
18	identify in every case looking forward because you have	19	payment of the debts proved in full, it does not have
	to ask yourself other questions about the obligation	20	the quality of yearly interest.
20		20	
21	under which the interest is arising and is being paid	21	 The interest payable" LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just stopping there.
22	and therefore whether or not that stamps the interest as		MR GARDINER: Yes.
23	yearly interest.	23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: "Statutory interest not accruing from
24 25	But the fact that the payment is only made once, if it is in fact made once, is we say neither here nor	24 25	day-to-day".
	Page 98	1	Page 100

		-	
1	MR GARDINER: Yes.	1	necessarily mean it's accruing.
2	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I know what Mr Justice David Richards	2	MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lady.
3	decided below and what we and the Court of Appeal	3	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: The fact that there is a possibility
4	endorsed in respect of that in relation to non-accrual.	4	of a surplus and that if there is you will have a right
5	But just remind me why you say it doesn't accrue in any	5	to be paid interest out of it is presumably why my Lord
6	sense from day-to-day.	6	and why we agreed that there was no accrual.
7	MR GARDINER: It's Mr Justice David Richards's analysis in	7	MR GARDINER: That's right, and I mean you don't accrue for
8	paragraphs 149 and 154.	8	a mere possibility in the future. This word "accrue" is
9	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	9	actually really quite important in the sense
10	MR GARDINER: It doesn't accrue for this reason: as at the	10	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It has been taken out of the statute.
11	start of the administration, there is no right to	11	MR GARDINER: Your Ladyship is absolutely right. It was
12	payment of interest at that particular moment of time or	12	taken out of the statute in 2007. Prior to that
13	in the future. You only accrue a future right if you've	13	I have been a tax lawyer all my professional life,
14	got a future right. So if as at the date of the	14	I live with this terminology you can start with the
15	administration you have a proved debt of 100 and	15	1803 Addington's Act and you can look at all the
16	let's assume for the moment that there's judgment rate	16	schedules, and in particular at schedule D, and it
17	debt of 8 per cent as at day 1, day 2 and day 3,	17	always start on the basis of income arising or accruing.
18	there is no increase in the value of your rights by	18	Those were the words that were there for two centuries
19	reference to interest because there is no right at that	19	and that's why judges talk in the terms of accruing, and
20	stage to the payment of interest in the future.	20	we talk as a matter of English "with interest accruing".
21	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Because there's no surplus.	21	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But the the removal of
22	MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lady, yes. Because there's	22	"accruing" was part of the rewrite, so presumably the
23	no surplus, there is no right. If the situation were	23	view was formed that in this context, accruing was
24	that as at the date of the commencement of the	24	synonymous with arising, whereas the word accruing can
25	administration the statute said, "and there shall be	25	have a different connotation. There is a danger of sort
	Page 101		Page 103
1	paid 8 per cent on the proved debts", then interest	1	of sliding between meanings here.
2	could accrue, because there is a specific and absolute	2	MR GARDINER: Yes.
3	right from that moment to interest in the future and you	3	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: We talk about interest
4	can see it growing day-by-day.	4	accruing over a period, but it's used as arising or
5	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Just repeat that for me, would	5	accruing. I'm not convinced the word accruing is
6	you mind?	6	necessarily being used or was being used in the sense of
7	MR GARDINER: If there were an absolute right to the payment	7	accruing over a period, but I appreciate it's your
8	of interest in the future, say in six months' time or	8	submission that it is. But that's in a sense the big
9	a year's time or whatever	9	question here.
10	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, I see.	10	MR GARDINER: It may be we come down to at the end of the
11	MR GARDINER: then you could say from every single day	11	day, my Lord. It's actually the final paragraph of our
12	I've got a little bit of 8 per cent accruing day-by-day.	12	appendix to our skeleton, which is the very point
13	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, I follow.	13	your Lordship is putting to me at the moment. Perhaps
14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But why isn't there I see that	14	I could just remind you of that by reading it out.
15	obviously why isn't there a contingent right?	15	Paragraph 17.
16	MR GARDINER: Because there isn't for the reason my Lord	16	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We've read it. You don't need to
17	said at paragraph 154. There's simply no right.	17	read it out.
18	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You might say in one sense	18	MR GARDINER: I'm grateful, my Lady.
19	there's a contingent right perhaps there is	19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: In a way arising is more supporting
20	a contingent right but because it is contingent,	20	your position, because accruing you can have the idea of
21	nothing is accruing. I think that was perhaps the	21	notional accrual, whereas arising it's a bit more
22	point.	22	difficult to think in terms of notional for my part
23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It seems to me there is at least the	23	of notional arising.
24	possibility of a future right which could be	24	MR GARDINER: I would accept that. The only point I am
25	characterised as a contingent right, but that doesn't	25	making is perhaps in answer to my Lord
	Decc 102		Dags 104
	Page 102		Page 104

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

1	Lord Justice David Richards's first point. The whole	1	"accruing" historically only used in connection with
2	point of the tax law rewrite was to simplify the	2	yearly interest? I suspect the answer is no.
3	language. It wasn't to actually change the basis of the	3	MR GARDINER: No. The answer to your Lordship's question is
4	(inaudible).	4	schedule D from 1805 brought in to charge interest that
5	I think what one can say is they are using the terms	5	wasn't yearly interest.
6	arising on the basis that will cover everything. But	6	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes.
7	I don't want to go into greater issues than that, but	7	MR GARDINER: So yearly interest and non-yearly interest
8	accruals as a basis is something we still use as	8	were charged.
9	a matter of accounting, accruals basis of accounting.	9	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So all species of interest for tax
10	I suspect all those speaking in this particular court	10	purposes are treated as accruing.
11	when practising at the bar probably dealt with their tax	11	MR GARDINER: Well, I find the difficulty of this particular
12	affairs on a cash basis, but then we all moved over	12	interest because it doesn't accrue over time
13	to	13	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Never mind about this particular, I am
14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: An accrual.	14	just trying to identify the animal we're talking about
15	MR GARDINER: accounts arising on an accruals basis.	15	more generally than that.
16	I have to accrue in respect of the work I do. Whether	16	I mean, the essence of your case as accepted by the
17	I receive money or not, I have to accrue, I have to take	17	judge is point 1 in paragraph 34: statutory interest
18	into account the increased value that I have in that	18	doesn't accrue from day-to-day, not payable from year to
19	particular year.	19	year. So if one looks at that if that's good, then
20	Now that's what all this is about and it explains	20	I would assume it applies to all types of interest
21	why the deduction of tax at source was applied to yearly	21	because all types of interest for tax purposes are, for
22	interest and is still only applied to yearly interest.	22	the reasons I've just put to you, treated under the
23	Deduction of tax at source was applied to yearly	23	statute as accruing or arising.
24	interest from 1803 and applied only to yearly interest	24	MR GARDINER: Well, look perhaps I can see where
25	for 85 years. I'll come on to the other forms of	25	your Lordship is making the point. What I would say is
	-		
	Page 105		Page 107
1	deduction of tax at source.	1	that the animal to which deduction of tax at source was
2	From 1969, it now only applies to yearly interest.	2	aimed was something which had a continuum over a period
3	And the point of that is this: they were looking at	3	of time, and that's why deduction of tax at source was
4	a species of investment instrument that's what all	4	thought appropriate. If it doesn't accrue over time,
5	these cases are talking about that has some kind of	5	then it doesn't really have that quality.
6	permanence, is going on, is going into the future. So	6	Your Lordship may well be right; to be brought
7	for example, as my learned friend said, you will get	7	within the charge there may have been an argument that
8	payments of interest perhaps quarterly or monthly or	8	if something which is a one-off doesn't necessarily
9	six-monthly or yearly or whatever, but it's looking at	9	accrue, it might just arise.
10	something flowing forward that you then deduct tax when	10	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: All I am trying to get clear,
11	payments are made from time to time in respect of income	11	Mr Gardiner, is whether this argument the judge accepted
12	which is accruing throughout that period.	12	that statutory interest has no period of accrual doesn't
13	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I think I would be grateful for	13	accrue from day-to-day, and so on and so forth,
14	a list of the indicia which you say demonstrates	14	disqualified it from being interest or disqualified it
15	something is yearly interest. What are we looking at?	15	from being yearly interest.
16	MR GARDINER: You are looking at something of some	16	MR GARDINER: It disqualifies it from being yearly interest,
17	permanence in the nature of an investment which is	17	my Lord.
18	intended to go forward.	18	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Why doesn't it also disqualify it from
19	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I mean, in one sense, all interest,	19	being interest?
20	all species of interest accrue.	20	MR GARDINER: Because it is something which arises but it
21	MR GARDINER: I find it quite difficult to answer	21	doesn't accrue.
22	your Lordship's question, in a sense. In the ordinary	22	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well
23	case, yes, but what we have here is something which is	23	MR GARDINER: It arises because the statute says you've got
24	declared by Parliament to be interest.	24	to pay it and it arises on the date on which there is a
25	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes, but are the words "arising" and	25	determination of the surplus.
-	,		L.
	Page 106		Page 108

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

1	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But if the statute is using that	1	questions because that would be fine if for tax purposes
2	language of "arising" to replace "arising or accruing",	2	you could never have yearly interest at all if what you
3	it's treating interest of all kinds as accruing and then	3	were dealing with was an award of interest so called
4	the deductions only apply if it's yearly interest.	4	retrospectively for a past period under circumstances
5	MR GARDINER: My Lord, as I read it, the "arising" is	5	where there was no right to the interest at the
6	a wider word than "accruing". It therefore encompasses	6	beginning of that period. But it's pretty clear from
7	interest which accrues and interest which arises. The	7	the Riches case that that is not an obstacle to it being
8	interest here arises because it's created by the statute	8	interest, whatever may be the position about yearly
9	and the surplus has arisen, so it's arising. But what	9	interest.
10	I am saying is that historically every single case which	10	MR GARDINER: Well, yes, but that case was simply about
11	has actually held that the deduction at source for	11	whether it's interest or not.
12	yearly interest applies has been a situation where the	12	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes, but if it's interest, you've
13	courts have identified something of some permanence,	13	already got to the situation in this case where the
14	some longevity going on in the nature I mean, it's	14	statutory interest which is awarded on the same basis
15	Garston, Mr Justice Rowlatt says of an investment or	15	retrospectively is interest within the meaning of
16	something of that nature. That must mean it's	16	section 874.
17	an instrument which gives rise to growing interest over	17	MR GARDINER: But the difference with Riches, Regal Hastings
18	the year or years of assessment.	18	v Gulliver and Barlow are that they are completely
19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Whereas here it could have been paid,	19	misleading cases.
20	theoretically at any rate, on the date of the	20	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why?
21	ascertainment of the surplus.	21	MR GARDINER: For this reason, my Lady; if I take them in
22	MR GARDINER: Theoretically, yes.	22	the order in which they
23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: With no intention going forward that	23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: From my part, you've got to explain
24	these monies should be left outstanding.	24	why Regal Hastings isn't analogous.
25	MR GARDINER: It's simply a calculation of the past and if	25	MR GARDINER: Can I start with Barlow because it's easier to
	Page 109		Page 111
1	this was a small administration and whatever, the funds	1	understand Regal Hastings if I deal with Barlow first.
2	were there, they ascertain the surplus and pay out	2	In 1923, Mr Barlow committed a breach of trust.
3	perhaps to one creditor on that day. It's simply	3	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What tab are we?
4	a one-off calculation. It's got no tract of future	4	MR GARDINER: Barlow is at I'm sorry, yes, perhaps we'd
5	time, it's got nothing of permanence of something	5	better look at it tab 12. In 1923, the appellant
6	growing	6	if you look at the headnote:
7	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What do you mean tract of future	7	"One of the trustees of certain settlements which
8	time?	8	had been(Reading to the words) the proceeds in
9	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the Scottish quote, isn't	9	his own name in unauthorised securities which
10	it?	10	subsequently fell in value."
11	MR GARDINER: Yes, it's Scottish North American Trust v	11	If I just pause there. That was a breach of trust.
12	Farmer, Lord Johnston. The tract of future time, as	12	As at that moment, he was obliged to repay the value
13	I apprehend what he is saying, is that you've got to	13	that he had taken out of the trust fund and on the
14	find something that is ongoing, it's going forward	14	authorities to treat it as an investment at a rate of
15	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes, that's to deal with cases where	15	interest on that investment at 5 per cent. That's
16	at the date of payment you don't know how long it's	16	a case the judge refers to called Vyse v Foster, a very
17	going to go on for, so you've got to find some way of	17	famous case, also in our bundle of authorities.
18	distinguishing between short-term arrangements and	18	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: He was obliged to repay and
19	long-term ones.	19	treat it as
20	MR GARDINER: Well, yes, but what I am saying is that every	20	MR GARDINER: An investment carrying interest at 5 per cent
21	single case that has actually dealt with the question of	21	from 1923.
22	yearly interest has actually relied on or that kind	22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But in fact the obligation came under
23	of concept of some kind of permanence, investment	23	the deed which he'd entered into with the trustees to
24	(inaudible) all that kind of stuff, tract for future	24	repay it.
25	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But you know why I'm asking these	25	MR GARDINER: My Lady, no.
	Page 110		Page 112

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

1	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right. I am just looking at the	1	MR GARDINER: Could we look very briefly at the case of
2	headnote.	2	Vyse v Foster at tab 2 it's actually referred to by
3	MR GARDINER: The deed correctly recites the fact that he	3	Mr Justice Finlay in this case. It's a very well known
4	was already liable to repay it.	4	passage, behind tab 2, Vyse v Foster, Lord Justice James
5	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right, okay.	5	giving the judgment of the Court of Appeal in 1873,
6	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You say that from 1923	6	I think. The passage is at the top of page 7 in the
7	onwards is this right? interest was accruing at	7	report, and the third line down towards the right-hand
8	the rate of 5 per cent.	8	side of the page says:
9	MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes.	9	"If an executor commits a breach of trust he and all
10	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But the beneficiaries had election.	10	those who are accomplices(Reading to the words)
11	They were not required beneficiaries have a choice of	11	made to appear what profits are attributable to such
12	remedy. They don't have to take the capital sum plus	12	employment, he must account for trade interest, that is
13	interest at 5 per cent. If there had been profits, they	13	to say interest at 5 per cent."
14	could have taken the profits.	14	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That actually does make the
15	MR GARDINER: I think it comes back, yes, to what	15	point I was making that the beneficiaries have a choice.
16	Lord Justice James says in the case of Vyse v Foster.	16	MR GARDINER: Yes.
17	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: They have a choice.	17	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: How does the trustee know that
18	MR GARDINER: But insofar as they get interest, they have	18	he is how can it be said that interest is accruing at
19	a right from the date of the breach of trust in 1923 to	19	a particular rate if the trustee doesn't know what
20	have the principal sum back and interest accruing on	20	remedy the beneficiaries will elect?
21	that principal sum from 1923.	21	MR GARDINER: Because the trustee or the person in the
22	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: At what rate?	22	position of a trustee knows he's got a liability at
23	MR GARDINER: It's at 5 per cent.	23	either 5 per cent or some other figure.
24	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The law permitted no other	24	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Some other figure might be the
25	rate?	25	profits he makes.
	Page 113		Page 115
1	MR GARDINER: Well, that's what Lord Justice James says in	1	MR GARDINER: The other figure might be more than 5 per cent
2	the case of Vyse v Foster.	2	and therefore he would assume that the beneficiaries
3	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But the rate of interest at	3	will go for the higher figure. But he must know that at
4	which equity is awarded for breach of interest has	4	the minimum he's got a liability of 5 per cent.
5	varied significantly over the years. The trustee	5	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But if his liability is going
6	wouldn't know during the period of his default what rate	6	to be to account for profits, no interest has accrued at
7	of interest he is going to have to pay.	7	all.
8	MR GARDINER: My point is this simply this: there was	8	MR GARDINER: Well
9	an amount of principal owed because of the breach of	9	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: What the Chancery court would
10	trust and interest was accruing on it.	10	do, they might award interest on the profits from the
11	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You say it was accruing but	11	time the trustee earned them. But that would be a quite
12	I'm asking you at what rate? You say 5 per cent and	12	different rate of accrual. I am having some difficulty
12	I am asking jou at what rate? Fou say 5 per cent and I am asking is that right? Is that figure set in stone	13	in this explanation of Regal Hastings and the earlier
13	as in the Judgments Act? Because I think there are	14	case, as you'll see.
15	a series of cases in which the courts have discussed and	15	MR GARDINER: I think the two cases of Barlow and Regal
16	reached decisions as to the appropriate rate of interest	16	Hastings are in the like position. That's why I was
10	to award in respect of a claim for breach of trust, and	17	starting with Barlow, because in Regal Hastings you've
18	it's I thought actually for many years it was	18	got a situation where they invested in the company, they
19	4 per cent, but it certainly in the last 30 years or so	19	then made profits from the sale of the shares in the
20	has not been at that rate, and a number of different	20	company and then it was a question that they had
20	rates have been used, depending on economic	20	a liability to make good to the original shareholders
21	circumstances.	21	those profits with interest on it.
22	So I'm finding this quite difficult that the trustee	22	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes.
23 24	would know during the period of his default the interest	23	MR GARDINER: Yes. What I would say is if one is looking
24 25	that he's liable to pay.	24	and talking in terms of accruals, if and insofar as one
	· ··· ··· · · ··· · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Page 114	1	Page 116

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

1	has interest, one is looking at interest which has been	1	compound, you don't know what the rests are. It's quite
2	accruing on a principal sum from the outset.	2	an odd situation to say that interest is nonetheless
3	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But I mean, I come back to	3	accruing.
4	this 5 per cent. This was trade interest I think, but	4	MR GARDINER: My Lord, I can see the point you are making,
5	if it weren't trade interest, the general rate was	5	if I try and answer it in this way: as at the date of
6	4 per cent. But those rates have changed.	6	the breach of trust, a liability is incurred. That is
7	MR GARDINER: Mm-hm.	7	a situation at the outset. That is completely different
8	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: As I say, there are a number	8	to the situation here, because here a liability is not
9	of authorities over the last 30 or so years where this	9	incurred at the outset at the beginning of the period of
10	has been discussed. So how can you say that interest is	10	calculation. The only liability that is ever incurred
11	accruing over a period when you don't know what the rate	11	is after the repayment of the principal, is
12	of interest is?	12	an ex post facto calculation in respect of the past. So
13	MR GARDINER: I would say that interest is accruing and even	13	the only liability arises after the repayment of the
14	though you don't know the rate, you know interest is	14	principal.
15	accruing.	15	Therefore, you can't say there is anything accruing
16	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: What does it mean that	16	on the principal from the date on which the principal,
17	interest is accruing if you don't know the rate?	17	the proved debt, was entered in the administration
18	MR GARDINER: It means there is an amount of interest	18	because there's no liability of that
19	accruing day by day by day.	19	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, you'll understand that
20	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But you don't know how much.	20	I agree entirely with you on that.
21	MR GARDINER: You could probably	21	MR GARDINER: My Lord, with the greatest respect, that's the
22	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: All you know is that you will	22	key to it. That's why these cases don't get the
23	become under a liability to pay interest if a claim for	23	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am turning it round, I'm
24	breach of trust is made and succeeds.	24	afraid. What I want you to address is not the question
25	MR GARDINER: Yes, but	25	you've just addressed, which is the one where as you
	Page 117		Page 119
1	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's contingent on it being	1	know I agree with you because you've seen my judgment.
2	a breach of trust, what you are doing. But then you	2	The question I'm directing your attention to is: how do
3	know that at the election of the beneficiaries, you will	3	you say interest accrues in the breach of trust cases
4	be liable to pay interest, but they may elect for	4	during the period of the
5	something else.	5	MR GARDINER: Simply because you know there is a principal
6	MR GARDINER: My Lord, the fundamental difference between	6	sum on which there is an amount if I use just that
7	that situation and the situation we have here is that	7	term an amount of interest which is growing. You may
8	you know there's been a breach of trust in Barlow	8	not know whether it's 4 per cent or 4.5 per cent or
9	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, you do once there has	9	5 per cent
10	been either a trial as there was in Regal Hastings	10	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So you know there is
11	remember it was only in the House of Lords and then by	11	a principal sum
12	a majority no, I'm sorry, I've misunderstood it. It	12	MR GARDINER: There is a principal sum
13	was only once the House of Lords gave its decision that	13	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: on which interest is
14	it was established there was a breach of trust. I think	14	accruing at an unknown rate.
15	it was only in the House of Lords that the breach of	15	MR GARDINER: Accruing, that's right.
16	trust was established.	16	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It doesn't matter what the
17	MR GARDINER: My Lord, that's right. Your Lordship is	17	rate is, but it's a rate which may not be known.
18	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But you are right, that goes	18	MR GARDINER: Yes. Therefore, you have a growing amount of
19	back, but you would say that has the effect of interest	19	income day-by-day, year-by-year on something of some
20	accruing. But I just find it difficult to understand	20	permanence that you can then say the terminology of
21	how you can say that interest is accruing during that	21	yearly interest is applicable to.
22	period when you don't know the sum on which the interest	22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: That is so, is it, even though as at
23	will be awarded, you don't know the rate at which it	23	the date of breach of trust, i.e. the investment in
24	will be awarded, and just to add to the mix, you don't	24	unauthorised investments and going forward for, say,
25	know if it's going to be compound or simple. And if	25	a year, there is no loss arising from the breach of
	Page 118		Page 120
		-	

^{30 (}Pages 117 to 120)

