| 1 | Tuesday, 31 October 2017 | 1 | to me would be useful, at least from your perspective, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (11.00 am) | 2 | if not from ours, to have in one place all the various |
| 3 | Submissions by MR GAMMIE | 3 | decisions that have been handed down in this matter, |
| 4 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 4 | including the Court of Appeal's decision of last week, |
| 5 | MR GAMMIE: Good morning, my Lady. I appear this morning | 5 | the most recent decision which resolves a number of the |
| 6 | with my learned friend Ms Catherine Addy on behalf of | 6 | issues and which I must say I read with some relief in |
| 7 | Her Majesty's Revenue \& Customs, and my learned friend | 7 | the sense that I think it clears the way for the tax in |
| 8 | Mr Gardiner and Mr Bayfield appear for the respondent | 8 | resolving a number of the other issues that might have |
| 9 | administrators of Lehman Brothers International Europe. | 9 | arisen. |
| 10 | My Lady, I'll be absolutely honest with you, I won't | 10 | Apart from our skeleton, my Lady, which is at |
| 11 | say -- | 11 | tab 2 -- |
| 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: That's refreshing! | 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, I have your list. |
| 13 | MR GAMMIE: I won't say that I'm a fish out of water, but | 13 | MR GAMMIE: -- of the core bundle, there is also |
| 14 | I'm certainly a fish swimming in rather unfamiliar | 14 | a supplemental skeleton that I think we served with your |
| 15 | waters of the Insolvency Acts, and so if there are -- | 15 | leave which I do not anticipate going to. The aim of it |
| 16 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We were under the impression this was | 16 | was to bring the court up-to-date with the developments |
| 17 | a tax case, so -- | 17 | that have occurred in the insolvency legislation, |
| 18 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I'm very pleased to hear that you see | 18 | because the provisions that were looked at below and |
| 19 | it in that way, and indeed most of what I am going to | 19 | which obviously feature in other decisions, have been |
| 20 | says going to relate to tax. But I was going to say if | 20 | replaced in recent months. |
| 21 | there are any difficult questions of insolvency law that | 21 | We don't say that that generates any difference in |
| 22 | arise, I shall certainly defer to Ms Addy to deal with | 22 | the tax issues that have to be argued about, but it at |
| 23 | those. | 23 | least ensures that we have a note of the most recent |
| 24 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Are you a late substitute for | 24 | material. |
| 25 | Mr Goy? | 25 | Then there was a very short note yesterday which |
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| 1 | MR GAMMIE: Mr Goy has retired from practice. | 1 | just picked up on one slight oversight in that earlier |
| 2 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Has he? We were told it's Mr Goy, but | 2 | skeleton argument in relation to an amendment that had |
| 3 | Mr Gammie -- | 3 | been made more recently by -- |
| 4 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No, we're delighted to see you | 4 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. |
| 5 | Mr Gammie, which is why when I looked at the little | 5 | MR GAMMIE: -- I am just looking at -- it's a Small Business |
| 6 | listing sheet, I thought that's somebody I know. | 6 | Enterprise and Employment Act 2015. |
| 7 | Mr Goy -- | 7 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: This is a document headed "Minor |
| 8 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, yes, Mr Goy retired at the end of March | 8 | correction to HMRC's supplemental skeleton argument". |
| 9 | this year and so I was instructed to take his place. | 9 | MR GAMMIE: It is, my Lord, and it doesn't make any |
| 10 | I obviously do not have the familiar knowledge of | 10 | difference at all to the issues that we need to address |
| 11 | knowing exactly what was said or done below, but I'm | 11 | but it ensures that the supplemental skeleton argument |
| 12 | sure many of my learned friends here will be able to | 12 | is absolutely correct in at least noting that change |
| 13 | assist you if there is any issue that arises about that. | 13 | that has been made by the Small Business Enterprise and |
| 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, take your own course. But | 14 | Employment Act. |
| 15 | speaking for myself, I think we're more concerned with | 15 | I have to say being more used to tax legislation, |
| 16 | tax issues at the moment and if you find there's a need | 16 | one tends to think that you live in a world where things |
| 17 | to reply on a lot of insolvency issues raised by | 17 | always change. I'm rapidly finding out that much the |
| 18 | Mr Gardiner, then you will have an opportunity to do so | 18 | same occurs in other areas such as insolvency and small |
| 19 | in reply. | 19 | business and the like. |
| 20 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. Thank you, my Lady. | 20 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We're getting a transcript, are we? |
| 21 | Well, my Lady, you have a core bundle and a number | 21 | MR GAMMIE: I believe so. |
| 22 | of other bundles, but I think the core bundle is what | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, thank you. |
| 23 | I will be going to mainly, along with the two bundles of | 23 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. Yes, my Lady, we are. |
| 24 | authorities. Then there was an additional bundle of | 24 | My Lady, I don't know how much material you've had |
| 25 | authorities that we sent down yesterday which it seemed | 25 | the opportunity to read in advance. I have to say my |
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| 1 | learned friend Mr Goy, who did write the skeleton | 1 | rank equally. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | argument on behalf of the Commissioners, I think set out | 2 | 9. The rate of interest payable under paragraph 7 |
| 3 | everything in commendably straightforward terms. | 3 | is whichever is the greater of the rates specified under |
| 4 | I don't anticipate going through that line by line or | 4 | paragraph 6 or the rate applicable to the debt apart |
| 5 | paragraph by paragraph, but where I thought I would | 5 | from the administration." |
| 6 | start would be to take up a suggestion that my learned | 6 | Just going back to paragraph 6, that tells us that |
| 7 | friend made in his skeleton in the sense that at | 7 | rate of interest to be claimed is the rate specified in |
| 8 | paragraph 30 in his skeleton, he said -- and put it in | 8 | section 17 of the Judgments Act 1838 on the date when |
| 9 | bold: | 9 | the company entered administration. |
| 10 | "Rather it is the legislator's intention in creating | 10 | So that is effectively the statutory regime we're |
| 11 | the statutory right that is relevant, the matter is | 11 | looking at and has been the subject of various elements |
| 12 | accordingly to be determined by reference to the | 12 | of the decisions that have already been reached in this |
| 13 | characteristics of the rights as discerned by the | 13 | matter, and I'll elaborate them under eight or nine |
| 14 | legislation which characteristics are in the context of | 14 | headings. My first point in relation to the statutory |
| 15 | statutory interest clear from the wording of the rule | 15 | regime is that it provides a complete statutory code for |
| 16 | and have accurately been described by [Mr Justice David | 16 | the award of statutory interest on proved debts. So |
| 17 | Richards as he was then] in Waterfall IIA." | 17 | everything one needs to know about the right is to be |
| 18 | And we may go to that particular passage of that | 18 | found in those rules. |
| 19 | particular decision in due course, but it seemed to me | 19 | I don't think I need take you to it necessarily, but |
| 20 | that the right place to start for this before we | 20 | that is apparent from the Court of Appeal's decision |
| 21 | consider the tax is actually to identify and be clear | 21 | last week in paragraphs -- |
| 22 | about what the creature, the payment, the statutory | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, that's our decision. |
| 23 | right, we're actually dealing with. That is usefully | 23 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed my Lady -- paragraphs 25 to 30 following |
| 24 | dealt with in most of the decisions that have already | 24 | on from the Supreme Court's analysis earlier this year. |
| 25 | been made in this case, and most particularly the | 25 | As a consequence of that, one of the issues that was |
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| 1 | Court of Appeal's decision of last week, and they | 1 | much debated in other hearings, the rule in |
| 2 | surround -- a statutory regime is in Insolvency | 2 | Bower v Marris does not apply because the language of |
| 3 | Rule 2.88, which is in the first authorities bundle at | 3 | those rules, the rules in 2.88 , is inconsistent with at |
| 4 | tab 23, now found in Insolvency Rule 14.23 as we say in | 4 | the application of that principle. That we can see in |
| 5 | our supplemental skeleton, but I will continue to refer | 5 | particular in the decision, which if one goes to the |
| 6 | to 2.88 . | 6 | supplementary appeal bundle and tab 1 , the decision in |
| 7 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What tab are we in? | 7 | Waterfall IIA on 31 July 2015. In particular, one can |
| 8 | MR GAMMIE: It's tab 23 of the first authorities bundle, and | 8 | go through to pages 50, 52 -- |
| 9 | page 26 at the bottom. I am sure this will all be | 9 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, where in the bundle is it, |
| 10 | extremely familiar, certainly to Lord Justice David | 10 | Mr Gammie? |
| 11 | Richards, but 2.88, if I can just refresh your mind in | 11 | MR GAMMIE: I was looking at the supplemental bundle we sent |
| 12 | paragraph 1: | 12 | yesterday. It's headed "HMRC's supplemental bundle of |
| 13 | "Where a debt proved in the ...(Reading to the | 13 | authorities". |
| 14 | words)... that interest is provable as part of the debt | 14 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Tab? |
| 15 | except insofar as it is payable in respect of any period | 15 | MR GAMMIE: Tab 1, and in particular this is subject to |
| 16 | after the company entered into administration." | 16 | extensive discussion by my Lord Justice David Richards. |
| 17 | Then I think we can go straight down to paragraph 7 | 17 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You can assume at least some sort of |
| 18 | which is the start of the three main paragraphs: | 18 | familiarity with these -- |
| 19 | "Any surplus remaining after payment of the debts | 19 | MR GAMMIE: I have taken the liberty of assuming that, but |
| 20 | proved shall before being applied for any purpose be | 20 | in particular I was just going to draw attention at |
| 21 | applied in paying interest on those debts in respect of | 21 | paragraph 145 on page 50. The point is made that the |
| 22 | the periods during which they had been outstanding since | 22 | rule in Bower v Marris in particular applies where there |
| 23 | the company entered administration. | 23 | are two debts payable by the estate to the creditor. So |
| 24 | 8. All interest payable under paragraph 7 ranks | 24 | in Bower v Marris, there is both an obligation to repay |
| 25 | equally whether or not the debts on which it is payable | 25 | the principle and an obligation in respect of interest, |
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| 1 | which is the foundation of the ability of the creditor | 1 | of interest under rule 2.8, but also that the principle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to choose whether he is allocating the payment to | 2 | itself is to be modified to fit in with the regime |
| 3 | interest or to the principal. | 3 | created by rule 2.88 . As will already be apparent, I do |
| 4 | Then over the page at page 51, starting at | 4 | not accept that the regime created by rule 2.88 leaves |
| 5 | paragraph 149, these are sidelined. So at the beginning | 5 | room for the application of the principle in |
| 6 | of paragraph 149 , it is said: | 6 | Bower v Marris." |
| 7 | "The right to interest arising out of a surplus | 7 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What is said against you is the fact |
| 8 | under rule 2.88 is not a right to the payment of | 8 | that Mr Justice Richards, as he then was, didn't accept |
| 9 | interest accruing due from time to time during the | 9 | the submission that the right to interest is treated as |
| 10 | period between the commencement of the administration | 10 | having accrued during the relevant period, that means it |
| 11 | and the payment of the dividend or dividends on the | 11 | can't be annual interest. |
| 12 | proved debts. Dividends cannot be appropriated | 12 | MR GAMMIE: That is certainly one of my learned friend's |
| 13 | ...(Reading to the words)... under rule 2.88 because at | 13 | arguments. I read the whole paragraph because I think |
| 14 | the date of the dividends no interest was payable at | 14 | it's important to see that what is said there is said in |
| 15 | that time. Pursuant to rule 2.88 , entitlement under | 15 | the context of considering the rule in Bower v Marris so |
| 16 | rule 2.88 to interest is only a purely statutory | 16 | you can understand why, if that principle has to work on |
| 17 | entitlement arising once there is a surplus and payable | 17 | the basis that there is an outstanding debt as is said |
| 18 | only out of that surplus." | 18 | in paragraph 145 , both the principal and interest at the |
| 19 | So that is the foundation of the analysis that | 19 | same time that payment is made so that it can be |
| 20 | concludes that Bower v Marris isn't applicable in | 20 | allocated, that is the context within which |
| 21 | relation to the calculation of interest under the | 21 | My Lord Justice addressed that particular point. |
| 22 | statutory rule. Following on from that, we can just | 22 | I think it has been said and accepted by my learned |
| 23 | look down the page and they are sidelined. For example, | 23 | friends on the other side that the right to interest |
| 24 | at paragraph 152, it's said: | 24 | which arises under 2.88, apart from being a complete |
| 25 | "The purpose behind" -- | 25 | statutory code, is essentially a payment of interest, |
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| 1 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We can read that. | 1 | which is sui generis. In other words it is something of |
| 2 | MR GAMMIE: -- "the introduction of the new regime | 2 | its own kind to be considered in the context of course |
| 3 | ...(Reading to the words)... is to introduce | 3 | of the tax statutory language, but which if one cannot |
| 4 | a straightforward regime and payment of such interest." | 4 | find precise precedence in the tax case law, that is |
| 5 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And this court has upheld that | 5 | unsurprising, given the fact that it is a statutory |
| 6 | approach. | 6 | creation and a right of its own kind. |
| 7 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. Then at paragraph 154, which | 7 | So that's my first point in relation to the |
| 8 | I think is the paragraph my learned friend relies on to | 8 | statutory regime; we're looking at something entirely |
| 9 | some extent and was influential below in this case. | 9 | separate. |
| 10 | Mr Dicker submitted that: | 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think this court addressed |
| 11 | "The principle in Bower v Marris was more | 11 | the point or dealt with the issue as to whether it |
| 12 | fundamental than simply requiring payment on account to | 12 | accrued during the relevant period, did they? |
| 13 | be treated ...(Reading to the words)... payable at the | 13 | MR GAMMIE: No, you didn't, my Lady. |
| 14 | date of those payments. It was, he submitted, intended | 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just looking at, I don't know, |
| 15 | to reflect an underlying principle that in insolvency | 15 | paragraph 27 of our judgment -- |
| 16 | creditor should not be prejudiced by the late payment of | 16 | MR GAMMIE: Which is at tab 5 in the same bundle, my Lady. |
| 17 | their debts. The statutory right to interest arising | 17 | You of course endorsed the reasoning and the conclusion |
| 18 | under rule 2.88 can be regarded with hindsight as having | 18 | in relation to Bower v Marris, but you did not allude |
| 19 | accrued on a day-to-day basis since the commencement of | 19 | specifically to what had been said in paragraph 154. |
| 20 | the insolvency process, albeit contingently on there | 20 | So -- |
| 21 | being an ultimate surplus. Once the event occurs, the | 21 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This court did it on the basis that |
| 22 | right to interest is treated as having accrued during | 22 | it was a simple and complete statutory code without |
| 23 | the relevant period. I do not accept this submission. | 23 | considering whether or not it was relevant to decide |
| 24 | It involves saying not only that the principle in Bower | 24 | whether the right is treated as having accrued during |
| 25 | $v$ Marris is to be applied to the calculation and payment | 25 | the relevant period. |
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| 1 | MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lady, that's true -- | 1 | The original debts -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Is that right? | 2 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: They don't survive. |
| 3 | MR GAMMIE: -- in the sense that you don't go through the | 3 | MR GAMMIE: -- they don't survive. What replaces them are |
| 4 | same analysis as my Lord David Richards did, but on the | 4 | the debts that are proved and the interest is calculated |
| 5 | basis that you endorsed his conclusion and reached the | 5 | by reference to the debts that are proved in the |
| 6 | same conclusion, then I think you say you essentially | 6 | administration, as part of the statutory process of |
| 7 | accept his reasoning. I think the basis upon which | 7 | administration. |
| 8 | presumably this court concluded that Bower v Marris | 8 | My Lord, if I can just branch out slightly and |
| 9 | didn't arise was the same one that essentially there | 9 | anticipate from the tax perspective of what that means, |
| 10 | wasn't both a right to the interest and principal at the | 10 | of course the debts which exist at the point at which |
| 11 | same time as the payments are made. So I don't think | 11 | the company goes into administration and which then have |
| 12 | there's anything I had detected in this court's decision | 12 | to be proved in the administration may give rise to |
| 13 | last week which casts doubt on how my Lord had reached | 13 | interest, either short interest, yearly interest. There |
| 14 | his conclusion when he was sitting below. | 14 | may be a whole mixture of different types of interest, |
| 15 | My second point which really again derives from the | 15 | but of course that doesn't matter because those original |
| 16 | previous cases concerning this matter is that the | 16 | debts -- I call them "original debts" -- are discharged |
| 17 | original debts are discharged and replaced by the debts | 17 | and replaced by the amount that the creditor proves in |
| 18 | which are proved as part of the statutory process of | 18 | the administration. |
| 19 | administration. So it is a situation where the previous | 19 | So what one is looking at is the interest which is |
| 20 | debts do not survive, they only survive when we get to | 20 | calculated by reference to the amount which has been |
| 21 | the question of the calculation of the rate of interest | 21 | admitted as a proved debt in the -- |
| 22 | on the debts that are proved -- | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why is that a plus point in your |
| 23 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This is the respondents' submission. | 23 | favour so far as this yearly interest -- |
| 24 | Sorry, you prefaced this submission by saying this was | 24 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I'm not at the moment making points |
| 25 | the respondents' submission. | 25 | which are necessarily pluses or minuses in my favour. |
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| 1 | MR GAMMIE: No -- | 1 | I am defining what the nature of the right and the |
| 2 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: This your submission? | 2 | interest is so I can then come on and see how the tax |
| 3 | MR GAMMIE: -- this is my second point in relation to the | 3 | legislation applies to that particular right. It |
| 4 | statutory regime. My Lady, I am trying to define what | 4 | doesn't matter what type of interest the original debts |
| 5 | it is we're looking at to which we then have to apply | 5 | carried, what we're looking at is an amount of interest |
| 6 | the tax principles to decide what type of interest, | 6 | which is being calculated by reference to the debts that |
| 7 | whether it's yearly interest or not. | 7 | are proved. |
| 8 | So of course when you're talking about interest, | 8 | As we'll see, those original debts are relevant when |
| 9 | you're normally talking about a principal sum by | 9 | one comes to look at what rate of interest the proved |
| 10 | reference to which interest or the sum of interest is | 10 | debts may carry, but the original debts have effectively |
| 11 | going to be calculated. So insofar as we're talking | 11 | been discharged. |
| 12 | here about the calculation of an amount of interest that | 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are looking at the rate on the |
| 13 | is going to be paid, it's not a calculation which is | 13 | original debt under sub-rule 9, aren't you? |
| 14 | performed by reference to the original debts. That's my | 14 | MR GAMMIE: When we get to that, my Lady, we'll see what we |
| 15 | submission, because those debts we've told by the | 15 | are looking at in relation to that. |
| 16 | previous decisions have effectively been discharged by | 16 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why aren't we looking at the original |
| 17 | the proving of the debts in the administration. | 17 | debt? It says so. |
| 18 | That is reflective of a principle -- | 18 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I wasn't saying that we weren't looking |
| 19 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I'm sorry, I'm not quite following, | 19 | at it; I was saying that when we get to it, we'll see |
| 20 | Mr Gammie. When you say it's not calculated by | 20 | what exactly it is that you do look at for those |
| 21 | reference to the provable debts, it clearly is | 21 | purposes. |
| 22 | calculated by reference to the provable debts in terms | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. |
| 23 | of working out how much interest is payable. | 23 | MR GAMMIE: So basically speaking, it's the amounts that are |
| 24 | MR GAMMIE: My Lord, if I said it wasn't calculated by | 24 | proved which is going to be the principal amount on |
| 25 | reference to that, then that was not my intention. | 25 | which the interest at whichever is the appropriate rate |
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| 1 | seen the statutory interest doesn't accrue in the usual | 1 | the start of the period of the indebtedness? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | sense because of that contingency. It was actually | 2 | MR GAMMIE: I do accept there's no accrual in the way you've |
| 3 | described as akin to a contingency or something like | 3 | just described it, my Lord. As I will go on to |
| 4 | that in a case which my Lord David Richards referred to | 4 | illustrate, one can of course have an amount that is |
| 5 | in his decision in Waterfall IIA, which again is at | 5 | contingently payable which when the contingency is |
| 6 | tab 1 of the supplemental bundle, paragraph 125 on | 6 | satisfied is payable with interest over the period that |
| 7 | page 44. | 7 | it's been outstanding. You might say that in the same |
| 8 | We see at paragraph 125 this is citing from a case | 8 | way, that interest does not accrue in the conventional |
| 9 | called Attorney General of Canada v Confederation Trust | 9 | sense at a point at which the principal is only |
| 10 | Co. So Blair RSJ held that: | 10 | contingently payable. |
| 11 | "Section 95(ii) applied to the proper disposal | 11 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, my Lord rejected Mr Dicker's |
| 12 | ...(Reading to the words)... notwithstanding it had not | 12 | argument that there was a sort of contingent accrual as |
| 13 | come into force after the commencement of the | 13 | part of his reasoning in the Waterfall case, as you've |
| 14 | liquidation." | 14 | just shown us. |
| 15 | This is not of course relevant to the present issue, | 15 | MR GAMMIE: As part of the analysis in relation to |
| 16 | but it is worth noting a passage from that part of his | 16 | Bower v Marris, that is correct. |
| 17 | judgment dealing with this issue at paragraph 25 : | 17 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: In that sense, there isn't an accrual. |
| 18 | "To say this is not to give the provision | 18 | MR GAMMIE: No, no, my Lord, there isn't an accrual. But |
| 19 | retroactive effect. Although it is not free from doubt, | 19 | if, for example, you had a contingent -- but I am saying |
| 20 | I do not accept the contention that the claimants | 20 | that that is not necessarily the only situation in which |
| 21 | ...(Reading to the words)... in my opinion, they | 21 | you would not have an accrual in the conventional sense |
