| 1 | Thursday, 28 April 2016 | 1 | If I could then go to the core bundle, and just look |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $(1.29 \mathrm{pm})$ | 2 | at the application. The application, you'll see, is the |
| 3 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, who starts, Mr Gardiner? | 3 | first item in the core bundle at tab 1 . The relevant |
|  | MR GARDINER: Yes, my Lord, yes. |  | question that we're asking your Lordship to determine is |
|  | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Good afternoon. I'm sorry for the | 5 | on the second page of the application. If I just read |
| 6 | inconvenience of this curious starting time and I'm very | 6 | that: |
| 7 | grateful to you for your cooperation in it | 7 | "Whether or not the payment in LBIE's administration |
| 8 | Opening Submissions by MR GARDINER | 8 | of statutory interest, pursuant to rule 288.7 of the |
| 9 | MR GARDINER: No, we're certainly hopeful, within reason, | 9 | Insolvency Rules 1986, is a payment of yearly interest |
| 10 | we'll be able to finish, hopefully, by the right kind of | 0 | for the purposes of section 874.1 of the Income Tax Act |
| 11 | time tomorrow afternoon | 11 | 2007, such that it is capable of giving rise to |
| 12 | My Lord, as you have seen, I appear in this case | 12 | an obligation on LBIE and/or the joint administrators to |
| 13 | with my learned friends, Mr Bayfield, Mr Walford, on | 13 | deduct a sum representing income tax, pursuant to and in |
| 14 | behalf of the joint administrators of Lehman Brothers | 14 | accordance with section 874.2 of the Income Tax Act |
| 15 | International (Europe), an unlimited company I'll refer | 15 | 2007, such that the joint administrators should |
| 16 | to as LBIE. My learned friends, Mr Goy and Miss Addy, | 16 | consider, before paying statutory interest to any |
| 17 | appear on behalf of Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs, | 17 | particular creditor, whether or not any such obligation |
| 18 | whom I will refer to as HMRC or more likely, The | 18 | in fact exists.' |
| 19 | Revenue. | 19 | So the crunch point, at the end of the day, is |
| 20 | I'm conscious of the fact that we gave your Lordship | 20 | whether those proposed payments constitute yearly |
| 21 | a long reading list. I hope you've had an opportunity | 21 | interest, as that concept is understood in the relevant |
| 22 | to read those papers. That will obviously enable me to | 22 | tax legislation, and in particular, for the purposes of |
| 23 | take, I hope, the matter more quickly than otherwise. | 23 | section 874 of the Income Tax Act 2007. As |
| 24 | You will also, I am sure, be familiar with the history | 2 | your Lordship will appreciate, I am a tax lawyer, I've |
| 25 | of this administration. The downfall of Lehmans and | 25 | spent the whole of my life dealing with tax cases, and |
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| 1 | LBIE was said to have precipitated the financial crisis | 1 | at the end of the day, obviously I will deal with that, |
| 2 | of 2008 and thereafter, but here we are today, when the | 2 | but we apprehend the starting point in this case is one |
| 3 | joint administrators have, at least as at 30 April 2014, | 3 | of insolvency law, to determine what is the true nature |
| 4 | paid off at 100P in the pound, all the then proven debts | 4 | of statutory interest. We will say that, actually, |
| 5 | of LBIE, and there is now presently perceived to be | 5 | that's not going to cause any controversy in this |
| 6 | a surplus, estimated at between $£ 6.6$ billion and | 6 | particular court, because the nature of statutory |
| 7 | $£ 7.8$ billion. As stated in paragraph 5 of our skeleton, | 7 | interest has already been determined in this |
| 8 | in consequence of that, the joint administrators are | 8 | administration by Mr Justice David Richards, as he then |
| 9 | contemplating making preparations for a distribution of | 9 | was, in another application on behalf of my clients, the |
| 10 | statutory interest, under rule 288.7 of the Insolvency | 10 | joint administrators, in a case which we call Waterfall |
| 11 | Rules 1986. This application is made in connection with | 11 | IIA and the proper title to which is Lomas \& Ors v |
| 12 | that, and as far as the documentation in this case is | 12 | Burlington Loan Management, which is at tab 47 of the |
| 13 | concerned, there is -- fortunately, there is volume one | 13 | second volume of the authorities -- I'm not going to go |
| 14 | of the documents, which we call the core bundle, and | 14 | to it now, I'll come to it later, and the relevant parts |
| 15 | that I'm going to go to in a moment, although only very | 15 | of which, of course, we've already asked your Lordship |