8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street London EC4A 2DY

1	trust because the unauthorised investments are returning	1	liable(Reading to the words) a sum not greater
2	a much higher income than that which the authorised	2	than £35,424 in the aggregate the said sums of £27,020
3	investments would have returned.	3	and £7,000"
4	MR GARDINER: My Lady, with respect, in those circumstances	4	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You can see it's from 1930, isn't it?
5	you wouldn't have any interest at all, would you?	5	MR GARDINER: Yes. So in 1930, they are saying between 1923
6	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That's the point.	6	and 1930, there has actually been a loss of that
7	If in those circumstances you would have no	7	principal amount, and they say he is at that date
8	interest, how are you able to say that interest is	8	recites as at the date of that deed. So it's not the
9	accruing in that period?	9	deed that creates it, they recite as at the date of that
10	MR GARDINER: Because I'm looking at the two cases of Barlow	10	deed, he is obliged to pay that £35,000-odd with
11	and Regal Hastings v Gulliver. In Barlow, he took the	11	interest thereon.
12	monies out and immediately invested them and	12	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The 35,000 is an aggregate of
13	demonstrated that he'd made a loss on day 1.	13	the principal, isn't it, plus interest from 1923 up to
14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No, it doesn't demonstrate it made	14	the date of the deed and interest thereafter?
15	a loss on day 1. It's only subsequently that the	15	MR GARDINER: And interest thereon, yes.
16	unauthorised investments go down in value. You won't	16	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Let's just suppose that from
17	know on day 1. He thought on day 1 his unauthorised	17	1923 to 1926 the unauthorised investments were going up
18	investments were going to do much better, that was the	18	in value. During that period, is it right to say that
19	whole point. I mean, they didn't	19	he had a liability to repay the principal sum plus
20	MR GARDINER: My Lady, I am sure you are right. I am just	20	interest and that interest was accruing day-by-day?
21	trying to find the	21	MR GARDINER: No, because there wouldn't have been any
22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Look at page 359. There was	22	interest in those circumstances. It's only where you
23	certainly a breach of trust, but there is no actual loss	23	get a situation where there is a breach of trust and you
24	until the unauthorised investments don't return, and we	24	can see there is an amount of money that's got to be
25	don't know the details. I mean, if you look at the	25	repaid for breach of trust, and then you get interest
	D 404		D 102
	Page 121		Page 123
1	middle:	1	accruing on it.
2	"Which the unauthorised securities which	2	You can see if you just look at page 360 over the
3	subsequently fell in value."	3	page and the middle of that paragraph which has been
4	MR GARDINER: My Lady, you are right. I'm sorry, yes.	4	sidelined as well, about seven or eight lines down from
5	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So you don't okay, there's	5	the paragraph beginning:
6	a breach of trust, but there's no loss until arising	6	"Now what really happened was this. The question
7	from the breach of trust. And it may have been the case	7	raised in this appeal was(Reading to the words)
8	that they were paying quite happily, thank you.	8	the sum of $\pounds7,704$."
9	MR GARDINER: Yes. There is a pretty substantial loss in	9	So that was the amount which in my terminology at
10	fact which incurred, and that's on which the interest is	10	least had accrued prior to the date of the deed and was
11	treated as arising.	11	recognised as a liability that had accrued prior to the
12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, and it's the diminution in the	12	date of the deed.
13	value of the trust fund on which the interest is	13	LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But as my Lady said, the taxable
14	payable.	14	payment of interest arose under the deed. I mean,
15	MR GARDINER: Yes.	15	I know you say the deed simply acknowledged that there
16	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But it's only once that loss occurs	16	was a pre-existing liability, and so it did, but the
17	that there is a capital fund in relation to which	17	actual liability which gave rise to the payment was the
18	interest is liable to be paid or alternatively	18	deed.
19	an account of profits.	19	MR GARDINER: Well, my Lord, why don't we look at it this
		1	
20		20	way and this is an attempt to answer your Lordship's
	MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think the point I was trying to	20 21	
20	MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think the point I was trying to make and I didn't make it very well is	1	question, I am not trying to not answer it.
20 21	MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think the point I was trying to make and I didn't make it very well is subsequently on that page if one looks at the recital to	21	question, I am not trying to not answer it. But say there was a deed and he simply said, "All
20 21 22	MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think the point I was trying to make and I didn't make it very well is subsequently on that page if one looks at the recital to the deed, it's about 10 lines up from the end of the	21 22	question, I am not trying to not answer it. But say there was a deed and he simply said, "All right I'm aware I've been in breach of trust. I've
20 21 22 23	MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think the point I was trying to make and I didn't make it very well is subsequently on that page if one looks at the recital to	21 22 23	question, I am not trying to not answer it. But say there was a deed and he simply said, "All
20 21 22 23 24	MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think the point I was trying to make and I didn't make it very well is subsequently on that page if one looks at the recital to the deed, it's about 10 lines up from the end of the page. The recital goes on:	21 22 23 24	question, I am not trying to not answer it. But say there was a deed and he simply said, "All right I'm aware I've been in breach of trust. I've calculated the principal amount is this and the interest

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street London EC4A 2DY

1	deed, it's simply an acceptance of an existing	1	MR GARDINER: Yes. But my Lord, surely ordinarily in
2	liability.	2	circumstances where there's been a clear breach of
3	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The deed I mean, this was	3	trust, it is going to be inevitable that the court will
4	a compromise, the deed, so in that sense it's no	4	award interest and then there's good reason
5	different from a judgment as in Regal Hastings. The	5	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Probably you are right, but
6	source of the obligation to pay is either the judgment	6	there could be plenty of scope for argument about the
7	or the compromise entered into by the parties before or	7	rate of interest and there could be quite a bit of scope
8	during the proceedings which avoids the need for	8	for whether the case demands simple or compound
9	a trial.	9	interest.
10	MR GARDINER: My Lord, your Lordship	10	MR GARDINER: But that goes back to the point I was making
11	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You are right, you wouldn't	11	earlier, my Lord: there may be an argument about the
12	need a deed, you could do it by exchange of solicitors	12	rate but what is in my submission inescapable is that
13	correspondence and the payment of the money. But it	13	there was in reality a liability
14	doesn't make a difference whether it's a contract,	14	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: In reality as opposed to in
15	a deed or a judgment; it's the same point in each case.	15	law.
16	MR GARDINER: My Lord, that I would accept. But what is	16	MR GARDINER: No, in law. I'm sorry, I am not suggesting
17	said against me, as I understand it, is that the payment	17	otherwise.
18	is a payment which only arises in consequence of	18	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: How do you have a liability to
19	judgment of the court.	19	pay interest when you don't know what the rate is?
20	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, or the deed.	20	MR GARDINER: I think you can because
21	MR GARDINER: Or the deed, yes. That's what is said	21	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You may have sorry, go on.
22	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That is what is said against	22	MR GARDINER: You've got an obligation to pay an amount of
23	you, yes.	23	interest which may have to be determined by arbitration
24	MR GARDINER: I say that's not right. I say the source of	24	or by the court or whatever. But you know you've got
25	the payment is the original liability, the deed	25	an obligation to pay an amount of interest, you know
	Page 125		Page 127
1	recognised at as in Regal Hastings v Gulliver, the	1	you've got an obligation to pay an amount of interest.
2		-	J • • • • 8• • • • 8 • • • • • 8 • • • •
	court recognised that. All the House of Lords did in	2	Therefore, for taxing purposes that's the
3	court recognised that. All the House of Lords did in that case was to say: there is a liability you have for	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Therefore, for taxing purposes that's the importance here for taxing purposes you've actually
	court recognised that. All the House of Lords did in that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty.	2 3 4	importance here for taxing purposes you've actually
3	that case was to say: there is a liability you have for	3	importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment,
3 4	that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty.	3 4	importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are
3 4 5	that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the	3 4 5	importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have
3 4 5 6	that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it.	3 4 5 6 7	importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest.
3 4 5 6 7	that case was to say: there is a liability you have forbreach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty.You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge theprofit with interest on it.LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the	3 4 5 6 7 8	importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points.
3 4 5 6 7 8	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with well, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words ordinarily mean. The ordinary meaning of "yearly" is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with well, the 1934 Act does of course confer a discretion, but 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with well, the 1934 Act does of course confer a discretion, but clearly the jurisdiction to award equitable interest is 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words ordinarily mean. The ordinary meaning of "yearly" is "year-by-year" or "annual", so that is actually starting one on the route that all the cases have recognised,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with well, the 1934 Act does of course confer a discretion, but clearly the jurisdiction to award equitable interest is discretionary: whether to award it at all and if so at 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words ordinarily mean. The ordinary meaning of "yearly" is "year-by-year" or "annual", so that is actually starting one on the route that all the cases have recognised, realising that one is looking at something that has some
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with well, the 1934 Act does of course confer a discretion, but clearly the jurisdiction to award it at all and if so at what rate, and whether to make it simple or compound, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words ordinarily mean. The ordinary meaning of "yearly" is "year-by-year" or "annual", so that is actually starting one on the route that all the cases have recognised, realising that one is looking at something that has some continuance. So whether there's any argument about
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with well, the 1934 Act does of course confer a discretion, but clearly the jurisdiction to award equitable interest is discretionary: whether to make it simple or compound, and if compound at what rests. All that is for the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words ordinarily mean. The ordinary meaning of "yearly" is "year-by-year" or "annual", so that is actually starting one on the route that all the cases have recognised, realising that one is looking at something that has some
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. MR GARDINER: Well, most of the one has to look at whether the statute in that particular case had a discretion or subsequently for example in personal injuries matters. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal Hastings it was agreed between the parties and I've no reason to doubt that the House of Lords was exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, and given that yes, 4 per cent was in those days the accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with well, the 1934 Act does of course confer a discretion, but clearly the jurisdiction to award equitable interest is discretionary: whether to make it simple or compound, and if compound at what rests. All that is for the court to decide or for the parties to agree in order to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 importance here for taxing purposes you've actually got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, the species of instrument that these cases actually are looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. Could I just in that context make two points. It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it is as clear today as it was back in 1803, that tax law recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and other "interest". There's no doubt about that and I don't think that's disputed. Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words ordinarily mean. The ordinary meaning of "yearly" is "year-by-year" or "annual", so that is actually starting one on the route that all the cases have recognised, realising that one is looking at something that has some continuance. So whether there's any argument about section 874 having dropped the word "accruals" or

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

1	and one is talking about something with a degree of	1	at the due date?
2	continuance, simply by reference to that word, and	2	MR GARDINER: That case in Riches, my Lord, they were simply
3	that's what the older cases and the judges and it's	3	talking about interest of money, they weren't talking
4	very celebrated judges were recognising.	4	about yearly interest.
5	As my learned friend said, if you want to go on and	5	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Your argument seemed to be
6	ask yourself what does interest mean, he used the	6	veering back towards interest rather than focusing on
7	classic definition in all the tax cases, it's "payment	7	yearly.
8	by time for the use of money". We do not have it in the	8	MR GARDINER: I'm sorry, but Riches, as far as I'm
9	bundle of authorities but it's a very well known phrase,	9	concerned, is basically irrelevant.
10	it's Mr Justice Rowlatt in a case called	10	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: All right, because your focus
11	Bennett v Ogston. I can give you the reference, in fact	11	is on yearly.
12	we actually have copies with us if that would be	12	MR GARDINER: Yes, yes.
13	I don't think you'll find the rest of the case	13	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: If it said "interest" here
14	particularly interesting, but it's just the phrase	14	then you would accept that
15	"payment by time for the use of money". It's 15 tax	15	MR GARDINER: There wouldn't have been any question.
16	cases, I think it's 364.	16	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Quite, it's the word "yearly"
17	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are going to let us have Barnato	17	that takes the burden.
18	as well, are you?	18	MR GARDINER: It's fundamental.
19	MR GAMMIE: I can hand it up now.	19	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: And that's what suggests
20	MR GARDINER: Shall we perhaps hand the two in at close of	20	a continuum, as you say, year-by-year accretion.
21	play?	21	MR GARDINER: Yes. And I'll come back to what the learned
22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	22	judge below said, but that is the basis of his judgment.
23	MR GARDINER: So "yearly", "year-by-year" or "annual",	23	That, in a sense, is the starting point, the meaning
24	connoting therefore some form of continuance, and	24	of the words "yearly interest". I made that point and
25	therefore it's got to be a continuance constituting	25	I don't want to elaborate on it, but what I do want to
	Page 129		Page 131
1	interest which is talking shout normant by time for the	1	and in that that a increase the main on the formula it
1	interest which is talking about payment by time for the use of money.		say is that that gives one the raison d'etre for why it
2		2	was yearly interest from the outset to which a deduction
3	That is not what you have here. You do not have any continuing, growing right to interest, you have	3	at source obligation applies. One does get quite a lot
4		4	of help, in our respectful submission, from the history of these matters, the history of two centuries of tax
5	a one-off calculation in respect of the past. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But it may be a one-off calculation	5	
6 7			-
/	-	6	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our
0	and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't	7	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in
8	and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as	7 8	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really
9	and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps	7 8 9	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in
9 10	and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the	7 8 9 10	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't
9 10 11	and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it?	7 8 9 10 11	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the
9 10 11 12	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear 	7 8 9 10 11 12	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the
9 10 11 12 13	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still
9 10 11 12 13 14	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money over that period of time, it's paid because there is 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no longer called the case, professions or vacations; case
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money over that period of time, it's paid because there is a surplus, it's a means of distributing that surplus. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no longer called the case, professions or vacations; case 3, interest of money. The opening words it's always
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money over that period of time, it's paid because there is a surplus, it's a means of distributing that surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, doesn't it fall within 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no longer called the case, professions or vacations; case 3, interest of money. The opening words it's always talking about "income arising or accruing".
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money over that period of time, it's paid because there is a surplus, it's a means of distributing that surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, doesn't it fall within what Lord Wright said in Riches as being a payment which 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no longer called the case, professions or vacations; case 3, interest of money. The opening words it's always talking about "income arising or accruing".
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money over that period of time, it's paid because there is a surplus, it's a means of distributing that surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, doesn't it fall within 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no longer called the case, professions or vacations; case 3, interest of money. The opening words it's always talking about "income arising or accruing".
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't have to be paid once, it could have been paid as everybody was going along, perhaps MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit of it could have been paid leaving always enough for a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So it is calculated by reference to the use of money over time. MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money over that period of time, it's paid because there is a surplus, it's a means of distributing that surplus. LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, doesn't it fall within what Lord Wright said in Riches as being a payment which 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really quite remarkable that I mean, income tax started in this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the fundamental structure of our income tax which is still with us two centuries later today. If I just make this point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the UK, we can all remember this historically which is schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no longer called the case, professions or vacations; case 3, interest of money. The opening words it's always talking about "income arising or accruing".

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

1	actually has the same separate heads of taxation that	1	section 874, a reference simply to "arising".
2	were recognised basically back in 1803.	2	You'll see if you look at the fourth heading just
3	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Where does that take us?	3	towards the end of the page, about five or six lines up,
4	MR GARDINER: Where it takes us, my Lady, is this. That	4	it says:
5	"income arising and accruing" has been standard	5	"In estimating the amount of the profits and gains
6	terminology throughout. I say that the tax law rewrite	6	arising as aforesaid, no deduction shall be made on
7	simplification of language is not intended to make any	7	account of any annual interest, or any annuity,
8	structural change. Yearly interest is recognised as	8	allowance or stipend, payable out of such profits or
9	this animal which constitutes a continuum, there is no	9	gains, except the interest of debts due to foreigners
10	reason to think that the cases that identify what is the	10	not resident in Great Britain."
11	concept of yearly interest are not applicable today as	11	Behind tab 5 it the deduction at source provision.
12	they were in 1853, 1890, 2010.	12	So this was a deduction at source provision introduced
13	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Are you going to give us Bennett and	13	in 1803 when interest simpliciter wasn't charged as tax
14	Barnato?	14	at all, that was brought in subsequently, but it's
15	MR GARDINER: Yes, Bebb v Bunny. Barnato we can only give	15	section 208 in Roman numerals on the left-hand side of
16	you tomorrow.	16	the page:
17	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No, we have Barnato, Mr Gammie has	17	"It had been further enacted that upon all
18	Benarto.	18	annuities, yearly interest of money, or other annual
19	MR GARDINER: Shall I hand them in? Can I deal with it	19	payments, whether such payments shall be payable within
20	tomorrow?	20	or out of Great Britain"
20	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Speaking for myself, I might quite	20	Et cetera, et cetera, et cetera.
21	like to read it overnight.	21	If I pause there go and on to the deduction
22	MR GARDINER: We'll certainly hand them in.	22 23	
23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You don't need to deal with it now.	23	provision subsequently, as has been held in all the
		1	subsequent authorities, applying the ejustdem generis
25	MR GARDINER: A shorter proposition in respect of it	25	rule of construction, it is plain that yearly interest
	Page 133		Page 135
1	tomorrow.	1	is intended to be another example of an annual payment.
2	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes.	2	So that emphasises the point I made at the outset of
3	MR GARDINER: If we could hand them in. (Handed)	3	these propositions, that yearly interest is
4	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You can hand up Bennett at the same	4	year-by-year, annual, or whatever, it's the kind of
5	time.	5	fairly permanent thing to which a deduction at source
6	MR GARDINER: Yes. (Handed)	6	provision is intended to apply.
7	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Thank you.	7	Then it goes on to say:
8	MR GARDINER: So the significance of the historic approach	8	"Either as a charge(Reading to the words)
9		1	
	towards it is what was the rationale for having	9	according to and under subject of the provisions by
10	a deduction at source procedure? One of the points we	10	which the duty of schedule D may be charged, provided
11	make in the appendix to our skeleton is that yearly	11	that in every case where the same shall be payable by
12	interest started off, in a phrase, referring to	12	any person or persons out of any profits and gains
13	"annuities, yearly interest or other annual payments".	13	charged by virtue of this act, no assessment shall be
14	One can see that, and perhaps we ought to just look at	14	made upon such annuity, interest or other annual
15	it, it's section 208, it's in the legislation bundle,	15	payment, but the whole duty due in respect of such
16	tab 4.	16	profits or gains shall be charged without regard to such
17	If I just start with this, this is the 1803	17	annual payment and the (Reading to the words) have
18	Addington's Act. Then we need to go on to tab 5. One	18	been due and payable."
19	notices here "schedule (D)", one sees the opening words:	19	So there one can see at the outset it's assimilated
20	"Upon the annual Profits or Gains, arising or	20	to annuities and annual payments, and they are animals
21	accruing to any person or persons residing in Great	21	that have growing income day-by-day, payable
22		22	periodically. One can see that the kind of interest
	Britain, from any kind of property whatever, whether	1	
23	situated in Great Britain or elsewhere."	23	that is regarded as yearly interest as opposed to such
24	situated in Great Britain or elsewhere." So those are the words that were carried on right up	23 24	that is regarded as yearly interest as opposed to such interest is the same kind of animal.
	situated in Great Britain or elsewhere."	23	that is regarded as yearly interest as opposed to such
24	situated in Great Britain or elsewhere." So those are the words that were carried on right up	23 24	that is regarded as yearly interest as opposed to such interest is the same kind of animal.

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

1	because I think that's quite important, and likewise the	1	I'm sure you would have read all that, but if
2	decision in Gateshead. But there isn't a case in the	2	I could just very briefly mention the more significant
3	books in which it has been held that yearly interest	3	points. Paragraph 3 is something I've already dealt
4	applies to a situation where the interest does not	4	with, which is Addington's Income. Paragraph 3 of the
5	accrue.	5	appendix, Addington's Income Tax Act of 1803. It's
6	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That depends on the analysis	6	paragraph 5 that we say is really the clue to the
7	of Regal Hastings and the earlier case.	7	meaning and the historic approach. So we say:
8	MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes, and it goes back to that, and	8	"Thus at inception the deduction of tax at source in
9	I mean that may be the territory of argument, for the	9	respect of interest only applied yearly interest. It
10	reasons I gave I say that that is a situation where	10	must have been the rationale that such deduction
11	interest accrues.	11	procedures were only intended for on-going, continuing
12	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. I mean, I think your	12	situations of some permanence and significance (such as
13	observation is true, isn't it, of the rest of the cases,	13	yearly interest and other annual payments)."
14	they are all cases of loans or sums remaining	14	Then I say:
15	outstanding and so on.	15	"Note the reference to 'payable half-yearly or at
16	MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lord.	16	any shorter or more distant periods' and the fact that
17	We say, following on from that, it's an essential	17	it is regarded as an annual payment. Non-yearly
18	constituent of the concept of yearly interest, it's the	18	interest was not generally charged to tax."
19	quality that that type of interest has and to which	19	There is we say a very telling point which we set
20	a deduction at source procedure applies. The deduction	20	out in paragraphs 10 to 13 of that appendix, and that is
21	at source procedure is important, because and that's	21	this, that from 1864 to 1923 yearly interest was taxed
22	why in 1969 it was only adopted in relation to yearly	22	by reference to the tax rates during the period in which
23	interest, because that is the kind of thing that goes on	23	the interest accrued. There was no other alternative.
24	and continues and would require somebody to make	24	If you had yearly interest you were taxed by reference
25	deductions from time to time, make returns to the	25	to the rates during which the interest accrued. You see
	Page 137		Page 139
1	Revenue, keep all the documentation and all the	1	that's in paragraph 10 of our appendix the words that
2	procedures, et cetera, that would have an effect on the	2	are underlined "during the Period through which the same
3	recipient's tax position.	3	was accruing due". That must presuppose that accrual of
4	That's the point which we made in I think	4	interest is an essential ingredient of yearly interest.
5	paragraph 17 of our skeleton. May I just briefly go to	5	Whatever Parliament does (inaudible) tax it doesn't make
6	that. It's picked up, I think, in our appendix as well.	6	a mess of imposing the tax and the charge and the rate.
7	I mean, all the paragraphs under the heading "The	7	The only rate applicable to yearly interest was the rate
8	nature of yearly interest" go to what we say are the	8	during the years of accrual.
9	constituents, are the authorities, that establish this	9	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Is that the position today?
10	particular meaning.	10	MR GARDINER: No, my Lady, I'm sorry, that's the period from
11	So we start with saying:	11	1864 to 1923.
12	"The notion of 'yearly interest' has existed from	12	You see we make that point, so it's for 63 years
13	the beginnings of income tax Addington's Act"	13	this paragraph 12
14	Which we've just looked at, so yearly interest of	14	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Paragraph 12 of the?
15	money or other annual payments, 208:	15	MR GARDINER: Of the appendix.
16	" is used interchangeably with the term 'annual	16	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes.
17	interest'. At inception no other interest was charged	17	MR GARDINER: I mean this point is dealt with in
18	and deduction of tax at source only applied to yearly	18	paragraphs 10 to paragraph 12.
19	(annual) interest. This plainly recognised that such	19	So for 63 years the only rate that could be applied
20	interest arose only on debts of some ongoing permanence	20	to yearly interest was the rate during the period of
21	or significance on which interest payments were likely	21	accrual.
22	to arise from time to time, as opposed to 'one-off'	22	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But what are we to read into
23	situations, and to which a deduction of tax procedure	23	the fact that it was repealed in 1923 and hasn't been
24	was appropriate (see the appendix to this skeleton at	24	present in the tax legislation for nearly 100 years
25	[5] to [9]."	25	since then?
	Page 138		Page 140
L	0	1	