| 22 | acquired at best the contingent right to payment of | 22 | that you have just described it, my Lord. Yet the |
| 23 | post-liquidation interest conditional on there being | 23 | question we have to ask ourselves for tax purposes |
| 24 | a surplus in the liquidated estate after payment of all | 24 | is: is the absence of that conventional type of accrual |
| 25 | the company's debts and obligations and of the costs | 25 | a key factor for deciding whether or not it's yearly |
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| 1 | associated with the liquidation. The condition cannot | 1 | interest? |
| 2 | be determined and satisfied until the liquidation of the | 2 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I mean, we've got to decide what |
| 3 | estate is at least substantially completed." | 3 | yearly interest means for tax purposes. But clearly the |
| 4 | So although it's a Canadian case, it's cited by | 4 | word "interest", is used in the insolvency rules to |
| 5 | my Lord David Richards as at least a passage worth | 5 | describe the payment which is made to compensate the |
| 6 | noting. It describes the type of right to interest | 6 | creditors for being kept out of their dividends. |
| 7 | we're talking about at a point prior to the emergence of | 7 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and it's not disputed in this |
| 8 | a surplus. Going back again now to the Court of Appeal | 8 | case that it is interest truly described. In other |
| 9 | decision last week at tab 5, we can see about halfway | 9 | words, it's not -- |
| 10 | down in paragraph 27 the sentence: | 10 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I thought it was common ground |
| 11 | "Thus the debts proved referred to in rule 2.88(7) | 11 | that statutory interest wasn't only interest as a matter |
| 12 | will include the whole of the ...(Reading to the | 12 | of ordinary speech but was interest within the meaning |
| 13 | words)... all outstanding pre-administration interest. | 13 | of the word "interest" in the relevant provisions of the |
| 14 | The aggregate of those amounts will constitute the debt | 14 | Taxes Act. |
| 15 | upon which statutory instrument period since the onset | 15 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, because I don't think there's |
| 16 | of the administration is payable. The requirement that | 16 | necessarily a distinction between what is generally |
| 17 | there should be a surplus out of which statutory | 17 | recognised as interest in the commercial world and what |
| 18 | instrument is paid means that the aggregate of principal | 18 | is -- |
| 19 | and pre-administration interest will ...(Reading to the | 19 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Right. So what does the word |
| 20 | words)... known figure ascertained during the course of | 20 | "interest" mean? |
| 21 | the administration prior to the calculation and payment | 21 | MR GAMMIE: Payment by time for the use of money or to |
| 22 | of any statutory interest." | 22 | compensate somebody for being out of their money for |
| 23 | So that's the type -- | 23 | a period of time. |
| 24 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Do you accept there's no accrual in | 24 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Right. So it's not dependent on it |
| 25 | the conventional sense of a debt bearing interest from | 25 | being used to describe a compensatory payment which |
|  | Page 22 |  | Page 24 |


| 1 | accrues in the sense we've just been discussing. That's | 1 | MR GAMMIE: There are of course tax cases which deal for |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | not an essential component of it being interest. | 2 |  |
| 3 | MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord. | 3 | well, discounts are always slightly tricky, let me just |
| 4 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So is the point about accrual then | 4 | take a premium as an example -- where an amount is |
| 5 | only relevant to the word "yearly"? | 5 | borrowed and it is repayable at a premium, and there |
| 6 | MR GAMMIE: Well, you might have to ask my learned friend | 6 | have been cases that have held that that is essentially |
| 7 | his answer to that -- | 7 | interest, the premium is in reality interest because |
| 8 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I know, but I'm just seeing how | 8 | it's payment by time for the use of money. It's not |
| 9 | -- I mean, your argument presumably is that it isn't. | 9 | expressed at an annual rate but most of these things can |
| 10 | MR GAMMIE: I would say not, no. | 10 | always be expressed as an annual rate of interest even |
| 11 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So what's your argument that it's (a) | 11 | if in the document recording the loan it's just |
| 12 | interest which is agreed and it's yearly because | 12 | expressed as a single sum. |
| 13 | it covers a period of more than a year? | 13 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But in order to recognise the |
| 14 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord. | 14 | elephant that is yearly interest, what characteristics |
| 15 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: It's as simple as that. | 15 | do I have to look for? I mean, can you list them? |
| 16 | MR GAMMIE: It is indeed, my Lord, which is why I may not | 16 | MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lady, I think we say that it's paid in |
| 17 | take a great deal of your time this morning. But that | 17 | respect of a period greater than a year. There's always |
| 18 | is essentially our case. | 18 | been a question mark over if you have a payment that's |
| 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Don't you say also that it's | 19 | exactly a year, what is that, but I think it's generally |
| 20 | calculated by reference to a yearly rate? Or do you | 20 | accepted that that is yearly interest. |
| 21 | think -- are you saying that doesn't matter? | 21 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But when you say "paid in respect |
| 22 | MR GAMMIE: Well, I think my Lady is, as Goslings \& Sharpe | 22 | of", has the calculation got to be by reference to |
| 23 | illustrates, one of the cases that we can look at, you | 23 | a period of time? |
| 24 | may have interest which is calculated by reference to | 24 | MR GAMMIE: Well, interest is most normally calculated by |
| 25 | a yearly rate but for a period shorter than year. | 25 | reference to time, because I think the authorities |
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| 1 | Therefore, you wouldn't necessarily conclude that | 1 | describe it as payment by time for the use of money or |
| 2 | because it's an amount outstanding for less than a year | 2 | compensation being out of your money, and that is most |
| 3 | and interest is paid in respect of less than a year that | 3 | naturally expressed as being by reference to a period of |
| 4 | it becomes yearly interest just because you describe the | 4 | time. |
| 5 | interest in the yearly terms. | 5 | Of course, you can in a particular loan instrument |
| 6 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is longer than a year, | 6 | express it as a lump sum which then has to be converted |
| 7 | isn't the rate to be -- yearly interest -- doesn't the | 7 | into an annual rate if you want to convert it into |
| 8 | rate have to be calculated by reference to an annual | 8 | an annual rate, but it's something for which in |
| 9 | rate? | 9 | particular the obligation to pay on the principal amount |
| 10 | MR GAMMIE: Well -- | 10 | in respect of which it's outstanding is expected or does |
| 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I mean, if you just said: okay, your | 11 | last for more than a year, because it's the existence of |
| 12 | debt is a million, you are going to get -- everybody is | 12 | the principal amount by reference to which the |
| 13 | going to get 4 per cent on their debt irrespective of | 13 | compensation that is interest is going to be calculated |
| 14 | how long it's been outstanding. | 14 | to arrive at your figure of interest. |
| 15 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. So it's 4 per cent of the principal amount | 15 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if for example the so-called |
| 16 | that's been paid irrespective of whatever length of | 16 | return is not defined by reference to the period during |
| 17 | time. | 17 | which it's outstanding but defined by reference to the |
| 18 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 18 | profits which the borrower have made on the loan in |
| 19 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, that would potentially still be | 19 | a particular period since he took out the loan, does |
| 20 | interest, but you would express it as a different rate | 20 | that qualify as interest? Because in some loan |
| 21 | according to -- if you wanted to express it as an annual | 21 | instruments, there isn't interest payable at all, but |
| 22 | rate, it would obviously be a different rate for each of | 22 | there's another form of reward that may not be, as it |
| 23 | the debts, depending on how long they've been | 23 | were, calculated expressly by reference to a period of |
| 24 | outstanding. | 24 | time but is a payment for the use of the money that |
| 25 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 25 | is -- I am thinking of interest under a sharia -- not |
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1

|  |
| :--- |
| 1 |

interest, return payable under a sharia-compliant loan instrument.
MR GAMMIE: Well, of course the whole objective of Islamic finance of one sort or another is to be able to construct a series of payments which are not described as interest or not recognised as interest. And of course for tax purposes, there are specific legislative provisions to try and deal with some of these things from a general perspective without maybe bringing in sharia finance as a complicating factor. If in the circumstances you describe, so for example money is leant on terms that the amount that is going to be paid for the use of that money is dependent upon the profits which are generated --
LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Or the increase in value of the property which has been purchased.
MR GAMMIE: Indeed. Then as an ordinary matter, I would say that was interest, but of course for tax purposes you can get in -- when I say into deep water -- you can get into a lot of other statutory provisions. For example, if you are talking about a company that issues a debt where the interest is dependent upon the results of the company, that will usually be treated as a dividend rather than as interest for tax purposes.

So there you are straying into areas where the way
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in which -- I mean, you know so many different payments as a commercial matter can be described as equivalent to interest as a particular rate. Then of course the tax system has to deal with that by deciding either that it is interest and will be taxed as interest, or to the extent that the character of the transaction takes it out of the category of interest and gives it some other income description for tax purposes, you've got to decide whether you have special legislation to deal with it. And I have to say we have hundreds of pages of legislation dealing with just that sort of thing.
LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But here it's common ground it's interest.
MR GAMMIE: But here it's common ground it is interest, my Lady, yes.
LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's a return based on the time -- or you say it's based on the period of time during which the money has been outstanding.
MR GAMMIE: Indeed. Because that's --
LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You say the only thing one needs to add to that once it's interest is the fact that it's been outstanding for longer than a year and make it yearly interest.
MR GAMMIE: Yes, essentially. I have to say "essentially" because there are -- for example, if you deposit some

| 1 | MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord. I mean, the mere fact that a rate | 1 | this that we should note: if you are talking about -- so |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | of interest is expressed as an annual rate I don't think | 2 | supposing -- if we're talking about payment of interest |
| 3 | I could say was the be all and end all of it. | 3 | now in respect of a loan which is going to have what's |
| 4 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, let's take an example. Let's | 4 | called a future tract of time, you are having to decide |
| 5 | assume the administration lasts six months so you get | 5 | now by reference to the payment you are making as to |
| 6 | the statutory interest at the end of six months rather | 6 | whether that interest satisfies or has the quality of |
| 7 | than a year. But by reference to the Judgments Act rate | 7 | yearly interest looking forward. |
| 8 | which is a per annum rate, is the Revenue's position | 8 | But we're not in that situation, we're in |
| 9 | that that would not be yearly interest? | 9 | a situation where you are looking back and you can see |
| 10 | MR GAMMIE: My Lord, my understanding is that below it was | 10 | the period of time over which the amount, the principal |
| 11 | accepted that would not be yearly interest because the | 11 | in which respect of interest is calculated, has been |
| 12 | principal amount that is paid and in respect of which | 12 | outstanding. |
| 13 | calculation is made is less than a year. | 13 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, I'm not sure about that. |
| 14 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: If you put money on an overnight | 14 | I mean, I see what you are saying about our case because |
| 15 | deposit on a per diem rate but in fact you left it in | 15 | at the time when the administration is all but complete |
| 16 | the deposit for over a year, would that be yearly | 16 | and it's clear there is going to be a surplus, the |
| 17 | interest? | 17 | administrators can then calculate what is due by |
| 18 | MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think the answer to that is it | 18 | reference to the relevant period, which in this case was |
| 19 | would depend. You would have to enquire more closely | 19 | more than year. But in an ordinary case of a continuing |
| 20 | into the basis upon which this money was being | 20 | loan, for example, when the company or whoever it is, |
| 21 | deposited. The illustration I gave my Lady before was a | 21 | whoever the payer is, comes to make up his tax returns |
| 22 | straightforward bank deposit which is withdrawable as | 22 | which are done on an annual basis, he is going to know |
| 23 | and when you need the funds, it just so happens you | 23 | as of the making of the return for what period of time |
| 24 | leave it there, but you might need to enquire as to | 24 | the interest has been payable, isn't he? |
| 25 | whether that was the nature of the -- | 25 | MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, yes, but the point here is that |
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| 1 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well, that is going to be true of any | 1 | you have to know when you make the payment. |
| 2 | bank deposit. I mean, a conventional deposit, you leave | 2 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. |
| 3 | it there for as long as you like. | 3 | MR GAMMIE: So it's not when you make up your return at the |
| 4 | MR GAMMIE: Well, indeed. But of course you can | 4 | end of the day, your tax return. Because the obligation |
| 5 | deliberately put money on deposit with a bank for | 5 | to withhold, if it's an obligation to withhold on yearly |
| 6 | a specified period of time for a year or two years. | 6 | interest, has to be exercised at the time at which you |
| 7 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: You can do, but let's assume it's more | 7 | make the payment. So you have to know -- that's one of |
| 8 | open-ended than that. There is an authority where the | 8 | the essences of the whole scheme of things, because -- |
| 9 | loan was in terms for a period of less than a year as we | 9 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So it should be on that view |
| 10 | know. But let's assume it's open-ended and it's just | 10 | recognisable ab initio at the outset? |
| 11 | put on a deposit which bears whatever is the prevailing | 11 | MR GAMMIE: Well, it should certainly be recognisable at the |
| 12 | rate of interest but in fact it lasts for more than | 12 | time at which you first come to make a payment. And of |
| 13 | a year or less than year. I mean, is that what | 13 | course if you borrow money, you are frequently not going |
| 14 | determines whether it's yearly interest? | 14 | to be paying interest for a period of a year. What you |
| 15 | MR GAMMIE: I think if you go to cases like | 15 | are going to be paying frequently is interest for |
| 16 | Corinthian Securities v Cato, they say you would have to | 16 | a monthly, quarterly or half-yearly period. |
| 17 | enquire into what was the intention behind this. For | 17 | So the fact that you are making a payment of |
| 18 | example, you might be buying a house and taking out | 18 | interest that covers, that has accrued for less than |
| 19 | a mortgage which then you sell the house and repay the | 19 | a year is not what determines whether or not it's yearly |
| 20 | mortgage within six months, but authority would suggest | 20 | interest, because otherwise very few payments of |
| 21 | that because mortgage interest is normally treated as | 21 | interest would ever be yearly interest because you would |
| 22 | yearly interest, the fact that you happen to have sold | 22 | make sure they were always paid six-monthly. So it has |
| 23 | the house within six months and repaid the mortgage | 23 | to be some aspect of the loan or the principal amount in |
| 24 | wouldn't necessarily deprive the interest of its | 24 | respect of which the interest is paid. And if you are |
| 25 | character. But I think there's an important element to | 25 | looking forward, it's asking yourself whether as |
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| 1 | a matter of commercial practice, terms of the loan, or | 1 | hindsight how long the period is. But in both those |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | whatever, it has a future tract of time which provides | 2 | examples, it is the period which is the critical factor |
| 3 | the interest with that character as yearly interest. | 3 | in all this. |
| 4 | But of course when you are looking at a surplus that | 4 | MR GAMMIE: It is, because generally speaking if something |
| 5 | emerges under the statutory regime, you are not looking | 5 | is short, less -- if the obligation is going to survive |
| 6 | to the future at all, you are looking to the past. You | 6 | or is expected to survive less than a year, then you |
| 7 | can see exactly how long the payment -- as the | 7 | wouldn't normally be looking at yearly interest. |
| 8 | administration has lasted, the payment is how much it's | 8 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. |
| 9 | compensating the creditor for being out of his money and | 9 | MR GAMMIE: Of course -- |
| 10 | for the period of the administration. | 10 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: It's got nothing therefore to do with |
| 11 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But can I be clear then -- I mean, | 11 | accrual. |
| 12 | obviously I understand that-- but are you saying the | 12 | MR GAMMIE: Well, as I say, my Lord, when we talk in terms |
| 13 | criteria of determining whether it's yearly interest are | 13 | of accrual, I think what we're talking about is accruing |
| 14 | different as between the conventional loan situation | 14 | in the sense of there is an amount that is arising |
| 15 | you've just described, the first of your examples where | 15 | day-by-day even though it's not immediately payable. |
| 16 | one needs to know at the date of payment whether tax is | 16 | But of course accrual can also be thought about in terms |
| 17 | deductible or not, and the present case, our case, where | 17 | of just saying, "The obligation arose this time last |
| 18 | you are doing an ex post facto calculation at the end of | 18 | year, I'm now entitled to an amount of interest, what's |
| 19 | the -- | 19 | the calculation of interest over that period?" |
| 20 | MR GAMMIE: No, I'm not saying the criteria are different. | 20 | You've calculated by reference to a period of time |
| 21 | I'm saying that in relation to an ex post payment such | 21 | which could equally be talked about in terms of accrual. |
| 22 | as we have under the statutory regime, you can see | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Hasn't it got to be -- going back to |
| 23 | immediately that the criteria are satisfied. That's not | 23 | the rate point, and I am really kind of focusing on |
| 24 | to say the criteria are different when you are looking | 24 | Bebb v Bunny, hasn't one got to say well, |
| 25 | at a payment of interest now in respect of an amount | 25 | the calculation of the interest is by reference to |
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| 1 | which has not been outstanding for a year or where you | 1 | an annual rate rather than by reference to the increase |
| 2 | actually have to ask yourself: is this the type of debt | 2 | in the value of a property, for example? |
| 3 | obligation giving rise to this interest which means that | 3 | I mean, surely the method by which the rate is |
| 4 | this is yearly interest? | 4 | calculated, i.e. by reference to a period of time |
| 5 | Because there you can't -- so if I borrow money now | 5 | greater than a year, has to be relevant? If in fact the |
| 6 | and I have to pay in a month's time the first tranche of | 6 | money was outstanding for over a year but it was |
| 7 | interest, I won't know just by reference to the time | 7 | calculated by reference to, I don't know, the increase |
| 8 | that the debt has been outstanding and the period that's | 8 | in the price of an index or some other arbitrary figure, |
| 9 | covered by the interest I am paying, I won't be able to | 9 | how could it be yearly interest in those circumstances? |
| 10 | answer the question straightforwardly: is this yearly | 10 | So surely one of the criteria is the method by which |
| 11 | interest? So you obviously have to enquire into what, | 11 | the rate is calculated by reference to a period of time. |
| 12 | you know, what have I borrowed the money for? What are | 12 | MR GAMMIE: Well, My Lady, I must say -- |
| 13 | the facets of this transaction giving rise to interest | 13 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Isn't that what Bunny v Benby is |
| 14 | which confer upon it the character of yearly interest? | 14 | saying -- never mind what the Goslings case or other |
| 15 | But of course that will go to a question as to | 15 | cases say -- why isn't the rate relevant? |
| 16 | whether or not this loan or amount obligation is | 16 | MR GAMMIE: The oddity -- when I say oddity -- one feature |
| 17 | intended and likely to be outstanding for more than a | 17 | about Bebb v Bunny is I think it was dealing with unpaid |
| 18 | year. So the interest being paid on that loan has | 18 | purchase monies. You might well say unpaid purchase |
| 19 | effectively bought that money for a period of time which | 19 | monies aren't normally outstanding for more than a year, |
| 20 | makes it yearly interest even though it may nominally as | 20 | but they still found that it was yearly interest, and |
| 21 | most mortgages are be repayable within six months. | 21 | part of that might lie behind the point that you are now |
| 22 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So then is this right: you say that | 22 | making, my Lady. I have to say I don't think I would |
| 23 | the essential criterion for both those examples, the | 23 | say that. |
| 24 | calculation for the statutory interest is easier than | 24 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Never mind whether -- it's not |
| 25 | the other example because one knows with the benefit or | 25 | binding on us anyway. |
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| 1 | MR GAMMIE: Well, no, my Lady. But just to take your point, | 1 | debt on apart from the administration might not be. So |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | so I borrow money now -- | 2 | the point could arise. |
| 3 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 3 | MR GAMMIE: Well, I hesitate, my Lord, to -- but it would be |
| 4 | MR GAMMIE: -- and I am going to repay it in, say, 13 | 4 | expressed as a rate, I think. |
| 5 | months' time, and the amount that I will repay will be | 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You yourself have been giving, |
| 6 | that amount plus an amount based on an index of some | 6 | perfectly correctly I should have thought, examples |
| 7 | sort. For example, if the index has fallen, I will just | 7 | where interest is payable at a rate which is not |
| 8 | repay the amount and I won't have to pay anything | 8 | expressed as an annual rate, and that could be the rate |
| 9 | further. If the index has gone through the roof, I will | 9 | applicable to the debt apart from the administration. |
| 10 | pay something extra on that. Leaving aside the | 10 | MR GAMMIE: My Lord -- |
| 11 | possibility that that type of arrangement is covered by | 11 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You could have this |
| 12 | innumerable other statutory provisions, is the amount | 12 | example: you could have a loan for 13 months with |
| 13 | over and above what you repay yearly interest? | 13 | interest payable at the aggregate of the overnight rates |