| 16 | briefly, which contains the application and the witness | 16 | to have a look at. |
| 17 | statements, and I'll deal with those matters very, very, | 17 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, well in case it assists, with the |
| 18 | very quickly because at the end of the day, this is | 18 | disclaimer that I shouldn't think I'd pass a Mastermind |
| 19 | a question of law. The only documentation that I'm | 19 | quiz on it, I have read the 13 items that you asked me |
| 20 | going to refer to is that volume and the two volumes of | 20 | to read, in case that helps you |
| 21 | authorities. I have no intention of referring to | 21 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, very much so, yes, thank you. So as |
| 22 | volumes 2, 3 and 4, I think, of the rest of the | 22 | I say, there are two points of law. The first being, if |
| 23 | documentation. So, therefore, unusually perhaps, for | 23 | I can call it this, a matter of insolvency law which we |
| 24 | cases that one's involved in these days, the | 24 | say is determined already in that particular judgment. |
| 25 | documentation isn't too bad. | 25 | I'll obviously take your Lordship to the relevant points |
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|  | but you've obviously already read it. Then the second |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | point, which is much of the burden of my argument, is |
| 3 | what constitutes yearly interest for the purposes of |
| 4 | section 874, as that concept is understood in the tax |
| 5 | legislation. Your Lordship will appreciate that the |
| 6 | deduction procedure under 874 applies, because the |
| 7 | person making the payments would be a company, but it |
| 8 | applies only if it's yearly interest, and of course, |
| 9 | there may well be a number of exemptions and reliefs |
| 10 | which means the company doesn't have to duck the tax. |
| 11 | For example, there's the point we make in paragraph 12 |
| 12 | of our skeleton. There are provisions in double |
| 13 | taxation conventions so, for example, if the money is |
| 14 | paid to a non resident, he may well not have |
| 15 | a liability. He then gets an order from the |
| 16 | Inland Revenue Commissioners which is served on my |
| 17 | clients, to the effect that they don't have to deduct |
| 18 | tax on making a payment to such a person. So there are |
| 19 | a number of exemptions and reliefs and we don't know the |
| 20 | extent to which this obligation would be applicable to |
| 21 | the payments out of statutory interest that would fall |
| 22 | to be made. Your Lordship will appreciate the judgment |
| 23 | in Waterfall IIA is under appeal to the Court of Appeal. |
| 24 | We say it's correct in all relevant respects, but at |
| 25 | least, in any event, as far as this case is concerned, |
|  |  |
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1 and we would obviously respectfully invite your Lordship 2 to follow it. In the light of the Revenue's skeleton, 3 at least at the moment, I don't apprehend that my 4 learned friends challenge the reasoning of the learned 5 judge in that case, although we will say that they do 6 not face up to the full rigour of his reasoning.

So we say as far as 874.1 of the Income Tax Act is concerned, that a distribution of statutory interest would not constitute a distribution of yearly interest. The Revenue say to the contrary. Obviously the joint administrators wish to carry out their duties under the insolvency rules, and the resolution of this dispute would remove one of the major barriers to making such distributions. That, of course, is why we're here today.

So having made the point that, essentially, the dispute revolves around a question of law, could I very briefly, just mention one or two points from the witness statements. They are again in that core bundle, the first bundle that we looked at just now. The first witness statement is by Mr Downs, who is one of the joint administrators. Tab 2 of the bundle. Obviously, your Lordship having indicated that you've managed to read this, I'm just going to take it very briefly. Paragraphs 7 to 8 , he refers to the administration and
the background to the case, and then paragraphs 9 and 10 onwards, he refers to the surplus. Could I perhaps just make this point: his witness statement was given on 22 December 2015. He refers to the latest progress report in paragraph 9 of the joint administrators, as to the then estimated apparent surplus, and we make the point in our skeleton argument that that has actually now been updated by the latest report by the joint administrators, dated 12 April 2016, a figure of 6.6 billion to 7.8 billion, estimated as being the amount of the surplus. That we refer to in our skeleton at paragraph 5. I just make that as an update to what he says in paragraph 9 .