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

1	MR GARDINER: My Lord, simply this - we're still concerned	1	Then it says, and this is the headnote:
2	with the terminology "yearly interest". It's the same	2	"The words 'yearly interest' in s. 40 of [and that's
3	terminology that we have in section 874 now, that we had	3	the 1853 Act] mean, not only interest accruing de
4	in 1803, and the question is has the concept of yearly	4	anno in annum, but any interest at a fixed rate per cent
5	interest in a deduction of tax at source procedure, has	5	per annum, though accruing de die in diem."
6	that concept and that meaning changed? And, if so, for	6	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think that helps you, I think
7	what reason? Is there an indication in the legislation	7	that helps Mr Gammie.
8	that Parliament intended to change what had become the	8	MR GARDINER: Well, in what way, my Lady? In what way does
9	accepted meaning of the concept of "yearly interest",	9	it help my learned friend?
10	for the reasons which I gave.	10	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, it could be said and I'm not
11	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Right.	11	saying that this my view that anything which is any
12	MR GARDINER: I mean, manifestly during that period	12	interest just looking at the headnote at a fixed
12	I mean the interest here couldn't have been treated as	13	rate per cent per annum, though accruing day-to-day.
13	yearly interest.	14	Okay, you say there's no accrual here, but apart from
14	If I could also draw you to we haven't actually	14	that it's fairly widely stated, isn't it?
15		16	MR GARDINER: Well, it's widely stated, but with respect
	looked at it yet but there is the case of Bebb v Bunny,	17	
17	as my Lady said this morning, yes, that case isn't	17	I don't think it helps him because it's actually saying
18	binding on this court but it has been there from 1853,		it's part of the definition accruing day-by-day and
19	it's never been doubted, in my respectful submission.	19	that's our case, this doesn't accrue day-by-day.
20	It is a decision of the then Vice Chancellor Sir William	20	If I just go to the relevant passage, it's actually
21	Page Wood, subsequently the Lord Chancellor,	21	a very short judgment it's over the page, page 437, from
22	Lord Hatherley. And it is definitional, it is the only	22	the top of the page:
23	case actually which perhaps is, in terminology at least,	23	"Most mortgage deeds contain only a covenant to pay
24	definitional of what constitutes "yearly interest".	24	the principal, with interest at a certain rate per
25	If we could actually just perhaps look at that.	25	annum, on a day certain. After that it accrues de die
	Page 141		Page 143
1	It's tab 1	1	in diam and the interest without any norticular
2	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: He spoke with some prescience,	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	in diem, and the interest, without any particular
3	did he not, when he said "the language of which	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	reservation, ordinarily is received half-yearly from
4	sections, from their vagueness, creates some	4	year to year. It is difficult to see the distinction between interest so reserved and paid, and that which by
5	difficulty".	5	special agreement accrues on purchase-money, which also
6	MR GARDINER: I'm not sure he had this case in mind, though,	6	goes on from day to day, and may run on for a year, or
7	this far ahead.	7	stop at any time on payment of the purchase-money, and
8	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: No, I am sure, but he spoke	8	which, in some shape or other, forms a lien on the
9	the truth.	9	-
10	MR GARDINER: Yes.	10	property."
10	It's a fairly short and fairly succinct judgment,	10	Again, it's looking at a continuance of the growth
12	but the skeleton drafted by my learned friend Mr Goye		of interest of the species applicable, and
12	says that this isn't a definitional sort of statement of	12	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But once the surplus has been
13	what constitutes yearly interest, and my learned friend	13	ascertained here, on Day 10, why could it not be said
14	Mr Gammie hasn't actually dealt with it; but at least	14	against you that the interest is accruing as from that
13		15	date?
16	-	14	MD CADDINED: The
16 17	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if	16	MR GARDINER: The
17	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says:	17	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that
17 18	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his	17 18	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or
17 18 19	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money"	17 18 19	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's
17 18 19 20	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money" Et cetera.	17 18 19 20	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's determined at X why, from that moment going forward,
17 18 19 20 21	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money" Et cetera. Four lines down:	17 18 19 20 21	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's determined at X why, from that moment going forward, isn't there an obligation and an accruing from day to
17 18 19 20 21 22	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money" Et cetera. Four lines down: "The tax is not deducted on payment of	17 18 19 20 21 22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's determined at X why, from that moment going forward, isn't there an obligation and an accruing from day to day and year to year?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money" Et cetera. Four lines down: "The tax is not deducted on payment of purchase-money into Court; but the purchaser, it seems,	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's determined at X why, from that moment going forward, isn't there an obligation and an accruing from day to day and year to year?MR GARDINER: With respect, my Lady, no.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money" Et cetera. Four lines down: "The tax is not deducted on payment of purchase-money into Court; but the purchaser, it seems, may apply to have it deducted when the purchase-money is	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's determined at X why, from that moment going forward, isn't there an obligation and an accruing from day to day and year to year?MR GARDINER: With respect, my Lady, no.LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why?
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money" Et cetera. Four lines down: "The tax is not deducted on payment of purchase-money into Court; but the purchaser, it seems,	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's determined at X why, from that moment going forward, isn't there an obligation and an accruing from day to day and year to year?MR GARDINER: With respect, my Lady, no.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if you actually look at the headnote it says: "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase-money" Et cetera. Four lines down: "The tax is not deducted on payment of purchase-money into Court; but the purchaser, it seems, may apply to have it deducted when the purchase-money is	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that previously, before there's any identification or knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's determined at X why, from that moment going forward, isn't there an obligation and an accruing from day to day and year to year?MR GARDINER: With respect, my Lady, no.LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why?

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

Day 1

1	an obligation arises to pay a sum of money. There isn't	1	"But I think it's susceptible of this view"
2	any interest thereafter accruing on that obligation to	2	So he's talking about yearly interest and what it
3	pay that sum of money. What we're arguing about in this	3	actually means:
4	case is the interest of the principal	4	" that it is interest reserved, at a given
5	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But doesn't it go until payment, or	5	rate per cent per annum"
6	is it just until the date of determination of the	6	Then he says:
7	surplus?	7	" or, at least, in the construction of this Act,
8	MR GARDINER: As I understand it	8	I must hold that any interest which may be or become
9	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: If we look at the terms of the	9	payable de anno in annum, though accruing de die in
10	rule it's reasonably clear, I think, what is involved.	10	diem, is within the 40th section."
11	So it's the trigger for the payment of interest is	11	The 40th section is the deduction at source
12	the payment of the debts proved, not actually the	12	procedure that we're basically concerned.
13	identification that after payment there will be	13	So he is talking about "in the construction of this
14	a surplus.	14	Act", so he is looking at it in definitional:
15	I mean, of course you may have some debts, you may	15	"I must hold that any interest which may be or
16	provide, of course, for disputed claims and so on. Then	16	become payable de anno in annum"
17	the interest lasts from the periods during which those	17	My learned friend Mr Goye's skeleton, whenever it
18	debts have been outstanding, i.e. from the commencement	18	comes across this case, they are always happy to
19	of the administration, to the date or dates on which	19	underline the words "payable de anno in annum", but they
20	dividends were paid on the debts.	20	never underline "accruing de die in diem", and it is
21	MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes.	21	part of the definition.
22	LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That's the structure.	22	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right. Well, I think we'll have to
23	MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes.	23	continue tomorrow.
24	In answer to my Lady, I mean that was what I was	24	Not before 10.30 tomorrow.
25	trying to say, but your Lordship has expressed it much	25	MR GARDINER: I'm grateful.
	Page 145		Page 147
1	more succinctly than I. So that the surplus is	1	(4.10 pm)
2	determined	2	(The court adjourned until
3	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: After payment of the debts.	3	Wednesday, 1 November 2017 at 10.30 am)
4	MR GARDINER: Yes. And it's for the period	4	() calledauy, 1 100 chiber 2017 at 10.50 all)
5	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Prior to payment.	5	
6	MR GARDINER: from the commencement yes to the date	6	
7	of payment of the dividend.	7	
8	So there isn't any interest accruing after the	8	
9	determination of the surplus, it's simply a calculation	9	
10	for the past.	10	
11	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. So no interest on interest	11	
12	during the period	12	
13	MR GARDINER: My Lady, that's right.	13	
14	LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: which we're all arguing about this	14	
15	point.	15	
16	MR GARDINER: What we say is the definitional part I mean	16	
17	it has been sidelined in Bebb v Bunny but the	17	
18	definitional part is the paragraph he begins just about	18	
19	the middle of the page where he starts the paragraph	19	
20	saying:	20	
21	"The whole difficulty is in the expression 'yearly'	21	
22	interest of money."	22	
23	So he is the first judge, in 1853 at least, tackling	23	
24	what is yearly interest.	24	
25	He says:	25	
	D		P
	Page 146		Page 148

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

1 IN DE X 2 Submissions by MR GARDINER 3 1 3 1 1 Submissions by MR GARDINER 3 1 1 1 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>0 11</th></t<>			0 11
2 Submissions by MR GAMMIE99 3 4 5 6 7 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 24 25 Page 149			
ла в совети сов	1	I N D E X	
ла в совети сов	2	Submissions by MR GAMMIE1	
ла в совети сов		Submissions by MR GARDINER	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25	3		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	4		
6 7 8 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 23 23 25 Page 149	5		
7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	6		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	7		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	8		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	0		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	9		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	11		
14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 23 24 25 Page 149	12		
15 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	13		
16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 Page 149	14		
17 18 19 20 22 23 24 25 Page 149	15		
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 Page 149	16		
19 21 23 24 25 Page 149	17		
20 21 22 33 24 25 Page 149	18		
21 23 24 25 Page 149	19		
22 23 24 25 Page 149	20		
23 24 25 Page 149	21		
24 25 Page 149	22		
23 Page 149	23		
23 Page 149	24		
	25		
		Page 149	

		-	-	rage 150
A	accretions 91:16	133:5 134:21	adjourned 148:2	126:14
ab 36:10	accrual 22:24 23:2	140:3 143:3,5,13	adjournment 64:13	agreement 67:9
ability 9:1 78:14	23:12,17,18,21,24	143:18 144:14,21	69:6	74:9 79:12 144:5
able 2:12 29:4 38:9	25:4 39:11,13,16	145:2 146:8 147:9	adjusted 20:15,20	agreements 50:10
44:8,11 57:25	39:21 67:14	147:20	adjustment 17:23	agrees 73:22
60:18 76:10 121:8	100:17 103:6	accumulated 92:9	17:24 18:15	ahead 142:7
abode 59:14	104:21 105:14	accurately 5:16	administration	aim 3:15
abroad 45:24 60:15	108:12 116:12	acknowledged	6:16,23 7:5,9 9:10	aimed 108:2
60:25 61:10,11	140:3,8,21 143:14	124:15	13:19 14:17 15:6	akin 21:3
absence 23:24	accruals 60:22	acquired 21:22	15:7,11,12,18	albeit 10:20
58:15	91:17 105:8,9,15	77:5 80:11	17:3,10,17,20,22	allocated 11:20
absolute 102:2,7	116:25 128:24	act 4:6,14 7:8 24:14	18:1,14,20 19:9	allocating 9:2
absolutely 1:10	accrue 21:1 23:8	32:19 33:7 42:19	20:3,12,21 22:16	allow 20:14 89:10
4:12 74:20 91:1	53:5 97:25 100:15	42:22 54:2 55:21	22:21 33:5 35:15	allowance 135:8
103:11	101:5,10,13 102:2	55:24 56:6,19	37:8,10 43:1,9	allude 12:18
accept 10:23 11:4,8	103:7,8 105:16,17	59:1 71:16 75:9	44:6,10 50:5,20	alter 65:19 94:11
13:7 21:20 22:24	106:20 107:12,18	86:15 87:11 88:13	50:25 51:2,4,10	alternative 83:1
23:2 45:3 96:21	108:4,9,13,21	89:7 90:21 93:23	51:12,20,24 52:9	139:23
97:10 104:24	137:5 143:19	93:24 94:10,11,11	52:16 53:2,7 54:6	alternatively
125:16 131:14	accrued 10:19,22	95:13 103:15	57:20,21 58:16	122:18
acceptance 125:1	11:10 12:12,24	114:14 126:19	66:21 68:13,17	amended 95:14,23
accepted 11:22	36:18 65:17 76:5	132:10,12 134:18	76:2,8,11 93:24	amendment 4:2
27:20 33:11	91:23 116:6	136:13 138:13	97:17 101:11,15	American 110:11
107:16 108:11	124:10,11 139:23	139:5 143:3 147:7	101:25 110:1	amicas 96:15
126:18 141:9	139:25	147:14	119:17 145:19	amount 14:12
accommodation	accrues 25:1 67:6	action 52:1 53:6,24	administrators 1:9	15:17,20 16:5,24
48:8 80:9	109:7 120:3	87:4,5	35:17 52:18	17:8 18:2 20:15
accomplices 115:10	137:11 143:25	actions 51:11	admitted 15:21	23:4 26:2,15 27:4
account 10:12	144:5	Acts 1:15	adopted 60:5	28:9,12 29:12
19:15 20:15 31:1	accruing 9:9 39:13	actual 90:18 121:23	137:22	31:10 32:12,21
31:4,11 45:14	64:23 65:2 66:9	124:17	advance 4:25	33:12 35:10 36:23
46:12,15 49:4	81:20 98:1 100:24	add 30:21 118:24	advanced 67:11	37:25 38:16 39:14
51:1,10 58:14	102:12,21 103:1	added 71:16 72:23	affairs 105:12	39:18 41:5,6,6,8
60:1,10 61:4	103:17,19,20,22	Addington's 47:21	affect 63:4	41:12 61:12 65:24
66:19 79:20,20	103:23,24 104:4,5	103:15 132:12	affirmative 53:1	66:13,15,17,18,21
82:5 87:2 90:4	104:5,7,20 106:12	134:18 138:13	aforesaid 135:6	66:22 68:12 72:21
91:8 105:18	107:1,10,23 109:2	139:4,5	afraid 119:24	73:4,7 74:13 82:6
115:12 116:6	109:3,6 113:7,20	additional 2:24	aggregate 22:14,18	83:6 85:23,25
122:19 135:7	114:10,11 115:18	92:17	43:13,21 123:2,12	87:7 89:9 99:4
accounted 55:2	117:2,11,13,15,17	address 4:10 93:1	agree 19:13 44:24	114:9 117:18
60:16 98:11	117:19 118:20,21	94:14 119:24	45:12 52:18 74:20	120:6,7,18 123:7
accounting 105:9,9	119:3,15 120:14	addressed 11:21	119:20 120:1	123:24 124:9,24
accounts 70:3,18	120:15 121:9	12:10 119:25	126:24	127:22,25 128:1,4
105:15	123:20 124:1	addressing 97:8	agreed 25:12 84:4	135:5
accretion 131:20	128:7 132:19,23	Addy 1:6,22	96:14 103:6	amounted 82:9

www.DTIGlobal.com 8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street

Page	151

F				Page 151
amounts 16:23	15:9	applies 8:22 16:3	54:17 60:20 62:15	assume 8:17 33:5
22:14 50:11 70:6	anticipated 57:22	59:5 106:2 107:20	62:19 68:10 78:19	34:7,10 57:16
73:1 79:24	77:18	109:12 132:3	81:4 108:20,23,24	72:2 101:16
analogous 111:24	anyway 40:25	137:4,20	109:7,8 119:13	107:20 116:2
analogy 75:8,14	61:25 64:6,11	apply 8:2 14:5	125:18 145:1	assuming 8:19
analysis 7:24 9:19	69:3 72:9 73:10	18:12 72:1 79:12	arising 9:7,17	attached 66:6
13:4 19:14 23:15	88:8	83:16 91:6 94:12	10:17 39:14 59:6	attaches 66:20 68:8
100:1 101:7 137:6	apart 3:10 7:4	109:4 136:6	59:8 98:21 103:17	attempt 124:20
analytic 86:6	11:24 43:1,9	142:24	103:24 104:4,19	attention 8:20
and/or 52:3	44:10 45:7 50:4	applying 75:2	104:21,23 105:6	78:11 81:16 89:24
animal 107:14	50:20 51:10 53:1	98:15 135:24	105:15 106:25	100:4 120:2
108:1 133:9	143:14	appreciate 80:23	107:23 109:2,2,5	Attorney 21:9
136:24	Apologies 86:22	104:7	109:9 120:25	attract 47:11
animals 136:20	apparent 7:20 11:3	apprehend 110:13	122:6,11 132:19	attributable 115:11
anno 143:4 147:9	appeal 8:6 22:8	apprehended	132:23 133:5	authorised 121:2
147:16,19	56:2,20 57:4 77:1	122:25	134:20 135:1,6	authorities 2:24,25
annual 11:11 26:8	77:2,3 101:3	approach 10:6	Aristotelian 88:1	6:3,8 8:13 27:25
26:21 27:9,10	115:5 124:7	134:8 139:7	arose 39:17 124:14	50:7 69:13 72:16
28:7,8 33:2 35:22	Appeal's 3:4 6:1	appropriate 16:25	138:20	81:23 84:10 97:11
40:1 42:13 43:8	7:20 19:1,4,12	32:20 82:9 108:4	arrangement 41:11	100:11 112:14,17
43:16 44:8,11,17	20:1	114:16 138:24	41:16 67:6 74:18	117:9 129:9
44:19,23 45:1,6,9	appear 1:5,8	appropriated 9:12	arrangements	135:24 138:9
46:11 128:20	115:11	appropriately	110:18	authority 34:8,20
129:23 134:13,20	appears 72:22	81:25	arrive 28:14	55:22 56:22 58:22
135:7,18 136:1,4	87:11	apt 50:21,22	arriving 45:14	59:12 78:16 79:5
136:14,17,20	appellant 87:24	arbitrary 40:8	ascertain 110:2	80:8 92:25
138:15,16,19	89:10 112:5	arbitration 127:23	ascertained 22:20	Authority's 78:14
139:13,17	appellant's 88:17	areas 4:18 29:25	100:18 144:13	78:20 80:13
annuities 134:13	appendix 104:12	argue 68:25	ascertainment	available 51:23
135:18 136:20	132:6 134:11	argued 3:22 92:22	109:21	94:22
annuity 135:7	138:6,24 139:5,20	arguing 145:3	ascribed 20:13	Avignon 132:12
136:14	140:1,15	146:14	aside 41:10 44:15	avoid 126:25
annum 31:14 32:22	applicable 7:4 9:20	argument 4:2,8,11	asked 32:23	avoids 125:8
33:8 143:4,5,13	42:25 43:9,14	5:2 23:12 25:9,11	asking 36:25 65:6	avoids 125.8 await 32:13
143:25 147:5,9,16	44:5,9,22 50:4,20	46:25 67:11,12	77:25 78:2 110:25	award 7:16 87:9
147:19	51:9,21 120:21	72:24 77:7 85:25	114:12,13	88:14 89:9 93:25
answer 25:7 33:18	133:11 140:7	88:17,18 91:15,18	aspect 36:23 50:1	94:4 111:3 114:17
38:10 64:14 75:3	144:11	108:7,11 127:6,11	aspects 58:8 69:23	116:10 126:16,20
104:25 106:21	application 8:4	128:23 131:5	assessment 109:18	126:21 127:4
107:2,3 119:5	11:5	137:9 144:17	128:4 136:13	awarded 86:14
124:20,21 145:24	applied 6:20,21	arguments 11:13	assets 17:15 18:21	87:6 93:22 111:14
answered 52:25	10:25 18:6 21:11	88:10,11	98:1	114:4 118:23,24
75:3	95:19 105:21,22	arisen 3:9 109:9	assimilated 136:19	awarding 92:1
answering 68:19	105:23,24 138:18	arises 2:13 11:24	assist 2:13	94:12 95:11
anticipate 3:15 5:4	139:9 140:19	48:16 51:19 52:10	associated 22:1	awards 94:16
	157.7 1 10.17	10.10 51.17 52.10	4550014004 44.1	u 17 UL UD > F. 10
			l	

Page	152
------	-----

				raye 132
aware 56:22 70:22	131:9 133:2	73:15 85:17	building 71:14	called 21:9 31:22
72:6 124:23	147:12	102:12 104:21	bundle 2:21,22,24	35:4 48:15 50:16
/2.0 124.25	basis 10:19 11:17	102.12 104.21	3:13 6:3,8 8:6,9	72:13 81:21 82:4
B	12:21 13:5,7	black 73:14	8:11,12 12:16	111:3 112:16
b 19:6 52:20 55:14	17:15 19:14 33:20	Blair 21:10	19:3 21:6 50:7	129:10 132:21
65:21 132:17,25	35:22 42:8 60:10	Blake 83:10	53:11 58:22 69:13	calls 81:5
back 7:6 17:25 20:8		bold 5:9		Canada 21:9
22:8 31:20 32:23	60:22 61:6,19		72:16 81:23 84:10	
35:9 39:22 47:20	62:24 76:21 86:17	books 137:3	112:17 129:9	Canadian 22:4
48:18 54:23 55:14	88:5 103:17 105:3	borrow 36:13 38:5	134:15	capable 44:17,18
63:6 66:7 67:23	105:6,8,9,12,15	41:2 62:23	bundles 2:22,23	capital 76:17 83:7
68:4 69:3 70:24	111:14 131:22	borrowed 27:5	Bunny 39:24 40:13	87:18 113:12
	Bayfield 1:8	38:12	40:17 63:7 83:10	122:17
75:18,20,25 78:23	bear 54:14	borrower 28:18	83:15,21 133:15	capture 47:5
81:18 82:9 86:8	bearing 22:25	borrowing 47:22	136:25 141:16	carried 16:5 134:24
92:1,5 97:4 98:7	bears 34:11	48:19	146:17	carry 16:10
98:18 99:6 100:1	Bebb 39:24 40:17	bottom 6:9 79:9	burden 131:17	carrying 112:20
113:15,20 117:3	63:7 83:10,15,21	90:19 93:15	business 4:5,13,19	132:20
118:19 127:10	133:15 136:25	bought 38:19	48:17 49:19	case 1:17 5:25 10:9
128:10,16 131:6	141:16 146:17	Bower 8:2,22,24	buy 95:20	12:4 21:4,8 22:4
131:21 132:7	becoming 97:18	9:20 10:11,24	buying 34:18	23:13 24:8 25:18
133:2 137:8	beginning 9:5	11:6,15 12:18		31:22 32:20 35:14
balance 31:25	111:6 119:9 124:5	13:8 23:16	<u> </u>	35:18,19 37:17,17
32:12 79:22,23	beginnings 138:13	Bowers 67:12	C 132:17,25	40:14 42:21 50:7
89:11	begins 53:4 146:18	branch 15:8	calculate 35:17	53:3 54:20 55:6
bank 31:1,3,13,17	behalf 1:6 5:2	breach 54:19,20	calculated 14:11,20	55:14,15 56:5
33:22 34:2,5 70:4	59:12	55:2,10,11 112:2	14:22,24 15:4,20	57:22 62:2,3,18
79:20,21 87:14	believe 4:21	112:11 113:19	16:6 17:1 18:3	65:3,4,5,7 66:11
96:14	Benarto 133:18	114:4,9,17 115:9	20:13 25:20,24	67:5 68:9,13,17
banker 83:16	Benby 40:13	117:24 118:2,8,14	26:8 27:24 28:13	72:13,19 74:9
bankrupt 72:20	beneficiaries	118:15 119:6	28:23 32:16 35:11	75:3,5,25 77:4
banks 31:6,6 69:21	113:10,11 115:15	120:3,23,25	39:20 40:4,7,11	78:10,11,21,23
69:23 71:10	115:20 116:2	121:23 122:6,7	42:3,8,13,20	80:4,6 81:21 84:8
bar 105:11	118:3	123:23,25 124:23	44:12,16 66:15	84:11,20,21,25
Barlow 81:21	benefit 38:25	126:4,4 127:2	86:9 98:5 124:24	85:5,8,19 88:15
111:18,25 112:1,2	Bennett 129:11	briefly 58:23 81:17	130:16,18	90:4 91:13 92:22
112:4 116:15,17	133:13 134:4	115:1 138:5 139:2	calculating 66:18	93:17 94:2,8 96:9
118:8 121:10,11	best 21:22	bring 3:16 49:18	calculation 9:21	96:20,23 97:4
Barnato 84:9,9,10	better 46:22 91:11	bringing 29:9	10:25 13:21 14:12	98:8,19 106:23
84:15 129:17	91:24 112:5	Britain 134:22,23	14:13 22:21 27:22	107:16 109:10
133:14,15,17	121:18	135:10,20	33:13 37:18 38:24	110:21 111:7,10
barrenness 88:2	beyond 58:11	Brothers 1:9 46:17	39:19,25 109:25	111:13 112:16,17
based 30:16,17	big 104:8	57:19	110:4 119:10,12	113:16 114:2
41:6	binding 40:25	brought 90:3 107:4	130:5,6 146:9	115:1,3 116:14
basic 59:19 96:6	141:18	108:6 135:14	call 15:16 78:14	122:7 125:15
basically 16:23	bit 48:9 49:20 50:5	Buckley 73:20 74:6	79:5 80:15 96:22	126:3,10 127:8
	DIT TO.7 T7.20 J0.J	DUCKICY 75.20 74.0		120.5,10 127.0
	l		I	l