| 14 | I think it would be difficult to say it wasn't | 14 | applicable during the 13 months. So it's undoubtedly |
| 15 | interest of some sort. You could think about a similar | 15 | a loan for 13 months, it's fixed. But the rate of |
| 16 | arrangement under which you were going to pay interest | 16 | interest is not expressed as an annual rate, but you |
| 17 | at a specified rate but it was contingent upon the index | 17 | would say that's yearly interest. |
| 18 | moving a certain extent. I must say I -- | 18 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. |
| 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But it's not related to the time use | 19 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to see why it |
| 20 | of money. Of course, in one sense it's reflecting | 20 | shouldn't be. The fact that it's expressed not as |
| 21 | what's happened over a period. But to say it's yearly | 21 | a yearly rate but as an aggregate of overnight rates, it |
| 22 | interest whether you repay at the end of six months or | 22 | would be very surprising if that made a difference as to |
| 23 | whether you repay at the end of 9 months, or whether you | 23 | whether it was yearly interest. |
| 24 | repay at the end of 15 months, is going to depend on | 24 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I certainly would endorse |
| 25 | what the index has done in the meantime. So even in the | 25 | that. |
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| 1 | 15-month example, why is that a yearly interest payment? | 1 | In terms of the rate that is paid here, paragraph 9 |
| 2 | MR GAMMIE: Well, I think in that instance, the return not | 2 | of the rule says: |
| 3 | being calculated by any rate as such, it would be yearly | 3 | "The rate of interest payable under paragraph 7 is |
| 4 | interest by reference to the time over which the money | 4 | whichever is the greater rate specified under |
| 5 | was outstanding and effectively the reward you've got | 5 | paragraph 6 or the rate applicable to the debt part from |
| 6 | for having the use of that money for a period of time | 6 | the administration." |
| 7 | which exceeded a year. It just so happens that you've | 7 | The rate as has been noted under 6 is expressed as |
| 8 | constructed the basis upon which it's calculated, your | 8 | an annual rate, so I would have thought that to be able |
| 9 | return on that use of money, by reference to an index. | 9 | to work out whether you had a greater rate applicable to |
| 10 | So it's not the -- | 10 | the debt apart from the administration, you'd at least |
| 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can't quite understand why you are | 11 | have to be able to express that in terms of an annual |
| 12 | shirking from the proposition that the fact that the | 12 | rate, even if it's calculated in the way you say, |
| 13 | rate is calculated by reference to an annual rate, | 13 | my Lord. |
| 14 | an interest rate over a year, is not an indicia of | 14 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You must be right. But as you |
| 15 | whether this is yearly interest. I thought -- | 15 | have said, leaving aside the examples of rates |
| 16 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady if I'm shirking from it -- I am shirking | 16 | calculated by reference to an index or something, all |
| 17 | from it for two reasons. Firstly, because it's not | 17 | rates are capable of expression as an annual rate. So |
| 18 | relevant here because what we're looking at is a rate | 18 | I mean even overnight rates are capable of expression as |
| 19 | specified either by reference to the Judgments Act or | 19 | an annual rate, so I don't think there would be |
| 20 | calculated by the terms of the loan, so it doesn't arise | 20 | a practical difficulty in working out which was the |
| 21 | in this case. I'm also shirking from it because -- | 21 | greater. But that doesn't exclude the possibility of |
| 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But we are because the Judgments Act | 22 | a contract which does have a rate applicable to the debt |
| 23 | rate is a yearly rate. | 23 | which is not expressed as an annual rate. |
| 24 | MR GAMMIE: It is. | 24 | MR GAMMIE: That, my Lord, I would agree with. I don't |
| 25 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But the date applicable to the | 25 | actually think it matters for yearly interest that you |
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| 1 | express it as an annual rate. | 1 | reason we're concerned about whether or not it's yearly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, that's your | 2 | interest is because the legislation only imposes |
| 3 | submission: it doesn't matter. You don't accept my | 3 | an obligation to withhold tax if it is yearly interest. |
| 4 | Lady's proposition that it's a necessary ingredient of | 4 | Do we get any help from that in deciding what Parliament |
| 5 | yearly interest, but the interest, the rate of interest, | 5 | intended to capture in terms of interest for those |
| 6 | is expressed as an annual rate. | 6 | purposes? I mean, clearly they didn't decide that any |
| 7 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But I think what I put to you apart | 7 | form of interest should give rise to an obligation to |
| 8 | from that proposition was the fact that it is expressed | 8 | withhold tax regardless of how -- you know, whether it |
| 9 | as an annual rate, is that an indicia of one of perhaps | 9 | was yearly, monthly or anything else. So what is it |
| 10 | many that it is in fact yearly interest? I think that's | 10 | that they were trying to identify as being the type of |
| 11 | what I am having difficulty with. | 11 | payment that should attract a withholding obligation? |
| 12 | MR GAMMIE: I would certainly agree with that proposition; | 12 | MR GAMMIE: My learned friend I think may well want to delve |
| 13 | that it's something you would look at and take into | 13 | into the history of this. |
| 14 | account in arriving at a conclusion on these matters to | 14 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I know. I looked at it, but |
| 15 | the extent you are dealing with a situation where it is | 15 | I couldn't at the moment just by looking at the |
| 16 | not clear. Obviously you know what it is and you've got | 16 | legislation see anything very obvious that defined the |
| 17 | to make up your mind. | 17 | characteristics of what is yearly interest. But |
| 18 | I think my reluctance -- at one point I was mindful | 18 | something must have been in the minds of the legislation |
| 19 | in relation to your early example, my Lady, about the | 19 | in limiting it to that type of payment. |
| 20 | index. Of course one has to remember from this side of | 20 | MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I think historically it goes back |
| 21 | the matter, in other words from the Revenue's | 21 | to Addington's system in 1802/1803 of deduction of tax |
| 22 | perspective, there is the very important question of | 22 | at source where effectively if you were borrowing at |
| 23 | whether or not tax can be collected on interest which | 23 | interest and you had a taxed fund then the -- you |
| 24 | flows abroad, because the withholding is the principal | 24 | withheld the tax on the interest and retained that |
| 25 | collection mechanism where interest is paid to a foreign | 25 | against your own tax liability, so effectively you were |
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| 1 | person. | 1 | sharing your taxed fund with the lender. I think |
| 2 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Mm. | 2 | historically that is the origins of the idea, but over |
| 3 | MR GAMMIE: Although I haven't taken instructions from | 3 | the years of course, that has essentially sort of -- |
| 4 | behind me, I would suspect there would be some | 4 | when I say fallen out of use in our system, I mean that |
| 5 | reluctance to think that the example you gave by | 5 | has gradually got -- the traces of that have become |
| 6 | reference to the index did not give rise to interest | 6 | weaker and weaker as the years have gone by. |
| 7 | from which a withholding obligation existed. | 7 | But the contrast in general terms would have been |
| 8 | As I say, I don't see that that is a necessary | 8 | between short-term accommodation where you just happened |
| 9 | feature of the decision. | 9 | to incur a bit of interest but for no particular -- |
| 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But if there is a reference, as here, | 10 | I mean, you just incur a small debt which you pay |
| 11 | to an annual rate -- | 11 |  |
| 12 | MR GAMMIE: Then that's a relevant indicia to take account | 12 | Sharpe you are dealing with a sort of transaction in the |
| 13 | of. | 13 | financial markets on a short-term duration. So the |
| 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: -- then that can be taken into | 14 | contrast has always been between yearly interest and |
| 15 | account. | 15 | what is called short interest; in other words just |
| 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am sure in the context of | 16 | something which arises in the ordinary course of maybe |
| 17 | Lehman Brothers, you are wise to be cautious. Because | 17 | one's business but without having any longevity to it. |
| 18 | if any institution had a wide range of instruments by | 18 | And part of that is because originally back in |
| 19 | reference to all sorts of matters, it was probably | 19 | history, by borrowing longer term you were effectively |
| 20 | Lehmans. | 20 | sharing part of your income with the person who lent you |
| 21 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, and I am sure you have a much | 21 | the money, put you in funds, for a particular purpose. |
| 22 | better grasp -- | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is it the position that interest |
| 23 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Hardly. Can I just ask you | 23 | on overnight deposits are not subject to tax, or under |
| 24 | this Mr Gammie -- perhaps you are going to come to this | 24 | this provision? |
| 25 | as part of your argument so we can postpone this -- the | 25 | MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady, they are obviously subject to tax |
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| 1 | to the extent they give rise to interest that may be | 1 | then you took it into account; but the extent that |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | taxable on you or as the person entitled to it. But it | 2 | judgment was obtained after administration was entered |
| 3 | doesn't necessarily give rise to an obligation on the | 3 | into or could have been obtained after you went into |
| 4 | person who is paying the interest to withhold on account | 4 | administration, that was not within the contemplation of |
| 5 | of your liability. | 5 | paragraph 9 of this statutory scheme. I won't read it |
| 6 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, I see. So this is all to deal | 6 | all, but it's summarised by Mr Justice Hildyard in |
| 7 | with withholding, is it? It matters that it's yearly | 7 | paragraphs 454 to 464. |
| 8 | interest because of the withholding -- | 8 | The point he was then having to consider was whether |
| 9 | MR GAMMIE: In the modern era, yes, that is essentially what | 9 | or not or to what extent a rate applicable to the debt |
| 10 | it's about. | 10 | apart from the administration could take account of |
| 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So the fact that it contributes to | 11 | subsequent actions by the creditor following the |
| 12 | profits means it will be taxable at the end of the day, | 12 | administration. |
| 13 | or may be taxable at the end of the day -- | 13 | We can see that if we turn on to page 1590. He has |
| 14 | MR GAMMIE: Yes, and of course relatively short periods of | 14 | set out the contentions of the various parties in the |
| 15 | interest or transactions that give rise to that | 15 | intervening period, and as he says at paragraph 517: |
| 16 | overnight interest and the like would frequently be | 16 | "The central dispute is as to whether or not |
| 17 | between financial traders and the like who are -- or | 17 | ...(Reading to the words)... purposes between on the one |
| 18 | people who are going to bring it in as part of their | 18 | hand the rate of interest the entitlement to which |
| 19 | business profits rather than just you and I getting | 19 | arises by virtue of a judgment obtained after the date |
| 20 | a bit of interest on our savings. | 20 | of administration and on the other hand a right of |
| 21 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 21 | interest proscribed by contract is applicable to |
| 22 | Yes, I see, thank you. | 22 | a contractual entitlement contingently or prospectively |
| 23 | MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lady, we sort of have gone slightly | 23 | available to a non-defaulting party but which has not |
| 24 | away from matters but I think not irrelevantly so. | 24 | been triggered prior to the date of administration and |
| 25 | We've been focusing on things I was going to come on to. | 25 | which cannot be ...(Reading to the words)... without |
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| 1 | The one aspect I hadn't specifically looked at or in | 1 | further action by that non-defaulting party after that |
| 2 | relation to the past decisions was what was taken or | 2 | date, for example by designating an early termination |
| 3 | what's been decided to be under paragraph 9 of rule 2.88 | 3 | date and/or taking steps to establish a particular rate |
| 4 | the rate applicable to the debt apart from the | 4 | of interest." |
| 5 | administration. We've talked a little bit about that. | 5 | Then he goes on at 518: |
| 6 | We can see that if we go, for example, to the | 6 | "In my view, there is such a distinction. The |
| 7 | supplemental authorities bundle, and in this case tab 4 | 7 | distinction lies in the source of the right or |
| 8 | just to pick up some other facets of what we're looking | 8 | entitlement and the existence or not of that source as |
| 9 | at here. This was the decision of Mr Justice Hildyard | 9 | at the date of administration. A right or entitlement |
| 10 | in relation to various ISDA master agreements and other | 10 | which arises not because of a contractual term |
| 11 | amounts. If we turn to page 1577, for example, we can | 11 | ...(Reading to the words)... and the rules relating to |
| 12 | pick up his summary of what he says -- | 12 | interest in respect of such a judgment once obtained." |
| 13 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, which paragraph is it? | 13 | Then going on at 520: |
| 14 | MR GAMMIE: It's paragraph 454 on page 1577, my Lord. | 14 | "That is to be contrasted with a right conferred by |
| 15 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 454. | 15 | contract which even if its exercise and quantification |
| 16 | MR GAMMIE: What's being discussed here is what's called | 16 | post-dates the date of administration is in existence at |
| 17 | supplemental issue 1 A , which as is recorded in | 17 | that date whether as a contingent or future right. I |
| 18 | paragraph 454 derived from an issue that had been | 18 | agree therefore with the administrators ...(Reading to |
| 19 | decided in the Waterfall IIA decision; that the rate | 19 | the words)... fail to recognise the difference between |
| 20 | applicable to the debt apart from the administration in | 20 | (a) the possibility of a future right to payment and (b) |
| 21 | paragraph 9 of the rules was apt to include -- or | 21 | the existence of present right to payment on the |
| 22 | whether it was apt to include a foreign judgment rate of | 22 | fulfilment of a condition." |
| 23 | interest or other statutory rate. The decision by | 23 | That leads on to his conclusion in particular which |
| 24 | my Lord David Richards was that to the extent foreign | 24 | comes at paragraph 528 on the next page where he says: |
| 25 | judgment had been obtained before the administration, | 25 | "Supplemental issue 1A is to be answered in the |
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| 1 | affirmative ...(Reading to the words)... apart from | 1 | court either finding that a debt is due by and therefore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | administration in rule 2.88 , paragraph 9 , will include | 2 | has to be accounted for, or that there is a breach of |
| 3 | in the case of a provable debt that is a close-out sum | 3 | trustees' duties or fiduciary obligations by directors; |
| 4 | under a contractual rate of interest that begins to | 4 | where we say that the relevant right to interest, that |
| 5 | accrue only after the close-out sum became due and | 5 | is the factor that triggers the right to interest, it's |
| 6 | payable due to action taken by the creditor after the | 6 | not -- there is no distinction, we say, between our case |
| 7 | date of administration." | 7 | where you are looking at a debt which has been proved |
| 8 | And that was considered in this court in its | 8 | which under the statutory scheme subsequently gives rise |
| 9 | decision last week, and in particular it's dealt with -- | 9 | to a right of interest because there is a surplus and |
| 10 | this is at the next tab, tab 5 in the supplemental | 10 | a situation where, for example, a trustee is in breach |
| 11 | bundle -- as item 12. | 11 | of trust and is found subsequently to be in breach of |
| 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Paragraph? | 12 | trust and the court orders him to make good the |
| 13 | MR GAMMIE: Paragraph 70, going through really to the end of | 13 | financial consequences of that together with interest. |
| 14 | the decision, because it had been suggested that there | 14 | In each case (a) you are looking back and (b) you |
| 15 | was a conflict between what my Lord David Richards had | 15 | are dealing with a situation where there is in one case |
| 16 | decided and what Mr Justice Hildyard had decided in that | 16 | a statutory regime, but you are looking at in other |
| 17 | subsequent decision, the conclusion being that there | 17 | cases an order by the court as to what should be made |
| 18 | wasn't. They each -- this is paragraph 75 where this | 18 | good. |
| 19 | court notes there was no irreconcilable or even | 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I am surprised that there isn't |
| 20 | significant tension. | 20 | a situation where previously the Revenue has regarded |
| 21 | So to that extent, as we can see, the terms of the | 21 | interest payable under the Judgments Act as yearly |
| 22 | original debts for which a proof is made can be looked | 22 | interest. Is there authority which illustrates that or |
| 23 | at to determine the rate of interest and including | 23 | not? I mean, it must have come up, mustn't it, under |
| 24 | action that is permitted under the terms of the contract | 24 | the Judgments Act previously? |
| 25 | which is relevant to the determination of the rate of | 25 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, you would think so, I can't -- |
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| 1 | interest, and therefore whether it is a rate greater | 1 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's just a plain situation where, as |
| 2 | than the rate specified by the Judgments Act. | 2 | it happens, because of an appeal or something like that, |
| 3 | I note in particular that one of the reasons for | 3 | interest has been outstanding since the date of the |
| 4 | Mr Justice Hildyard drawing the distinction between the | 4 | original judgment for a year. |
| 5 | two was the fact that where you are dealing with | 5 | MR GAMMIE: I can't immediately think that there is a case |
| 6 | a judgment which is obtained after the administration is | 6 | explicitly dealing with Judgments Act interest. But of |
| 7 | entered into, the source of that particular interest | 7 | course the various cases there are which have considered |
| 8 | that's paid in respect of that judgment is the judgment | 8 | interest, such as Riches and Regal v Gulliver and the |
| 9 | itself rather than the original debt that gives rise to | 9 | like have all -- |
| 10 | that judgment. | 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Said yearly. |
| 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Sorry, what are you getting from this? | 11 | MR GAMMIE: -- said it's yearly, yes. |
| 12 |  | 12 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Those aren't judgment rate |
| 13 | MR GAMMIE: That I say becomes relevant in looking -- or at | 13 | cases. |
| 14 | least a consideration to bear in mind when we look at | 14 | MR GAMMIE: No. |
| 15 | the three particular cases the Revenue rely on here of | 15 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: They are equitable. |
| 16 | instances where interest has been regarded as yearly | 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, because those are |
| 17 | interest where it arises from a judgment of the court | 17 | pre-judgment interest rather than post-judgment. My |
| 18 | either in terms of requiring a trustee to make good his | 18 | Lady is thinking of where you have a judgment and then |
| 19 | breach of trust and the financial consequences of his | 19 | you get interest at the Judgments Act rate. And there's |
| 20 | breach, or company directors, or in the case of interest | 20 | an appeal, it doesn't come on for a couple of years, |
| 21 | paid in respect of personal injuries, where again they | 21 | whether that's treated as yearly interest. |
| 22 | share the common feature with the statutory scheme here, | 22 | MR GAMMIE: I'm not aware of any authority that has had to |
| 23 | but they look back over a period to determine whether or | 23 | consider it. I can obviously take instructions as to |
| 24 | not the interest payable is yearly interest. | 24 | how it is generally viewed within or dealt with within |
| 25 | But also they arise as a result of a decision by the | 25 | the Revenue. |
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| 1 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I mean, it may be in line with | 1 | It's section 874 of the Income Tax Act 2007. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | the cases that -- I mean the purpose -- a judgment | 2 | It obviously has a much greater longevity in terms of |
| 3 | should normally be paid. It may be stayed pending | 3 | its history in the tax provisions, but what it says now |
| 4 | an appeal but it's not like a loan which though | 4 | 1s: |
| 5 | expressed to be for six months is in fact intended to | 5 | "This section applies if a payment of yearly |
| 6 | last for more than a year, it's a rather different | 6 | interest arising in the United Kingdom is made." |
| 7 | situation. That may be why it's not come up, I don't | 7 | The House of Lords has previously considered or had |
| 8 | know. | 8 | occasion to consider what "arising in the |
| 9 | MR GAMMIE: Well, my Lord, I'm not sure I can immediately | 9 | United Kingdom" means, but I don't think that is |
| 10 | help you. I can certainly make further inquiries. | 10 | an issue here. So: |
| 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: The reason why the interest hasn't | 11 | "Where it is made by a company, by a Local |
| 12 | been paid here is no doubt because of all the | 12 | Authority, by or on behalf of a partnership of which |
| 13 | litigation. | 13 | a company is a member, or by any person to another |
| 14 | MR GAMMIE: Well, I -- | 14 | person whose usual place of abode is outside the |
| 15 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Out of the surplus. | 15 | United Kingdom." |
| 16 | MR GAMMIE: Well, I assume this particular litigation | 16 | Then subsection (2): |
| 17 | especially, yes. | 17 | "The person by or through whom the payment is made |
| 18 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I would think in Lehman | 18 | must on making the payment deduct from it a sum |
| 19 | Brothers -- well, for the first year of the | 19 | representing income tax on it at the basic rate in force |
| 20 | administration, in fact for rather longer than the first | 20 | for the tax year in which it is made." |
| 21 | year of the administration, there wasn't and wasn't | 21 | That particular rule is subject to a whole variety |
| 22 | anticipated to be a surplus. So in this case, clearly | 22 | of exceptions in later sections, but I don't think they |
| 23 | the litigation must have prolonged matters, but -- | 23 | need detain us here because we're just concerned on what |
| 24 | MR GAMMIE: My Lord, I think I noted somewhere -- I may not | 24 | is meant by yearly interest and the implications of |
| 25 | be able to immediately find it -- but I think it was | 25 | section 874. |
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| 1 | something like September 2012, and I think you recorded | 1 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: And the payer then has to account to |
| 2 | in one of your earlier decisions, my Lord. It was | 2 | the Revenue, does it, for the tax that's been deducted? |
| 3 | about September 2012 that it started to begin -- that | 3 | MR GAMMIE: That would be the normal situation. In fact, |
| 4 | there might be a surplus. | 4 | it's been the situation for companies since 1965 because |
| 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, yes. | 5 | when Corporation Tax was adopted in 1965, companies |
| 6 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, okay. | 6 | ceased to be subject to income tax. Before 1965 they |
| 7 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, I think I've taken you to most of the | 7 | had been subject to income tax and Corporation Tax so |
| 8 | aspects that describe what it is we're looking at. In | 8 | they were part of this old regime. But of course when |