Then paragraph 10 , what he refers to as the final dividend, at least as to the then proved debts, which were distributed at 100 P in the pound, on or about 30 April 2014. Then paragraph 12 sets out the other impediments to making the distribution of surplus, and I'm not going to go into those, but it is actually of relevance in this case to the authorities, when we come to them, to take on board the fact that this is an administration, we are concerned with debts owed to creditors, creditors who one apprehends, did not intend to lend their money to LBIE for five years, or for any period of time at all. They weren't making a long term

## $$
\text { Page } 7
$$ <br> <br> Page 7

 <br> <br> Page 7}or medium term or any kind of investment in LBIE. They weren't investing their money for a return at interest recurring over a period of time with LBIE. They are caught up with the statutory consequences of LBIE having gone into administration and the statutory moratorium that has, in effect, applied. Therefore, whether you look at it fortunately or unfortunately or unhappily, they have been without their money for a very considerable period of time, wholly unintended and not as an intended investment, seeking a particular return over that period of time on their money.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: But it is common ground, is it not, that whilst the question of what yearly interest, what characteristics that has to have to qualify as such, the payment made by way of statutory interest is interest? MR GARDINER: Yes. That is conceded throughout, yes. It's interest. We say there, something I have to develop at some length, I'm afraid, there is a distinction, we say, between yearly interest and interest. One can see that -- I'm afraid, I think all the years I've been in practice, I don't think I've ever actually had to go, in real seriousness, back to Addington's Act in 1803 but in this case, I think I do. But there we are.

But the point I'm making there is it is relevant, when we come to look at the authorities and what they
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| 1 | say about the concept of yearly interest, that this is |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | not an intended investment, so as to procure a recurring |
| 3 | interest return over a period of time. They are caught |
| 4 | up in the maelstrom of the administration, through no |
| 5 | intention, no purpose to achieve that at all, they are |
| 6 | just statutory consequences. |
| 7 | As you will see set out on page 4 in paragraph 12, |
| 8 | all these other various sort of impediments to the |
| 9 | distribution of surplus, and various other impediments |
| 10 | that obviously there have been over the years, in |
| 11 | getting in the monies and actually paying out the |
| 12 | amounts by way of 100 per cent of the amounts owed over |
| 13 | a period of some five to six years. |
| 14 | At paragraph 14 onwards of Mr Downs' witness |
| 15 | statement, he notes the progress so far, in resolving |
| 16 | those issues, and again, on the basis that your Lordship |
| 17 | has read it, I don't propose to read any of that to you. |
| 18 | Then we go to paragraphs 19 to 22, which refers to |
| 19 | section 874, which is the statutory provision relevant |
| 20 | here, of the Income Tax Act 2007, and the correspondence |
| 21 | with the Revenue. One can see set out at paragraph 25, |
| 22 | if one goes on to paragraphs 23 onwards, the Revenue's |
| 23 | stated guidance that my clients, the joint |
| 24 | administrators and all on their behalf, |
| 25 | PricewaterhouseCoopers, corresponded with the Revenue, |
|  |  |
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and one sees it set out there and perhaps I'll just read it very briefly:
"25. The insolvency legislation provides that where the IP [the insolvency practitioner] has a surplus of money remaining after full payment of all creditor's preferential and non-preferential debts claimed in the insolvency, then interest at a prescribed rate must be paid on those debts, from the relevant date or the reckonable date, for interests purposes, under the Taxes Management Act 1970, whichever is the later, to the date of payment of the claim. Payment of interest is made by the IP under the Insolvency Act 1986, section 189 in liquidation bankruptcy provisions."

Then it says:
"There is no obligation or right for the IP [insolvency practitioner] as a payer to deduct income tax for a low interest payment."

So that was the published statement of Her Majesty's
Revenue and Customs. The point was taken up on behalf of the joint administrators with the Revenue, and the Revenue at first said, "Yes, you don't have to deduct tax."
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: And section 189, and in particular, subsection 2, is in exactly the same terms as 288 ; is that right?
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```
MR GARDINER: My Lord, that's right, when you take that into
account with the insolvency rules, yes. So if this were
an insolvency in the form of a liquidation, or
an administration, the same point arises.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: What is the effect of INS 743.3, is
that simply a concession or is it an interpretation, or
what is it?