	Page 153
1:14 69:3 80:23	88:20 89:22 92:17

120.15 120.10 12	122.5 14	ahoogo 0:2 22:1	64.14 60.2 90.22	00.20 00.22 02.17
128:15 129:10,13	132:5,14	choose 9:2 32:1	64:14 69:3 80:23	88:20 89:22 92:17
131:2 132:20,21	certain 41:18 79:1	circumstance 17:19	88:19 100:1	compensatory
132:21 136:11	79:24 85:25 95:19	77:23	104:10 105:25	24:25
137:2,7 141:16,17	112:7 143:24,25	circumstances	117:3 131:21	complete 7:15
141:23 142:6	certainly 1:14,22	29:11 40:9 72:1	132:7	11:24 12:22 35:15
143:19 145:4	6:10 11:12 19:21	75:6 76:21 77:20	comes 16:9 35:21	completed 22:3
147:18	36:11 43:24 45:12	79:3 93:11 111:4	52:24 63:12 92:3	completely 111:18
cases 13:16 25:23	57:10 60:12,14,20	114:22 121:4,7	113:15 128:16	119:7
27:1,6 34:15	62:18 72:18 78:22	123:22 127:2	147:18	completion 63:8,10
40:15 54:15 55:17	79:8 81:18 84:17	cited 22:4	commencement	65:4
56:7,13 57:2	86:5 90:17 95:1,3	cites 94:19	9:10 10:19 20:3	complicating 29:10
62:13 67:17 68:2	97:4 99:2 100:8	citing 21:8	20:12 21:13	component 25:2
76:22 78:5,24,24	114:19 121:23	City 83:12	101:24 145:18	compound 118:25
80:22,24,25 81:15	133:23	claim 19:18 114:17	146:6	119:1 126:22,23
81:16 85:7 94:1	cetera 18:6 135:21	117:23	commences 17:17	127:8
97:3,7,11 98:12	135:21,21 138:2	claimants 21:20	18:20	compounding
99:11 106:5	142:20	claimed 7:7	commendably 5:3	58:13
110:15 111:19	Chancellor 141:20	claims 19:10 69:21	commercial 24:17	compromise 125:4
114:15 116:15	141:21	71:10 145:16	30:2 37:1	125:7
119:22 120:3	Chancery 116:9	classic 129:7	Commissioners 5:2	compulsory 75:10
121:10 128:5,21	change 4:12,17	clear 5:15,21 35:16	71:24 81:22 82:25	93:25
129:3,7,16 132:18	76:16 95:25 105:3	37:11 45:16 73:6	commits 115:9	computing 81:18
133:10 137:13,14	133:8 141:8	108:10 111:6	committed 112:2	96:5
cash 105:12	changed 95:22	127:2 128:9,10	commodity 31:7	concept 110:23
Cassels 85:3	117:6 141:6	130:12 145:10	common 24:10	133:11 137:18
cast 73:25	character 30:6	clearly 14:21 24:3	30:12,14 54:22	141:4,6,9
casts 13:13 74:21	34:25 37:3 38:14	47:6 57:22 83:20	companies 60:4,5,9	concerned 2:15
category 30:7	65:20 66:10 75:1	126:20	60:12,20	47:1 59:23 72:19
77:17	75:1 77:6 80:17	clears 3:7	company 6:16,23 7:9 15:11 17:10	79:24 81:24 86:13
Catherine 1:6	97:24 98:4	clock 69:4		86:16 94:13 96:24
Cato 34:16	characterised	close 129:20	29:21,23 35:20	98:12 131:9 141:1
cautious 46:17	102:25	close-out 53:3,5	54:20 59:11,13	147:12
ceased 60:6	characteristics	closely 33:19	97:16 116:18,20	concerning 13:16
celebrated 129:4	5:13,14 27:14	clue 139:6	company's 21:25	conclude 26:1
cent 26:13,15 31:14	47:17	co-venturer 86:19	compensate 24:5	73:20 77:4,20
32:21,22 72:23	charge 80:16 90:3	87:5	24:22	concluded 13:8
101:17 102:1,12	107:4 108:7 136:8	code 7:15 11:25	compensated 19:7	70:14 78:9
112:15,20 113:8	140:6	12:22	compensating	concludes 9:20
113:13,23 114:12	charged 107:8	collected 45:23	18:24 37:9 76:3,9	87:17
114:19 115:13,23	135:13 136:10,13	collection 45:25	97:19	conclusion 12:17
116:1,4 117:4,6	136:16 138:17	61:3,9,9	compensation	13:5,6,14 45:14
120:8,8,9 126:17	139:18 abook 01:11 12	column 85:4,18	20:18 28:2,13	52:23 53:17 73:11
143:4,13 147:5	check 91:11,12	come 16:2 21:13	64:4 69:12,16,17	78:12 82:11
central 51:16	choice 113:11,17	36:12 46:24 49:25	69:22,25 70:15,20 71:2 7 72:4 87:14	conclusive 32:25
centuries 103:18	115:15	55:23 56:20 57:7	71:3,7 72:4 87:14	92:25

				Page 154
condition 20:5,17	contained 74:4	contributes 49:11	court 3:4,16 6:1	curious 64:6
22:1 52:22	contemplation 51:4	contribution 80:13	7:20 10:5 12:10	current 61:8 62:4
conditional 21:23	contention 21:20	conventional 22:25	12:21 13:8 19:1,4	Customs 1:7
61:23 65:20	96:20	23:8,21,24 31:5	19:12 20:1 22:8	cut-off 19:17
conditionality	contentions 51:14	34:2 37:14 64:21	53:8,19 54:17	
66:19	context 5:14 11:15	convert 28:7 32:3	55:1,12,17 73:6	D
Confederation	11:20 12:2 46:16	converted 28:6	77:1 78:12 84:5,9	D 89:7 103:16
21:9	86:25 103:23	convinced 104:5	84:12,16 88:22	107:4 132:17,18
confer 38:14 80:18	128:8	Cooper 72:13 78:6	94:4,12,16 101:3	132:20,25 134:19
126:19	contingency 17:5	85:5	105:10 115:5	136:10 149:1
conferred 52:14	20:5,9,16 21:2,3	copies 129:12	116:9 125:19	d'etre 132:1
conflict 53:15	23:5 65:23,23	copy 84:17	126:2,7,24,25	damages 87:15,18
connection 107:1	66:1,6,19 68:3,6,8	core 2:21,22 3:13	127:3,24 141:18	88:21 93:21,25
connotation 103:25	68:11,16	Corinthian 34:16	142:23,25 148:2	94:16
connoting 129:24	contingent 17:4,24	Corporation 60:5,7	court's 7:24 13:12	danger 103:25
consequence 7:25	18:16 20:2,11	60:9	courts 95:11	date 7:8 9:14 10:14
125:18	21:22 23:12,19	correct 4:12 23:16	109:13 114:15	17:9,17,25 18:19
consequences	41:17 52:17 61:23	90:6 91:6 92:3	covenant 143:23	19:9,17 20:3,4,11
54:19 55:13	65:21 66:8,15	correction 4:8	cover 94:25 105:6	37:16 42:25 51:19
Conservative 95:23	67:4,15 102:15,19	correctly 43:6	covered 38:9 41:11	51:24 52:2,3,9,16
consider 5:21 51:8	102:20,20,25	113:3	99:10	52:17 53:7 56:3
56:23 59:8 80:5	118:1	correspondence	covering 95:6	76:2,8 82:3 85:24
considerable 82:3	contingently 10:20	125:13	covers 25:13 36:18	91:25 101:14,24
considerably 32:17	23:5,10 51:22	cost 73:8	created 11:3,4	108:24 109:20
82:20	67:7,22	costs 21:25	109:8	110:16 113:19
consideration	continuance	country 60:13	creates 123:9 142:4	119:5,16 120:23
54:14	128:23 129:2,24	132:10	creating 5:10	123:7,8,9,14
considered 12:2	129:25 144:10	couple 56:20	creation 12:6	124:10,12 131:1
53:8 56:7 59:7	continue 6:5 58:11	course 2:14 5:19	creature 5:22	144:15 145:6,19
78:21	76:22 147:23	12:2,17 14:8	crediting 31:4	146:6
considering 11:15	continues 137:24	15:10,15 17:18	creditor 8:23 9:1	dates 145:19
12:23	continuing 35:19	20:6,20 21:15	10:16 15:17 17:9	David 1:24 5:16
constant 76:17	130:4 139:11	22:20 23:4 27:1	20:10 37:9 51:11	6:10 8:16 13:4
constituent 137:18	continuum 108:2	28:5 29:3,7,18	53:6 73:7 110:3	19:6 21:4 22:5 42:25 43:5,11,19
constituents 138:9	131:20 133:9	30:3 34:4 36:13	130:25	42.23 43.3,11,19 44:14 45:2 46:16
constitute 22:14	contract 44:22	37:4 38:15 39:9	creditors 17:16	46:23 50:24 53:15
constitutes 128:7	51:21 52:15 53:24	39:16 41:20 45:20	18:19,24 19:7	46:23 50:24 53:15 56:12,16 57:1,18
128:14 133:9	125:14	48:3,16 49:14	20:19 24:6 98:2	58:5 63:15,20,23
141:24 142:14	contractual 51:22	56:7 60:8,21	criteria 37:13,20,23	64:4,11,15 68:25
constituting 129:25	52:10 53:4 67:6	61:19 64:21 67:18	37:24 40:10 68:22	69:10 70:20 71:2
construct 29:5	contrary 92:25	67:24 71:24 74:22	83:25 98:14	71:5,12,16,23
constructed 42:8 construction	contrast 48:7,14 contrasted 52:14	77:22 86:7 88:3 93:1 94:10 95:13	100:14 criterion 38:23	72:8 74:4,11,18
	contrasted 52:14 contribute 78:15	93:194:1095:13 99:19 126:19	77:8 98:17	84:7,15,19,24
135:25 147:7,13 contain 143:23	78:19 79:6	145:15,16	critical 39:2 77:7	89:13 90:12,24
Contain 143.23	/0.17 /7.0	145.15,10	CITUCAI 37.2 / /./	57.15 70.12,21
	I			

,				Page 155
01.2 14 02.5 14	70.16 72.11 74.21	17.71 10.5 7 12	doducting 01.4	delighted 2.4
91:2,14 93:5,14	70:16 72:11 74:21	17:24 18:5,7,13	deducting 91:4 94:21 95:10	delighted 2:4 delve 47:12
96:8,19,22 97:1,6 101:2,7 102:5,10	85:8 86:16 99:20 110:15 112:1	18:16 19:9,14,16 20:2,13 21:25	deduction 47:21	demand 32:11
101.2,7102.3,10	133:19,24	20:2,15 21:25	70:4,15 89:10	72:25
102.13,18 103.21	dealing 5:23 17:3	58:11 74:25 91:22	90:2,20 93:3	demanded 65:1,16
112:18 113:6,17	21:17 30:11 40:17	98:3 100:19 102:1	105:21,23 106:1	66:4 74:2
112.18 115.0,17	45:15 48:12 54:5	135:9 138:20	108:1,3 109:11	demands 127:8
113.22,24 114.3	55:15 56:6 62:11	145:12,15,18,20	132:2 134:10	demonstrate
115:24 116:5,9,23	63:17 64:7 66:12	146:3	135:6,11,12,22	121:14
117:3,8,16,20,22	66:12 68:14 78:13	decide 12:23 14:6	136:5 137:20,20	demonstrated
117:3,8,10,20,22	111:3	24:2 30:9 35:4	138:18,23 139:8	121:13
119:23 120:10,13	deals 85:3 91:15	47:6 98:15 99:6	139:10 141:5	demonstrates
120:16 121:6	dealt 5:24 12:11	126:24	147:11	106:14
123:12,16 125:3	53:9 56:24 70:17	decided 50:3,19	deductions 109:4	Denning 90:16
125:12,10 125:5	95:15 96:17	53:16,16 81:24	137:25	94:14 96:11
126:7,13 127:5,14	105:11 110:21	82:25,25 94:1	deed 84:3,4 112:23	deny 98:4
127:18,21 130:23	139:3 140:17	101:3	113:3 122:23	depend 19:17 33:19
131:5,10,13,16,19	142:15	deciding 23:25 30:4	123:8,9,10,14	41:24 62:21 75:6
137:6,12 140:14	debated 8:1	47:4	124:10,12,14,15	76:18,20
140:16,22 141:11	debt 6:13,14 7:4	decision 3:4,5 5:19	124:18,22 125:1,3	dependent 24:24
142:2,8 145:9,22	11:17 15:21 16:13	6:1 7:20,22 8:5,6	125:4,12,15,20,21	29:13,22
day 36:4 49:12,13	16:17 17:7,8,12	13:12 19:1,4,12	125:1,12,13,20,21	depending 26:23
65:24 101:17,17	20:11 22:14,25	20:1 21:5 22:9	deeds 143:23	114:21
101:17 102:11	26:12,13 27:2	46:9 50:9,19,23	deep 29:19	depends 60:17
104:11 110:3	29:21 38:2,8 43:1	53:9,14,17 54:25	default 114:6,24	137:6
117:19,19,19	43:9 44:5,10,22	83:15 84:8,16	defendant's 86:3	deposit 30:25 31:1
121:13,15,17,17	48:10 50:4,20	85:21,24 90:16,18	defendants 86:2	31:4,11,14 33:15
143:25 144:6,6,13	51:9 53:3 54:9	118:13 137:2	defendants/direc	33:16,22 34:2,2,5
144:21,22	55:1,7 58:12,15	141:20	85:10	34:11 70:3,17
day-by-day 39:15	62:5 66:16,20	decisions 3:3,19	defer 1:22	79:20
102:4,12 120:19	68:15 72:21 73:8	5:24 7:12 14:16	defers 73:14	deposited 33:21
123:20 136:21	73:12 74:15 75:2	17:2,14 50:2 58:2	define 14:4 71:9	deposits 48:23
143:18,19	75:13,17 76:1,13	58:9 114:16	defined 19:21	deprive 34:24
day-to-day 10:19	76:15,18 79:13,16	declared 106:24	28:16,17 47:16	derived 50:18
67:6 100:16,25	79:17 89:8 94:16	deduct 59:18 60:20	64:5 70:20,23,23	derives 13:15
101:6 107:18	97:15 101:15,17	61:5 69:25 73:9	71:7	describe 24:5,25
108:13 143:13	119:17	86:5 89:9 90:1	defining 16:1 72:3	26:4 28:1 29:11
days 60:21 126:17	debtor 79:18 93:6	91:8 93:6,12	definition 129:7	58:8 71:6
days' 72:24 73:12	debts 6:19,21,25	95:18 106:10	143:18 147:21	described 5:16 21:3
74:1,2,8,12	7:16 8:23 9:12	deducted 60:2 73:1	definitional 141:22	23:3,22 24:8 29:5
de 143:3,5,25 147:9	10:17 13:17,17,20	73:5,10 89:1	141:24 142:13,16	30:2 37:15 70:21
147:9,16,19,20	13:22 14:14,15,17	98:11 99:7 142:22	146:16,18 147:14	describes 22:6
deal 1:22 25:17	14:21,22 15:1,4,5	142:24	degree 99:2 129:1	description 30:8
27:1 29:8 30:4,9	15:10,16,16 16:4	deductible 37:17	delay 19:8 97:20	87:23
49:6 58:25 69:3,9	16:6,8,10,10 17:4	85:16	deliberately 34:5	designating 52:2

				Page 156
desire 73:16	45:11 107:11	distributing 130:22	20:8 31:20 58:2,9	115:12
details 121:25	116:12 142:5	distribution 17:6	116:13 127:11	enables 61:5
detain 59:23	146:21	dividend 9:11	137:7	enacted 135:17
detected 13:12	diminution 122:12	29:23 146:7	early 45:19 52:2	enacting 70:24
determination	directing 120:2	dividends 9:11,12	80:24	encompass 70:21
53:25 108:25	directly 97:7	9:14 24:6 145:20	earned 116:11	71:11
130:13 144:25	directors 54:20	divider 78:6	easier 38:24 72:4	encompasses 109:6
145:6 146:9	55:3 84:22 85:12	document 4:7	111:25	ended 82:2
determine 53:23	discern 98:9	27:11	easiest 70:14	endorse 43:24
54:23 99:1	discerned 5:13	documentation	economic 114:21	endorsed 12:17
determined 5:12	discharged 13:17	138:1	effect 21:19 73:19	13:5 101:4
22:2 127:23	14:16 15:16 16:11	doing 37:18 118:2	118:19 138:2	enforced 76:23
144:20 146:2	63:11 77:11	Donovan 88:10	effectively 7:10	79:14,17
determines 34:14	disclosed 87:3	doubt 13:13 21:19	14:16 16:10 17:25	England 31:17
36:19	discounts 27:2,3	57:12 126:15	20:8 31:23,25	English 103:20
determining 37:13	discretion 87:9	128:12	32:13 38:19 42:5	enlighten 58:23
63:13	92:13 126:8,11,19	doubted 80:24	47:22,25 48:19	enquire 33:19,24
developments 3:16	discretionary	141:19	72:24 82:8 83:7	34:17 38:11
Dicker 10:10	92:16 126:21	drafted 142:12	84:3 86:19,24	ensure 70:14
Dicker's 23:11	discuss 87:19	draw 8:20 78:11	92:7 95:16	ensures 3:23 4:11
die 143:5,25 147:9	discussed 50:16	81:15 100:4	eight 7:13 124:4	97:23
147:20	62:13 76:6 114:15	141:15	either 15:13 30:4	enter 74:3
died 87:4	117:10	drawing 54:4 89:24	42:19 54:18 55:1	entered 6:16,23 7:9
diem 33:15 143:5	discussing 25:1	100:14	85:7 115:23	51:2 54:7 84:4,22
144:1 147:10,20	discussion 8:16	drawn 79:21	118:10 125:6	86:16 87:1 112:23
differ 74:7	89:20 94:3,20	drew 96:1	136:8	119:17 125:7
difference 3:21	disgorge 126:5,5	dropped 128:24	ejustdem 135:24	Enterprise 4:6,13
4:10 43:22 52:19	disposal 21:11	due 5:19 9:9 17:11	elaborate 7:13	enters 97:16
66:2,10,25 67:3	dispute 51:16 96:10		99:23 131:25	entirely 12:8
75:19 111:17	disputed 24:7	55:1 66:22 67:20	elapse 77:24	119:20
118:6 125:14	128:13 145:16	67:24 73:4 74:14	elapsed 76:7 97:18	entitled 17:9 20:19
different 15:14	disqualified 108:14	74:16,17 79:13	elect 115:20 118:4	39:18 49:2 66:24
26:20,22 30:1	108:14	81:19 85:23 86:1	election 113:10	67:21 79:5 80:14
37:14,20,24 57:6	disqualifies 108:16	86:11,11 97:19	118:3	86:5 89:8 90:1
83:17 91:22 92:11	disqualify 108:18	130:25 131:1	element 34:25	93:6,8
98:14 103:25	distant 139:16	135:9 136:15,18	elements 7:11	entitlement 9:15,17
114:20 116:12	distinction 24:16	140:3	elephant 27:14	20:24 32:15,16
119:7 125:5	52:6,7 54:4 55:6	duration 48:13	emerged 92:23	51:18,22 52:8,9
differently 31:8	68:1,19,22 128:11	duties 55:3	emergence 22:7	entitlements 17:16
difficult 1:21 41:14	144:3	duty 126:4 136:10	emerges 17:2 20:25	equally 6:25 7:1
43:19 72:3 80:20	distinguished	136:15	32:14 37:5 66:23	39:21
96:8 104:22	80:25		67:20	equitable 56:15
106:21 114:23	distinguishing	E	emerging 76:9	126:16,20
118:20 144:3	110:18	E 132:25 149:1	emphasises 136:2	equity 114:4
difficulty 44:20	distributed 17:15	earlier 4:1 7:24	employment 4:6,14	equivalent 30:2
			1	L
			1	<u> </u>

				Page 157
era 49:9	126:11 136:1	30:6 41:18 45:15	features 58:18	fluctuating 79:22
especially 57:17	examples 37:15	49:1 50:24 51:1,9	78:11	79:23
86:6	38:23 39:2 43:6	53:21 58:12,24	fell 112:10 122:3	focus 18:11 131:10
essence 107:16	44:15 67:1,2	extra 41:10	fellow 82:4	focusing 39:23
essences 36:8	exceeded 42:7	extremely 6:10	fiduciary 55:3	49:25 61:20 131:6
essential 25:2 38:23	exceeds 98:6		126:4	follow 32:6 102:13
61:9,16 137:17	exception 62:3	F	fifth 19:3	followed 77:2
140:4	exceptions 59:22	facets 38:13 50:8	figure 22:20 28:14	following 7:23 9:22
essentially 11:25	exchange 125:12	fact 11:7 12:5	40:8 76:16,17	14:19 51:11 63:18
13:6,9 25:18 27:6	exclude 44:21 72:5	30:21 32:1 33:1	114:13 115:23,24	95:18 100:18
30:24,24 48:3	execution 77:2	33:15 34:12,22	116:1,3	137:17
49:9 61:8 81:1,1	executor 115:9	36:17 40:5 42:12	file 70:9,11	foot 74:5
establish 52:3	exercise 52:15 87:9	43:20 45:8,10	final 85:17 91:13	footnote 71:15,21
58:10 138:9	exercised 36:6 93:7	49:11 54:5 57:5	93:17 104:11	71:22
established 118:14	exercising 126:16	57:20 60:3 63:2,4	finance 29:4,10	forbearance 79:15
118:16	exist 15:10	63:6 76:6,9 86:11	71:16 95:13	force 21:13 59:19
estate 8:23 21:24	existed 46:7 138:12	90:15 93:2 97:24	financial 48:13	85:25
22:3 87:5	existence 28:11	98:24,25 103:3	49:17 54:19 55:13	forcefully 87:17
estimating 135:5	52:8,16,21 91:20	112:22 113:3	find 2:16 12:4	foreign 45:25 50:22
et 18:6 135:21,21	existing 125:1	122:10 129:11	57:25 97:13	50:24
135:21 138:2	expect 76:24	139:16 140:23	106:21 107:11	foreigners 135:9
142:20	expected 28:10	facto 37:18 119:12	110:14,17 118:20	forgive 63:20
Europe 1:9	39:6 71:6 81:8	factor 23:25 29:10	121:21 129:13	forgotten 72:12
event 10:21 20:16	expenditure 78:16	39:2 55:5	finding 4:17 55:1	form 28:22 47:7
67:8	78:20 79:6	factors 77:13,23	114:23	61:8 129:24
everybody 26:12	expenses 80:13	facts 80:6 84:25	fine 111:1	formed 103:23
130:9	explain 111:23	85:8 90:11 92:4	Finlay 82:21 83:9	forms 105:25 144:8
evidence 73:18	explains 70:25	fail 52:19	84:7 115:3	forth 108:13
evidently 70:13	105:20	failed 80:14	first 6:3,8 7:14 12:7	fortnight 83:14
93:7	explanation 116:13	fairly 72:17 73:6	32:23 36:12 37:15	forward 35:7 36:25
ex 37:18,21 119:12	explicitly 56:6	92:25 136:5	38:6 57:19,20	75:18,22 76:14
exactly 2:11 16:20	express 26:20,21	142:11,11 143:15	69:9 81:21 83:5	80:11 98:19
27:19 37:7 70:16	28:6 44:11 45:1	fall 130:23	90:7 99:21 105:1	106:10,18 109:23
80:24	58:24	fallen 41:7 48:4	112:1 132:18	110:14 120:24
example 9:23 17:6	expressed 27:9,10	familiar 2:10 6:10	146:23	144:20
19:2 20:9 23:19	27:12 28:3 33:2	84:25	Firstly 42:17 69:23	forward-looking
27:2,4 28:15	43:4,8,16,20 44:7	familiarity 8:18	fish 1:13,14	98:13
29:11,20 30:25	44:23 45:6,8 57:5	famous 112:17	fit 11:2	Foster 112:16
33:4 34:18 35:20	145:25	far 15:23 96:9 97:9	five 69:4 82:15	113:16 114:2
38:25 40:2 41:7	expression 44:17	99:20 131:8 142:7	135:3	115:2,4
42:1 43:12 45:19	44:18 146:21	Farmer 110:12	fixed 43:15 143:4	found 6:4 7:18
46:5 50:6,11 52:2	expressly 28:23	favour 15:23,25	143:12	40:20 55:11 83:8
55:10 58:13 62:24	extended 32:10	feature 3:19 40:16	fixes 32:21 126:7	foundation 9:1,19
64:18 67:4 68:3	extensive 8:16	46:9 54:22 62:14	flowing 106:10	four 81:16 94:17,25
77:14 95:20 106:7	extent 10:9 20:6	63:12 99:5	flows 45:24	142:21
	-	-	-	-