| 9 | relation to the rate of interest, the earlier decisions | 9 | Corporation Tax was introduced in 1965, companies had on |
| 10 | establish that the rate of interest is a simple rate, it | 10 | a much more general basis to account for tax on yearly |
| 11 | doesn't continue beyond the payment of the proved debts, | 11 | interest by withholding. Nowadays, most payments |
| 12 | and to the extent that the rate on the original debt, | 12 | between companies, certainly if they are resident in |
| 13 | for example, is a compounding rate, that can be taken | 13 | this country, can be made without withholding but that |
| 14 | into account in working out under paragraph 9 whether or | 14 | is a separate matter. Certainly if you are looking at |
| 15 | not the rate under the debt in the absence of the | 15 | a payment abroad, you are looking at a payment where the |
| 16 | administration would have been greater than the judgment | 16 | interest has to be accounted for to the UK Revenue. |
| 17 | rates. But I think I have probably gone through most of | 17 | So as I've previously noted, it depends upon |
| 18 | the features of the interest that we're looking at. | 18 | payment, so you have to be able to know at the time at |
| 19 | I suppose the next logical point is to look at least | 19 | which you are making the payment that your obligation to |
| 20 | at the tax provision under which interest or tax on | 20 | deduct arises. Interest, certainly for companies these |
| 21 | interest has to be withheld. Just to look at it, that | 21 | days of course, tends to be taxed and relieved on |
| 22 | is in authority bundle 1 at tab 34, which we can look at | 22 | an accruals basis, but this is always operated by |
| 23 | briefly and will not enlighten us to a significant | 23 | reference to payment. And you can understand that |
| 24 | extent because it will just express the problem we are | 24 | particularly if you are thinking of payments to persons |
| 25 | having to deal with. | 25 | who are resident outside the United Kingdom, so abroad. |
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| 1 | And -- | 1 | with interest. There will only be a single payment of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Are these just simply Revenue | 2 | interest at the end of the two-year period, but the fact |
| 3 | collection provisions? They are not intended to -- | 3 | that there is no recurrence involved in terms of the |
| 4 | I mean, because you've got to account to the Revenue for | 4 | number of payments you make can't affect the fact, in my |
| 5 | the tax you deduct, it's not a provision which enables | 5 | submission, that that's still yearly interest. |
| 6 | you to retain the tax on the basis that it's already | 6 | In fact, if you think about -- if you go back to |
| 7 | been paid out of your -- | 7 | Bebb v Bunny, there I think if you are talking about |
| 8 | MR GAMMIE: No. In their current form, they are essentially | 8 | interest payable on unpaid completion monies, again you |
| 9 | a collection mechanism, indeed an essential collection | 9 | would normally be thinking about a single payment of |
| 10 | mechanism in relation to persons abroad, because the | 10 | interest made at the time at which the completion monies |
| 11 | liability of a person abroad to UK tax is often limited | 11 | are discharged. So recurrence of payment is not, |
| 12 | to the amount that is withheld at source. So they have | 12 | I would say, a feature that comes into -- or is any |
| 13 | no further liability. | 13 | particular relevance in determining whether it's yearly |
| 14 | I have to say that -- I mean, that is as a sort of | 14 | interest. |
| 15 | starting point. Again, there are lots of further things | 15 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Before you leave this section, |
| 16 | one could say about that, but that's the essential | 16 | Mr Gammie, can you just help me on subsection (5)A, what |
| 17 | function of it. | 17 | that is dealing with. |
| 18 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. | 18 | MR GAMMIE: "The following to be treated as ...(Reading to |
| 19 | MR GAMMIE: One obvious point of course on the basis that it | 19 | the words)... made by a registered society" -- |
| 20 | is focusing on payment is that generally speaking, | 20 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: No, forgive me, it's |
| 21 | you're not talking about a situation where you are | 21 | subsection (5) A. |
| 22 | having to ask yourself whether or not the obligation to | 22 | MR GAMMIE: I see. |
| 23 | pay interest is contingent or conditional in some way. | 23 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, sorry. |
| 24 | Because if it was, you wouldn't generally be making the | 24 | MR GAMMIE: "For the purposes of subsection (1) ...(Reading |
| 25 | payment of the interest anyway. | 25 | to the words)... payment of yearly interest irrespective |
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| 1 | So you are talking about -- I would have thought | 1 | of the period in which interest is paid." |
| 2 | almost every case, my learned friend may think of | 2 | My Lord, I am not sure that I can necessarily -- |
| 3 | an exception -- you are thinking of a case where there | 3 | I mean, somebody obviously thought -- |
| 4 | is an obligation, current and immediate obligation, to | 4 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, presumably compensation |
| 5 | pay interest in respect of some debt or other obligation | 5 | is defined somewhere. I am just wondering what this |
| 6 | giving rise to it. | 6 | going to. Anyway, I am just curious about that |
| 7 | As I've already noted, frequently the payment of | 7 | provision, what it's dealing with. You may need to look |
| 8 | interest will be for a period of less than a year. So | 8 | up the relevant reference. |
| 9 | as I've already said, it can't be by reference to the | 9 | MR GAMMIE: My Lord, I was just trying to identify when that |
| 10 | period for which the interest is actually paid; in other | 10 | was introduced. |
| 11 | words, the period that it's dealing with which the | 11 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I think, presumably -- anyway, |
| 12 | relevant identifier for yearly interest. So in most | 12 | I'm not sure, but -- |
| 13 | cases as we've discussed, you need to look at some other | 13 | MR GAMMIE: Over the short adjournment, my Lord, I can see |
| 14 | feature of the obligation under which the interest | 14 | if I can come up with an answer for you on that. |
| 15 | arises to identify whether or not it is yearly interest. | 15 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's slightly -- yes, thank |
| 16 | As I've already said, that generally speaking | 16 | you. |
| 17 | involves looking to the future and, in the language | 17 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. |
| 18 | certainly of some of the case law, whether or not the | 18 | My example was a two-year loan with interest paid as |
| 19 | obligation under which the interest arises has a future | 19 | a single payment at the end of that and I say it's not |
| 20 | tract of time. | 20 | because you've paid interest on several occasions. Of |
| 21 | But it doesn't depend, in my submission, upon the | 21 | course you can say in the conventional manner we've |
| 22 | frequency or the recurrence of the payment of interest. | 22 | already talked about that the interest can be regarded |
| 23 | There's no reason why you can't borrow money, for | 23 | as accruing over that two-year period, even though there |
| 24 | example, for two years on the basis that when you repay | 24 | is no point in the two-year period until the loan is |
| 25 | your loan at the end of two years, you will repay it | 25 | repaid where interest can actually be immediately |
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| 1 | demanded for payment. But it can at least be regarded | 1 | examples in terms of how we should view the interest. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | as accruing over the two-year period. | 2 | They are all examples of payment of yearly interest. |
| 3 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Sorry, this is a case where, what, | 3 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: There is a difference between the |
| 4 | like the late completion case you are talking about? | 4 | statutory interest example and the contingent liability |
| 5 | MR GAMMIE: Which case, my Lord? | 5 | to pay interest because you can say in that case if it's |
| 6 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I don't know, I am asking you. The | 6 | a contractual arrangement it accrues from day-to-day or |
| 7 | point you are making relates to what sort of case are | 7 | month to month, or whatever, and is payable contingently |
| 8 | you talking about? | 8 | upon the happening of some future event. But it's still |
| 9 | MR GAMMIE: I was talking about a loan of money for | 9 | a term of the agreement which is subject to that |
| 10 | a two-year period where it's repayable with interest at | 10 | occurrence, there is that liability. Because that was |
| 11 | the end of two years. | 11 | the argument which was advanced unsuccessfully to |
| 12 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: At the end of the term, yes. | 12 | support the Bowers v Marris argument in relation to |
| 13 | MR GAMMIE: So there is one payment of interest which occurs | 13 | statutory interest; namely that one way of looking at it |
| 14 | at the end. There is no point in time between the loan | 14 | was that there was an accrual but it was simply |
| 15 | being made and being repaid when interest can be | 15 | contingent on -- and that's been rejected. |
| 16 | demanded, but we could talk in terms of the interest | 16 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord. So I'm not saying -- I'm not |
| 17 | having accrued over that two-year term of the loan. | 17 | saying that these are identical cases because the way in |
| 18 | So my next proposition, or at least the point I make | 18 | which I've posed them, of course they are not. But what |
| 19 | is: does it matter if the interest -- does it alter the | 19 | is it about a situation where you have a known sum |
| 20 | character of the interest if the interest is conditional | 20 | that's due to be paid, and if a surplus emerges you will |
| 21 | or contingent in some way? Suppose A pays B a sum of | 21 | then be entitled to statutory interest under the regime |
| 22 | money but with repayment of that money subject to | 22 | in a situation where you have contingently the |
| 23 | a contingency. And if the contingency occurs, the | 23 | possibility that you may get the sum back or not plus |
| 24 | amount is repayable with interest from the day at which | 24 | interest in due course which means one is yearly |
| 25 | the money was provided. So at the end of the second | 25 | interest and the other is not. |
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| 1 | year, the contingency occurs, the money is repaid with | 1 | I say there isn't any relevant distinction because |
| 2 | interest, does it make any difference to our view of how | 2 | in both of the cases you're -- well, if anything, I am |
| 3 | that interest is regarded yearly or otherwise? Because | 3 | saying that the contingency in my example where you've |
| 4 | not only the interest couldn't be demanded for immediate | 4 | paid a sum which you're only going to get back in |
| 5 | payment over that two-year period, but there was | 5 | two-years' time or at some point in the future if |
| 6 | a contingency which attached to whether or not you would | 6 | a contingency occurs, you are uncertain both as to |
| 7 | get the payment back with interest at all. So both the | 7 | whether you are going to get the principal and the |
| 8 | principal sum and the interest was contingent. Do we | 8 | interest, because the contingency attaches to both. So |
| 9 | regard that as accruing or do we regard it as making any | 9 | in a sense, you would say that might be a stronger case |
| 10 | difference to the character of the interest? | 10 | for saying that actually the interest only arises at the |
| 11 | I say that it doesn't. In the present case we're | 11 | point at which the contingency is satisfied and |
| 12 | dealing with under the statutory scheme, we're dealing | 12 | therefore the amount becomes payable. |
| 13 | with a situation where the principal amount by reference | 13 | In the statutory case of the administration, you are |
| 14 | to which the statutory interest is going to be | 14 | dealing with a situation where you have proved for |
| 15 | calculated is an amount that isn't contingent at all in | 15 | a debt and you have a sum you know you are going to get. |
| 16 | the same sense because if it's a proved debt, it's | 16 | The only contingency is whether or not the |
| 17 | an amount which is to be repaid. It may well be that in | 17 | administration generates a surplus, in which case you |
| 18 | calculating the amount that is proved, you have to take | 18 | will get interest. I say there is no relevant |
| 19 | account of the conditionality or the contingency that | 19 | distinction between these in terms of answering the |
| 20 | attaches to the underlying debt in some way. But the | 20 | question is the interest you get yearly interest or not. |
| 21 | amount that has been proved under the administration is | 21 | I'm not saying they're identical, I'm just saying |
| 22 | an amount which is due to be repaid. It's just that if | 22 | that I see no relevant criteria of distinction between |
| 23 | it is repaid in full so that a surplus emerges, you are | 23 | the two which identifies one as yearly interest and |
| 24 | also entitled to interest. | 24 | other as not. |
| 25 | I say there is no difference between any of these | 25 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, Mr Gardiner may argue |
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| 1 | that neither is yearly interest. | 1 | otherwise at large. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR GAMMIE: I'll wait to hear Mr Gardiner and then -- | 2 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That can't be right surely? |
| 3 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Anyway, we'll come back and deal with | 3 | Because compensation is a word of very wide meaning. |
| 4 | that at 2.05. I think this clock is five minutes slow. | 4 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. |
| 5 | (1.02 pm) | 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: If it's targeted in the way |
| 6 | (The short adjournment) | 6 | you describe, I would have expected the word |
| 7 | ( 2.05 pm ) | 7 | "compensation" would be defined somewhere. |
| 8 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, Mr Gammie. | 8 | MR GAMMIE: I'm told it isn't. It may be there was some |
| 9 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, perhaps I could deal first with | 9 | uncertainty as to how they would define the nature of |
| 10 | Lord Justice David Richards' point about | 10 | claims that were being made against banks in a way which |
| 11 | subsection (5)(a) of section 874. | 11 | would encompass everything. |
| 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, about compensation. | 12 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: We'll have to think about |
| 13 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. So in the authorities bundle 1 at tab 34, | 13 | that. |
| 14 | the second page. The provision says: | 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It refers to a building society, does |
| 15 | "For the purposes of ...(Reading to the words)... | 15 | it? I'm looking at footnote 4. |
| 16 | payable to an individual in respect of compensation [so | 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Added by the Finance Act ... |
| 17 | it's interest on compensation] is to be treated as | 17 | yes. |
| 18 | payment of yearly interest irrespective of the period in | 18 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Maybe that's -- |
| 19 | respect of which the interest is paid." | 19 | MR GAMMIE: No, I think that must relate to -- |
| 20 | Now the origins of this particular provision are the | 20 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Is that something else? |
| 21 | claims that have been made against banks for | 21 | MR GAMMIE: That's footnote 4. I can't immediately see |
| 22 | PPI compensation, and I understand there were two | 22 | where footnote 4 is. |
| 23 | particular aspects to it. Firstly, the banks not | 23 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's just after 5B. |
| 24 | knowing whether in respect of interest paid on that | 24 | MR GAMMIE: Of course 5B itself says the Commissioners can |
| 25 | compensation they might have an obligation to deduct, | 25 | make regulations providing that subsection (5)(a) |
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| 1 | but more importantly most of the people that they would | 1 | doesn't apply in the circumstances proscribed in |
| 2 | be paying interest to at the time would be used to | 2 | regulation. So I assume they took the view that rather |
| 3 | getting interest on their deposit accounts or any money | 3 | than undertake the difficult task of defining what |
| 4 | from the bank under deduction of tax so they didn't have | 4 | compensation was, it was easier to reserve the right to |
| 5 | to make a tax return because they received tax interest. | 5 | exclude things if it suddenly started hitting things it |
| 6 | So if they started receiving small amounts of | 6 | wasn't intended to. I'm not aware as to whether any |
| 7 | interest from which tax had not been withheld, they | 7 | regulations have been made. |
| 8 | would have had an obligation to report that to the | 8 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. |
| 9 | Revenue and file a tax return, and this would mean | 9 | MR GAMMIE: But anyway -- |
| 10 | a very large number of individuals who were not used to | 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Thank you. |
| 11 | having to file a tax return would suddenly have to do | 11 | MR GAMMIE: The next point I should just deal with, my Lady, |
| 12 | so. | 12 | was your point about judgment interest. I had forgotten |
| 13 | In the light of that, the Government evidently | 13 | that the interest involved in a case called in Re Cooper |
| 14 | concluded that the easiest thing was to ensure that the | 14 | was actually judgment interest. |
| 15 | interest on the compensation was paid under deduction of | 15 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Oh, right. |
| 16 | tax so the recipients could just deal with it in exactly | 16 | MR GAMMIE: It's in the authorities bundle 2 at tab 8, and |
| 17 | the same way as they dealt with interest on deposit | 17 | I think I can say this was a fairly -- well, perhaps |
| 18 | accounts. | 18 | I shouldn't say unmeritorious -- but it certainly did |
| 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 19 | not look a very hopeful case. The individual concerned |
| 20 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So compensation is defined | 20 | a bankrupt who had had a judgment against him in respect |
| 21 | somewhere presumably to encompass what you've described. | 21 | of a debt, which if you're at the report the amount |
| 22 | MR GAMMIE: Well, I think not. I'm not aware if it's | 22 | appears on the second page on page 551 . So $£ 3,750$ or so |
| 23 | defined -- it's not specifically defined. If you go | 23 | to which interest at 4 per cent had been added for |
| 24 | back to the original enacting statute and what was said | 24 | effectively seven days' interest, and his argument was |
| 25 | in Parliament, it explains what it's about. But it is | 25 | that when they made the relevant demand, they should |
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| 1 | have deducted tax from the interest, so the amounts | 1 | character as whatever that character may be of judgment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | stated in the particulars were wrong. | 2 | debt interest. It's just applying a particular rate. |
| 3 | The question was whether or not in specifying the | 3 | So I say the answer in this case has to be answered |
| 4 | amount that was due you should or should take any notice | 4 | independent of however judgment interest may or may not |
| 5 | of whether tax was to be deducted from the interest. In | 5 | be treated in any particular case, which we would |
| 6 | respect of that, I think the court is fairly clear that | 6 | obviously say will depend upon the circumstances and the |
| 7 | the creditor only needed to state the amount of the | 7 | length of time that the interest is payable. |
| 8 | debt, the interest and the cost, they didn't have to | 8 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But there is a sort of analogy, isn't |
| 9 | deduct tax from that. Then there was the question of | 9 | there, between interest under the Judgments Act on |
| 10 | would tax have been deducted anyway, to which the | 10 | judgments because it's a sort of compulsory but |
| 11 | conclusion by the Master of the Rolls seems to have been | 11 | involuntary payment to which you are subjected to by |
| 12 | that seven days' interest on this judgment debt wasn't | 12 | statute and it may or may not go on, you may or may not |
| 13 | yearly interest, although if you look on page 554 just | 13 | pay your judgment debt for a year or more. So isn't |
| 14 | below the black lining, you can see he slightly defers | 14 | there an analogy in nature of the obligation? I mean, |
| 15 | a bit on that because he says: | 15 | one is a statutory obligation to pay interest on |
| 16 | "I am not personally satisfied although I desire not | 16 | a surplus and the other is a statutory obligation to pay |
| 17 | to ...(Reading to the words)... unless and until he | 17 | interest on a judgment debt. |
| 18 | himself paid the income tax and produced evidence to | 18 | MR GAMMIE: Yes, one looking forward and one looking back. |
| 19 | that effect." | 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. So what's the difference in -- |
| 20 | Lord Justice Buckley doesn't conclude anything on | 20 | MR GAMMIE: Because when you look back, you can see in |
| 21 | the interest, whether it was yearly interest or | 21 | respect of what period this payment is made. Whereas |
| 22 | otherwise, and Lord Justice Kennedy agrees, says he's of | 22 | when you look forward, you are inevitably in some sense |
| 23 | the same opinion, but doesn't quite say who he is of the | 23 | having to speculate on what the obligation giving rise |
| 24 | same opinion with. So it's not a very satisfactory -- | 24 | to this particular payment of interest, what is its |
| 25 | I would say it doesn't cast much light on anything other | 25 | nature. Whereas you know looking back in the case of |
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| 1 | than that if you've paid seven days' interest or seven | 1 | our statutory scheme, there was a proven debt at the |
| 2 | days' interest is demanded in this way, tax doesn't have | 2 | date of the administration and the interest is |
| 3 | to enter into the matter. | 3 | compensating you for the time it has taken to reach |
| 4 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Isn't the ratio contained in | 4 | a point where interest can be paid out. |
| 5 | what the Master of the Rolls says at the foot of | 5 | You may not have accrued in the sense we've already |
| 6 | page 553 and the top of 554 and Lord Justice Buckley | 6 | discussed, but the fact of the matter is it is payment |
| 7 | does not differ from anything he says. | 7 | by reference to the time that has elapsed between the |
| 8 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. I mean, as he says, seven days' interest | 8 | start of the administration and the date of the surplus |
| 9 | in this particular case is not even an agreement for | 9 | emerging, and it is compensating for the fact that you |
| 10 | a short loan. | 10 | haven't been paid or hadn't been able to be paid at the |
| 11 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I don't think it's the | 11 | point at which the administration started, which is the |
| 12 | seven days' interest. He says: | 12 | period -- |
| 13 | "Is there any reason for saying that the full amount | 13 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So is judgment debt interest, isn't |
| 14 | including the interest was not undoubtedly due ..." | 14 | it? Obviously you're looking forward and you don't know |
| 15 | I think he is talking about the judgment debt and it | 15 | how long the judgment debt is going to remain unpaid, |
| 16 | was due to be paid. | 16 | but you know what the figure is. That doesn't change, |
| 17 | MR GAMMIE: It was due to be paid, yes, indeed. | 17 | the capital figure remains a constant. |
| 18 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: There was no arrangement for | 18 | MR GAMMIE: It would depend upon -- I mean, judgment debt |
| 19 | it to be outstanding for any length of time. | 19 | interest and whether or not it would be treated as |
| 20 | MR GAMMIE: Absolutely not, no, my Lord. I agree on that, | 20 | yearly interest would depend upon the particular |
| 21 | so I don't think it casts a great deal of light. Of | 21 | circumstances on the basis that in the vast majority of |
| 22 | course, in relation to the statutory scheme we're | 22 | cases, it is unlikely to continue for very long because |
| 23 | looking at under rule 2.88, all paragraph 6 of that rule | 23 | the judgment will be satisfied or enforced, then |
| 24 | does is to import the rate of judgment of interest on | 24 | normally you wouldn't expect it to be treated as yearly |
| 25 | judgment debts. It doesn't say that this has the same | 25 | interest. |
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| 1 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But in the appeal court, the | 1 | a certain period, interest on it is going to be paid. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | appeal -- so that's a stay of execution followed by | 2 | It might be a mortgage, so it might be a 6-month loan, |