MR GARDINER: The effect of?
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: INS 743.3.
MR GARDINER: Sorry, yes. That is just simply what was
published by the Inland Revenue Commissioners at the
time, as being their understanding, or their perceived
understanding of the law. What Miss Rass says in her
witness statement is that shouldn't really be published,
that was really an internal guidance note that if and
insofar as people were paying money to the
Inland Revenue Commissioners themselves, that would
apply, but it wouldn't apply generally.
```

MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So it was published but in error?
MR GARDINER: That's right.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: And now what is said, as I understand
it, is it may apply in liquidations but it does not
apply in administrations?

MR GARDINER: No, I think what they say is it doesn't apply at all unless you're actually making a payment of

Page 11

## interest to the Inland Revenue Commissioners.

## MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.

MR GARDINER: And I think that's right. Yes, that is their position.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So they publish for their own benefit? MR GARDINER: Yes, they published that in error. MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I see. MR GARDINER: They published it, my clients took it on the basis that that means there is no obligation to deduct tax. They agreed at first, they agreed at second attempt, "Yes, you don't have to deduct tax", then third attempt, they said "No, we've got it wrong, it was issued in error. The view we made was issued in error and the right answer is you have to deduct tax".
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I mean, it's disquieting, I have to say, but I'm really asking for the purpose of the scope and effect of what I'm asked to decide. Is it common ground that the same interpretation must be given to statutory interest and yearly interest in the context of 189.2 as in 288.7 , so that the effect would be that any order made by the court, subject of course to appeal, would apply in all insolvency processes?
MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes. That is our position. As
I understand it, that is their position.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Their position?
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## MR GARDINER: Yes.

MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: That if it was a debt owed to the
Revenue, some different position would still obtain?
MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes, that is their position, yes.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I see.
MR GOY: My Lord, maybe I can come back to that when it's my
turn.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, thank you, Mr Goy.
MR GARDINER: Yes, my learned friend Mr Bayfield just reminds me, perhaps helpfully, that in Waterfall IIA, paragraph 15 -- I don't need to take you to it now, I can just read it -- paragraph 15, at the end of paragraph 15 of Mr Justice David Richards' judgment, he says -- this is referring to the court committee and its recommendations -- he says:
"An important purpose was to introduce a uniform regime for interest in all insolvency proceedings, including interest, for periods after the commencement of the insolvency proceedings."
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Well that's partly -- I spotted that in paragraph 15 , and I was intrigued to know the scope of what is put by the Revenue in that regard.
MR GARDINER: Your Lordship has it, as I understand it, entirely correctly, that the position is the same in a liquidation as in an administration. They say that
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5 MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right, okay. I'll read those again.
6 MR GARDINER: The point is at the end of the day, this
matter is a question of law. The only point I'm trying to make at the moment is that that correspondence, that mistaken view, has led to this case not coming on, perhaps, as early as it might have done in the course of the administration. Had that view not been taken, perhaps we would have been here, arguing about this two years ago. There we are.
There are one or two points I would like to make, and one point in particular, on Miss Rass' statement, and this is just for clarification. It's her statement, if I can go to, which is at tab 4, and it's in particular, paragraph 12. Just before, at paragraphs 8 to 12 , it's just the terminology she used and I just want to clarify this, because I think the terminology she's used, if I can put it at its mildest, is unfortunate. In paragraph 8, if your Lordship has it behind tab 4 --
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
MR GARDINER: -- she says:
Page 15

## it's yearly interest if there is a calculation period

more than 12 months. We say it's not yearly interest.
The only exception as far as they're concerned, is if it
were monies payable to the Inland Revenue Commissioners,
then you wouldn't have to deduct tax under 874 in those circumstances.
MR GOY: Could I just interrupt. There is a reason for
that, there is a statutory provision that has that
effect.
MR GARDINER: Yes, I'm not disputing it.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Has this therefore been recalled, this
INS -- it's been wiped off the face of the public map, has it?
MR GARDINER: Yes, it's been recalled. It is right to say
it was published. It wasn't a mistake that it was
published, it was published. I think what the other side say is the position stated in it is mistaken, because they say it wasn't intended to apply generally. MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: When was it published?
MR GARDINER: Originally, I can't remember, I'm sorry.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: In due course, someone will tell me.