Page 1	5	8
--------	---	---

				Page 130
fourth 135:2	106:6 110:4,7,12	83:4 84:1,14,17	140:17 141:1,12	13:23 14:2 15:22
fraudulent 87:4	110:24	84:20 85:1 86:22	142:6,10 143:8,16	16:12,16,22 25:19
free 21:19	110.24	86:24 88:3,8,22	144:16,23,25	26:6,11,18,25
frequency 62:22	G	89:3,14,17,20,24	145:8,21,23 146:4	27:13,21 28:15
frequently 36:13	gains 86:3,3 134:20	90:6,15 91:1,3,12	146:6,13,16	29:15 30:12,16,20
36:15 49:16 62:7	135:5,9 136:12,16	92:3,12,19,21	147:25 149:2	31:10,19 32:5
81:9	Gammie 1:3,5,13	93:9,15,19,21	Garston 31:22	39:22 40:13,24
friend 1:6,7 5:1,7	1:18 2:1,3,5,8,20	94:24 96:18,21,24	109:15	41:3,19 42:11,22
10:8 25:6 47:12	3:13 4:5,9,21,23	97:2,10 99:9,13	Gateshead 78:7,13	45:7 46:2,10,14
62:2 84:2 90:6,9	6:8 7:23 8:10,11	99:15 129:19	79:23 81:14 85:6	48:22 49:6,11,21
91:5 93:10 99:20	8:15,19 10:2,7	133:17 142:15	137:2	53:12 54:11 55:19
106:7 129:5	11:12 12:13,16	143:7 149:2	geared 18:12	56:1,10,15 57:11
142:12,14 143:9	13:1,3 14:1,3,20	Gardiner 1:8 2:18	Gee 90:16 93:17,19	57:15 58:6 69:3,8
142.12,14 143.9	14:24 15:3,24	68:25 69:2 99:15	97:8	69:12 70:19 71:14
friend's 11:12	16:14,18,23 18:9	99:16,17 100:10	general 21:9 29:9	71:18,20 72:10,15
friends 2:12 11:23	18:15 23:2,15,18	100:23 101:1,7,10	48:7 60:10 117:5	75:8,19 76:13
frontages 80:9	24:7,15,21 25:3,6	101:22 102:7,11	generally 24:16	77:1,7 80:20
fronting 78:15	25:10,14,16,22	101.22 102.7,11	27:19 31:3 39:4	82:13,16 83:3,24
fruit 92:9	26:10,15,19 27:1	102:10 103:2,7,11	56:24 61:20,24	87:22 88:7,16
fulfilment 52:22	27:16,24 29:3,17	105:15 106:16,21	62:16 81:6,11	89:16,18,23 90:5
full 66:23 74:13	30:14,19,24 31:16	107:3,7,11,24	95:16 107:15	90:10,19 91:11
100:19	31:20 32:7 33:1	107.3,7,11,24	139:18	93:18,20 94:23
function 18:23	33:10,18 34:4,15	109:5,22,25	generated 29:14	99:8,11,14 100:8
61:17	35:25 36:3,11	110:11,20 111:10	generates 3:21	100:22,24 101:2,9
fund 47:23 48:1	37:20 39:4,9,12	111:17,21,25	68:17	101:21 102:14,23
112:13 122:13,17	40:12,16 41:1,4	112:4,20,25 113:3	generis 12:1 135:24	103:3,10 104:16
fundamental 10:12	42:2,16,24 43:3	113:9,15,18,23	getting 4:20 49:19	104:19 105:14
118:6 131:18	43:10,18,24 44:24	114:1,8 115:1,16	54:11 70:3	106:13 109:19,23
132:13	45:12 46:3,12,21	115:21 116:1,8,15	give 15:12 21:18	110:7 111:20,23
fundamentally	46:24 47:12,20	116:24 117:7,13	31:14 46:6 47:7	112:3,22 113:1,5
19:13	48:25 49:9,14,23	117:18,21,25	49:1,3,15 129:11	113:10 120:22
funds 33:23 48:21	50:14,16 53:13	118:6,17 119:4,21	133:13,15	121:14,22 122:5
81:24 82:1,1,9	54:13 55:25 56:5	120:5,12,15,18	given 12:5 19:5	122:12,16 123:4
110:1	56:11,14,22 57:9	121:4,10,20 122:4	31:22 89:6 92:5,6	129:17,22 130:6
further 41:9 52:1	57:14,16,24 58:7	122:9,15,20 123:5	126:17 147:4	130:12,19 133:3
57:10 61:13,15	60:3 61:8,19	123:15,21 124:19	gives 30:7 32:15	133:13,17,21,24
85:24 91:15 95:22	63:16,18,22,24	125:10,16,21,24	54:9 55:8 86:25	134:2,4,7 140:9
99:9 135:17	64:9,13,17 65:5,9	126:9 127:1,10,16	109:17 132:1	143:6,10 144:12
future 17:4,24	65:13 67:16 69:2	127:20,22 129:20	giving 38:3,13 43:5	144:17,24 145:5
18:16 20:2,5,11	69:8,9,13 70:22	129:23 130:10,18	62:6 75:23 115:5	146:3,5,11,14
20:17 35:4 37:2,6	71:4,8,19,21,24	130:20 131:2,8,12	GLOSTER 1:4,12	147:22
52:17,20 62:17,19	72:9,11,16 74:8	131:15,18,21	1:16 2:4,14 3:12	go 5:18 6:17 8:8
67:8 68:5 98:10	74:17,20 75:18,20	133:4,15,19,23,25	4:4,20,22 6:7 7:22	13:3 19:3 23:3
101:13,13,14,20	76:18 77:4,11	134:3,6,8 137:8	8:17 10:1,5 11:7	34:15 38:15 50:6
102:3,8,24 103:8	80:22 82:15,17	137:16 140:10,15	12:10,14,21 13:2	63:6 70:23 75:12
L	1	1	۱	<u> </u>

DTI (+44)207 4041400 www.DTIGlobal.com 8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street

Page 159

105:7 106:18	5:1	happily 122:8	hitting 72:5	implications 50.24
110:17 116:3	Goye 142:12	happy 147:18	HMRC's 4:8 8:12	implications 59:24 import 74:24
121:16 127:21	Goye's 147:17	Happy 147.18 Hastings 84:11	hold 147:8,15	importance 128:3
129:5 134:18	gradually 48:5	97:7 111:17,24	honest 1:10	important 11:14
135:22 136:25	Grant 87:24	112:1 116:13,16	hopeful 72:19	34:25 45:22 103:9
		116:17 118:10	hours 83:14	
138:5,8 143:20 145:5	grasp 46:22	121:11 125:5		137:1,21
	grateful 104:18 106:13 147:25		house 34:18,19,23	importantly 70:1 imposes 47:2
goes 8:5 15:11		126:1,14 137:7	59:7 77:15 85:9 85:21 86:12 87:17	imposes 47.2 imposing 140:6
17:10,25 47:20	great 25:17 74:21	Hatherley 141:22		1 0
52:5 94:24 95:8	132:11 134:21,23	headed 4:7 8:12	118:11,13,15	impression 1:16
118:18 122:24	135:10,20	heading 135:2 138:7	126:2,15	inaccurately 96:13
127:10 136:7	greater 7:3 27:17		hundreds 30:10	inaudible 105:4
137:8,23 144:6	40:5 44:4,9,21	headings 7:14	Ī	110:24 140:5
going 1:19,20,20	54:1 58:16 59:2	headnote 112:6	i.e 40:4 120:23	inception 138:17
2:23 3:15 5:4 7:6	105:7 123:1	113:2 142:16,17	145:18	139:8
8:20 14:11,13	greatest 119:21	143:1,12	idea 48:2 81:17	include 22:12 50:21
16:24 17:11,20	gross 93:4	heads 133:1	104:20	50:22 53:2 94:20
18:3,19 20:8 22:8	ground 24:10 30:12	hear 1:18 69:2	identical 67:17	Included 89:5
26:12,13 28:13	30:14	heard 78:23	68:21	including 3:4 18:15
29:12 31:14 32:17	growing 102:4	hearing 93:16	identification	53:23 74:14
32:23 34:1 35:3	109:17 110:6	hearings 8:1		income 30:8 48:20
35:16,22 36:13,15	120:7,18 128:4	heart 99:18 100:7	144:18 145:13	59:1,19 60:6,7
39:5,22 41:4,16	130:4 136:21	held 21:10 27:6	identified 109:13	73:18 89:7,9 90:1
41:24 46:24 49:18	growth 144:10	83:15 85:9 93:8	identifier 62:12	90:21 103:17
49:25 52:13 53:13	Gulliver 56:8 84:11	109:11 135:23	identifies 68:23	106:11 120:19
64:6 66:14 68:4,7	84:21 111:18	137:3	identify 5:21 47:10	121:2 128:4,7
68:15 76:15 79:1	121:11 126:1	help 47:4 57:10	62:15 64:9 81:4	132:9,13,23 133:5
79:3 80:11 81:1	н	63:16 90:5 99:9	98:19 107:14	136:21 138:13
84:20 93:18 106:6		132:4 143:9	133:10	139:4,5
106:6 109:14,23	half 86:10	helpful 85:7	IIA 5:17 8:7 21:5	inconsistent 8:3
110:14,17 114:7	half-yearly 36:16	helps 143:6,7,17	50:19	increase 29:15
116:5 118:25	139:15 144:2	hesitate 43:3	ilk 87:18	31:18 40:1,7
120:24 121:18	halfway 22:9 80:3	higher 116:3 121:2	illuminating 83:24	101:18
123:17 127:3	83:11	Hildyard 50:9 51:6	illustrate 23:4	increased 105:18
129:17 130:9	hand 51:18,20	53:16 54:4 99:25	illustrates 25:23	incur 48:9,10
133:13 144:19,20	129:19,20 133:19	hindsight 10:18	55:22	incurred 119:6,9
good 1:5 54:18	133:23 134:3,4	39:1	illustration 33:21	119:10 122:10
55:12,18 107:19	handed 3:3 134:3,6	historic 87:23	immediate 62:4	indebtedness 23:1
116:21 127:4	happen 34:22 77:3	134:8 139:7	66:4	independent 75:4
Goslings 25:22	77:10,15	historically 47:20	immediately 32:11	index 40:8 41:6,7,9
40:14 48:11 83:10	happened 41:21	48:2 107:1 109:10	37:23 39:15 56:5	41:17,25 42:9
governed 84:8 94:9	48:8 124:6	132:16	57:9,25 64:25	44:16 45:20 46:6
Government 70:13	happening 67:8	history 47:13 48:19	71:21 98:18	indicate 97:3
95:22,23	happens 33:23 42:7	59:3 128:9 132:4	121:12	indicated 84:6
Goy 1:25 2:1,2,7,8	56:2	132:5	impinges 96:2	85:21
			•	•

DTI (+44)207 4041400

				Page 160
indication 141:7	intention 5:10	48.14 15 22 40.1	01.7 0 0 10 10 22	121.12 24 122.2
		48:14,15,22 49:1	91:7,9,9,10,19,22	131:13,24 132:2
indicia 42:14 45:9	14:25 34:17	49:4,8,15,16,20	92:7,8,11,12,22	132:22 133:8,11
46:12 106:14	109:23	50:23 51:18,21	92:23 93:2,3,6,13	134:12,13 135:7,9
individual 69:16	interchangeably	52:4,12 53:4,23	93:21,25 94:4,12	135:13,18,25
72:19 79:24 81:23	138:16	54:1,7,16,17,20	94:16,17,18,22,25	136:3,14,22,23,24
individuals 70:10	interest 5:15 6:14	54:24,24 55:4,5,9	95:6,11,14,15,17	137:3,4,11,18,19
87:1 95:15 96:4	6:21,24 7:2,7,16	55:13,21,22 56:3	95:17,19,21,25	137:23 138:8,14
inescapable 127:12	8:25 9:3,7,9,14,16	56:6,8,17,19,21	96:3,3,4,6 97:14	138:17,19,20,21
inevitable 127:3	9:21 10:4,17,22	57:11 58:9,10,18	97:17,19,23,24	139:9,9,13,18,21
inevitably 75:22	11:1,9,11,18,23	58:20,21 59:6,24	98:4,4,5,9,11,15	139:23,24,25
influential 10:9	11:25 13:10,21	60:11,16,20 61:23	98:16,21,22,23	140:4,4,7,20
ingredient 45:4	14:6,7,8,10,10,12	61:25 62:5,8,10	99:7 100:15,17,20	141:2,5,9,13,14
140:4	14:23 15:4,13,13	62:12,14,15,19,22	100:21,24 101:12	141:24 142:14,18
initially 95:21	15:13,14,19,23	63:1,2,5,8,10,14	101:19,20 102:1,3	143:3,4,12,24
initio 36:10	16:2,4,5,9,25 18:2	63:25 64:1,18,20	102:8 103:5,20	144:1,4,11,14
injuries 54:21	18:6,12,17,23	64:22,25 65:10,13	104:3 105:22,22	145:2,4,11,17
126:12	19:18,19,20,20	65:15,16,19,20,20	105:24,24 106:2,8	146:8,11,11,22,24
injury 93:22 94:1	20:1,7,23 21:1,23	65:24 66:2,3,4,7,8	106:15,19,20,24	147:2,4,8,15
Inland 81:22	22:6,13,19,22,25	66:10,14,24 67:1	107:2,4,5,7,7,9,12	interest' 138:12,17
innumerable 41:12	23:6,8 24:1,3,4,8	67:2,4,5,13,21,24	107:17,20,21	143:2
inquiries 57:10	24:11,11,12,13,17	67:25 68:8,10,18	108:12,14,15,16	interesting 87:23
insofar 6:15 14:11	24:20 25:2,12,24	68:20,20,23 69:1	108:19 109:3,4,7	88:1 129:14
97:3 113:18	26:3,4,5,7,20 27:7	69:17,18,19,24	109:7,8,12,17	Interestingly 91:14
116:25	27:7,10,14,20,24	70:2,3,5,7,15,17	110:22 111:2,3,5	International 1:9
insolvency 1:15,21	28:13,14,20,21,25	72:12,13,14,23,24	111:8,9,11,12,14	intervening 51:15
2:17 3:17 4:18	29:1,6,6,18,22,24	73:1,5,8,12,13,21	111:15 112:15,20	introduce 10:3
6:2,4 10:15,20	30:3,5,5,7,13,14	73:21 74:1,2,8,12	113:7,13,18,20	introduced 60:9
17:13 20:14 24:4	30:21,23 31:3,4	74:14,24 75:2,4,7	114:3,4,7,10,16	64:10 135:12
instance 42:2	31:12,13 32:3,4	75:9,15,17,24	114:24 115:12,13	introduction 10:2
instances 54:16	33:2,6,9,11,17	76:2,4,13,19,20	115:18 116:6,10	invest 82:2
institution 46:18	34:12,14,21,22,24	76:25 77:5,13,14	116:22 117:1,1,4	invested 81:25
instructed 2:9	35:2,6,7,11,24	77:17 78:1,2,7,10	117:5,10,12,13,14	85:13 116:18
instructions 46:3	36:6,14,15,18,20	78:21,24 79:1,11	117:17,18,23	121:12
56:23	36:21,21,24 37:3	80:11,12,16,19,19	118:4,19,21,22	investment 106:4
instrument 19:8	37:3,13,25 38:3,4	81:3,3,5,6,10,11	119:2 120:3,7,13	106:17 109:15
	38:7,9,11,13,14	81:19 82:6,11,13	120:21 121:5,8,8	
22:15,18 28:5 29:2 106:4 109:17	, , , , ,	, ,	, ,	110:23 112:14,15 112:20 120:23
	38:18,20,24 39:7	83:1,2,6,8,9,19,20	122:10,13,18	
128:5	39:18,19,25 40:9	83:23 84:13 85:14	123:11,13,14,15	investments 120:24
instruments 28:21	40:20 41:13,15,16	85:19,23 86:7,8	123:20,20,22,25	121:1,3,16,18,24
46:18	41:22 42:1,4,14	86:14 87:7,8,10	124:14,24 126:6,8	123:17 128:6
intended 10:14	42:15 43:7,13,16	87:15,18,20,25	126:16,20 127:4,7	involuntary 75:11
38:17 47:5 57:5	43:17,23 44:3,25	88:6,6,12,15,23	127:9,19,23,25	involved 63:3 72:13
61:3 72:6 106:18	45:5,5,5,10,23,25	88:24,25 89:6,9	128:1,7,11,12,15	95:12 145:10
133:7 136:1,6	46:6 47:2,3,5,7,17	89:12,18,21 90:4	128:18,25 129:6	involves 10:24
139:11 141:8	47:23,24 48:9,11	90:8,13,14,17,25	130:1,4 131:3,4,6	62:17

www.DTIGlobal.com 8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street

				Page 161
irreconcilable	120:1 125:5,6,15	60:1 61:2,18	122:12,16 123:4	114:24 115:17,19
53:19	125:19 131:22	63:15,20,23 64:4	123:12,16 124:13	116:3 117:11,14
irrelevant 131:9	142:11 143:21	64:11,15 65:3,6	125:3,11,20,22	117:14,17,20,22
irrelevantly 49:24	judgments 7:8	65:12 67:3 68:25	126:7,13 127:5,14	118:3,8,22,23,25
irrespective 26:13	32:19 33:7 42:19	69:3,8,10,12	127:18,21 129:10	119:1 120:1,5,8
26:16 63:25 69:18	42:22 54:2 55:21	70:19,20 71:2,5	129:17,22 130:6	120:10 121:17,25
ISDA 50:10	55:24 56:6,19	71:12,14,16,18,20	130:12,19,23	124:15 127:19,24
Islamic 29:3	75:9,10 88:19	71:23 72:8,10,15	131:5,10,13,16,19	127:25
issue 2:13 12:11	114:14	73:20,22 74:4,6	133:3,13,17,21,24	knowing 2:11
21:15,17 50:17,18	July 8:7	74:11,18 75:8,19	134:2,4,7 137:6	69:24
52:25 59:10 87:13	June 94:8	76:13 77:1,7	137:12 140:9,14	knowledge 2:10
87:19 89:18	jurisdiction 126:16	80:20 82:13,16,21	140:16,22 141:11	144:19
issues 2:16,17 3:6,8	126:20	83:3,9,24 84:7,7	142:2,8 143:6,10	known 22:20 67:19
3:22 4:10 7:25	Justice 1:4,12,16	84:15,19,24 85:3	144:12,17,24	83:12 86:11 115:3
29:21 105:7	1:24 2:2,4,14 3:12	86:21,23 87:22	145:5,9,22 146:3	120:17 129:9
item 19:6 53:11	4:4,7,20,22 5:16	88:7,16,17,25	146:5,11,14	knows 38:25
	6:7,10 7:22 8:9,14	89:13,16,18,23	147:22	115:22
J	8:16,17 10:1,5	90:5,10,12,19,24	± 17,22	
James 113:16	11:7,8,21 12:10	91:2,11,14 92:9	K	L
114:1 115:4	12:14,21 13:2,23	92:15,20 93:5,14	KC 88:10	Labour 95:22
January 94:2	14:2,19 15:2,22	93:18,20,24 94:23	keep 138:1	Lady 1:4,5,10,12
Jefford 90:16 93:17	16:12,16,22 18:4	96:8,19,22 97:1,6	Kennedy 73:22	1:16,18 2:4,8,14
93:19 97:8	18:11 19:6 22:24	99:8,11,14,25	kept 20:18 24:6	2:20,21 3:10,12
Johnston 110:12	23:11,17 24:2,10	100:8,9,22,24	key 23:25 99:5	4:4,20,22,23,24
judge 92:13 99:25	24:19,24 25:4,8	101:2,2,7,9,21	119:22	6:7 7:22,23 8:17
107:17 108:11	25:11,15,19 26:6	102:5,10,13,14,18	Kidwell 96:16	10:1,5,7 11:7
112:16 131:22	26:11,18,25 27:13	102:23 103:3,10	kind 12:2,6 39:23	12:10,13,14,16,21
146:23	27:21 28:15 29:15	103:21 104:3,16	106:5 110:22,23	13:1,2,23 14:2,4
judge's 19:14 87:9	30:12,16,20 31:10	104:19 105:1,14	110:24 134:22	15:22,24 16:12,14
judges 103:19	31:19,23 32:5,19	106:13,19,25	136:4,22,24	16:16,18,22 25:19
129:3,4	33:4,14 34:1,7	107:6,9,13 108:10	137:23	25:22 26:6,11,18
judgment 12:15	35:13 36:2,9	108:18,22 109:1	kinds 109:3	26:19,25 27:13,16
21:17 50:22,25	37:11 38:22 39:8	109:15,19,23	Kingdom 59:6,9,15	27:21 28:15 29:15
51:2,19 52:12	39:10,22 40:13,24	110:7,9,15,25	60:25	30:12,15,16,20
54:6,8,8,10,17	41:3,19 42:11,22	111:12,20,23	knew 85:20	31:10,16,19 32:5
56:4,12,18 57:2	42:25 43:5,11,19	112:3,18,22 113:1	know 2:6 4:24 7:17	32:23 33:21 39:22
58:16 72:12,14,20	44:14 45:2,7 46:2	113:5,6,10,16,17	12:14 25:8 30:1	40:12,13,22,24
73:12 74:15,24,25	46:10,14,16,23	113:22,24 114:1,3	34:10 35:22 36:1	41:1,3,19 42:11
75:1,4,13,17	47:14 48:22 49:6	114:11 115:3,4,14	36:7 37:16 38:7	42:16,22 45:7,19
76:13,15,18,23	49:11,21 50:9,13	115:17,24 116:5,9	38:12 40:7 45:16	46:2,10,14 48:22
79:9 80:2 86:12	50:15 51:6 53:12	116:23 117:3,8,16	47:8,14 57:8	48:25 49:6,11,21
89:5,8 91:22,25	53:16 54:4,11	117:20,22 118:1,9	60:18 65:6 68:15	49:23 53:12 54:11
92:2,4,6 93:6	55:19 56:1,10,12	118:18 119:19,23	75:25 76:14,16	55:19,25 56:1,10
99:22 100:10	56:15,16 57:1,11	120:10,13,16,22	92:16 101:2	56:15,18 57:11,15
101:16 115:5	57:15,18 58:5,6	121:6,14,22 122:5	110:16,25 114:6	58:6,7 69:3,8,9,12
	0,.10,1000.0,0		,	, , , , ,
	I		I	I