| 3 | appeal -- it might well happen. | 3 | but circumstances would tell you it was going to have |
| 4 | MR GAMMIE: In which case you might well conclude that by | 4 | potentially a longer life. Here you just have a Local |
| 5 | the time the interest is paid, then it has acquired that | 5 | Authority that is entitled to call upon owners to |
| 6 | character, maybe. | 6 | properties to contribute to their expenditure. There |
| 7 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So your argument that the critical | 7 | isn't a loan transaction and you can see that in what |
| 8 | criterion is the length of time which the period relates | 8 | Lord Sumner says, because certainly right at the end of |
| 9 | to or the obligation has been outstanding and not paid | 9 | his judgment on pages 889 to 890 at the very bottom, he |
| 10 | would happen then. | 10 | says: |
| 11 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. Because if the obligation is discharged | 11 | "I am unable to see how the words yearly interest |
| 12 |  | 12 | can apply to this transaction. There is no agreement |
| 13 | yearly interest because other factors may tell you it | 13 | for a short or a long loan. The debt is due and |
| 14 | is. For example, if it's mortgage interest and you just | 14 | repayment is not enforced. Only in that sense is there |
| 15 | happen to have sold your house and repaid the mortgage | 15 | a loan. Truly speaking, there is simply a forbearance |
| 16 | in under a year, that wouldn't normally remove it from | 16 | to put in a suit the remedy for a debt. The repayment |
| 17 | the category of yearly interest, because the nature of | 17 | might have been enforced at any moment. The debt might |
| 18 | the transaction and the anticipated longevity when it's | 18 | have been paid by the debtor at any moment." |
| 19 | being paid. | 19 | So it's rather similar to the situation where you |
| 20 | But in other circumstances, you might well conclude | 20 | have a deposit account with a bank or an account with |
| 21 | that -- well, I'm sorry, I'm just trying to think which | 21 | the bank which can be drawn down at any particular point |
| 22 | way round the ... of course, if you are in | 22 | in time and it's a fluctuating balance, as it was indeed |
| 23 | a circumstance where those sorts of factors aren't at | 23 | a fluctuating balance in Mayor of Gateshead because the |
| 24 | play, the period of time that would have to elapse would | 24 | individual concerned paid certain amounts when he could |
| 25 | ordinarily have to be a year before you started asking | 25 | and ran up -- and didn't pay when he couldn't, |
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| 1 | yourself the question: when the interest is paid is this | 1 | I suppose. But in that situation, and as Lord Sumner |
| 2 | really yearly interest? You would be asking why was it | 2 | also says in his judgment again on page 889, just below |
| 3 | that the obligation remained outstanding for that period | 3 | halfway down: |
| 4 | of time. | 4 | "Whether or not the present case ...(Reading to the |
| 5 | I mean, one of the cases to look at would be the one | 5 | words)... it is unnecessary to consider. Sufficient for |
| 6 |  | 6 | the purposes of this case to say no such facts are shown |
| 7 | Gateshead v Lumsden, where the interest, when it was | 7 | here." |
| 8 | ultimately paid, had been outstanding for more than | 8 | So if the Local Authority had reached a specific |
| 9 | a year and yet it was concluded that it was not yearly | 9 | accommodation with the frontages that they could pay |
| 10 | interest in that particular case. There are particular | 10 | over a particular period of time, that might well have |
| 11 | features about that case which one can draw attention to | 11 | meant the interest acquired a quality going forward of |
| 12 | which may have led the court to its conclusion, but what | 12 | yearly interest. But this was merely just |
| 13 | you were dealing with in Mayor of Gateshead v Lumsden | 13 | a contribution to the Local Authority's expenses that |
| 14 | was a Local Authority's ability to call upon owners of | 14 | had been failed to be made for which they were entitled |
| 15 | premises fronting particular streets to contribute to | 15 | to call property owners to make and on which if they |
| 16 | expenditure by the Local Authority in paving and making | 16 | weren't made they could charge interest. But that was |
| 17 | up that street. | 17 | not the character of that transaction, as Lord Sumner |
| 18 | So what you are looking at is an obligation, | 18 | says, was not such as to confer quality of yearly |
| 19 | a statutory obligation that arises to contribute to | 19 | interest on the interest that was paid. |
| 20 | a Local Authority's expenditure. If you think about the | 20 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It's quite difficult to see what the |
| 21 | ordinary case in which yearly interest is considered, | 21 | touchstone is, isn't it? |
| 22 | and certainly at this time if you are putting your mind | 22 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. If you read all these cases |
| 23 | back to 1914 when this case was heard, you'd think that | 23 | through, what you start to come to appreciate is that |
| 24 | most obvious cases of yearly interest would be cases of | 24 | a lot of the early cases they're not exactly doubted in |
| 25 | loans. So you are looking at a loan being made for | 25 | subsequent cases but they are distinguished. And what |
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| 1 | essentially is -- I say essentially -- going on is | 1 | alternative to whether this supposing it to be interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a process of looking at the particular type of -- the | 2 | was not yearly interest." |
| 3 | interest and the transaction under which the interest or | 3 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Where are you reading from? |
| 4 | the obligation arises to identify whether or not it has | 4 | MR GAMMIE: Page 363, my Lady, and it's the second paragraph |
| 5 | that quality which calls it yearly interest. | 5 | with the marking. So the first question was whether or |
| 6 | But generally speaking, if it's interest that is | 6 | not it was interest, this amount, or whether it was |
| 7 | paid for less than a year, unless it's paid under | 7 | effectively a capital payment to reimburse the trust. |
| 8 | a transaction such as a mortgage which could be expected | 8 | It was found to be interest. The second question was |
| 9 | to have a longer life, it's frequently regarded as short | 9 | was it yearly interest, to which Mr Justice Finlay |
| 10 | interest. If it's paid for more than a year, then | 10 | refers to Bebb v Bunny and Goslings \& Sharpe v Blake, |
| 11 | generally speaking it is yearly interest, unless as | 11 | and he says about halfway down the paragraph: |
| 12 | I say you have the type of -- you can look at something | 12 | "It is very well known that in the City of London |
| 13 | about the transaction or the obligation which suggests | 13 | ...(Reading to the words)... sometimes it is a period of |
| 14 | otherwise as in Mayor of Gateshead. | 14 | hours, for a week or for a fortnight or a month. What |
| 15 | The three particular cases which the Revenue draw | 15 | was held there was that the decision in Bebb v Bunny did |
| 16 | attention to, and may be it's perhaps four cases I can | 16 | not apply to these banker short loans ...(Reading to the |
| 17 | just briefly refer you to, as supporting the idea that | 17 | words)... to be very different subject matter from this, |
| 18 | certainly when you are looking back and computing | 18 | and I think it would be enough to say that in my |
| 19 | interest by reference to a sum due over a period of | 19 | opinion, upon this point of yearly interest of money, |
| 20 | time, even though it may not be said to be accruing in | 20 | this clearly is yearly interest of money and I think |
| 21 | that period of time, the first is a case called Barlow v | 21 | that Bebb v Bunny shows that." |
| 22 | Commissioners of Inland Revenue, which is behind tab 12 | 22 | So that again is a point where the trustee has to |
| 23 | in the second authorities bundle, where the individual | 23 | reimburse his trust and pay interest. |
| 24 | concerned as a trustee decided that the trust funds | 24 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Again, it's not very illuminating as |
| 25 | weren't appropriately invested so he withdrew all the | 25 | to what are the relevant criteria. |
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| 1 | funds and gave the funds to his own stockbroker to | 1 | MR GAMMIE: No, indeed. |
| 2 | invest in other securities and ended up losing | 2 | Yes, sorry. My learned friend reminded me it was |
| 3 | a considerable sum of money for the date at which this | 3 | an obligation under a deed effectively to reimburse the |
| 4 | all occurred. Ultimately his fellow trustees called him | 4 | trust. So he entered into a deed under which he agreed |
| 5 | to account and he was ordered to reimburse the trust | 5 | to reimburse the trust, it wasn't as such a court order |
| 6 | with the principal amount but also a sum of interest for | 6 | if I indicated that. |
| 7 | the period from the time at which he'd withdrawn the | 7 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But Mr Justice Finlay regarded |
| 8 | monies to the time which he effectively put the trust | 8 | the case as really governed by the decision of this |
| 9 | back in the appropriate funds. That amounted to a sum | 9 | court in Barnato. We don't have Barnato in the |
| 10 | of $£ 7,700$, and the question was whether or not that was | 10 | authorities bundle, but Barnato seems to have been |
| 11 | yearly interest for these purposes. The conclusion was | 11 | a case similar to Regal Hastings v Gulliver where there |
| 12 | that it was. | 12 | was a court order requiring the trustee to pay a sum |
| 13 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: And that the interest period was | 13 | plus interest. |
| 14 | longer than a year, was it? | 14 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. |
| 15 | MR GAMMIE: It was about five years, I think. | 15 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Should we not see Barnato |
| 16 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 16 | since it is a decision of this court? |
| 17 | MR GAMMIE: He withdrew the sums in 1923 and it was repaid | 17 | MR GAMMIE: We can certainly produce a copy of that, |
| 18 | in -- well, he was ordered to repay it in about 1930 and | 18 | my Lord, yes. |
| 19 | he repaid it over a period up to 1932 or so, I think. | 19 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. |
| 20 | So it was a period considerably longer than a year. | 20 | MR GAMMIE: The next case I was going to take you was Regal |
| 21 | We can see Mr Justice Finlay on page 363 of the | 21 | v Gulliver which is behind tab 14. This was a case |
| 22 | report, so the long paragraph towards the end of the | 22 | where the directors entered into a transaction in |
| 23 | page, he says: | 23 | relation -- |
| 24 | "That leaves only the point which also the special | 24 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I suspect we're all quite |
| 25 | Commissioners decided. They decided it as an | 25 | familiar with the underlying facts of this case. |
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| 1 | MR GAMMIE: Thank you, my Lord. | 1 | individuals had entered into this transaction, the one |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Just then to take you straight to the passages -- | 2 | who was supposed to account for the profit had only |
| 3 | they are marked -- in which Mr Justice Cassels deals | 3 | disclosed a very small part of the profit. So when the |
| 4 | with it. It's the second column on page 299 where he | 4 | fraudulent partner died, the other partner took action, |
| 5 | refers to the case of in Re Cooper, which we've looked | 5 | or co-venturer, took action against his estate to |
| 6 | at, and Gateshead v Lumsden, which he says I don't think | 6 | recover the unaccounted for profits and was awarded |
| 7 | either of the cases are very helpful, and he says: | 7 | an amount of interest in respect of those unaccounted |
| 8 | "I have to deal with the facts of this case that the | 8 | profits. That was some $£ 10,000$ of interest, and that |
| 9 | House of Lords held in 1942 that the | 9 | was in the exercise of the judge's discretion to award |
| 10 | defendants/directors are to be treated as having had | 10 | interest under section 3 of the Law Reform |
| 11 | each of them since 1935 the sum of 1,402 in trust for | 11 | (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1934. That appears in |
| 12 | the plaintiffs and the directors must be taken to have | 12 | the middle of the page 391. |
| 13 | invested it at the moment they received it and therefore | 13 | The main question in issue in Riches v Westminster |
| 14 | must pay interest from that moment in time ... (Reading | 14 | Bank was whether this was actually compensation or |
| 15 | to the words)... or rule 21 at what rate tax should be | 15 | a damages sum, or whether it was actually interest in |
| 16 | deductible, rule 19~..." | 16 | respect of which tax should be paid, and the |
| 17 | Then he sets that out, and just the final bit which | 17 | House of Lords concludes quite forcefully that it is |
| 18 | is sidelined where he notes in the second column on | 18 | interest, not capital damages or anything of that ilk. |
| 19 | page 300 that this was a case of interest which nobody | 19 | They don't specifically discuss the issue of whether or |
| 20 | knew would be payable and a rate which was unknown until | 20 | not it was yearly interest, but one can note on |
| 21 | the House of Lords gave its decision and indicated the | 21 | page 396 -- |
| 22 | rate: | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Before you get there, on page 392, |
| 23 | "I do not think the amount of interest became due | 23 | there's a very interesting historic description by |
| 24 | until the date of that decision. Further, I think there | 24 | Mr Grant for the appellant about the origins of |
| 25 | was a certain amount of force in the argument | 25 | interest. I don't think any of that is relevant, but it |
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| 1 | ...(Reading to the words)... became due it had to be | 1 | is quite interesting, "the Aristotelian view of the |
| 2 | paid by the defendants and if it came out of the | 2 | barrenness of money". |
| 3 | defendant's profits or gains, those profits or gains had | 3 | MR GAMMIE: I see that, my Lady. Of course, one has to |
| 4 | been subject to tax at 10 shillings in the pound, | 4 | remember his submissions were being made for the |
| 5 | certainly were entitled to deduct and retain the tax." | 5 | taxpayer on the basis that this payment of $£ 10,000$ was |
| 6 | Again, I say not especially analytic in terms of | 6 | not interest or was not taxable as interest. |
| 7 | what is or isn't yearly interest, save that of course | 7 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. |
| 8 | one notes that one is looking back and seeing interest | 8 | MR GAMMIE: Anyway ... |
| 9 | is being paid on a sum of money calculated over six and | 9 | One can actually see, if you are looking at the |
| 10 | a half years and that sum of money would not have been | 10 | arguments put, that Donovan KC on page 394, he has |
| 11 | known to be due and in fact only became due when the | 11 | a very pithy reply to all these arguments that this is |
| 12 | House of Lords gave their judgment. | 12 | not taxable as interest. He just says: |
| 13 | The next tab is Riches at 15 which concerned | 13 | "The Act of 1934 by section 3 subsection (1) |
| 14 | interest awarded under the Law Reform (Miscellaneous | 14 | ...(Reading to the words)... accordingly the award made |
| 15 | Provisions) Act 1934 in relation to a transaction where | 15 | thereunder is this case must be interest." |
| 16 | the taxpayer concerned had entered into a deal with | 16 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. |
| 17 | another party on the basis that they'd share the | 17 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But the appellant's argument was |
| 18 | profits. The other party, having made a profit of | 18 | that -- I mean, as we can see from the argument and |
| 19 | $£ 93,500$-odd effectively told his co-venturer that he'd | 19 | indeed the judgments you are about to come to -- was |
| 20 | only made about 21,000 -- | 20 | that it was really in the nature of compensation or |
| 21 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Which tab is this? | 21 | damages. |
| 22 | MR GAMMIE: Apologies, my Lord. It's tab 15. | 22 | MR GAMMIE: Yes, indeed, my Lord. And the court says no, |
| 23 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: 15, yes. | 23 | it's interest. It doesn't specifically talk in terms of |
| 24 | MR GAMMIE: If you look on page 391, effectively that just | 24 | whether it is yearly interest or -- |
| 25 | gives you the context I was referring to: the two | 25 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But did it have to be yearly interest |
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| 1 | in order to be deducted under rule 21 of the Schedules | 1 | MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, absolutely. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Rules? | 2 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You are not saying there is. |
| 3 | MR GAMMIE: No, but if you look at what Viscount Simon says | 3 | MR GAMMIE: I'm not suggesting there is. It was just that |
| 4 | on page 396 , he says: | 4 | the reference by Viscount Simon to deducting and |
| 5 | "Included in the total sum for which judgment is | 5 | retaining is, I think -- but my learned friend may want |
| 6 | given is interest of money within the meaning of | 6 | to correct me -- I thought that that would only apply if |
| 7 | schedule D of the Income Tax Act 1918. If it is, the | 7 | it was a yearly interest. |
| 8 | respondent when paying the judgment debt is entitled to | 8 | Because the obligation to deduct an account for tax |
| 9 | deduct income tax on the amount of the award of interest | 9 | on interest, on all interest, as opposed to yearly |
| 10 | 10,000 and the appellant must allow such deduction upon | 10 | interest. |
| 11 | receipt of the balance." | 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I think you had better check that. |
| 12 | That is only if it's yearly interest. | 12 | MR GAMMIE: I can check that. |
| 13 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: No. | 13 | The final case -- |
| 14 | MR GAMMIE: Under rule 19, it is, of the Schedules Rules. | 14 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Interestingly enough at 398, |
| 15 | We can see rule ... | 15 | Viscount Simon deals with the further argument -- this |
| 16 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just let me read this. | 16 | is in the sideline passage -- about accretions, which |
| 17 | MR GAMMIE: I think ... | 17 | you might say is really talking about accruals. I mean, |
| 18 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: The issue is whether it's interest or | 18 | the other argument seems to be: |
| 19 | not, but where do we get on to whether it's yearly? | 19 | "It could not be interest because they only came |
| 20 | MR GAMMIE: No, my Lady. As I said, the discussion in this | 20 | into existence ...(Reading to the words)... under the |
| 21 | is whether or not it is interest or whether it's | 21 | order." |
| 22 | compensation. | 22 | So it was different from interest on judgment debts, |
| 23 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 23 | which under the terms of the order were accrued -- for |
| 24 | MR GAMMIE: The only point I was drawing attention to was | 24 | want of a better word -- over the period of time from |
| 25 | what Viscount Simon says when he refers to: | 25 | the date of the judgment until payment. Here, one was |
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| 1 | "... entitled to deduct income tax on the | 1 | awarding a back payment having regard to the period of |
| 2 | ...(Reading to the words)... deduction on receipt or if | 2 | time between the liability and the judgment. |
| 3 | it is not made out of profits brought into charge ..." | 3 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lord, that's correct. And that comes |
| 4 | In which case, they must account for interest. | 4 | out of the facts on page 391 because the judgment was |
| 5 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why does that help you? | 5 | given in the -- the transaction originally occurred back |
| 6 | MR GAMMIE: I think my learned friend may correct me, but | 6 | in 1936 and the judgment was given in 1943, so the |
| 7 | I thought that in relation to the first of those it | 7 | $£ 10,000$ of interest was what effectively represented the |
| 8 | would only be if it was yearly interest. But maybe my | 8 | interest from 1936 to 1943. |
| 9 | learned friend will put me right about that. | 9 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: He says it's the accumulated fruit of |
| 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, the relevant section should be | 10 | a tree which the tree produces regularly until payment. |
| 11 | around in the facts, shouldn't it? | 11 | Is that different from statutory interest? |
| 12 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The question seems to have | 12 | MR GAMMIE: Well, it is interest paid pursuant to |
| 13 | been whether it was "interest of money", rather than | 13 | a statutory provision and at the discretion of the judge |
| 14 | yearly interest. | 14 | who -- |
| 15 | MR GAMMIE: Yes, yes, indeed, my Lord. It is in fact in the | 15 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I mean, it's very similar in the sense |
| 16 | later decision of Lord Denning in Jefford v Gee. He | 16 | it is -- I know it's discretionary -- but it is the |
| 17 | certainly refers to it as having been yearly interest. | 17 | payment of an additional sum by way of compensation for |
| 18 | But in terms of the actual decision, it doesn't -- | 18 | the passage of time, in time value of the money -- |
| 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: If you look at the bottom of | 19 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed. |
| 20 | page 391, the deduction was made under rule 21 of the | 20 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: -- under a statutory power. |
| 21 | All Schedules Rules of the Income Tax Act 1918. So we'd | 21 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. And in -- so I mean as there is no -- if |
| 22 | need to look at that to see whether there was any | 22 | it were argued in this case that the interest paid |
| 23 | requirement as to yearly, wouldn't we? | 23 | because a surplus has emerged is not interest in truth |
| 24 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: There's no reference to yearly | 24 | and taxable as such, then I say Riches would be |
| 25 | interest that I've spotted. | 25 | fairly -- pretty conclusive authority to the contrary. |
|  | Page 90 |  | Page 92 |


| 1 | Of course, they didn't address the question in Riches as | 1 | actually taxable -- well, certainly taxable then when |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | to whether in fact when the interest was paid it would | 2 | received for one year, which has been the usual -- when |
| 3 | be paid under deduction of tax as yearly interest or | 3 | I say usual principle, it has certainly been a principle |
| 4 | whether it could be paid gross. | 4 | that's operated for many years for this type of receipt; |
| 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, the point was that the | 5 | indeed, I think it still operates now if somebody |
| 6 | judgment debtor was entitled to deduct interest by tax | 6 | receives interest covering a longer period in a single |
| 7 | but wasn't obliged to. He evidently exercised that | 7 | year. |
| 8 | statutory right and was held entitled to do so. | 8 | Then he goes on to say about: |
| 9 | MR GAMMIE: Yes. And as I say, my Lord, if I'm wrong about | 9 | "There are special statutory provisions about |
| 10 | this, I'm sure my learned friend or I will put it right. | 10 | deducting tax ...(Reading to the words)... we do not |
| 11 | But my understanding was that the only circumstances in | 11 | think the courts when awarding interest should get |
| 12 | which you could deduct and retain would be if it was | 12 | involved in such questions." |
| 13 | yearly interest. | 13 | Of course he says that because the Finance Act 1969 |
| 14 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I see. | 14 | amended the manner in which interest, particularly |
| 15 | MR GAMMIE: As I say, we'll get to the bottom of that before | 15 | interest paid by individuals, was dealt with. So prior |
| 16 | the end of the hearing. | 16 | to 1969, generally speaking, you effectively got relief |
| 17 | So the final case to take you to is Jefford v Gee. | 17 | on interest if it was yearly interest because you could |
| 18 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Where are you going now? | 18 | deduct and retain. Following 1969, you could only get |
| 19 | MR GAMMIE: Jefford v Gee, my Lady, tab 19. | 19 | relief for interest if it was applied for certain |
| 20 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 20 | purposes; for example, to buy your principal private |
| 21 | MR GAMMIE: This was interest for damages for personal | 21 | residence. The rules for interest having initially been |
| 22 | injury which again was awarded under section 3 of the | 22 | changed by the Labour Government in 1969 were further |