MR GARDINER: We'll find out. When it had been withdrawn on behalf of the Commissioners, they actually said "Yes, but it's still our position", but then subsequently said "No, no, the statement was made in error." That's the
"I stated the Revenue's position that a withholding tax obligation arises under section 874."

Then she goes on, just four lines down:
"As I explained below, if such a withholding tax obligation does not apply to the payments of statutory interest, HMRC will have no means of recovering any income tax in respect of those sums for the numerous creditors of LBIE and/or the assignees of such creditors who are not resident in the UK at the time of receipt."

Now just as a matter of clarification, that terminology of recovering any income tax, presupposes that there is a liability, and one is seeking to recover the tax in respect of a liability.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Well you make the point in your skeleton that this is simply the policy of the Treasury and the legislature, that this is the way of things.
MR GARDINER: My Lord, I just wanted to get over that point and draw attention to it. When she goes on at paragraph 12 , talking about a loss to the Exchequer and all the rest of it, the loss to the Exchequer is no different in legal liability terms than the loss to the Exchequer, if you might like to describe it as such, being in a sense, that the UK income tax does not actually extend to the Australian income of an Australian resident, it's simply outside the charge.
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| 1 | In consequence to the statutory provisions, we don't | 1 | So that, I hope, from my point of view at least, is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | seek to charge non-residents in respect of UK source | 2 | the last time I'll refer to what is called the core |
| 3 | income that is not subjected to tax by deduction at | 3 | bundle, volume 1, and thereafter I'm intending to go on |
| 4 | source. | 4 | to the legislation and the cases, but I thought it might |
| 5 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Where she says, although if you prefer | 5 | be the most helpful way to proceed, if I could indicate |
| 6 | Mr Goy to answer on her behalf, that's understandable, | 6 | at the outset what I will call, perhaps, the heart of |
| 7 | where she says it's "in practice limited to some", | 7 | our case and lead up to, perhaps, submissions which in |
| 8 | that's not in practice, that's by law? | 8 | a sense, I might make at the end of our opening address. |
| 9 | MR GARDINER: That's by law, my Lord, that's the point, yes. | 9 | But I thought it might be helpful, in looking at the |
| 10 | It's the terminology of "recovery, practice, loss to the | 10 | authorities which are not necessarily the easiest to |
| 11 | Exchequer." It's all absolutely irrelevant because | 11 | look at, if I indicated from the outset, as it were, the |
| 12 | Parliament, in its wisdom in 1995, expressly by statute | 12 | signposts that I think are important in the legislation |
| 13 | said, "We are not going to charge to tax, this type of | 13 | and from the authorities. If you would allow me just to |
| 14 | income." And therefore there's no liability and we | 14 | develop that a little. This goes, really, to what we |
| 15 | merely say, and it's the point that your Lordship | 15 | say is at the heart of our case. That is dealing with |
| 16 | obviously picked up from paragraph 12 of our skeleton, | 16 | the term "yearly interest". As I think I said a moment |
| 17 | that that's inappropriate language, we say. | 17 | ago, that first appeared in our tax legislation in 1803, |
| 18 | Essentially, there is no liability as a matter of law | 18 | what we refer to as Addington's Act. Income tax was |
| 19 | and that's it. So the crunch question is, is this | 19 | first introduced by Pitt in 1799. This is the time of |
| 20 | yearly interest or not, and that will determine whether | 20 | the revolutionary wars in France and the Napoleonic wars |
| 21 | for a non resident, that is the liability or not, as the | 21 | and all the rest of it. Pitt's Act was a bit of a damp |
| 22 | case may be. | 22 | squib, it didn't produce very much. 1803 is the |
| 23 | So that's all I want to -- you will also see in | 23 | foundation of our income tax. It's almost remarkable to |
| 24 | a minute, I'm sure you obviously have read all the | 24 | me, when I look back at it, the structure actually was |
| 25 | documentation -- my instructing solicitors raised | 25 | introduced in 1803. It introduced the scheduler system, |
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|  | certain questions as to the accuracy of a number of | 1 | it introduced terms which to a tax lawyer, are in one's |
| 2 | points that Miss Rass made in her statement at tab 4, | 2 | bones, in a sense, of it talks about "income arising or |