DTI (+44)207 4041400

Page 1	162
--------	-----

				Page 102
70.10 71.14 19 20	62:18 86:14 87:10	61.11 12 67.4 10	79.25 70.2 7 12	26.25 27.4 5 6 24
70:19 71:14,18,20		61:11,13 67:4,10 92:2 115:22 116:4	78:25 79:2,7,13 79:15	36:25 37:4,5,6,24
72:10,11,15 75:8 75:19 76:13 77:1	93:23 105:2	116:5,21 117:23	loans 78:25 83:16	39:7 42:18 47:15 50:8 54:13 55:7
	113:24 127:15,16	,		
77:7 80:20,22	128:10 132:24	119:6,8,10,13,18	137:14	55:14,16 58:8,18
82:13,16 83:3,4	133:6	123:19 124:11,16	Local 59:11 78:14	60:14,15 62:17
83:24 87:22 88:3	lawyer 103:13	124:17 125:2,25	78:16,20 79:4	67:13 71:15 74:23
88:7,16 89:16,18	leads 52:23	126:3 127:13,18	80:8,13	75:18,18,25 76:14
89:20,23 90:5,10	leant 29:12	liable 113:4 114:25	logical 58:19	78:18,25 81:2,18
90:19 91:11 93:18	learned 1:6,7 2:12	118:4 122:18	London 83:12	86:8 88:9 97:4,12
93:19,20 94:23	5:1,6 10:8 11:12	123:1 142:18	long 19:16 26:14,23	97:21 98:7,18,19
99:8,9,11,13,14	11:22 25:6 47:12	liberty 8:19	34:3 37:7 39:1	99:6 100:9 106:3
99:17,24 100:8,22	62:2 84:2 90:6,9	lie 40:21 100:6	76:15,22 79:13	106:9,15,16 113:1
100:24 101:2,9,21	91:5 93:10 99:20	lien 144:8	82:22 110:16	116:24 117:1
101:22 102:14,23	99:25 106:7 129:5	lies 52:7	long-term 110:19	121:10 128:6,6,14
103:2,3,10 104:16	131:21 142:12,14	life 79:4 81:9	long-winded 18:10	128:22 143:12
104:18,19 105:14	143:9 147:17	103:13	longer 26:6 30:22	144:10 147:14
106:13 109:19,23	leave 3:15 31:10	light 70:13 73:25	32:18 48:19 57:20	looks 107:19
110:7 111:20,21	32:1 33:24 34:2	74:21	79:4 81:9 82:14	122:22
111:23 112:3,22	63:15	likewise 137:1	82:20 95:6,24	Lord 1:24 2:2 4:7,9
112:25 113:1,5,10	leaves 11:4 82:24	limited 61:11	132:21	6:10 8:9,14,16
120:22 121:4,14	leaving 41:10 44:15	limiting 47:19	longevity 48:17	11:21 13:4,13
121:20,22 122:4,5	130:14	line 5:4,4 57:1	59:2 77:18 109:14	14:19,24 15:2,8
122:12,16 123:4	led 78:12	115:7	look 9:23 16:9,20	18:4,9,11,15 19:6
124:13 129:17,22	left 33:15 109:24	lines 122:23 124:4	25:23 27:15 45:13	21:4 22:5,24 23:3
130:6,11,12,19	left-hand 135:15	135:3 142:21	54:14,23 58:19,21	23:11,11,17,18,22
133:3,4,13,17,21	legislation 3:17	lining 73:14	58:22 62:13 64:7	24:2,7,10,15,19
133:24 134:2,4,7	4:15 5:14 16:3	liquidated 21:24	72:19 73:13 75:20	24:24 25:3,4,8,11
140:9,10 141:17	30:9,11 47:2,16	liquidation 18:13	75:22 78:5 81:12	25:14,15,16 32:19
143:6,8,10 144:12	47:18 132:6	21:14 22:1,2	86:24 89:3 90:19	33:1,4,10,14,18
144:17,23,24	134:15 140:24	list 3:12 27:15	90:22 98:8 103:15	34:1,7 35:13,25
145:5,24 146:3,5	141:7	106:14	107:24 112:5,6	36:2,9 37:11
146:11,13,14	legislative 29:7	listing 2:6	115:1 121:22,25	38:22 39:8,10,12
147:22	legislator's 5:10	litigation 57:13,16	124:2,19 126:9	42:25 43:3,5,10
Lady's 45:4	Lehman 1:9 46:17	57:23	134:14 135:2	43:11,19,24 44:13
Ladyship 103:11	57:18	little 2:5 50:5	141:25 142:17	44:14,24 45:2
language 8:2 12:3	Lehmans 46:20	102:12	145:9	46:16,21,23 47:14
62:17 105:3 109:2	lender 48:1	live 4:16 103:14	looked 2:5 3:18	47:20 50:13,14,15
133:7 142:3	length 26:16 74:19	loan 27:11 28:5,18	19:2 47:14 50:1	50:24 53:15 56:12
large 70:10 71:1	75:7 77:8	28:19,20 29:1	53:22 85:5 138:14	56:16 57:1,9,18
98:6	lent 48:20	34:9 35:3,20	141:16	57:24 58:2,5 60:1
lasted 37:8	let's 31:16,20 33:4	36:23 37:1,14	looking 4:5 7:11	61:2,18 63:15,20
lasts 33:5 34:12	33:4 34:7,10	38:16,18 42:20	8:11 12:8,14 14:5	63:23 64:2,4,9,11
145:17	101:16 123:16	43:12,15 57:4	15:19 16:5,12,15	64:13,15 65:3,5,6
late 1:24 10:16 65:4	liabilities 96:5	62:25 64:18,24	16:16,18 17:19	65:12 67:3,16
law 1:21 12:4 17:13	liability 47:25 49:5	65:9,14,17 74:10	19:20 32:8 35:7,9	68:25 69:10 70:20
		l	1	

DTI (+44)207 4041400 www.DTIGlobal.com 8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street

				Page 163
71.2 5 12 16 22	Lordship 104:13	13:8 23:16 67:12	meanings 104:1	101:16 102:3
71:2,5,12,16,23 72:8 73:20,22	107:25 108:6	master 50:10 73:11	means 11:10 15:9	101.10 102.5
,	107.23 108.0	74:5		
74:4,6,11,18,20			22:18 24:3 38:3	132:8 136:25
79:8 80:1,17 84:7	145:25	material 3:24 4:24	49:12 59:9 67:24	144:20
84:15,18,19,24	Lordship's 106:22	matter 3:3 5:11	117:18 130:22	money 18:24 19:22
85:1 86:21,22,23	107:3 124:20	7:13 13:16 15:15	147:3	19:23 20:7,19
88:17,22,25 89:13	Lordships 99:24	16:4 24:11 25:21	meant 59:24 80:11	24:21,22 27:8
90:12,15,16,24	losing 82:2	29:17 30:2 37:1	mechanism 45:25	28:1,2,24 29:11
91:1,2,14 92:3,9	loss 120:25 121:13	45:3,21 60:14	61:9,10	29:13 30:18 31:1
92:15,20 93:5,9	121:15,23 122:6,9	65:19 74:3 76:6	member 59:13	31:7,10,24 33:14
93:14 94:14 96:8	122:16 123:6	83:17 103:20	mention 139:2	33:20 34:5 36:13
96:11,19,21,22	lot 2:17 29:20	105:9 120:16	mentioned 94:21	37:9 38:5,12,19
97:1,6,10 100:9	80:24 132:3	matters 44:25	mere 32:1 33:1	40:6 41:2,20 42:4
102:5,10,13,16,18	lots 61:15	45:14 46:19 49:7	103:8	42:6,9 48:21
103:5,21 104:3,11	lump 28:6	49:24 57:23	merely 80:12 96:3	62:23 65:9,22,22
104:25 105:1	Lumsden 78:7,13	126:12 132:5	98:16	65:25 66:1 70:3
106:19,25 107:6,9	85:6	Mayor 78:6,13	mess 140:6	82:3 83:19,20
107:13 108:10,17		79:23 81:14	method 40:3,10	86:9,10 88:2 89:6
108:18,22 109:1,5	M	mean 18:5,7 24:2	middle 87:12 122:1	90:13 92:18 94:18
110:9,12,15,25	magic 124:25	24:20 25:9 26:11	124:3 146:19	97:15 105:17
111:12 112:18	main 6:18 87:13	27:15 30:1 33:1	million 26:12	123:24 125:13
113:6,9,16,17,22	99:5	34:2,13 35:14	mind 6:11 40:14,24	129:8,15 130:2,16
113:24 114:1,3,11	Majesty's 1:7	37:11 40:3 44:18	45:17 54:14 78:22	130:20,25 131:3
115:4,14,17,24	majority 76:21	47:6 48:4,10	102:6 107:13	132:22 135:18
116:5,9,23 117:3	118:12	55:23 56:16 57:1	142:6	138:15 145:1,3
117:8,16,20,22	making 15:24 18:7	57:2 61:4,14 64:3	mindful 45:18	146:22
118:1,6,9,17,18	18:8,9 35:5,23	70:9 74:8 75:14	minds 47:18	monies 40:18,19
119:4,19,21,23	36:17 40:22 59:18	76:18 77:12 78:5	minimum 116:4	63:8,10 82:8
120:10,13,16	60:19 61:24 65:7	88:18 91:17 92:15	Minor 4:7	109:24 121:12
121:6 123:12,16	66:9 78:16 99:18	92:21 98:14 103:1	minuses 15:25	month 67:7,7 83:14
124:13,19 125:3	104:25 107:25	103:7 106:19	minutes 69:4	month's 38:6
124.13,19 125.3	115:15 119:4	107:16 109:14,16	Miscellaneous	monthly 36:16 47:9
125:22 126:7,13	127:10	110:7 117:3,16	86:14 87:11 93:23	106:8
127:1,5,11,14,18	manifestly 141:12	121:19,25 124:14	misleading 111:19	months 3:20 33:5,6
127:21 130:23,24	manner 64:21	125:3 128:19	misunderstood	34:20,23 38:21
· · · · · ·	95:14 96:3			2
131:2,5,10,13,16	March 2:8	129:6 132:9,15	118:12	41:22,23,24 43:12
131:19 137:6,8,12	margin 98:6	137:9,12 138:7	mix 118:24	43:14,15 57:5
137:16 140:14,16	margin 98.0 mark 27:18	140:17 141:12,13	mixture 15:14	months' 41:5 102:8
140:22 141:1,11	mark 27.18 marked 85:3	143:3 145:15,24	Mm 16:22 46:2	morning 1:5,5
141:21,22 142:2,8		146:16	Mm-hm 117:7	25:17 141:17
145:9,21,22,23	markets 48:13	meaning 24:12	modern 49:9	mortgage 34:19,20
Lords 59:7 85:9,21	marking 83:5	71:3 89:6 111:15	modified 11:2	34:21,23 77:14,15
86:12 87:17	Marris 8:2,22,24	128:16,19 131:23	moment 2:16 15:24	79:2 81:8 95:25
118:11,13,15	9:20 10:11,25	138:10 139:7	47:15 79:17,18	143:23
126:2,15	11:6,15 12:18	141:6,9	85:13,14 101:12	mortgages 38:21
			•	·

				Page 164
moved 105:12	North 110:11	obtained 50:25	onwards 113:7	11:17 22:13 23:7
moving 41:18	note 3:23,25 35:1	51:2,3,19 52:12	open-ended 34:8	26:2,14,24 28:10
mustn't 55:23	54:3 87:20 139:15	54:6	34:10	28:17 30:18,22
	noted 44:7 57:24	obvious 47:16	opening 132:22	31:2 32:10 35:12
<u> </u>	60:17 62:7	61:19 78:24 99:21	134:19	38:1,8,17 40:6,19
N 149:1	notes 53:19 85:18	obviously 2:10 3:19	operated 60:22	42:5 56:3 74:19
name 112:9	86:8	20:23 26:22 37:12	95:4	77:9 78:3,8 99:4
naturally 28:3	notice 73:4	38:11 45:16 48:25	operates 95:5	109:24 137:15
nature 16:1 20:22	notices 134:19	56:23 59:2 64:3	operation 132:24	145:18
33:25 71:9 75:14	noting 4:12 21:16	75:6 76:14 99:5	opinion 21:21	overnight 33:14
75:25 77:17 88:20	22:6	99:23 102:15	73:23,24 83:19	43:13,21 44:18
106:17 109:14,16	notion 138:12	occasion 59:8	opportunity 2:18	48:23 49:16
138:8	notional 104:21,22	occasions 64:20	4:25	133:22
nearly 140:24	104:23	occurred 3:17 17:6	opposed 91:9	Overseers 31:22
necessarily 7:19	notwithstanding	82:4 92:5 97:20	127:14 136:23	oversight 4:1
15:25 23:20 24:16	21:12	occurrence 20:4	138:22	owed 114:9
26:1 34:24 49:3	November 148:3	67:10	order 27:13 55:17	owners 78:14 79:5
64:2 77:12 98:18	Nowadays 60:11	occurs 4:18 10:21	84:5,12 89:1	80:15
103:1 104:6 108:8	number 2:21 3:5,8	17:25 65:13,23	91:21,23 111:22	
necessary 45:4 46:8	63:4 70:10 97:22	66:1 68:6 122:16	126:24	P
need 2:16 4:10 7:19	114:20 117:8	October 1:1	ordered 82:5,18	page 6:9 8:21 9:4,4
33:23,24 59:23	numerals 135:15	odd 119:2	orders 55:12	9:23 21:7 50:11
62:13 64:7 90:22		oddity 40:16,16	ordinarily 32:4	50:14 51:13 52:24
104:16 125:8,12	0	Ogston 129:11	77:25 127:1	69:14 72:22,22
133:24 134:18	objective 29:3	Oh 72:15	128:19 144:2	73:13 74:6 80:2
needed 73:7	obligation 8:24,25	okay 26:11 58:6	ordinary 24:12	82:21,23 83:4
needs 7:17 30:20	18:11 28:9 36:4,5	113:5 122:5	29:17 31:1 35:19	85:4,19 86:24
37:16	38:3,16 39:5,17	143:14	48:16 78:21	87:12,21,22 88:10
neither 69:1 98:25	46:7 47:3,7,11	old 60:8	106:22 128:19	89:4 90:20 92:4
never 40:14,24	49:3 60:19 61:22	older 129:3	original 13:17	94:6,14 96:12,15
107:13 111:2	62:4,4,5,14,19	on-going 139:11	14:14 15:1,15,16	115:6,8 121:22
141:19 147:20	69:25 70:8 75:14	once 9:17 10:21	16:4,8,10,13,16	122:22,24 124:2,3
new 10:2	75:15,16,23 77:9	30:21 52:12 98:24	53:22 54:9 56:4	135:3,16 141:21
nine 7:13	77:11 78:3,18,19	98:25 118:9,13	58:12 70:24	143:21,21,22
nominally 38:20	81:4,13 84:3 91:8	122:16 130:7,8	116:21 125:25	146:19
non-accrual 101:4	98:20 99:4 112:22	144:12,19	originally 20:9	pages 8:8 30:10
non-defaulting	125:6 127:22,25	one's 19:23 48:17	48:18 92:5	79:9
51:23 52:1	128:1 132:3	one-off 108:8 110:4	origins 48:2 69:20	paid 14:13 17:20
non-yearly 107:7	144:21 145:1,2	130:5,6	87:24	18:19 19:16 20:1
139:17	obligations 21:25	one-off' 138:22	ought 134:14	22:18 26:3,16
normal 60:3	55:3	ones 110:19	outset 36:10 117:2	27:16,21 29:12
normally 14:9	obliged 93:7 112:12	oneself 128:18	119:7,9 132:2	33:12 36:22,24
27:24 34:21 39:7	112:18 123:10	ongoing 110:14	136:2,19	38:18 44:1 45:25
40:19 57:3 63:9	observation 137:13	128:6 138:20	outside 59:14 60:25	54:8,21 57:3,12
76:24 77:16	obstacle 111:7	onset 22:15	outstanding 6:22	61:7 62:10 64:1
		•	•	

				Fage 105
64:18,20 67:20	87:3 103:22	35:13 36:2,9	18:12 36:14,15	performing 18:23
68:4 69:19,24	104:22 111:23	37:11 38:22 39:8	38:9 49:4 70:2	period 6:15 9:10
70:15 73:18 74:1	143:18 146:16,18	39:10 47:14 50:13	89:8 122:8	10:23 11:10 12:12
74:16,17 76:4,10	147:21	50:15 60:1 61:2	payment 5:22 6:19	12:25 18:25 19:23
76:10 77:5,9,19	particular 5:18,19	61:18 65:3,6,12	9:2,8,11 10:4,12	22:15 23:1,6
78:1,8 79:1,18,24	8:5,7,15,20,22	67:3 86:21,23	10:16,25 11:19,25	24:23 25:13,25
80:19 81:7,7,10	11:21 16:3 19:23	88:17,25 92:9,15	18:5 19:7,8,22	27:17,23 28:3,16
86:2,9 87:16	28:5,9,19 30:3	92:20 100:9	20:24 21:22,24	28:19,23 30:17
92:12,22 93:2,3,4	48:9,21 52:3,23	106:19,25 107:6,9	22:21 24:5,21,25	32:10,17 34:6,9
95:15 97:17 98:2	53:9 54:3,7,15	107:13 108:10,18	27:8,18 28:1,24	35:10,18,23 36:14
98:21 102:1 103:5	57:16 59:21 63:13	108:22 109:1	32:11 35:2,5 36:1	36:16 37:10 38:8
109:19 122:18	69:20,23 74:9	110:15,25 111:12	36:7,12,17 37:7,8	38:19 39:1,2,19
130:7,8,8,10,14	75:2,5,24 76:20	124:13	37:16,21,25 42:1	39:20 40:4,11
130:20,21 142:25	78:10,10,15 79:21	pause 112:11	47:11,19 52:20,21	41:21 42:6 51:15
144:4 145:20	80:10 81:2,15	135:22	58:11 59:5,17,18	54:23 62:8,10,11
paragraph 5:5,5,8	97:11,12,25 99:3	paving 78:16	60:15,15,18,19,23	63:2 64:1,23,24
6:12,17,24 7:2,4,6	100:4 101:12	pay 28:9 38:6 41:8	61:20,25 62:7,22	65:2,10 66:5
8:21 9:5,6,24 10:7	103:16 105:10,19	41:10,16 48:10,11	63:1,9,11,25	69:18 75:21 76:12
10:8 11:13,18	107:11,13 115:19	61:23 62:5 67:5	64:19 65:1,13	77:8,24 78:3 79:1
12:15,19 18:18	126:10 138:10	75:13,15,16 79:25	66:5,7 67:2 69:18	80:10 81:19,21
19:4,11 21:6,8,17	120.10 138.10	80:9 83:23 84:12	75:11,21,24 76:6	82:7,13,19,20
22:10 44:1,3,5	particularly 5:25	85:14 108:24	83:7 88:5 91:25	83:13 91:24 92:1
50:3,13,14,18,21	60:24 95:14	110:2 114:7,25	92:1,10,17 97:20	95:6,25 97:5,18
51:5,15 52:24	129:14	117:23 118:4	98:9,24 99:2	97:22 98:1,6 99:3
53:2,12,13,18	particulars 73:2	123:10 124:25	100:19 101:12,20	100:17 104:4,7
58:14 74:23 82:22	parties 51:14 96:10	125:6 127:19,22	100.19 101.12,20	106:12 108:2,12
83:4,11 100:10,13	125:7 126:14,24	127:25 128:1	124:14,17 125:13	· · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	127.23 128.1 142:18 143:23	124.14,17 123.13	111:4,6 114:6,24 117:11 118:22
102:17 104:11,15 107:17 124:3,5	partner 87:4,4	142.18 143.23	<i>i i</i>	117.11 118.22
,	partnership 59:12	,	129:7,15 130:1,24	
138:5 139:3,4,6	party 51:23 52:1	payable 6:15,24,25	136:1,15,17	123:18 130:18,21
140:1,13,14,18	86:17,18	7:2 8:23 9:14,17	139:17 142:22	139:22 140:2,10
146:18,19	passage 5:18 19:25	10:13 14:23 22:16	144:7 145:5,11,12	140:20 141:12
paragraphs 6:18	21:16 22:5 91:16	23:5,6,10 28:21	145:13 146:3,5,7	146:4,12
7:21,23 51:7	92:18 115:4,6	29:1 35:24 39:15	payments 10:14	periodically 136:22
100:1,3 101:8	143:20	43:7,13 44:3 53:6	13:11 29:5 30:1	periods 6:22 49:14
138:7 139:20	passages 85:2	54:24 55:21 63:8	36:20 60:11,24	145:17
140:18 Dauliana ant 47.4	passing 97:2	67:7 68:12 69:16	63:4 96:4 106:8	periods' 139:16
Parliament 47:4	pathetic 31:10,11	75:7 85:20 100:16	106:11 134:13	permanence 106:6
70:25 106:24	PATTEN 2:2 4:7	100:17,21 107:18	135:19,19 136:20	106:17 109:13
140:5 141:8	8:9,14 14:19 15:2	122:14 135:8,19	138:15,21 139:13	110:5,23 120:20
part 6:14 13:18	18:4,11 22:24	136:11,18,21	pays 65:21	138:20 139:12
15:6 17:3 21:16	23:11,17 24:2,10	139:15 147:9,16	pending 57:3	permanent 136:5
23:13,15 40:21	24:19,24 25:4,8	147:19	people 49:18 70:1	permitted 53:24
44:5 46:25 48:18	25:11,15 32:19	payer 35:21 60:1	perfectly 43:6	113:24
48:20 49:18 60:8	33:4,14 34:1,7	paying 6:21 18:6	performed 14:14	person 46:1 48:20
			<u> </u>	