| 23 | Law Reform (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1934, although | 23 | amended by the Conservative Government in 1972 before |
| 24 | the Administration of Justice Act 1969 had provided | 24 | I think we sort of settled down for a slightly longer |
| 25 | a compulsory power to award interest on damages in | 25 | period when things didn't change, but mortgage interest |
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| 1 | personal injury cases, but only those decided after | 1 | relief schemes drew out of that. But I don't think any |
| 2 | 1 January 1970. This was a case which I think just | 2 | of that impinges upon the question of whether or not the |
| 3 | shortly preceded that. Most of the discussion is about | 3 | interest is yearly interest. That was merely the manner |
| 4 | the power of the court to award interest under these | 4 | in which individuals got relief for interest payments |
| 5 | provisions. | 5 | themselves in computing their tax liabilities rather |
| 6 | As you can see on the top of page 143 of the report, | 6 | than the basic question of whether interest was yearly |
| 7 | it says: | 7 | or otherwise. |
| 8 | "The present case was tried on 16 June 1969 | 8 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's difficult to take this |
| 9 | ...(Reading to the words)... these will be governed of | 9 | case very far, isn't it? Because there doesn't seem to |
| 10 | course not only by the 1934 Act but also by the 1969 | 10 | have been any dispute between the parties on the tax |
| 11 | Act. The Act of 1969 does not alter the principles | 11 | treatment. What Lord Denning says simply reflects the |
| 12 | which the court should apply in awarding interest." | 12 | submission of Mr Stocker recorded at page 134 with the |
| 13 | So they're mainly concerned with that. But | 13 | reference, slightly inaccurately perhaps, to Riches v |
| 14 | Lord Denning when he gets to it on page 149 does address | 14 | Westminster Bank, and Mr May QC simply agreed, really, |
| 15 | tax and as he says there: | 15 | made the same point at page 137, he was amicas. And |
| 16 | "When the court awards interest on debt or damages | 16 | Mr Kidwell QC I don't think is reported as -- well, he |
| 17 | for two, three or four years, the interest is subject to | 17 | doesn't seem to have dealt with the point at all. |
| 18 | tax because it is yearly interest of money." | 18 | MR GAMMIE: No. |
| 19 | And he cites Riches. We've seen that Riches didn't | 19 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So the point we're wrestling |
| 20 | include any discussion of that, other than the point | 20 | with was not in contention in that case. |
| 21 | I've mentioned about whether or not deducting and | 21 | MR GAMMIE: No, my Lord, and I quite accept that. |
| 22 | retaining was only available for yearly interest. | 22 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's not what you would call |
| 23 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 23 | a tax case is it? |
| 24 | MR GAMMIE: Then he goes on to point out that even though | 24 | MR GAMMIE: No, indeed, they were not principally concerned |
| 25 | the interest may cover two, three or four years, it's | 25 | with tax -- |
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| 1 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. | 1 | there. That doesn't determine whether or not -- the |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR GAMMIE: -- even though it has that passing reference. | 2 | degree of recurrence in payment certainly isn't of any |
| 3 | Insofar as these cases indicate anything, it's | 3 | particular significance to the question. But the period |
| 4 | certainly the case that when one is looking back over | 4 | in which the amount, the obligation, is outstanding, is |
| 5 | a period -- | 5 | obviously one of the key if not the main feature when |
| 6 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am not saying the same about | 6 | you are looking back to decide whether or not the tax |
| 7 | Regal Hastings and the other cases. They are directly | 7 | should be deducted from the interest. |
| 8 | addressing this question. Just Jefford v Gee doesn't | 8 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right. |
| 9 | take us very far, I don't think. | 9 | MR GAMMIE: My Lady, unless I can help you further, I think |
| 10 | MR GAMMIE: Yes, I would accept that, my Lord. | 10 | I've probably covered everything. |
| 11 | So those are the particular cases, the authorities | 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Those are all the cases that you want |
| 12 | that we have in particular which are looking, as I would | 12 | to refer to? |
| 13 | say, in the same type of situation that we find | 13 | MR GAMMIE: Indeed, my Lady. |
| 14 | ourselves in with this statutory scheme of interest. In | 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Thank you very much indeed, |
| 15 | other words, where you have a sum of money, the debt | 15 | Mr Gammie. Yes, Mr Gardiner. |
| 16 | that is proved at the point at which the company enters | 16 | Submissions by MR GARDINER |
| 17 | into administration, where interest is paid by reference | 17 | MR GARDINER: My Lady, I would like to start if I might by |
| 18 | to the period that has elapsed between that sum becoming | 18 | making the point which is perhaps at the heart of our |
| 19 | due and it being repaid, and the interest compensating | 19 | submissions, and in the course of that I will try and |
| 20 | for the delay that has occurred in that payment. | 20 | deal with what my learned friend has said so far today. |
| 21 | And in a situation such as we are looking at here | 21 | The first and obvious point is that we support the |
| 22 | where that period stretches over a number of years, we | 22 | judgment below. We say it's right for the right |
| 23 | say that that ensures that the interest has the | 23 | reasons. I won't elaborate on that, and obviously my |
| 24 | character of yearly interest. It doesn't -- the fact | 24 | Lady and your Lordships have read it. |
| 25 | that it doesn't accrue in one particular sense of the | 25 | The learned judge, Mr Justice Hildyard, sets out his |
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| 1 | word of accruing over the period in which the assets are | 1 | analysis at paragraphs 56 to 63 and I'll come back to |
| 2 | being got together and the creditors are being paid off | 2 | that if I might later. Prior to that, he set out |
| 3 | in respect of their proven debts, I say that does not | 3 | a summary of our submissions at paragraphs 27 to 34 . |
| 4 | deny the interest the character of yearly interest | 4 | Since I draw particular attention to the submissions |
| 5 | because it's still interest which is calculated over | 5 | that he records at 34 (1), (2) and (3), could I just |
| 6 | a period of time which exceeds by some large margin | 6 | remind you of those three because they do lie at the |
| 7 | a year, and one can see that looking back. | 7 | heart of our submissions. |
| 8 | It's not a case where you are having to look at | 8 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, certainly. |
| 9 | a payment of interest and having to discern by reference | 9 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: What are we looking at? |
| 10 | to the future whether or not this is the type of | 10 | MR GARDINER: The judgment, paragraph 34, (1), (2) and (3). |
| 11 | interest from which tax should be deducted and accounted | 11 | He has referred to all the authorities we referred |
| 12 | for. And most of the other cases are concerned with | 12 | to before him and he summarises here. So he says in |
| 13 | that forward-looking problem as to what it is. | 13 | paragraph 34: |
| 14 | It doesn't mean that there are different criteria | 14 | "Drawing these criteria together ...(Reading to the |
| 15 | that are applying to decide whether or not the interest | 15 | words)... since statutory interest does not accrue from |
| 16 | is yearly interest. It's merely that one can see the | 16 | day-to-day and is not payable from year year so there is |
| 17 | criterion that stamps it with that quality when you are | 17 | no period of accrual and no interest is payable unless |
| 18 | looking back which you can't necessarily immediately | 18 | and until a surplus has been ascertained following |
| 19 | identify in every case looking forward because you have | 19 | payment of the debts proved in full, it does not have |
| 20 | to ask yourself other questions about the obligation | 20 | the quality of yearly interest. |
| 21 | under which the interest is arising and is being paid | 21 | 2. The interest payable" -- |
| 22 | and therefore whether or not that stamps the interest as | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Just stopping there. |
| 23 | yearly interest. | 23 | MR GARDINER: Yes. |
| 24 | But the fact that the payment is only made once, if | 24 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: "Statutory interest not accruing from |
| 25 | it is in fact made once, is we say neither here nor | 25 | day-to-day". |
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| 1 | MR GARDINER: Yes. | 1 | necessarily mean it's accruing. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I know what Mr Justice David Richards | 2 | MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lady. |
| 3 | decided below and what we and the Court of Appeal | 3 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: The fact that there is a possibility |
| 4 | endorsed in respect of that in relation to non-accrual. | 4 | of a surplus and that if there is you will have a right |
| 5 | But just remind me why you say it doesn't accrue in any | 5 | to be paid interest out of it is presumably why my Lord |
| 6 | sense from day-to-day. | 6 | and why we agreed that there was no accrual. |
| 7 | MR GARDINER: It's Mr Justice David Richards's analysis in | 7 | MR GARDINER: That's right, and I mean you don't accrue for |
| 8 | paragraphs 149 and 154. | 8 | a mere possibility in the future. This word "accrue" is |
| 9 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 9 | actually really quite important in the sense -- |
| 10 | MR GARDINER: It doesn't accrue for this reason: as at the start of the administration, there is no right to | 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It has been taken out of the statute. |
| 11 |  | 11 | MR GARDINER: Your Ladyship is absolutely right. It was |
| 12 | payment of interest at that particular moment of time or | 12 | taken out of the statute in 2007. Prior to that -- |
| 13 | in the future. You only accrue a future right if you've | 13 | I have been a tax lawyer all my professional life, |
| 14 | got a future right. So if as at the date of the | 14 | I live with this terminology -- you can start with the |
| 15 | administration you have a proved debt of $100-$-- and | 15 | 1803 Addington's Act and you can look at all the |
| 16 | let's assume for the moment that there's judgment rate | 16 | schedules, and in particular at schedule D, and it |
| 17 | debt of 8 per cent -- as at day 1 , day 2 and day 3 , | 17 | always start on the basis of income arising or accruing. |
| 18 | there is no increase in the value of your rights by | 18 | Those were the words that were there for two centuries |
| 19 | reference to interest because there is no right at that | 19 | and that's why judges talk in the terms of accruing, and |
| 20 | stage to the payment of interest in the future. | 20 | we talk as a matter of English "with interest accruing". |
| 21 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Because there's no surplus. | 21 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But the the removal of |
| 22 | MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lady, yes. Because there's | 22 | "accruing" was part of the rewrite, so presumably the |
| 23 | no surplus, there is no right. If the situation were | 23 | view was formed that in this context, accruing was |
| 24 | that as at the date of the commencement of the | 24 | synonymous with arising, whereas the word accruing can |
| 25 | administration the statute said, "and there shall be | 25 | have a different connotation. There is a danger of sort |
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| 1 | paid 8 per cent on the proved debts", then interest | 1 of sliding between meanings here. |  |
| 2 | could accrue, because there is a specific and absolute | 2 | MR GARDINER: Yes. |
| 3 | right from that moment to interest in the future and you | 3 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: We talk about interest |
| 4 | can see it growing day-by-day. | 4 | accruing over a period, but it's used as arising or |
| 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Just repeat that for me, would | 5 | accruing. I'm not convinced the word accruing is |
| 6 | you mind? | 6 | necessarily being used or was being used in the sense of |
| 7 | MR GARDINER: If there were an absolute right to the payment | 7 | accruing over a period, but I appreciate it's your |
| 8 | of interest in the future, say in six months' time or | 8 | submission that it is. But that's in a sense the big |
| 9 | a year's time or whatever -- | 9 | question here. |
| 10 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, I see. | 10 | MR GARDINER: It may be we come down to at the end of the |
| 11 | MR GARDINER: -- then you could say from every single day | 11 | day, my Lord. It's actually the final paragraph of our |
| 12 | I've got a little bit of 8 per cent accruing day-by-day. | 12 | appendix to our skeleton, which is the very point |
| 13 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, I follow. | 13 | your Lordship is putting to me at the moment. Perhaps |
| 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But why isn't there -- I see that | 14 | I could just remind you of that by reading it out. |
| 15 | obviously -- why isn't there a contingent right? | 15 | Paragraph 17. |
| 16 | MR GARDINER: Because there isn't for the reason my Lord | 16 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: We've read it. You don't need to |
| 17 | said at paragraph 154. There's simply no right. | 17 | read it out. |
| 18 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You might say in one sense | 18 | MR GARDINER: I'm grateful, my Lady. |
| 19 | there's a contingent right -- perhaps there is | 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: In a way arising is more supporting |
| 20 | a contingent right -- but because it is contingent, | 20 | your position, because accruing you can have the idea of |
| 21 | nothing is accruing. I think that was perhaps the | 21 | notional accrual, whereas arising it's a bit more |
| 22 | point. | 22 | difficult to think in terms of notional -- for my part |
| 23 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: It seems to me there is at least the | 23 | of notional arising. |
| 24 | possibility of a future right which could be | 24 | MR GARDINER: I would accept that. The only point I am |
| 25 | characterised as a contingent right, but that doesn't | 25 | making is perhaps in answer to my Lord |
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| 1 | Lord Justice David Richards's first point. The whole | 1 | "accruing" historically only used in connection with |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | point of the tax law rewrite was to simplify the | 2 | yearly interest? I suspect the answer is no. |
| 3 | language. It wasn't to actually change the basis of the | 3 | MR GARDINER: No. The answer to your Lordship's question is |
| 4 | (inaudible). | 4 | schedule D from 1805 brought in to charge interest that |
| 5 | I think what one can say is they are using the terms | 5 | wasn't yearly interest. |
| 6 | arising on the basis that will cover everything. But | 6 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes. |
| 7 | I don't want to go into greater issues than that, but | 7 | MR GARDINER: So yearly interest and non-yearly interest |
| 8 | accruals as a basis is something we still use as | 8 | were charged. |
| 9 | a matter of accounting, accruals basis of accounting. | 9 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: So all species of interest for tax |
| 10 | I suspect all those speaking in this particular court | 10 | purposes are treated as accruing. |
| 11 | when practising at the bar probably dealt with their tax | 11 | MR GARDINER: Well, I find the difficulty of this particular |
| 12 | affairs on a cash basis, but then we all moved over | 12 | interest because it doesn't accrue over time -- |
| 13 | to -- | 13 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Never mind about this particular, I am |
| 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: An accrual. | 14 | just trying to identify the animal we're talking about |
| 15 | MR GARDINER: -- accounts arising on an accruals basis. | 15 | more generally than that. |
| 16 | I have to accrue in respect of the work I do. Whether | 16 | I mean, the essence of your case as accepted by the |
| 17 | I receive money or not, I have to accrue, I have to take | 17 | judge is point 1 in paragraph 34: statutory interest |
| 18 | into account the increased value that I have in that | 18 | doesn't accrue from day-to-day, not payable from year to |
| 19 | particular year. | 19 | year. So if one looks at that -- if that's good, then |
| 20 | Now that's what all this is about and it explains | 20 | I would assume it applies to all types of interest |
| 21 | why the deduction of tax at source was applied to yearly | 21 | because all types of interest for tax purposes are, for |
| 22 | interest and is still only applied to yearly interest. | 22 | the reasons I've just put to you, treated under the |
| 23 | Deduction of tax at source was applied to yearly | 23 | statute as accruing or arising. |
| 24 | interest from 1803 and applied only to yearly interest | 24 | MR GARDINER: Well, look perhaps -- I can see where |
| 25 | for 85 years. I'll come on to the other forms of | 25 | your Lordship is making the point. What I would say is |
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| 1 | deduction of tax at source. | 1 | that the animal to which deduction of tax at source was |
| 2 | From 1969, it now only applies to yearly interest. | 2 | aimed was something which had a continuum over a period |
| 3 | And the point of that is this: they were looking at | 3 | of time, and that's why deduction of tax at source was |
| 4 | a species of investment instrument -- that's what all | 4 | thought appropriate. If it doesn't accrue over time, |
| 5 | these cases are talking about -- that has some kind of | 5 | then it doesn't really have that quality. |
| 6 | permanence, is going on, is going into the future. So | 6 | Your Lordship may well be right; to be brought |
| 7 | for example, as my learned friend said, you will get | 7 | within the charge there may have been an argument that |
| 8 | payments of interest perhaps quarterly or monthly or | 8 | if something which is a one-off doesn't necessarily |
| 9 | six-monthly or yearly or whatever, but it's looking at | 9 | accrue, it might just arise. |
| 10 | something flowing forward that you then deduct tax when | 10 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: All I am trying to get clear, |
| 11 | payments are made from time to time in respect of income | 11 | Mr Gardiner, is whether this argument the judge accepted |
| 12 | which is accruing throughout that period. | 12 | that statutory interest has no period of accrual doesn't |
| 13 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I think I would be grateful for | 13 | accrue from day-to-day, and so on and so forth, |
| 14 | a list of the indicia which you say demonstrates | 14 | disqualified it from being interest or disqualified it |
| 15 | something is yearly interest. What are we looking at? | 15 | from being yearly interest. |
| 16 | MR GARDINER: You are looking at something of some | 16 | MR GARDINER: It disqualifies it from being yearly interest, |
| 17 | permanence in the nature of an investment which is | 17 | my Lord. |
| 18 | intended to go forward. | 18 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Why doesn't it also disqualify it from |
| 19 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: I mean, in one sense, all interest, | 19 | being interest? |
| 20 | all species of interest accrue. | 20 | MR GARDINER: Because it is something which arises but it |
| 21 | MR GARDINER: I find it quite difficult to answer | 21 | doesn't accrue. |
| 22 | your Lordship's question, in a sense. In the ordinary | 22 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Well -- |
| 23 | case, yes, but what we have here is something which is | 23 | MR GARDINER: It arises because the statute says you've got |
| 24 | declared by Parliament to be interest. | 24 | to pay it and it arises on the date on which there is a |
| 25 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes, but are the words "arising" and | 25 | determination of the surplus. |
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| 1 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But if the statute is using that | 1 | questions because that would be fine if for tax purposes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | language of "arising" to replace "arising or accruing", | 2 | you could never have yearly interest at all if what you |
| 3 | it's treating interest of all kinds as accruing and then | 3 | were dealing with was an award of interest so called |
| 4 | the deductions only apply if it's yearly interest. | 4 | retrospectively for a past period under circumstances |
| 5 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, as I read it, the "arising" is | 5 | where there was no right to the interest at the |
| 6 | a wider word than "accruing". It therefore encompasses | 6 | beginning of that period. But it's pretty clear from |
| 7 | interest which accrues and interest which arises. The | 7 | the Riches case that that is not an obstacle to it being |
| 8 | interest here arises because it's created by the statute | 8 | interest, whatever may be the position about yearly |
| 9 | and the surplus has arisen, so it's arising. But what | 9 | interest. |
| 10 | I am saying is that historically every single case which | 10 | MR GARDINER: Well, yes, but that case was simply about |
| 11 | has actually held that the deduction at source for | 11 | whether it's interest or not. |
| 12 | yearly interest applies has been a situation where the | 12 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes, but if it's interest, you've |
| 13 | courts have identified something of some permanence, | 13 | already got to the situation in this case where the |
| 14 | some longevity going on in the nature -- I mean, it's | 14 | statutory interest which is awarded on the same basis |
| 15 | Garston, Mr Justice Rowlatt says of an investment or | 15 | retrospectively is interest within the meaning of |
| 16 | something of that nature. That must mean it's | 16 | section 874. |
| 17 | an instrument which gives rise to growing interest over | 17 | MR GARDINER: But the difference with Riches, Regal Hastings |
| 18 | the year or years of assessment. | 18 | v Gulliver and Barlow are that they are completely |
| 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Whereas here it could have been paid, | 19 | misleading cases. |
| 20 | theoretically at any rate, on the date of the | 20 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why? |
| 21 | ascertainment of the surplus. | 21 | MR GARDINER: For this reason, my Lady; if I take them in |
| 22 | MR GARDINER: Theoretically, yes. | 22 | the order in which they -- |
| 23 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: With no intention going forward that | 23 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: From my part, you've got to explain |
| 24 | these monies should be left outstanding. | 24 | why Regal Hastings isn't analogous. |
| 25 | MR GARDINER: It's simply a calculation of the past and if | 25 | MR GARDINER: Can I start with Barlow because it's easier to |
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| 1 | this was a small administration and whatever, the funds | 1 | understand Regal Hastings if I deal with Barlow first. |
| 2 | were there, they ascertain the surplus and pay out | 2 | In 1923, Mr Barlow committed a breach of trust. |
| 3 | perhaps to one creditor on that day. It's simply | 3 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What tab are we? |
| 4 | a one-off calculation. It's got no tract of future | 4 | MR GARDINER: Barlow is at -- I'm sorry, yes, perhaps we'd |
| 5 | time, it's got nothing of permanence of something | 5 | better look at it -- tab 12. In 1923, the appellant -- |
| 6 | growing -- | 6 | if you look at the headnote: |
| 7 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: What do you mean tract of future | 7 | "One of the trustees of certain settlements which |
| 8 | time? | 8 | had been ...(Reading to the words)... the proceeds in |
| 9 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the Scottish quote, isn't | 9 | his own name in unauthorised securities which |
| 10 | it? | 10 | subsequently fell in value." |
| 11 | MR GARDINER: Yes, it's Scottish North American Trust v | 11 | If I just pause there. That was a breach of trust. |
| 12 | Farmer, Lord Johnston. The tract of future time, as | 12 | As at that moment, he was obliged to repay the value |
| 13 | I apprehend what he is saying, is that you've got to | 13 | that he had taken out of the trust fund and on the |
| 14 | find something that is ongoing, it's going forward -- | 14 | authorities to treat it as an investment at a rate of |
| 15 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: Yes, that's to deal with cases where | 15 | interest on that investment at 5 per cent. That's |
| 16 | at the date of payment you don't know how long it's | 16 | a case the judge refers to called Vyse v Foster, a very |
| 17 | going to go on for, so you've got to find some way of | 17 | famous case, also in our bundle of authorities. |