| 3 | and she put in a second witness statement which is at | 3 | accruing", and the word "accruing" is actually rather |
| 4 | tab 8, clarifying certain points. In particular, | 4 | important, as we'll see when we look at the cases. It |
| 5 | I would perhaps make the point that in her first witness | 5 | also introduced, in section 208 of the Income Tax Act of |
| 6 | statement, she referred to some $£ 1.2$ billion of tax, et | 6 | 1803 -- |
| 7 | cetera, and she accepted, as we accepted, that | 7 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Which section was it? |
| 8 | potentially, there might be significant sums but nobody | 8 | MR GARDINER: 208, my Lord. |
| 9 | actually knows. | 9 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: It's always said, London is to |
| 10 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So I cannot be updated as indicated. | 10 | Paddington what Pitt is to Addington. |
| 11 | Yes. | 11 | MR GARDINER: Yes, very good. |
| 12 | MR GARDINER: Yes. | 12 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: But not in the context of tax. |
| 13 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Except as to the aggregate amounts of | 13 | MR GARDINER: We have them all, actually. All the great |
| 14 | the anticipated surplus | 14 | prime ministers of that particular period of time. |
| 15 | MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lord, nobody's made any | 15 | We'll come on to 1842, when income tax, having been |
| 16 | calculation as to how many non-residents would be owning | 16 | abolished in 1816 -- there was a wonderful period of |
| 17 | a particular interest, et cetera, and the rest of it, we | 17 | time, 1842, it's reintroduced by Pitt and 1852, |
| 18 | just do not know, but it would be inappropriate to say | 18 | Gladstone wants to get it on the act and he introduces |
| 19 | there's $£ 1.2$ billion of tax at stake. | 19 | his Income Tax Act in 1853. |
| 20 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I suppose it could change from day to | 20 | As I say, this has a long provenance, yearly |
| 21 | day, if the debt is dealt with. | 21 | interest. It's introduced in that particular provision |
| 22 | MR GARDINER: Absolutely. That's perhaps one reason why | 22 | and it's introduced in circumstances where it allows the |
| 23 | it's not particularly important or necessary to make | 23 | payer to deduct tax at source, on making a payment of |
| 24 | a calculation today because that calculation might be | 24 | yearly interest. It's that provision, section 208, |
| 25 | wholly inaccurate in six months' time. | 25 | which is the provision from which our section 874 is |
|  | $\text { Page } 18$ |  | Page 20 |


|  | ultimately derived and from which we get the term |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 'yearly interest". I'll come to the precise terms of |
| 3 | the historic analysis, because we've set that out in the |
| 4 | appendix to our skeleton, in perhaps more detail, in |
| 5 | a moment, but just for the moment, that is the starting |
| 6 | point. It's the starting point where there are two |
| 7 | features that are of interest. One is at the time, |
| 8 | interest generally, apart from yearly interest, was not |
| 9 | charged to tax. There's no dichotomy in the 1803 Act |
| 10 | between yearly interest and interest. Although |
| 11 | interest, in general, is actually brought into charge in |
| 12 | 1805. But the other and perhaps more significant |
| 13 | feature is that yearly interest is brought in in |
| 14 | connection with two other items. I think your Lordship |
| 15 | will have seen but we'll see when we look at the |
| 16 | legislation. It's brought in with annuities and other |
| 17 | annual payments, and as the authorities establish, that |
| 18 | must mean that yearly interest constitutes a form of |
| 19 | annual payment. |
| 20 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: That's a sort of eiusdem generis |
| 21 | construction, is it? |
| 22 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, yes. We can see it in all the early |
| 23 | cases that I'll take you to, but Bebb v Bunny, Goslings |
| 24 | and subsequently, Lord Maugham in the House of Lords. |
| 25 | Because it says "other annual payments", it must mean |
|  | Page 21 |
| 1 | that those items before that, yearly interest and |
| 2 | annuities, are simply particular forms of an annual |
| 3 | payment. To any tax lawyer, we could look at all the |
| 4 | authorities, but I don't think we need to, because those |
| 5 | are probably sufficient. Once an annual payment is in |
| 6 | one's bones, in a sense, it's a recurring thing, going |
| 7 | on year by year or capable of going on year by year, |
| 8 | it's something of some permanence. It's not |
| 9 | a one-off-type thing. Annual payments recur or are |
| 10 | capable of recurring year by year. |
| 11 | So the original concept in 1803 was of an ongoing |
| 12 | continuing right to which we submit it was appropriate |