www.DTIGlobal.com 8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street

r				Page 166
49:2,4 59:13,14	94:20,24 96:15,17	56:17	11:5,16 14:18	prolonged 57:23
59:17 61:11	96:19 97:16 99:18	preceded 94:3	17:13 95:3,3	proof 17:5 20:20
115:21 134:21	99:21 102:22	precedence 12:4	principles 14:6	53:22
136:12	104:12,24 105:1,2	precise 12:4	94:11	
	104.12,24 103.1,2 106:3 107:17,25	1		proper 21:11
personal 54:21	-	prefaced 13:24	prior 22:7,21 51:24	properties 79:6
93:21 94:1 126:11	114:8 115:15	prejudiced 10:16	95:15 100:2	property 29:16 40:2 80:15 134:22
personally 73:16	119:4 121:6,19	premises 78:15	103:12 124:10,11	
persons 60:24	122:20 125:15	premium 27:4,5,7	146:5	144:9
61:10 134:21	127:10 131:23,24	premiums 27:2	private 95:20	proposition 42:12
136:12	132:15 136:2	prescience 142:2	probably 18:9	45:4,8,12 65:18
perspective 3:1	138:4 139:19	present 21:15	46:19 58:17 99:10	133:25
15:9 29:9 45:22	140:12,17 146:15	37:17 52:21 66:11	105:11 117:21	propositions 136:3
phrase 129:9,14	points 15:24 128:8	80:4 94:8 140:24	127:5	proscribed 51:21
134:12	134:10 139:3	presumably 13:8	problem 58:24	72:1
pick 50:8,12	posed 67:18	25:9 64:4,11	98:13	prospect 31:17
picked 4:1 138:6	position 33:8 48:22	70:21 103:5,22	problems 31:6	prospectively
pithy 88:11	104:20 111:8	130:7	procedure 17:3,22	51:22
Pitt's 132:10	115:22 116:16	presuppose 140:3	134:10 137:20,21	provable 6:14
place 2:9 3:2 5:20	138:3 140:9	pretty 92:25 111:6	138:23 141:5	14:21,22 19:15,16
59:14	possibility 41:11	122:9	147:12	53:3
plain 56:1 135:25	44:21 52:20 67:23	prevailing 34:11	procedures 138:2	prove 20:11,19
plainly 138:19	102:24 103:3,8	prevent 17:23	139:11	proved 6:13,20
plaintiffs 85:12	possible 32:20	previous 13:16,19	proceedings 125:8	7:16 9:12 13:18
play 77:24 129:21	post 37:18,21	14:16	126:25	13:22 15:4,5,12
pleased 1:18	119:12	previously 55:20	proceeds 17:21,21	15:21 16:7,9,24
plenty 127:6	post-dates 52:16	55:24 59:7 60:17	112:8	17:8,12 18:6,13
plus 15:22 41:6	post-judgment	144:18	process 10:20	19:8 20:15 22:11
67:23 84:13	56:17	price 40:8	13:18 15:6 81:2	55:7 58:11 66:16
113:12 123:13,19	post-liquidation	principal 9:3 11:18	processes 32:13	66:18,21 68:14
pluses 15:25	21:23	13:10 14:9 16:24	produce 84:17	97:16 100:19
pm 69:5,7 148:1	postpone 46:25	18:2 19:13 22:18	produced 73:18	101:15 102:1
point 7:14 8:21	potentially 26:19	23:9 26:15 28:9	produces 92:10	119:17 145:12
11:21 12:7,11	79:4	28:12 33:12 35:10	professional	proven 76:1 98:3
13:15 14:3 15:10	pound 86:4	36:23 45:24 66:8	103:13	proves 15:17
15:22 18:8,10	power 92:20 93:25	66:13 68:7 82:6	professions 132:21	provide 18:1
20:25 22:7 23:9	94:4	95:20 113:20,21	profit 86:18 87:2,3	145:16
25:4 35:25 39:23	PPI 69:22	114:9 117:2	126:5,6 132:19	provided 18:17,21
40:21 41:1 43:2	practical 44:20	119:11,14,16,16	profits 28:18 29:13	18:22 65:25 93:24
45:18 51:8 58:19	practice 2:1 18:18	120:5,11,12 123:7	49:12,19 86:3,3	136:10
61:15,19 64:24	37:1	123:13,19 124:24	86:18 87:6,8 90:3	provides 7:15 37:2
65:7,14,18 68:5	practising 105:11	130:11 143:24	113:13,14 115:11	providing 71:25
68:11 69:10 72:11	pre-administration	145:4	115:25 116:6,10	proving 14:17
72:12 76:4,11	22:13,19	principally 96:24	116:19,22 122:19	provision 21:18
79:21 82:24 83:19	pre-existing 124:16	principle 8:4,25	134:20 135:5,8	48:24 58:20 61:5
83:22 89:24 93:5	pre-judgment	10:11,15,24 11:1	136:12,16	64:7 69:14,20
		, ,	,	,
1	1	I	I	1

Page	167
------	-----

				Page 107
92:13 135:11,12	question 13:21	42:23,23 43:4,7,8	63:18,24 69:15	recognise 27:13
135:23 136:6	20:22 23:23 27:18	43:8,15,16,21	73:17 80:4 83:3	52:19
provisions 3:18	32:23 38:10,15	44:1,3,4,5,7,8,9	83:13,16 85:14	recognised 24:17
24:13 29:8,20	45:22 68:20 73:3	44:12,17,19,22,23	86:1 88:14 90:2	29:6 124:11 126:1
41:12 59:3 61:3	73:9 78:1 82:10	45:1,5,6,9 46:11	91:20 94:9 95:10	126:2 128:21
86:15 87:11 93:23	83:5,8 87:13	50:4,19,22,23	100:14 104:14	133:2,8 138:19
94:5 95:9 136:9	90:12 93:1 96:2,6	51:9,18 52:3 53:4	112:8 115:10	recognises 18:18
purchase 40:18,18	97:8 99:3 104:9	53:23,25 54:1,2	123:1 124:7 136:8	128:11
purchase-money	106:22 107:3	56:12,19 58:9,10	136:17	recognising 129:4
142:19,23,24	110:21 116:20	58:10,12,13,15	realised 17:15	recorded 50:17
144:5,7	119:24 120:2	59:19 74:24 75:2	realising 128:22	58:1 96:12
purchased 29:16	124:6,21 131:15	85:15,20,22	reality 17:10 27:7	recording 27:11
purchaser 142:18	141:4	101:16 109:20	127:13,14	records 100:5
142:23	questions 1:21	112:14 113:8,22	really 13:15 39:23	recover 87:6
purely 9:16	95:12 98:20 111:1	112:14 115:0,22	53:13 78:2 84:8	recurrence 62:22
purpose 6:20 9:25	quickly 48:11	114:16,20 115:19	88:20 91:17 96:14	63:3,11 99:2
48:21 57:2	quite 14:19 42:11	116:12 117:5,11	103:9 108:5 124:6	refer 6:5 81:17
purposes 16:21	73:23 80:20 84:24	117:14,17 118:23	132:8 139:6	99:12
19:22 23:23 24:3	87:17 88:1 96:21	120:14,17,17	reason 31:16,21	reference 5:12
29:7,18,24 30:8	103:9 106:21	126:8,18,22 127:7	47:1 57:11 62:23	14:10,14,21,22,25
47:6 51:17 63:24	114:23 116:11	127:12,19 140:6,7	74:13 101:10	15:5,20 16:6
69:15 80:6 82:11	119:1 122:8 127:7	140:7,19,20 143:4	102:16 111:21	25:20,24 26:8
95:20 107:10,21	131:16 132:3,9	143:13,24 147:5	126:15 127:4	27:22,25 28:3,12
111:1 128:2,3	131:10 132:3,5	rates 7:3 31:21	133:10 141:7	28:16,17,23 32:16
pursuant 9:15	quote 110:9	32:20 43:13,21	reasonably 145:10	33:7 35:5,18 38:7
92:12	quote 110.7	44:15,17,18 58:17	reasoning 12:17	39:20,25 40:1,4,7
put 5:8 33:14 34:5	R	114:21 117:6	13:7 23:13	40:11 42:4,9,13
34:11 45:7 48:21	raised 2:17 124:7	139:22,25	reasons 19:5 42:17	42:19 44:16 46:6
79:16 82:8 88:10	raises 20:22	ratio 74:4	54:3 99:23 107:22	46:10,19 60:23
90:9 93:10 107:22	raison 132:1	rationale 134:9	137:10 141:10	62:9 64:8 66:13
putting 78:22	ran 79:25	139:10	receipt 89:11 90:2	76:7 81:19 90:24
104:13	range 46:18	reach 76:3	95:4	91:4 96:13 97:2
104.15	rank 7:1	reached 7:12 13:5	receive 17:11	97:17 98:9 101:19
Q	ranks 6:24	13:13 80:8 114:16	105:17	129:2,11 130:16
QC 96:14,16	rapidly 4:17	read 3:6 4:25 10:1	received 70:5 85:13	130:18 135:1
qualification 17:1	rate 7:2,4,7,7 13:21	11:13 51:5 80:22	95:2 144:2	139:15,22,24
qualify 28:20	16:9,12,25 19:19	89:16 99:24	receives 95:6	referred 21:4 22:11
quality 35:6 80:11	25:20,25 26:7,8,9	104:16,17 109:5	receiving 70:6	100:11,11 115:2
80:18 81:5 98:17	26:20,22,22 27:9	133:22 139:1	recipient's 138:3	referring 86:25
100:20 108:5	27:10 28:7,8 30:3	140:22	recipients 70:16	134:12
137:19	31:11,18 32:21	reading 6:13 9:13	recital 122:22,24	refers 71:14 83:10
quantification	33:1,2,7,8,15	10:3,13 17:14	recite 123:9	85:5 89:25 90:17
52:15	34:12 39:23 40:1	19:15 21:12,21	recites 113:3 123:8	112:16
quarterly 36:16	40:3,11,15 41:17	22:12,19 51:17,25	recognisable 36:10	reflect 10:15
106:8	42:3,13,13,14,18	52:11,18 53:1	36:11	reflecting 41:20
	, , , , , -	02.11,1000.1	20.11	1
	1	I		I

Page	168
Lage	T 0 0

				Page 100
reflective 14:18	86:15 90:7 101:4	41:24 62:24,25	62:5 69:16,19,24	105:2 132:24
reflects 96:11	122:17 137:22	82:18 112:12,18	72:20 73:6 75:21	133:6
Reform 86:14	relatively 49:14	112:24 113:4	87:7,16 98:3	Richards 1:24 5:17
87:10 93:23	relevance 63:13	12.24 113.4	101:4 105:16	6:11 8:16 11:8
refresh 6:11	relevant 5:11 10:23	repayable 27:5	106:11 114:17	13:4 19:6 21:4
				22:5 42:25 43:5
refreshing 1:12	11:10 12:12,23,25 16:8 21:15 24:13	38:21 65:10,24	119:12,21 121:4 130:5 133:25	
Regal 56:8 84:11		repayment 65:22		43:11,19 44:14
84:20 97:7 111:17 111:24 112:1	25:5 32:22 35:18	79:14,16 119:11 119:13	136:15 139:9 143:16 144:23	45:2 46:16,23
	40:5,15 42:18			50:24 53:15 56:12
116:13,15,17	46:12 53:25 54:13	repealed 132:11	respectful 132:4	56:16 57:1,18
118:10 121:11	55:4 62:12 64:8	140:23	141:19	58:5 63:15,20,23
125:5 126:1,13	68:1,18,22 72:25	repeat 102:5	respondent 1:8	64:4,11,15 68:25
137:7	83:25 87:25 90:10	replace 109:2	89:8	70:20 71:2,5,12
regard 66:9,9 92:1	143:20	replaced 3:20	respondents' 13:23	71:16,23 72:8
136:16	relied 110:22	13:17 15:17	13:25	74:4,11,18 84:7
regarded 10:18	relief 3:6 95:16,19	replaces 15:3	rest 129:13 137:13	84:15,19,24 89:13
17:9 31:3 32:4	96:1,4	reply 2:17,19 88:11	rests 119:1 126:23	90:12,24 91:2,14
54:16 55:20 64:22	relies 10:8	report 70:8 72:21	result 54:25	93:5,14 96:8,19
65:1 66:3 81:9	relieved 60:21	82:22 94:6 115:7	results 29:22	96:22 97:1,6
84:7 136:23	reluctance 45:18	reported 96:16	retain 61:6 86:5	101:2 102:5,10,13
139:17	46:5	represent 20:7	93:12 95:18	102:18 103:21
regardless 47:8	rely 54:15	represented 92:7	retained 47:24	104:3 110:9
regime 6:2 7:10,15	remain 76:15	representing 59:19	retaining 91:5	112:18 113:6,17
10:2,4 11:2,4 12:8	remained 78:3	require 137:24	94:22	113:22,24 114:3
14:4 37:5,22	remaining 6:19	required 113:11	retired 2:1,8	114:11 115:14,17
55:16 60:8 67:21	137:14	requirement 22:16	retroactive 21:19	115:24 116:5,9,23
registered 63:19	remains 31:2 76:17	90:23	retrospectively	117:3,8,16,20,22
regularly 92:10	remarkable 132:9	requiring 10:12	111:4,15	118:1,9,18 119:19
regulation 72:2	remedy 79:16	54:18 84:12	return 28:16 29:1	119:23 120:10,13
regulations 71:25	113:12 115:20	reservation 144:2	30:16 35:23 36:3	120:16 121:6
72:7	remember 45:20	reserve 72:4 130:15	36:4 42:2,9 70:5,9	123:12,16 125:3
reimburse 82:5	88:4 118:11	reserved 144:4	70:11 121:24	125:11,20,22
83:7,23 84:3,5	132:16	147:4	returned 121:3	126:7,13 127:5,14
rejected 23:11	remind 100:6 101:5	residence 95:21	returning 121:1	127:18,21 130:23
67:15	104:14	resident 60:12,25	returns 35:21	131:5,10,13,16,19
relate 1:20 71:19	reminded 84:2	135:10	137:25	137:6,12 140:14
related 41:19	removal 103:21	residing 134:21	Revenue 1:7 54:15	140:16,22 141:11
relates 65:7 77:8	remove 77:16	resolves 3:5	55:20 56:25 60:2	142:2,8 145:9,22
relating 52:11	repaid 34:23 64:25	resolving 3:8	60:16 61:2,4 70:9	Richards' 69:10
relation 4:2 7:14	65:15 66:1,17,22	respect 6:15,21	81:15,22 138:1	Richards's 101:7
9:21 12:7,18 14:3	66:23 77:15 82:17	8:25 17:24 18:1,2	Revenue's 33:8	105:1
16:15 17:7 23:15	82:19 97:19	26:3 27:17,21	45:21	Riches 56:8 86:13
37:21 45:19 50:2	123:25 130:11	28:10 33:12 35:3	revise 17:5	87:13 92:24 93:1
50:10 58:9 61:10	repay 8:24 34:19	35:11 36:24 37:25	reward 28:22 42:5	94:19,19 96:13
67:12 74:22 84:23	41:4,5,8,13,22,23	52:12 54:8,21	rewrite 103:22	111:7,17 130:24

Page	169
Luge	T O J

				Page 169
131:2,8	RSJ 21:10	85:7 88:12,22	49:22 50:6 51:13	74:1,1,8,12 124:4
rid 132:24	rule 5:15 6:3,4 8:1	89:3,4,25 92:9	53:21 63:22 64:13	shape 144:8
right 5:11,20,23	8:22 9:8,13,15,16	94:7,15 95:13	68:22 71:21 73:14	shape 144.0 share 54:22 86:17
7:17 9:7,8 10:17	9:22 10:18 11:1,3	96:11 100:12	75:20 79:7,11	shareholders
10:22 11:9,23	11:4,15 18:18	108:23 109:15	80:20 82:21 84:15	116:21
12:6,24 13:2,10	20:2 22:11 44:2	113:16 114:1	88:3,9,18 89:15	shares 116:19
16:1,3 19:18	50:3 53:2 59:21	115:8 135:4	90:22 93:14 94:6	sharia 28:25 29:10
20:23,23 21:22	74:23,23 85:15,16	142:13,17 143:1	98:7,16 102:4,10	sharia-compliant
22:6 24:19,24	89:1,14,15 90:20	142:13,17 143:1	102:14 107:24	29:1
31:19 38:22 44:14	135:25 145:10	schedule 89:7	116:14 119:4	sharing 48:1,20
51:20 52:7,9,14	rules 7:18 8:3,3	103:16 107:4	123:4,24 124:2	Sharpe 25:22 48:12
52:17,20,21 55:4	20:14,14 24:4	132:15,17,17,17	123.4,24 124.2	83:10
55:5,9 71:2 72:4	50:21 52:11 89:2	132:17,18,20	134.14 133.2	sheet 2:6
72:15 79:8 90:9	89:14 90:21 95:21	132:17,18,20	130:19,22 138:24	sheet 2.0 shillings 86:4
				0
93:8,10 99:8,22 99:22 101:11,13	run 144:6	schedules 89:1,14 90:21 103:16	144:3,17 seeing 25:8 86:8	shirking 42:12,16 42:16,21
-	S	scheme 36:8 51:5	seen 21:1 94:19	short 3:25 15:13
101:14,19,22,23	<u>s</u> 143:2	54:22 55:8 66:12	120:1 134:25	39:5 48:15 49:14
102:3,7,15,17,19	sale 116:19	74:22 76:1 97:14	sees 134:19	
102:20,24,25	satisfaction 20:5			64:13 69:6 74:10 70:12 81:0 82:16
103:2,4,7,11	satisfactory 73:24	schemes 96:1	sell 34:19	79:13 81:9 83:16
108:6 111:5 113:1	satisfied 20:10 22:2	scope 127:6,7	sense 3:7 5:7 13:3	142:11 143:21
113:5,7,19 114:13	23:6 37:23 68:11	Scottish 110:9,11	21:2 22:25 23:9	short-term 48:8,13
118:17,18 120:15	73:16 76:23	second 13:15 14:3	23:17,21 25:1	110:18
121:20 122:4	satisfies 35:6	65:25 69:14 72:22	31:5 39:14 41:20	shorter 25:25
123:18 124:23	save 86:7	81:23 83:4,8 85:4	66:16 68:9 75:22	133:25 139:16
125:11,24 127:5	savings 49:20	85:18	76:5 79:14 92:15	shortly 94:3 132:8
130:4 131:10	saving 10:24 13:24	Secondly 128:14	97:25 101:6	shown 23:14 80:6
134:24 137:16	16:18,19 23:19	section 7:8 21:11	102:18 103:9	shows 83:21
141:11 146:13	25:21 32:5,7,24	32:22,22 59:1,5	104:6,8 106:19,22	side 11:23 45:20
147:22	35:14 37:12,20,21	59:25 63:15 69:11	125:4 131:23	115:8 135:15
right-hand 115:7	39:17 40:14 67:16	87:10 88:13 90:10	sent 2:25 8:11	sideline 91:16
rights 5:13 101:18	67:17 68:3,10,21	93:22 111:16	sentence 22:10	sidelined 9:5,23
rise 15:12 32:15	68:21 74:13 91:2	128:24 134:15	separate 12:9 60:14	85:18 124:4
38:3,13 46:6 47:7	97:6 109:10	135:1,15 141:3	133:1	146:17
49:1,3,15 54:9	110:13,20 123:5	147:10,11	September 58:1,3	significance 99:3
55:8 62:6 75:23	138:11 143:11,17	sections 59:22	series 29:5 114:15	134:8 138:21
109:17 124:17	138:11 143:11,17 146:20	142:4	served 3:14	139:12
Rolls 73:11 74:5	says 1:20 16:17	securities 34:16	set 5:2 51:14 100:2	significant 53:20
Roman 135:15	44:2 50:12 51:15	82:2 112:9 122:2	114:13 132:6,12	58:23 139:2
roof 41:9	44.2 50.12 51.15 52:24 59:3 69:14	see 1:18 2:4 8:4	139:19	significantly 114:5
room 11:5	71:24 73:15,22	11:14 16:2,8,14	sets 85:17 99:25	similar 41:15 79:19
round 77:22 119:23		16:19 19:1,25	settled 95:24	84:11 92:15
route 128:21	74:5,7,8,12 79:8	21:8 22:9 35:9,14	settlements 112:7	similarly 19:11
Rowlatt 31:23	79:10 80:2,18 82:23 83:11 85:6	37:7,22 43:19	settlor 122:25	Simon 89:3,25 91:4
109:15 129:10	02.23 03.11 03.0	46:8 47:16 49:6	seven 72:24 73:12	91:15
			<u> </u>	

Page 1	.70
--------	-----

				Iage 170
simple 12:22 25:15	134:11 138:5,24	special 30:9 82:24	10:17 11:25 12:3	83:17 86:4 94:17
58:10 118:25	142:12 147:17	95:9 144:5	12:5,8,22 13:18	136:9
126:22 127:8	sliding 104:1	species 106:4,20	14:4 15:6 17:22	subjected 75:11
simpliciter 135:13	slight 4:1	107:9 128:5	18:17 19:7 21:1	submission 10:23
simplification	slightly 15:8 27:3	144:11	22:15,17,22 24:11	11:9 13:23,24,25
133:7	49:23 64:15 73:14	specific 29:7 80:8	29:20 32:13,15,16	14:2,15 45:3
simplify 105:2	95:24 96:13	102:2	33:6 37:5,22	62:21 63:5 96:12
simply 10:12 61:2	slow 69:4	specifically 12:19	38:24 41:12 50:23	104:8 127:12
67:14 79:15 96:11	small 4:5,13,18	50:1 70:23 87:19	51:5 54:22 55:8	132:4 141:19
96:14 102:17	48:10 70:6 87:3	88:23	55:16 66:12,14	submissions 1:3
109:25 110:3	110:1	specified 7:3,7 34:6	67:4,13,21 68:13	88:4 99:16,19
111:10 114:8	so-called 28:15	41:17 42:19 44:4	74:22 75:15,16	100:3,4,7 149:2,2
120:5 124:15,22	society 63:19 71:14	54:2	76:1 78:19 92:11	submitted 10:10,14
125:1 129:2 131:2	sold 34:22 77:15	specifying 73:3	92:13,20 93:8	subsection 59:16
135:1 141:1 146:9	solicitors 125:12	speculate 75:23	95:9 97:14 100:15	63:16,21,24 69:11
single 27:12 63:1,9	somebody 2:6	speech 24:12	100:24 107:17	71:25 88:13
64:19 95:6 102:11	24:22 64:3 95:5	spoke 142:2,8	108:12 111:14	subsequent 51:11
109:10 110:21	137:24	spotted 90:25	stay 77:2	53:17 80:25
128:15	sorry 8:9 13:24	stage 101:20	stayed 57:3	135:24
Sir 141:20	14:19 50:13 54:11	stamps 98:17,22	steps 52:3	subsequently 55:8
sits 31:12	63:23 65:3 77:21	standard 133:5	stipend 135:8	55:11 112:10
sitting 13:14	84:2 112:4 118:12	start 5:6,20 6:18	stockbroker 82:1	121:15 122:3,22
situated 134:23	122:4 127:16,21	23:1 76:8 80:23	Stocker 96:12	126:11 135:14,23
situation 13:19	131:8 140:10	99:17 101:11	stone 114:13	141:21
23:20 31:9 32:7,8	sort 8:17 23:12	103:14,17 111:25	stop 144:7	substantial 31:21
32:9 35:8,9 37:14	29:4 30:11 41:7	134:17 138:11	stopping 100:22	122:9
45:15 55:10,15,20	41:15 48:3,12	started 58:3 70:6	straight 6:17 85:2	substantially 22:3
56:1 57:7 60:3,4	49:23 61:14 65:7	72:5 76:11 77:25	straightforward	substitute 1:24
61:21 66:13 67:19	75:8,10 95:24	132:9 134:12	5:3 10:4 33:22	succeeds 117:24
67:22 68:14 79:19	103:25 142:13	starting 9:4 61:15	straightforwardly	success 132:11
80:1 97:13,21	sorts 46:19 77:23	116:17 128:20	38:10	succinct 142:11
101:23 109:12		131:23	straying 29:25	succinctly 146:1
101.23 109.12	source 47:22 52:7,8 54:7 61:12 105:21	starts 146:19		·
	105:23 106:1	state 73:7	street 78:17 streets 78:15	suddenly 70:11 72:5
118:7,7 119:2,7,8		stated 73:2 143:15	stretches 97:22	72.5 sufficient 18:21
123:23 137:4,10	108:1,3 109:11			
situations 138:23	125:6,24 132:3	143:16 statement 142:13	stronger 68:9	80:5
139:12	134:10 135:11,12	statement 142:13	structural 133:8	suggest 34:20
six 33:5,6 34:20,23	136:5 137:20,21	statute 70:24 75:12	structure 132:13	suggested 53:14
38:21 41:22 57:5	138:18 139:8	101:25 103:10,12	145:22	suggesting 91:3
86:9 102:8 135:3	141:5 147:11	107:23 108:23	stuff 110:24	127:16
six-monthly 36:22	speaking 2:15	109:1,8 126:10	sub 18:4	suggestion 5:6
106:9	16:23 39:4 61:20	128:17	sub-rule 16:13	suggests 81:13
skeleton 3:10,14	62:16 79:15 81:6	statutory 5:11,15	subject 7:11 8:15	131:19
4:2,8,11 5:1,7,8	81:11 95:16	5:22 6:2 7:10,14	48:23,25 59:21	sui 12:1
6:5 104:12 132:7	105:10 133:21	7:15,16 9:16,22	60:6,7 65:22 67:9	suit 79:16
	I	l	I	I