| 18 | distinguishing between short-term arrangements and | 18 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: He was obliged to repay and |
| 19 | long-term ones. | 19 | treat it as -- |
| 20 | MR GARDINER: Well, yes, but what I am saying is that every | 20 | MR GARDINER: An investment carrying interest at 5 per cent |
| 21 | single case that has actually dealt with the question of | 21 | from 1923. |
| 22 | yearly interest has actually relied on -- or that kind | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But in fact the obligation came under |
| 23 | of concept of some kind of permanence, investment | 23 | the deed which he'd entered into with the trustees to |
| 24 | (inaudible) all that kind of stuff, tract for future -- | 24 | repay it. |
| 25 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But you know why I'm asking these | 25 | MR GARDINER: My Lady, no. |
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| 1 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right. I am just looking at the | 1 | MR GARDINER: Could we look very briefly at the case of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | headnote. | 2 | Vyse v Foster at tab 2 -- it's actually referred to by |
| 3 | MR GARDINER: The deed correctly recites the fact that he | 3 | Mr Justice Finlay in this case. It's a very well known |
| 4 | was already liable to repay it. | 4 | passage, behind tab 2, Vyse v Foster, Lord Justice James |
| 5 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right, okay. | 5 | giving the judgment of the Court of Appeal in 1873, |
| 6 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You say that from 1923 | 6 | I think. The passage is at the top of page 7 in the |
| 7 | onwards -- is this right? -- interest was accruing at | 7 | report, and the third line down towards the right-hand |
| 8 | the rate of 5 per cent. | 8 | side of the page says: |
| 9 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes. | 9 | "If an executor commits a breach of trust he and all |
| 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But the beneficiaries had election. | 10 | those who are accomplices ...(Reading to the words)... |
| 11 | They were not required -- beneficiaries have a choice of | 11 | made to appear what profits are attributable to such |
| 12 | remedy. They don't have to take the capital sum plus | 12 | employment, he must account for trade interest, that is |
| 13 | interest at 5 per cent. If there had been profits, they | 13 | to say interest at 5 per cent." |
| 14 | could have taken the profits. | 14 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That actually does make the |
| 15 | MR GARDINER: I think it comes back, yes, to what | 15 | point I was making that the beneficiaries have a choice. |
| 16 | Lord Justice James says in the case of Vyse v Foster. | 16 | MR GARDINER: Yes. |
| 17 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: They have a choice. | 17 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: How does the trustee know that |
| 18 | MR GARDINER: But insofar as they get interest, they have | 18 | he is -- how can it be said that interest is accruing at |
| 19 | a right from the date of the breach of trust in 1923 to | 19 | a particular rate if the trustee doesn't know what |
| 20 | have the principal sum back and interest accruing on | 20 | remedy the beneficiaries will elect? |
| 21 | that principal sum from 1923. | 21 | MR GARDINER: Because the trustee or the person in the |
| 22 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: At what rate? | 22 | position of a trustee knows he's got a liability at |
| 23 | MR GARDINER: It's at 5 per cent. | 23 | either 5 per cent or some other figure. |
| 24 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The law permitted no other | 24 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Some other figure might be the |
| 25 | rate? | 25 | profits he makes. |
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| 1 | MR GARDINER: Well, that's what Lord Justice James says in | 1 | MR GARDINER: The other figure might be more than 5 per cent |
| 2 | the case of Vyse v Foster. | 2 | and therefore he would assume that the beneficiaries |
| 3 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But the rate of interest at | 3 | will go for the higher figure. But he must know that at |
| 4 | which equity is awarded for breach of interest has | 4 | the minimum he's got a liability of 5 per cent. |
| 5 | varied significantly over the years. The trustee | 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But if his liability is going |
| 6 | wouldn't know during the period of his default what rate | 6 | to be to account for profits, no interest has accrued at |
| 7 | of interest he is going to have to pay. | 7 | all. |
| 8 | MR GARDINER: My point is this simply this: there was | 8 | MR GARDINER: Well -- |
| 9 | an amount of principal owed because of the breach of | 9 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: What the Chancery court would |
| 10 | trust and interest was accruing on it. | 10 | do, they might award interest on the profits from the |
| 11 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You say it was accruing but | 11 | time the trustee earned them. But that would be a quite |
| 12 | I'm asking you at what rate? You say 5 per cent and | 12 | different rate of accrual. I am having some difficulty |
| 13 | I am asking is that right? Is that figure set in stone | 13 | in this explanation of Regal Hastings and the earlier |
| 14 | as in the Judgments Act? Because I think there are | 14 | case, as you'll see. |
| 15 | a series of cases in which the courts have discussed and | 15 | MR GARDINER: I think the two cases of Barlow and Regal |
| 16 | reached decisions as to the appropriate rate of interest | 16 | Hastings are in the like position. That's why I was |
| 17 | to award in respect of a claim for breach of trust, and | 17 | starting with Barlow, because in Regal Hastings you've |
| 18 | it's -- I thought actually for many years it was | 18 | got a situation where they invested in the company, they |
| 19 | 4 per cent, but it certainly in the last 30 years or so | 19 | then made profits from the sale of the shares in the |
| 20 | has not been at that rate, and a number of different | 20 | company and then it was a question that they had |
| 21 | rates have been used, depending on economic | 21 | a liability to make good to the original shareholders |
| 22 | circumstances. | 22 | those profits with interest on it. |
| 23 | So I'm finding this quite difficult that the trustee | 23 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. |
| 24 | would know during the period of his default the interest | 24 | MR GARDINER: Yes. What I would say is if one is looking |
| 25 | that he's liable to pay. | 25 | and talking in terms of accruals, if and insofar as one |
|  | Page 114 |  | Page 116 |


| 1 | has interest, one is looking at interest which has been | 1 | compound, you don't know what the rests are. It's quite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | accruing on a principal sum from the outset. | 2 | an odd situation to say that interest is nonetheless |
| 3 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But I mean, I come back to | 3 | accruing. |
| 4 | this 5 per cent. This was trade interest I think, but | 4 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, I can see the point you are making, |
| 5 | if it weren't trade interest, the general rate was | 5 | if I try and answer it in this way: as at the date of |
| 6 | 4 per cent. But those rates have changed. | 6 | the breach of trust, a liability is incurred. That is |
| 7 | MR GARDINER: Mm-hm. | 7 | a situation at the outset. That is completely different |
| 8 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: As I say, there are a number | 8 | to the situation here, because here a liability is not |
| 9 | of authorities over the last 30 or so years where this | 9 | incurred at the outset at the beginning of the period of |
| 10 | has been discussed. So how can you say that interest is | 10 | calculation. The only liability that is ever incurred |
| 11 | accruing over a period when you don't know what the rate | 11 | is after the repayment of the principal, is |
| 12 | of interest is? | 12 | an ex post facto calculation in respect of the past. So |
| 13 | MR GARDINER: I would say that interest is accruing and even | 13 | the only liability arises after the repayment of the |
| 14 | though you don't know the rate, you know interest is | 14 | principal. |
| 15 | accruing. | 15 | Therefore, you can't say there is anything accruing |
| 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: What does it mean that | 16 | on the principal from the date on which the principal, |
| 17 | interest is accruing if you don't know the rate? | 17 | the proved debt, was entered in the administration |
| 18 | MR GARDINER: It means there is an amount of interest | 18 | because there's no liability of that -- |
| 19 | accruing day by day by day. | 19 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, you'll understand that |
| 20 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But you don't know how much. | 20 | I agree entirely with you on that. |
| 21 | MR GARDINER: You could probably -- | 21 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, with the greatest respect, that's the |
| 22 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: All you know is that you will | 22 | key to it. That's why these cases don't get the -- |
| 23 | become under a liability to pay interest if a claim for | 23 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: I am turning it round, I'm |
| 24 | breach of trust is made and succeeds. | 24 | afraid. What I want you to address is not the question |
| 25 | MR GARDINER: Yes, but -- | 25 | you've just addressed, which is the one where as you |
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| 1 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's contingent on it being | 1 | know I agree with you because you've seen my judgment. |
| 2 | a breach of trust, what you are doing. But then you | 2 | The question I'm directing your attention to is: how do |
| 3 | know that at the election of the beneficiaries, you will | 3 | you say interest accrues in the breach of trust cases |
| 4 | be liable to pay interest, but they may elect for | 4 | during the period of the -- |
| 5 | something else. | 5 | MR GARDINER: Simply because you know there is a principal |
| 6 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, the fundamental difference between | 6 | sum on which there is an amount -- if I use just that |
| 7 | that situation and the situation we have here is that | 7 | term -- an amount of interest which is growing. You may |
| 8 | you know there's been a breach of trust in Barlow -- | 8 | not know whether it's 4 per cent or 4.5 per cent or |
| 9 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, you do once there has | 9 | 5 per cent -- |
| 10 | been either a trial as there was in Regal Hastings -- | 10 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: So you know there is |
| 11 | remember it was only in the House of Lords and then by | 11 | a principal sum -- |
| 12 | a majority -- no, I'm sorry, I've misunderstood it. It | 12 | MR GARDINER: There is a principal sum -- |
| 13 | was only once the House of Lords gave its decision that | 13 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: -- on which interest is |
| 14 | it was established there was a breach of trust. I think | 14 | accruing at an unknown rate. |
| 15 | it was only in the House of Lords that the breach of | 15 | MR GARDINER: Accruing, that's right. |
| 16 | trust was established. | 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It doesn't matter what the |
| 17 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, that's right. Your Lordship is -- | 17 | rate is, but it's a rate which may not be known. |
| 18 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But you are right, that goes | 18 | MR GARDINER: Yes. Therefore, you have a growing amount of |
| 19 | back, but you would say that has the effect of interest | 19 | income day-by-day, year-by-year on something of some |
| 20 | accruing. But I just find it difficult to understand | 20 | permanence that you can then say the terminology of |
| 21 | how you can say that interest is accruing during that | 21 | yearly interest is applicable to. |
| 22 | period when you don't know the sum on which the interest | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: That is so, is it, even though as at |
| 23 | will be awarded, you don't know the rate at which it | 23 | the date of breach of trust, i.e. the investment in |
| 24 | will be awarded, and just to add to the mix, you don't | 24 | unauthorised investments and going forward for, say, |
| 25 | know if it's going to be compound or simple. And if | 25 | a year, there is no loss arising from the breach of |
|  | Page 118 |  | Page 120 |


| 1 | trust because the unauthorised investments are returning | 1 | liable ...(Reading to the words)... a sum not greater |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | a much higher income than that which the authorised | 2 | than $£ 35,424$ in the aggregate the said sums of $£ 27,020$ |
| 3 | investments would have returned. | 3 | and $£ 7,000$..." |
| 4 | MR GARDINER: My Lady, with respect, in those circumstances | 4 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You can see it's from 1930, isn't it? |
| 5 | you wouldn't have any interest at all, would you? | 5 | MR GARDINER: Yes. So in 1930, they are saying between 1923 |
| 6 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That's the point. | 6 | and 1930, there has actually been a loss of that |
| 7 | If in those circumstances you would have no | 7 | principal amount, and they say he is at that date -- |
| 8 | interest, how are you able to say that interest is | 8 | recites as at the date of that deed. So it's not the |
| 9 | accruing in that period? | 9 | deed that creates it, they recite as at the date of that |
| 10 | MR GARDINER: Because I'm looking at the two cases of Barlow | 10 | deed, he is obliged to pay that $£ 35,000$-odd with |
| 11 | and Regal Hastings v Gulliver. In Barlow, he took the | 11 | interest thereon. |
| 12 | monies out and immediately invested them and | 12 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The 35,000 is an aggregate of |
| 13 | demonstrated that he'd made a loss on day 1. | 13 | the principal, isn't it, plus interest from 1923 up to |
| 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No, it doesn't demonstrate it made | 14 | the date of the deed and interest thereafter? |
| 15 | a loss on day 1. It's only subsequently that the | 15 | MR GARDINER: And interest thereon, yes. |
| 16 | unauthorised investments go down in value. You won't | 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Let's just suppose that from |
| 17 | know on day 1. He thought on day 1 his unauthorised | 17 | 1923 to 1926 the unauthorised investments were going up |
| 18 | investments were going to do much better, that was the | 18 | in value. During that period, is it right to say that |
| 19 | whole point. I mean, they didn't ... | 19 | he had a liability to repay the principal sum plus |
| 20 | MR GARDINER: My Lady, I am sure you are right. I am just | 20 | interest and that interest was accruing day-by-day? |
| 21 | trying to find the -- | 21 | MR GARDINER: No, because there wouldn't have been any |
| 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Look at page 359. There was | 22 | interest in those circumstances. It's only where you |
| 23 | certainly a breach of trust, but there is no actual loss | 23 | get a situation where there is a breach of trust and you |
| 24 | until the unauthorised investments don't return, and we | 24 | can see there is an amount of money that's got to be |
| 25 | don't know the details. I mean, if you look at the | 25 | repaid for breach of trust, and then you get interest |
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| 1 | middle: | 1 | accruing on it. |
| 2 | "Which the unauthorised securities which | 2 | You can see if you just look at page 360 over the |
| 3 | subsequently fell in value." | 3 | page and the middle of that paragraph which has been |
| 4 | MR GARDINER: My Lady, you are right. I'm sorry, yes. | 4 | sidelined as well, about seven or eight lines down from |
| 5 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: So you don't -- okay, there's | 5 | the paragraph beginning: |
| 6 | a breach of trust, but there's no loss until arising | 6 | "Now what really happened was this. The question |
| 7 | from the breach of trust. And it may have been the case | 7 | raised in this appeal was ...(Reading to the words)... |
| 8 | that they were paying quite happily, thank you. | 8 | the sum of $£ 7,704.1$ |
| 9 | MR GARDINER: Yes. There is a pretty substantial loss in | 9 | So that was the amount which in my terminology at |
| 10 | fact which incurred, and that's on which the interest is | 10 | least had accrued prior to the date of the deed and was |
| 11 | treated as arising. | 11 | recognised as a liability that had accrued prior to the |
| 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes, and it's the diminution in the | 12 | date of the deed. |
| 13 | value of the trust fund on which the interest is | 13 | LORD JUSTICE PATTEN: But as my Lady said, the taxable |
| 14 | payable. | 14 | payment of interest arose under the deed. I mean, |
| 15 | MR GARDINER: Yes. | 15 | I know you say the deed simply acknowledged that there |
| 16 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But it's only once that loss occurs | 16 | was a pre-existing liability, and so it did, but the |
| 17 | that there is a capital fund in relation to which | 17 | actual liability which gave rise to the payment was the |
| 18 | interest is liable to be paid or alternatively | 18 | deed. |
| 19 | an account of profits. | 19 | MR GARDINER: Well, my Lord, why don't we look at it this |
| 20 | MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think the point I was trying to | 20 | way -- and this is an attempt to answer your Lordship's |
| 21 | make -- and I didn't make it very well -- is | 21 | question, I am not trying to not answer it. |
| 22 | subsequently on that page if one looks at the recital to | 22 | But say there was a deed and he simply said, "All |
| 23 | the deed, it's about 10 lines up from the end of the | 23 | right I'm aware I've been in breach of trust. I've |
| 24 | page. The recital goes on: | 24 | calculated the principal amount is this and the interest |
| 25 | "And whereas it is apprehended that the settlor is | 25 | on it is this, I'll pay it'. There's no magic in the |
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| 1 | deed, it's simply an acceptance of an existing | 1 | MR GARDINER: Yes. But my Lord, surely ordinarily in circumstances where there's been a clear breach of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | liability. | 2 |  |
| 3 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: The deed -- I mean, this was | 3 | trust, it is going to be inevitable that the court will |
| 4 | a compromise, the deed, so in that sense it's no | 4 | award interest and then there's good reason -- |
| 5 | different from a judgment as in Regal Hastings. The | 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Probably you are right, but |
| 6 | source of the obligation to pay is either the judgment | 6 | there could be plenty of scope for argument about the |
| 7 | or the compromise entered into by the parties before or | 7 | rate of interest and there could be quite a bit of scope |
| 8 | during the proceedings which avoids the need for | 8 | for whether the case demands simple or compound |
| 9 | a trial. | 9 | interest. |
| 10 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, your Lordship -- | 10 | MR GARDINER: But that goes back to the point I was making |
| 11 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You are right, you wouldn't | 11 | earlier, my Lord: there may be an argument about the |
| 12 | need a deed, you could do it by exchange of solicitors | 12 | rate but what is in my submission inescapable is that |
| 13 | correspondence and the payment of the money. But it | 13 | there was in reality a liability -- |
| 14 | doesn't make a difference whether it's a contract, | 14 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: In reality as opposed to in |
| 15 | a deed or a judgment; it's the same point in each case. | 15 | law. |
| 16 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, that I would accept. But what is | 16 | MR GARDINER: No, in law. I'm sorry, I am not suggesting |
| 17 | said against me, as I understand it, is that the payment | 17 | otherwise. |
| 18 | is a payment which only arises in consequence of | 18 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: How do you have a liability to |
| 19 | judgment of the court. | 19 | pay interest when you don't know what the rate is? |
| 20 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes, or the deed. | 20 | MR GARDINER: I think you can because -- |
| 21 | MR GARDINER: Or the deed, yes. That's what is said -- | 21 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: You may have -- sorry, go on. |
| 22 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That is what is said against | 22 | MR GARDINER: You've got an obligation to pay an amount of |
| 23 | you, yes. | 23 | interest which may have to be determined by arbitration |
| 24 | MR GARDINER: I say that's not right. I say the source of | 24 | or by the court or whatever. But you know you've got |
| 25 | the payment is the original liability, the deed | 25 | an obligation to pay an amount of interest, you know |
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| 1 | recognised at -- as in Regal Hastings v Gulliver, the court recognised that. All the House of Lords did in that case was to say: there is a liability you have for breach of trust or breach of your fiduciary duty. You've got to disgorge the profit and disgorge the profit with interest on it. <br> LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: It's the court which fixes the rate of interest in its discretion. | 1 | you've got an obligation to pay an amount of interest. |
| 2 |  | 2 | Therefore, for taxing purposes -- that's the |
| 3 |  | 3 | importance here -- for taxing purposes you've actually |
| 4 |  | 4 | got a growing amount of income in a year of assessment, |
| 5 |  | 5 | the species of instrument that these cases actually are |
| 6 |  | 6 | looking at, and looking at ongoing investments that have |
| 7 |  | 7 | income accruing that constitutes yearly interest. |
| 8 |  | 8 | Could I just in that context make two points. |
| 9 | MR GARDINER: Well, most of the -- one has to look at | 9 | It is clear from the history of our taxation, and it |
| 10 | whether the statute in that particular case had | 10 |  |
| 11 | a discretion or subsequently for example in personal | 11 | recognises a distinction between "yearly interest" and |
| 12 | injuries matters. | 12 | other "interest". There's no doubt about that and |
| 13 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, I think in Regal | 13 | I don't think that's disputed. |
| 14 | Hastings it was agreed between the parties -- and I've | 14 | Secondly, if one is looking at what constitutes |
| 15 | no reason to doubt -- that the House of Lords was | 15 | "yearly interest", and as with every single tax case there ever is it always comes back down to the meaning |
| 16 | exercising the equitable jurisdiction to award interest, | 16 |  |
| 17 | and given that -- yes, 4 per cent was in those days the | 17 | of words in a statute, but the words we have are "yearly |
| 18 | accepted rate. But that's nothing to do with -- well, | 18 | interest", and one has to ask oneself what those words |
| 19 | the 1934 Act does of course confer a discretion, but | 19 | ordinarily mean. The ordinary meaning of "yearly" is |
| 20 | clearly the jurisdiction to award equitable interest is | 20 | "year-by-year" or "annual", so that is actually starting |
| 21 | discretionary: whether to award it at all and if so at | 21 | one on the route that all the cases have recognised, |
| 22 | what rate, and whether to make it simple or compound, | 22 | realising that one is looking at something that has some |
| 23 | and if compound at what rests. All that is for the | 23 | continuance. So whether there's any argument about section 874 having dropped the word "accruals" or whatever, one has got the terminology "yearly interest" |
| 24 | court to decide or for the parties to agree in order to | 24 |  |
| 25 | avoid court proceedings. | 25 |  |
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| 1 | and one is talking about something with a degree of | 1 | at the due date? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | continuance, simply by reference to that word, and | 2 | MR GARDINER: That case in Riches, my Lord, they were simply |
| 3 | that's what the older cases and the judges -- and it's | 3 | talking about interest of money, they weren't talking |
| 4 | very celebrated judges -- were recognising. | 4 | about yearly interest. |
| 5 | As my learned friend said, if you want to go on and | 5 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Your argument seemed to be |
| 6 | ask yourself what does interest mean, he used the | 6 | veering back towards interest rather than focusing on |
| 7 | classic definition in all the tax cases, it's "payment | 7 | yearly. |
| 8 | by time for the use of money". We do not have it in the | 8 | MR GARDINER: I'm sorry, but Riches, as far as I'm |
| 9 | bundle of authorities but it's a very well known phrase, | 9 | concerned, is basically irrelevant. |
| 10 | it's Mr Justice Rowlatt in a case called | 10 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: All right, because your focus |
| 11 | Bennett v Ogston. I can give you the reference, in fact | 11 | is on yearly. |