[rage 1/1
sum 14:9,10 17:11	surplus 6:19 9:7,17	66:18 73:4 84:20	87:16 89:7,9 90:1	44:1,11 47:5 48:7
18:1 27:12 28:6	9:18 10:21 18:5	85:2 93:17 96:8	90:21 91:8 93:3,6	53:21,24 54:18
32:9 53:3,5 59:18	18:12,22 20:25	97:9 105:17	94:15,18 95:10	59:2 63:3 65:16
65:21 66:8 67:19	21:24 22:8,17	111:21 113:12	96:5,10,23,25	67:1 68:19 86:6
67:23 68:4,15	32:14 35:16 37:4	133:3	98:11 99:6 103:13	88:23 90:18 91:23
81:19 82:3,6,9	55:9 57:15,22	taken 8:19 46:3,14	105:2,11,21,23	103:19 104:22
84:12 85:11 86:9	58:4 66:23 67:20	50:2 53:6 58:7,13	105.2,11,21,25	105:5 116:25
86:10 87:15 89:5	68:17 75:16 76:8	76:3 85:12 103:10	108:1,3 111:1	105.5 110.25
92:17 97:15,18	92:23 100:18	103:12 112:13	128:10,15 129:7	territory 137:9
113:12,20,21	101:21,23 103:4	113:14	129:15 132:5,9,13	thank 2:20 4:22
117:2 118:22	108:25 109:9,21	takes 30:6 131:17	132:24 133:6	49:22 64:15 72:10
120:6,11,12 123:1	110:2 130:13,22	133:4	132.24 133.0	85:1 99:14 122:8
120:0,11,12 125:1	130:22 144:12,19	talk 39:12 65:16	138:18,23 139:5,8	134:7
125:19 124.8	144:25 145:7,14	88:23 103:19,20	139:18,22 140:5,6	that 37:12
summarised 51:6	144.25 145.7,14	104:3	140:24 141:5	theoretically
summarises 100:12	surprised 55:19	talked 19:21 39:21	140.24 141.3	109:20,22
summary 19:5	surprising 43:22	50:5 64:22	taxable 49:2,12,13	theory 17:18
50:12 100:3	surround 6:2	talking 14:8,9,11	88:6,12 92:24	thereon 123:11,15
Sumner 79:8 80:1	survive 13:20,20	22:7 29:21 31:8	95:1,1 124:13	thereunder 88:15
80:17	15:2,3 39:5,6	35:1,2 39:13	taxation 128:9	they'd 86:17
sums 82:17 123:2	susceptible 147:1	61:21 62:1 63:7	132:15 133:1	thing 30:11,20
137:14	suspect 46:4 84:24	65:4,8,9 74:15	taxed 30:5 47:23	70:14 136:5
supplemental 3:14	105:10 107:2	91:17 106:5	48:1 60:21 139:21	137:23
4:8,11 6:5 8:11,12	swimming 1:14	107:14 116:25	139:24	things 4:16 17:4
21:6 50:7,17	0	129:1 130:1 131:3	Taxes 24:14	27:9 29:8 36:8
52:25 53:10	synonymous 103:24	131:3 132:23	taxing 128:2,3	49:25 61:15 72:5
	system 30:4 47:21		0 ,	49.23 01.13 72.3 72:5 95:25
supplementary 8:6 19:3	48:4 132:15	147:2,13 targeted 71:5	taxpayer 86:16 88:5	
	48.4 152.15	task 72:3	tell 77:13 79:3	think 2:15,22 3:7 3:14 4:16 5:2
support 67:12 99:21	Т	tax 1:17,20 2:16 3:7	telling 139:19	6:17 7:19 10:8
	tab 3:11 6:4,7,8 8:6	3:22 4:15 5:21	tells 7:6	
supporting 81:17 104:19	8:14,15 12:16	12:3,4 14:6 15:9	tends 4:16 60:21	11:13,22 12:10 13:6,7,11 24:15
suppose 58:19	19:3 21:6 22:9	16:2 19:21 23:23	tension 53:20	25:21,22 27:16,19
65:21 80:1 123:16	50:7 53:10,10	24:3 27:1 29:7,18	term 48:19 52:10	27:25 31:17,20
supposed 87:2	58:22 69:13 72:16	29:24 30:3,8	65:12,17 67:9	33:2,18 34:15,25
supposed 87.2 supposing 35:2	81:22 84:21 86:13	35:21 36:4 37:16	120:7 138:16	39:13 40:17,22
83:1	86:21,22 93:19	45:23 47:3,8,21	termination 52:2	41:14,15 42:2
Supreme 7:24	112:3,5 115:2,4	47:24,25 48:23,25	terminology 103:14	43:4 44:19,25
sure 2:12 6:9 35:13	134:16,18 135:11	58:20,20 59:1,3	120:20 124:9	45:7,10,18 46:5
36:22 46:16,21	142:1	59:19,20 60:2,5,6	128:25 132:25	47:12,20 48:1
57:9 64:2,12	tackling 146:23	60:7,7,9,10 61:5,6	128.23 132.23	49:24 55:25 56:5
93:10 121:20	take 2:9,14 5:6 7:19	61:11 70:4,5,5,7,9	terms 5:3 14:22	49.24 55.25 50.5 57:18,24,25 58:1
139:1 142:6,8	20:15 25:17 27:4	70:11,16 73:1,5,9	20:16,24 26:5	58:7,17 59:9,22
surely 40:3,10 71:2	33:4 41:1 45:13	73:10,18 74:2	29:12 34:9 37:1	62:2 63:6,7 64:11
127:1	46:12 51:10 56:23	85:15 86:4,5	39:12,16,21 42:20	69:4 70:22 71:12
12/.1	10.12 01.10 00.20	03.13 00.4,3	37.12,10,21 42.20	07.4 /0.22 /1.12
			I	

DTI (+44)207 4041400 www.DTIGlobal.com 8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street

				Page 172
71 10 72 17 72 ((0.10.(2.20.(2.10			101 1 1 (17 04
71:19 72:17 73:6	60:18 62:20 63:10	transcript 4:20	truth 92:23 142:9	121:1,16,17,24
74:11,15,21 77:21	65:14 68:5 70:2	treat 112:14,19	try 29:8 99:19	122:2 123:17
78:20,23 82:15,19	74:19 75:7 76:3,7	treated 10:13,22	119:5	uncertain 68:6
83:18,20 85:6,23	77:5,8,24 78:4,22	11:9 12:24 29:23	trying 14:4 47:10	uncertainty 71:9
85:24 87:25 89:17	79:22 80:10 81:20	31:8 34:21 56:21	64:9 77:21 107:14	underline 147:19
90:6 91:5,11 94:2	81:21 82:7,8	63:18 69:17 75:5	108:10 121:21	147:20
95:5,11,24 96:1	85:14 91:24 92:2	76:19,24 85:10	122:20 124:21	underlined 140:2
96:16 97:9 99:9	92:18,18 98:6	107:10,22 122:11	145:25	underlying 10:15
102:21 104:22	101:12 102:8,9	141:13	Tuesday 1:1	17:18 19:10,18
105:5 106:13	106:11,11 107:12	treating 109:3	turn 32:11 50:11	66:20 84:25
113:15 114:14	108:3,4 110:5,8	treatment 96:11	51:13	understand 11:16
115:6 116:15	110:12 116:11	treats 19:14	turning 119:23	17:13,18 37:12
117:4 118:14	129:8,15 130:1,17	Treaty 132:12	turns 18:4	42:11 60:23 69:22
122:20 126:13	130:21 134:5	tree 92:10,10	two 2:23 8:23 32:20	112:1 118:20
127:20 128:13	137:25,25 138:22	trial 118:10 125:9	34:6 42:17 54:5	119:19 125:17
129:13,16 133:10	138:22 144:7	tricky 27:3	62:24,25 65:11	145:8
137:1,12 138:4,6	times 31:20	tried 94:8	68:23 69:22 86:25	understanding
143:6,6,17 145:10	today 31:25 99:20	trigger 145:11	94:17,25 103:18	33:10 93:11
147:1,22	128:10 132:14	triggered 51:24	116:15 121:10	undertake 72:3
thinking 28:25	133:11 140:9	triggers 55:5	128:8 129:20	undoubtedly 43:14
56:18 60:24 62:3	told 2:2 14:15 71:8	true 13:1 19:20	132:5,14	74:14
63:9	86:19	34:1 137:13	two-year 63:2	unfamiliar 1:14
third 115:7	tomorrow 32:1,2	truly 20:7 24:8	64:18,23,24 65:2	United 59:6,9,15
thought 2:6 5:5	133:16,20 134:1	79:15	65:10,17 66:5	60:25
24:10 39:16 42:15	147:23,24	trust 21:9 54:19	two-years' 68:5	unknown 85:20
43:6 44:8 62:1	tomorrows 32:2	55:11,12 81:24	type 14:6 16:4 22:6	120:14
64:3 90:7 91:6	top 74:6 94:6 115:6	82:5,8 83:7,23	22:23 23:24 32:8	unmeritorious
108:4 114:18	143:22	84:4,5 85:11	38:2 41:11 47:10	72:18
121:17 142:16	total 89:5	110:11 112:2,11	47:19 81:2,12	unnecessary 80:5
three 6:18 54:15	touchstone 80:21	112:13 113:19	95:4 97:13 98:10	unpaid 40:17,18
81:15 94:17,25	traces 48:5	114:10,17 115:9	137:19	63:8 76:15
100:6	tract 35:4 37:2	117:24 118:2,8,14	types 15:14 107:20	unsuccessfully
time 9:9,9,15 11:19	62:20 110:4,7,12	118:16 119:6	107:21	67:11
13:11 17:12 18:20	110:24	120:3,23 121:1,23		unsurprising 12:5
18:25 19:22,24	trade 115:12 117:4	122:6,7,13 123:23	U	up-to-date 3:16
20:7,8 24:21,23	117:5 132:20	123:25 124:23	UK 60:16 61:11	upheld 10:5
25:17 26:17 27:8	traders 49:17	126:4 127:3	132:16	use 19:22 24:21
27:23,25 28:1,4	trades 132:19	trustee 54:18 55:10	ultimate 10:21	27:8 28:1,24
			14* 70.0	

DTI	
(+44)207	4041400

28:24 30:16,17

31:24 32:10,17

34:6 35:4,10,15

35:23 36:6,12

37:2 38:6,7,19

41:5,19 42:4,6

39:17,20 40:4,11

tranche 38:6

transaction 30:6

79:7,12 80:17

81:3,8,13 84:22

86:15 87:1 92:5

transactions 49:15

38:13 48:12 77:18

8th Floor, 165 Fleet Street www.DTIGlobal.com

ultimately 78:8

unaccounted 87:6

unable 79:11

unauthorised

112:9 120:24

82:4

87.7

81:24 83:22 84:12

114:5,23 115:17

trustees 82:4 112:7

115:19,21,22

116:11

112:23

trustees' 55:3

London EC4A 2DY

29:13 41:19 42:6

42:9 48:4 105:8

120:6 129:8,15

130:2,16,20

usual 18:23 21:1

useful 3:1

usefully 5:23

				raye 175
59:14 95:2,3	Vyse 112:16 113:16	24:2 25:1 49:25	131:16	27:17,19 28:11
usually 19:21 29:23	114:2 115:2,4	50:5 62:13 64:21	wording 5:15	30:22 31:2,12
	·	76:5 85:5 94:19	words 6:14 9:13	32:18 33:7,13,16
V	W	104:16 132:6	10:3,13 12:1	34:6,9,13,13
v 8:2,22,24 9:20	wait 69:2	134:25 138:14	18:22 19:15 21:12	35:19 36:14,19
10:11,25 11:6,15	want 28:7 47:12	weaker 48:6,6	21:21 22:13,20	38:1,18 39:6,18
12:18 13:8 21:9	91:5,24 99:11	Wednesday 148:3	24:9 45:21 48:15	40:5,6,19 42:7,14
23:16 34:16 39:24	105:7 119:24	week 3:4 6:1 7:21	51:17,25 52:11,19	56:4 57:6,19,21
40:13,17 56:8	129:5 131:25,25	13:13 19:2,4 22:9	53:1 62:11 63:19	59:20 62:8 66:1
63:7 67:12 78:7	wanted 26:21	31:18 53:9 83:14	63:25 69:15 73:17	75:13 77:12,16,25
78:13 81:21 83:10	wasn't 13:10 14:24	went 51:3	79:11 80:5 83:13	78:9 81:7,10
83:10,15,21 84:11	16:18 24:11 41:14	weren't 16:18	83:17 85:15 86:1	82:14,20 95:2,7
84:21 85:6 87:13	53:18 57:21,21	80:16 81:25 117:5	88:14 90:2 91:20	98:7 100:16,16
90:16 93:17,19	72:6 73:12 84:5	131:3	94:9 95:10 97:15	105:19 107:18,19
96:13 97:8 110:11	93:7 105:3 107:5	Westminster 87:13	100:15 103:18	109:18 120:25
111:18 112:16	132:10 135:13	96:14	106:25 112:8	128:4 144:3,3,6
113:16 114:2	water 1:13 29:19	whichever 7:3	115:10 123:1	144:22,22
115:2,4 121:11	Waterfall 5:17 8:7	16:25 44:4	124:7 128:17,17	year's 102:9
126:1 129:11	21:5 23:13 50:19	whilst 17:23	128:18 131:24	year-by-year
133:15 136:25	waters 1:15	wide 46:18 71:3	132:22 134:19,24	120:19 128:20
141:16 146:17	way 1:19 3:7 18:10	widely 143:15,16	136:8,17 140:1	129:23 131:20
vacations 132:21	23:2,8 29:25	wider 109:6	143:2 147:19	136:4
vagueness 142:4	44:12 61:23 65:21	William 141:20	work 11:16 17:14	yearly 14:7 15:13
value 20:7 29:15	66:20 67:13,17	wise 46:17	44:9 105:16	15:23 23:25 24:3
40:2 92:18 101:18	70:17 71:5,10	withdraw 31:24	working 14:23	25:5,12,20,25
105:18 112:10,12	74:2 77:22 92:17	32:12	44:20 58:14	26:4,5,7 27:14,20
121:16 122:3,13	104:19 110:17	withdrawable	world 4:16 24:17	30:23 31:4,13
123:18	119:5 124:20	33:22	worth 21:16 22:5	32:4 33:9,11,16
values 20:12	143:8,8	withdrawn 82:7	wouldn't 26:1	34:14,22 35:7
varied 114:5	we'll 16:8,14,19	withdrew 81:25	34:24 39:7 61:24	36:5,19,21 37:3
variety 59:21	69:3 71:12 93:15	82:17	76:24 77:16 90:23	37:13 38:4,10,14
various 3:2 7:11	133:23 147:22	withheld 47:24	114:6 121:5	38:20 39:7 40:9
50:10 51:14 56:7	we're 2:4,15 4:20	58:21 61:12 70:7	123:21 125:11	40:20 41:13,21
132:18	5:23 7:10 12:8	withhold 36:5,5	130:7 131:15	42:1,3,15,23
vast 76:21	14:5,11 16:5	47:3,8 49:4	wrestling 96:19	43:17,21,23 44:25
veering 131:6	19:20 22:7 31:8	withholding 45:24	Wright 130:24	45:5,10 47:1,3,9
Vice 141:20	32:8 35:2,8,8	46:7 47:11 49:7,8	write 5:1	47:17 48:14 49:7
view 36:9 52:6 66:2	39:13 42:18 47:1	60:11,13 130:15	writer 142:16	54:16,24 55:21
67:1 72:2 88:1	50:8 58:8,18	wondering 64:5	wrong 73:2 93:9	56:10,11,21 59:5
103:23 143:11	59:23 66:11,12	Wood 141:21		59:24 60:10 62:12
147:1	74:22 84:24 96:19	word 24:4,13,19	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}$	62:15 63:5,13,25
viewed 56:24	107:14 141:1	25:5 71:3,6 91:24	X 144:20 149:1	66:3 67:2,24
virtue 51:19 136:13	145:3 146:14	98:1 103:8,24	Y	68:20,23 69:1,18
Viscount 89:3,25	147:12	104:5 109:6		73:13,21 76:20,24
91:4,15	we've 14:15 20:25	128:24 129:2	year 2:9 7:24 25:13 25:25 26:2,3,6	77:13,17 78:2,9
			23.23 20.2,3,0	

DTI (+44)207 4041400

				Page 174
78:21,24 79:11	31:14 58:22 63:24	128:10 132:12	2.05 69:4,7	90:20 92:4
r	69:13 88:13 94:2	133:2 134:17	2.03 09.4,7 2.8 11:1	392 87:22
80:12,18 81:5,11 82:11 83:2,9,19				
, ,	100:5,10 101:17	135:13 139:5	2.88 6:3,6,11 8:3	394 88:10
83:20 86:7 87:20	107:17 121:13,15	141:4	9:8,13,15,16	396 87:21 89:4
88:24,25 89:12,19	121:17,17 142:1	1805 107:4	10:18 11:3,4,24	398 91:14
90:8,14,17,23,24	148:3 149:2	1838 7:8	50:3 53:2 74:23	4
91:7,9 93:3,13	1,402 85:11	1853 133:12 141:18	2.88(7) 22:11	4 26:13,15 50:7
94:18,22 95:17	1.02 69:5	143:3 146:23	2007 59:1 103:12	71:15,21,22 72:23
96:3,6 97:24 98:4	10 86:4 122:23	1864 139:21 140:11	132:24 134:25	114:19 117:6
98:16,23 100:20	139:20 140:1,18	1873 115:5	2010 133:12	120:8 126:17
105:21,22,23,24	144:13	1890 133:12	2012 58:1,3	
106:2,9,15 107:2	10,000 87:8 88:5	19 85:16 89:14	2015 4:6 8:7	134:16
107:5,7 108:15,16	89:10 92:7	93:19	2017 1:1 148:3	4.10 148:1 4.5 120:8
109:4,12 110:22	10.30 147:24 148:3	1914 78:23	208 134:15 135:15	
111:2,8 120:21	100 101:15 140:24	1918 89:7 90:21	138:15	40 143:2
128:7,11,15,17,19	11.00 1:2	1923 82:17 112:2,5	21 85:15 89:1 90:20	40th 147:10,11
128:25 129:23	12 53:11 81:22	112:21 113:6,19	21,000 86:20	437 143:21
131:4,7,11,16,24	112:5 140:13,14	113:21 123:5,13	23 6:4,8	44 21:7
132:2 133:8,11	140:18	123:17 139:21	25 7:23 21:17	454 50:14,15,18
134:11,13 135:18	125 21:6,8	140:11,23	26 6:9	51:7
135:25 136:3,23	13 41:4 43:12,14,15	1926 123:17	27 12:15 22:10	464 51:7
137:3,18,22 138:8	139:20	1930 82:18 123:4,5	100:3	5
138:12,14,18	134 96:12	123:6	27,020 123:2	5 12:16 22:9 53:10
139:9,13,21,24	137 96:15	1932 82:19	299 85:4	63:21 69:11 71:25
140:4,7,20 141:2	14 84:21	1934 86:15 87:11		112:15,20 113:8
141:4,9,14,24	14.23 6:4	88:13 93:23 94:10	3	,
142:14 143:2	143 94:6	126:19	3 87:10 88:13 93:22	113:13,23 114:12
146:24 147:2	145 8:21 11:18	1935 85:11	100:5,10 101:17	115:13,23 116:1,4
yearly' 146:21	149 9:5,6 94:14	1936 92:6,8	132:22 139:3,4	117:4 120:9
years 34:6 48:3,6	101:8	1942 85:9	3,750 72:22	134:18 135:11
56:20 62:24,25	15 41:24 86:13,22	1943 92:6,8	30 5:8 7:23 114:19	138:25 139:6
65:11 82:15 86:10	86:23 129:15	1965 60:4,5,6,9	117:9	5)A,what 63:16
94:17,25 95:4	15-month 42:1	1969 93:24 94:8,10	300 85:19	50 8:8,21
97:22 105:25	152 9:24	94:11 95:13,16,18	31 1:1 8:7	51 9:4 19:4
109:18 114:5,18	154 10:7 12:19	95:22 106:2	34 58:22 69:13	517 51:15
114:19 117:9	101:8 102:17	137:22	100:3,5,10,13	518 52:5
140:8,12,19,24	1577 50:11,14	1970 94:2	107:17	52 8:8
yesterday 2:25 3:25	1590 51:13	1972 95:23	35,000 123:12	520 52:13
8:12	16 94:8	1A 50:17 52:25	35,000-odd 123:10	528 52:24
	17 7:8 32:22 104:15		35,424 123:2	551 72:22
Z	138:5	2	359 121:22	553 74:6
<u> </u>	1799 132:10	2 3:11 59:16 72:16	360 124:2	554 73:13 74:6
0	1802 132:11	100:5,10,21	363 82:21 83:4	56 100:1
1	1802/1803 47:21	101:17 115:2,4	364 129:16	57 19:11
$\frac{1}{16.120.61521.6}$	1803 103:15 105:24	132:20	391 86:24 87:12	5B 71:23,24
1 6:12 8:6,15 21:6				
	1		1	1

DTI (+44)207 4041400

				iuge i / s
	1	l	I	I
6				
6 7:4,6 44:5,7 74:23				
6-month 79:2				
63 100:1 140:12,19				
7				
7 6:17,24 7:2 18:4				
18:18 44:3 115:6				
7,000 123:3				
7,700 82:10				
7,704 124:8				
70 53:13				
75 53:18				
1555.10				
8				
8 6:24 32:21,22				
72:16 101:17				
102:1,12				
85 105:25				
874 59:1,25 69:11				
111:16 128:24				
135:1 141:3				
889 79:9 80:2				
890 79:9				
0,0,1,2,2				
9				
9 7:2 16:13 41:23				
44:1 50:3,21 51:5				
53:2 58:14 78:6				
138:25				
93,500-odd 86:19				
95(ii) 21:11 99 149:2				
99 149:2				
	-	-	-	-