| 12 | we actually have copies with us if that would be -- | 12 | MR GARDINER: Yes, yes. |
| 13 | I don't think you'll find the rest of the case | 13 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: If it said "interest" here |
| 14 | particularly interesting, but it's just the phrase | 14 | then you would accept that -- |
| 15 | "payment by time for the use of money". It's 15 tax | 15 | MR GARDINER: There wouldn't have been any question. |
| 16 | cases, I think it's 364. | 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Quite, it's the word "yearly" |
| 17 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You are going to let us have Barnato | 17 | that takes the burden. |
| 18 | as well, are you? | 18 | MR GARDINER: It's fundamental. |
| 19 | MR GAMMIE: I can hand it up now. | 19 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: And that's what suggests |
| 20 | MR GARDINER: Shall we perhaps hand the two in at close of | 20 | a continuum, as you say, year-by-year accretion. |
| 21 | play? | 21 | MR GARDINER: Yes. And I'll come back to what the learned |
| 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 22 | judge below said, but that is the basis of his judgment. |
| 23 | MR GARDINER: So "yearly", "year-by-year" or "annual", | 23 | That, in a sense, is the starting point, the meaning |
| 24 | connoting therefore some form of continuance, and | 24 | of the words "yearly interest". I made that point and |
| 25 | therefore it's got to be a continuance constituting | 25 | I don't want to elaborate on it, but what I do want to |
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| 1 | interest which is talking about payment by time for the | 1 | say is that that gives one the raison d'etre for why it |
| 2 | use of money. | 2 | was yearly interest from the outset to which a deduction |
| 3 | That is not what you have here. You do not have any | 3 | at source obligation applies. One does get quite a lot |
| 4 | continuing, growing right to interest, you have | 4 | of help, in our respectful submission, from the history |
| 5 | a one-off calculation in respect of the past. | 5 | of these matters, the history of two centuries of tax |
| 6 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But it may be a one-off calculation | 6 | legislation. We've set that out in the appendix to our |
| 7 | and it's paid once, presumably, although it wouldn't | 7 | skeleton, and I would like to come back to that in |
| 8 | have to be paid once, it could have been paid as | 8 | a moment. But if I just make it shortly. It is really |
| 9 | everybody was going along, perhaps -- | 9 | quite remarkable that -- I mean, income tax started in |
| 10 | MR GARDINER: Well, it couldn't have been paid before the | 10 | this country in 1799 in the Pitt's Act, which wasn't |
| 11 | principal was repaid, my Lady, could it? | 11 | a great success, and that was repealed in 1802 after the |
| 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No. But there had to be the clear | 12 | Treaty of Avignon; but 1803 Addington's Act, set out the |
| 13 | determination that there was a surplus. Then some bit | 13 | fundamental structure of our income tax which is still |
| 14 | of it could have been paid leaving always enough for | 14 | with us two centuries later today. If I just make this |
| 15 | a reserve for any withholding that had to be made. So | 15 | point, I mean the schedule and system of taxation in the |
| 16 | it is calculated by reference to the use of money over | 16 | UK, we can all remember this historically which is |
| 17 | time. | 17 | schedule A, schedule B, schedule C, schedule D. |
| 18 | MR GARDINER: It's calculated by reference to a past period. | 18 | Schedule D, there are various cases of it, the first |
| 19 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 19 | one, trades, profit arising and accruing from the |
| 20 | MR GARDINER: But it's not paid for the use of that money | 20 | carrying on of a trade; case 2 of schedule D, but no |
| 21 | over that period of time, it's paid because there is | 21 | longer called the case, professions or vacations; case |
| 22 | a surplus, it's a means of distributing that surplus. | 22 | 3 , interest of money. The opening words it's always |
| 23 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Well, doesn't it fall within | 23 | talking about "income arising or accruing". |
| 24 | what Lord Wright said in Riches as being a payment which | 24 | Now, the tax law rewrite operation in 2007 got rid |
| 25 | becomes due because the creditor has not had his money | 25 | of the terminology " $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ and E ", but it still |
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| 1 | actually has the same separate heads of taxation that | 1 | section 874 , a reference simply to "arising". |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | were recognised basically back in 1803. | 2 | You'll see if you look at the fourth heading just |
| 3 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Where does that take us? | 3 | towards the end of the page, about five or six lines up, |
| 4 | MR GARDINER: Where it takes us, my Lady, is this. That | 4 | it says: |
| 5 | "income arising and accruing" has been standard | 5 | "In estimating the amount of the profits and gains |
| 6 | terminology throughout. I say that the tax law rewrite | 6 | arising as aforesaid, no deduction shall be made on |
| 7 | simplification of language is not intended to make any | 7 | account of any annual interest, or any annuity, |
| 8 | structural change. Yearly interest is recognised as | 8 | allowance or stipend, payable out of such profits or |
| 9 | this animal which constitutes a continuum, there is no | 9 | gains, except the interest of debts due to foreigners |
| 10 | reason to think that the cases that identify what is the | 10 | not resident in Great Britain." |
| 11 | concept of yearly interest are not applicable today as | 11 | Behind tab 5 it the deduction at source provision. |
| 12 | they were in 1853, 1890, 2010. | 12 | So this was a deduction at source provision introduced |
| 13 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Are you going to give us Bennett and | 13 | in 1803 when interest simpliciter wasn't charged as tax |
| 14 | Barnato? | 14 | at all, that was brought in subsequently, but it's |
| 15 | MR GARDINER: Yes, Bebb v Bunny. Barnato we can only give | 15 | section 208 in Roman numerals on the left-hand side of |
| 16 | you tomorrow. | 16 | the page: |
| 17 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: No, we have Barnato, Mr Gammie has | 17 | "It had been further enacted that upon all |
| 18 | Benarto. | 18 | annuities, yearly interest of money, or other annual |
| 19 | MR GARDINER: Shall I hand them in? Can I deal with it | 19 | payments, whether such payments shall be payable within |
| 20 | tomorrow? | 20 | or out of Great Britain..." |
| 21 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Speaking for myself, I might quite | 21 | Et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. |
| 22 | like to read it overnight. | 22 | If I pause there go and on to the deduction |
| 23 | MR GARDINER: We'll certainly hand them in. | 23 | provision subsequently, as has been held in all the |
| 24 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You don't need to deal with it now. | 24 | subsequent authorities, applying the ejustdem generis |
| 25 | MR GARDINER: A shorter proposition in respect of it | 25 | rule of construction, it is plain that yearly interest |
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| 1 | tomorrow. | 1 | is intended to be another example of an annual payment. |
| 2 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. | 2 | So that emphasises the point I made at the outset of |
| 3 | MR GARDINER: If we could hand them in. (Handed) | 3 | these propositions, that yearly interest is |
| 4 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: You can hand up Bennett at the same | 4 | year-by-year, annual, or whatever, it's the kind of |
| 5 | time. | 5 | fairly permanent thing to which a deduction at source |
| 6 | MR GARDINER: Yes. (Handed) | 6 | provision is intended to apply. |
| 7 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Thank you. | 7 | Then it goes on to say: |
| 8 | MR GARDINER: So the significance of the historic approach | 8 | "Either as a charge ...(Reading to the words)... |
| 9 | towards it is what was the rationale for having | 9 | according to and under subject of the provisions by |
| 10 | a deduction at source procedure? One of the points we | 10 | which the duty of schedule D may be charged, provided |
| 11 | make in the appendix to our skeleton is that yearly | 11 | that in every case where the same shall be payable by |
| 12 | interest started off, in a phrase, referring to | 12 | any person or persons out of any profits and gains |
| 13 | "annuities, yearly interest or other annual payments". | 13 | charged by virtue of this act, no assessment shall be |
| 14 | One can see that, and perhaps we ought to just look at | 14 | made upon such annuity, interest or other annual |
| 15 | it, it's section 208, it's in the legislation bundle, | 15 | payment, but the whole duty due in respect of such |
| 16 | tab 4. | 16 | profits or gains shall be charged without regard to such |
| 17 | If I just start with this, this is the 1803 | 17 | annual payment and the ...(Reading to the words)... have |
| 18 | Addington's Act. Then we need to go on to tab 5. One | 18 | been due and payable." |
| 19 | notices here "schedule (D)", one sees the opening words: | 19 | So there one can see at the outset it's assimilated |
| 20 | "Upon the annual Profits or Gains, arising or | 20 | to annuities and annual payments, and they are animals |
| 21 | accruing to any person or persons residing in Great | 21 | that have growing income day-by-day, payable |
| 22 | Britain, from any kind of property whatever, whether | 22 | periodically. One can see that the kind of interest |
| 23 | situated in Great Britain or elsewhere." | 23 | that is regarded as yearly interest as opposed to such |
| 24 | So those are the words that were carried on right up | 24 | interest is the same kind of animal. |
| 25 | until 2007, and we now have, as we've seen in | 25 | I'll go to Bebb v Bunny in a moment if I might |
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| 1 | because I think that's quite important, and likewise the | 1 | I'm sure you would have read all that, but if |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | decision in Gateshead. But there isn't a case in the | 2 | I could just very briefly mention the more significant |
| 3 | books in which it has been held that yearly interest | 3 | points. Paragraph 3 is something I've already dealt |
| 4 | applies to a situation where the interest does not | 4 | with, which is Addington's Income. Paragraph 3 of the |
| 5 | accrue. | 5 | appendix, Addington's Income Tax Act of 1803. It's |
| 6 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That depends on the analysis | 6 | paragraph 5 that we say is really the clue to the |
| 7 | of Regal Hastings and the earlier case. | 7 | meaning and the historic approach. So we say: |
| 8 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes, and it goes back to that, and | 8 | "Thus at inception the deduction of tax at source in |
| 9 | I mean that may be the territory of argument, for the | 9 | respect of interest only applied yearly interest. It |
| 10 | reasons I gave I say that that is a situation where | 10 | must have been the rationale that such deduction |
| 11 | interest accrues. | 11 | procedures were only intended for on-going, continuing |
| 12 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. I mean, I think your | 12 | situations of some permanence and significance (such as |
| 13 | observation is true, isn't it, of the rest of the cases, | 13 | yearly interest and other annual payments)." |
| 14 | they are all cases of loans or sums remaining | 14 | Then I say: |
| 15 | outstanding and so on. | 15 | "Note the reference to 'payable half-yearly or at |
| 16 | MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lord. | 16 | any shorter or more distant periods' and the fact that |
| 17 | We say, following on from that, it's an essential | 17 | it is regarded as an annual payment. Non-yearly |
| 18 | constituent of the concept of yearly interest, it's the | 18 | interest was not generally charged to tax." |
| 19 | quality that that type of interest has and to which | 19 | There is we say a very telling point which we set |
| 20 | a deduction at source procedure applies. The deduction | 20 | out in paragraphs 10 to 13 of that appendix, and that is |
| 21 | at source procedure is important, because -- and that's | 21 | this, that from 1864 to 1923 yearly interest was taxed |
| 22 | why in 1969 it was only adopted in relation to yearly | 22 | by reference to the tax rates during the period in which |
| 23 | interest, because that is the kind of thing that goes on | 23 | the interest accrued. There was no other alternative. |
| 24 | and continues and would require somebody to make | 24 | If you had yearly interest you were taxed by reference |
| 25 | deductions from time to time, make returns to the | 25 | to the rates during which the interest accrued. You see |
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| 1 | Revenue, keep all the documentation and all the | 1 | that's in paragraph 10 of our appendix the words that |
| 2 | procedures, et cetera, that would have an effect on the | 2 | are underlined "during the Period through which the same |
| 3 | recipient's tax position. | 3 | was accruing due". That must presuppose that accrual of |
| 4 | That's the point which we made in I think | 4 | interest is an essential ingredient of yearly interest. |
| 5 | paragraph 17 of our skeleton. May I just briefly go to | 5 | Whatever Parliament does (inaudible) tax it doesn't make |
| 6 | that. It's picked up, I think, in our appendix as well. | 6 | a mess of imposing the tax and the charge and the rate. |
| 7 | I mean, all the paragraphs under the heading "The | 7 | The only rate applicable to yearly interest was the rate |
| 8 | nature of yearly interest" go to what we say are the | 8 | during the years of accrual. |
| 9 | constituents, are the authorities, that establish this | 9 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Is that the position today? |
| 10 | particular meaning. | 10 | MR GARDINER: No, my Lady, I'm sorry, that's the period from |
| 11 | So we start with saying: | 11 | 1864 to 1923. |
| 12 | "The notion of 'yearly interest' has existed from | 12 | You see we make that point, so it's for 63 years -- |
| 13 | the beginnings of income tax ... Addington's Act ..." | 13 | this paragraph 12 -- |
| 14 | Which we've just looked at, so yearly interest of | 14 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Paragraph 12 of the? |
| 15 | money or other annual payments, 208: | 15 | MR GARDINER: Of the appendix. |
| 16 | "... is used interchangeably with the term 'annual | 16 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Yes. |
| 17 | interest'. At inception no other interest was charged | 17 | MR GARDINER: I mean this point is dealt with in |
| 18 | and deduction of tax at source only applied to yearly | 18 | paragraphs 10 to paragraph 12. |
| 19 | (annual) interest. This plainly recognised that such | 19 | So for 63 years the only rate that could be applied |
| 20 | interest arose only on debts of some ongoing permanence | 20 | to yearly interest was the rate during the period of |
| 21 | or significance on which interest payments were likely | 21 | accrual. |
| 22 | to arise from time to time, as opposed to 'one-off' | 22 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: But what are we to read into |
| 23 | situations, and to which a deduction of tax procedure | 23 | the fact that it was repealed in 1923 and hasn't been |
| 24 | was appropriate (see the appendix to this skeleton at | 24 | present in the tax legislation for nearly 100 years |
| 25 | [5] to [9]." | 25 | since then? |
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| 1 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, simply this - we're still concerned | 1 | Then it says, and this is the headnote: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | with the terminology "yearly interest". It's the same | 2 | "The words 'yearly interest' in s. 40 of [and that's |
| 3 | terminology that we have in section 874 now, that we had | 3 | the 1853 Act] ... mean, not only interest accruing de |
| 4 | in 1803, and the question is has the concept of yearly | 4 | anno in annum, but any interest at a fixed rate per cent |
| 5 | interest in a deduction of tax at source procedure, has | 5 | per annum, though accruing de die in diem." |
| 6 | that concept and that meaning changed? And, if so, for | 6 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I don't think that helps you, I think |
| 7 | what reason? Is there an indication in the legislation | 7 | that helps Mr Gammie. |
| 8 | that Parliament intended to change what had become the | 8 | MR GARDINER: Well, in what way, my Lady? In what way does |
| 9 | accepted meaning of the concept of "yearly interest", | 9 | it help my learned friend? |
| 10 | for the reasons which I gave. | 10 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Well, it could be said -- and I'm not |
| 11 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: Right. | 11 | saying that this my view -- that anything which is any |
| 12 | MR GARDINER: I mean, manifestly during that period -- | 12 | interest -- just looking at the headnote -- at a fixed |
| 13 | I mean the interest here couldn't have been treated as | 13 | rate per cent per annum, though accruing day-to-day. |
| 14 | yearly interest. | 14 | Okay, you say there's no accrual here, but apart from |
| 15 | If I could also draw you to -- we haven't actually | 15 | that it's fairly widely stated, isn't it? |
| 16 | looked at it yet but there is the case of Bebb v Bunny, | 16 | MR GARDINER: Well, it's widely stated, but with respect |
| 17 | as my Lady said this morning, yes, that case isn't | 17 | I don't think it helps him because it's actually saying |
| 18 | binding on this court but it has been there from 1853, | 18 | it's part of the definition accruing day-by-day and |
| 19 | it's never been doubted, in my respectful submission. | 19 | that's our case, this doesn't accrue day-by-day. |
| 20 | It is a decision of the then Vice Chancellor Sir William | 20 | If I just go to the relevant passage, it's actually |
| 21 | Page Wood, subsequently the Lord Chancellor, | 21 | a very short judgment it's over the page, page 437, from |
| 22 | Lord Hatherley. And it is definitional, it is the only | 22 | the top of the page: |
| 23 | case actually which perhaps is, in terminology at least, | 23 | "Most mortgage deeds contain only a covenant to pay |
| 24 | definitional of what constitutes "yearly interest". | 24 | the principal, with interest at a certain rate per |
| 25 | If we could actually just perhaps look at that. | 25 | annum, on a day certain. After that it accrues de die |
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| 1 | It's tab 1 -- | 1 | in diem, and the interest, without any particular |
| 2 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: He spoke with some prescience, | 2 | reservation, ordinarily is received half-yearly from |
| 3 | did he not, when he said "the language of which | 3 | year to year. It is difficult to see the distinction |
| 4 | sections, from their vagueness, creates some | 4 | between interest so reserved and paid, and that which by |
| 5 | difficulty". | 5 | special agreement accrues on purchase-money, which also |
| 6 | MR GARDINER: I'm not sure he had this case in mind, though, | 6 | goes on from day to day, and may run on for a year, or |
| 7 | this far ahead. | 7 | stop at any time on payment of the purchase-money, and |
| 8 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: No, I am sure, but he spoke | 8 | which, in some shape or other, forms a lien on the |
| 9 | the truth. | 9 | property." |
| 10 | MR GARDINER: Yes. | 10 | Again, it's looking at a continuance of the growth |
| 11 | It's a fairly short and fairly succinct judgment, | 11 | of interest of the species applicable, and -- |
| 12 | but the skeleton drafted by my learned friend Mr Goye | 12 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But once the surplus has been |
| 13 | says that this isn't a definitional sort of statement of | 13 | ascertained here, on Day 10, why could it not be said |
| 14 | what constitutes yearly interest, and my learned friend | 14 | against you that the interest is accruing as from that |
| 15 | Mr Gammie hasn't actually dealt with it; but at least | 15 | date? |
| 16 | the headnote writer thought it was the definitional, if | 16 | MR GARDINER: The -- |
| 17 | you actually look at the headnote it says: | 17 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: I can see your argument that |
| 18 | "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his | 18 | previously, before there's any identification or |
| 19 | purchase-money..." | 19 | knowledge there's going to be a surplus, but once it's |
| 20 | Et cetera. | 20 | determined at X why, from that moment going forward, |
| 21 | Four lines down: | 21 | isn't there an obligation and an accruing from day to |
| 22 | "The tax is not deducted on payment of | 22 | day and year to year? |
| 23 | purchase-money into Court; but the purchaser, it seems, | 23 | MR GARDINER: With respect, my Lady, no. |
| 24 | may apply to have it deducted when the purchase-money is | 24 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Why? |
| 25 | paid out of Court." | 25 | MR GARDINER: Because as at the determination of the surplus |
|  | Page 142 |  | Page 144 |


| 1 | an obligation arises to pay a sum of money. There isn't | 1 | "But I think it's susceptible of this view..." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | any interest thereafter accruing on that obligation to | 2 | So he's talking about yearly interest and what it |
| 3 | pay that sum of money. What we're arguing about in this | 3 | actually means: |
| 4 | case is the interest of the principal -- | 4 | '... that it is interest reserved, at a given |
| 5 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: But doesn't it go until payment, or | 5 | rate per cent per annum..." |
| 6 | is it just until the date of determination of the | 6 | Then he says: |
| 7 | surplus? | 7 | "... or, at least, in the construction of this Act, |
| 8 | MR GARDINER: As I understand it -- | 8 | I must hold that any interest which may be or become |
| 9 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: If we look at the terms of the | 9 | payable de anno in annum, though accruing de die in |
| 10 | rule it's reasonably clear, I think, what is involved. | 10 | diem, is within the 40th section." |
| 11 | So it's -- the trigger for the payment of interest is | 11 | The 40th section is the deduction at source |
| 12 | the payment of the debts proved, not actually the | 12 | procedure that we're basically concerned. |
| 13 | identification that after payment there will be | 13 | So he is talking about "in the construction of this |
| 14 | a surplus. | 14 | Act", so he is looking at it in definitional: |
| 15 | I mean, of course you may have some debts, you may | 15 | "I must hold that any interest which may be or |
| 16 | provide, of course, for disputed claims and so on. Then | 16 | become payable de anno in annum..." |
| 17 | the interest lasts from the periods during which those | 17 | My learned friend Mr Goye's skeleton, whenever it |
| 18 | debts have been outstanding, i.e. from the commencement | 18 | comes across this case, they are always happy to |
| 19 | of the administration, to the date or dates on which | 19 | underline the words "payable de anno in annum", but they |
| 20 | dividends were paid on the debts. | 20 | never underline "accruing de die in diem", and it is |
| 21 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes. | 21 | part of the definition. |
| 22 | LORD JUSTICE DAVID RICHARDS: That's the structure. | 22 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Right. Well, I think we'll have to |
| 23 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes. | 23 | continue tomorrow. |
| 24 | In answer to my Lady, I mean that was what I was | 24 | Not before 10.30 tomorrow. |
| 25 | trying to say, but your Lordship has expressed it much | 25 | MR GARDINER: I'm grateful. |
|  | Page 145 |  | Page 147 |
| 1 | more succinctly than I. So that the surplus is | 1 | (4.10 pm) |
| 2 | determined -- | 2 | (The court adjourned until |
| 3 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: After payment of the debts. | 3 | Wednesday, 1 November 2017 at 10.30 am) |
| 4 | MR GARDINER: Yes. And it's for the period -- | 4 |  |
| 5 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Prior to payment. | 5 |  |
| 6 | MR GARDINER: -- from the commencement -- yes -- to the date | 6 |  |
| 7 | of payment of the dividend. | 7 |  |
| 8 | So there isn't any interest accruing after the | 8 |  |
| 9 | determination of the surplus, it's simply a calculation | 9 |  |
| 10 | for the past. | 10 |  |
| 11 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: Yes. So no interest on interest | 11 |  |
| 12 | during the period -- | 12 |  |
| 13 | MR GARDINER: My Lady, that's right. | 13 |  |
| 14 | LADY JUSTICE GLOSTER: -- which we're all arguing about this | 14 |  |
| 15 | point. | 15 |  |
| 16 | MR GARDINER: What we say is the definitional part -- I mean | 16 |  |
| 17 | it has been sidelined in Bebb v Bunny -- but the | 17 |  |
| 18 | definitional part is the paragraph he begins just about | 18 |  |
| 19 | the middle of the page where he starts the paragraph | 19 |  |
| 20 | saying: | 20 |  |
| 21 | "The whole difficulty is in the expression 'yearly' | 21 |  |
| 22 | interest of money." | 22 |  |
| 23 | So he is the first judge, in 1853 at least, tackling | 23 |  |
| 24 | what is yearly interest. | 24 |  |
| 25 | He says: | 25 |  |
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