| 13 | to apply the principle and procedures of allowing the |
| 14 | payer to deduct income tax, on account of the payee's |
| 15 | liability, on an ongoing basis. |
| 16 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: And it's sufficient, is it, if it's |
| 17 | capable of recurring rather than intended to be |
| 18 | recurring year by year? |
| 19 | MR GARDINER: My Lord, that's right, that's the case of Bebb |
| 20 | $v$ Bunny. I'll come to it in detail in a moment, but as |
| 21 | a matter of law, that is correct. |
| 22 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Capable. |
| 23 | MR GARDINER: Yes. But the point about the ongoing |
| 24 | collection machinery, and to put this in focus, we can |
| 25 | see it when we look at our appendix but I'll just make |
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this point now. Your Lordship will appreciate they were introducing something really quite new and novel in 1799 and 1803, a new income tax, a tax on people's personal income. Never been done before. They were concerned, as the government, in not having to, as it were, interfere into taxpayer's affairs, in not having to make demands of a taxpayer in respect of his income, and the really rather clever way in which they did it was, and this is the fundamental basis of Addington's Act, was deduction of tax at source. So, in effect, they put an obligation or a power, an authority on the payer of the income, to deduct tax at source. And that meant that, firstly, they avoided having to make direct assessments by the government, which of course meant that the costs of collection and all the rest of it, were much reduced, and secondly, of course, they avoided the opprobrium of having to make assessments against the individual who was liable, in respect of his income tax liability. So that was the purpose of it, and that purpose was something to which -- it applied to yearly interest, annuities and annual payments, but not to any other form of interest. And, again, from the outset, as we shall see when we look at the 1803 Act, it's using this phrase which will recur and was retained for some 200-odd years, of "income arising or accruing".
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So it's the meaning of these words in their context that lies at the heart of the dispute in this case. If one just thinks in semantic terms, if one thinks of the words, I mean they're familiar words in ordinary parlance. "Yearly", we submit, means something year by year. If one needs any judicial definition of "interest" -- again, at the heart of tax lawyers, Mr Justice Rowlatt was the great tax lawyer, the great tax judge of the earlier part of the 20th century for some sort of 25 years, and he, in a case called Bennett v Ogston which is otherwise of no relevance to us, but famously defined interest as being "the payment by time for the use of money." So "yearly", year by year, payment by time for the use of money.

We say that within the meaning of those words, what the cases have identified is the essential qualities of yearly interest to which the deduction source provisions applied. It must have some degree of permanence, some ongoing effect, demonstrating that it has been paid for the use of money over a period of time. And over that period of time, the interest accrues, providing, of course, it is presently payable, either now or due in the future. Because unless it is presently payable now or in the future, there is nothing to accrue. (Pause)

If I could just perhaps illustrate that by a very

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { simple example. Suppose I agree to lend my learned } \\
2 & \text { friend, Mr Goy, £1,000 for five years, at a rate of } \\
3 & \text { interest of } 12 \text { per cent per annum. That's no aspersion } \\
4 & \text { as to his creditworthiness or whatever, it's simply for } \\
5 & \text { the sake of an example. So I agree to lend him for } \\
6 & \text { a number of years, let's say five years, } £ 1,000 \text { at } \\
7 & \begin{array}{l}
12 \text { per cent per annum, and interest is payable -- it } \\
8
\end{array} \\
\hline 9 & \text { doesn't matter whether it's quarterly, half yearly or or whatever, interest is provided for, to be } \\
10 & \text { payable at some point. Now the interest on that debt } \\
11 & \text { accrues day by day, and in the terminology of the case } \\
12 & \text { of Bebb v Bunny, de die in diem, day by day. So on } \\
13 & \text { a simple basis, looking at for example, a period of a } \\
14 & \text { month, } £ 10 \text { will have accrued over the first month, and } \\
15 & \text { then another } £ 10 \text { on the second month, and so on. But } \\
16 & \text { the interest is only payable as and when it is payable. } \\
17 & \text { But because of those contractual circumstances, the } \\
18 & \text { interest accrues during that period of time. I think as } \\
19 & \text { I've already said, it's self evident that it cannot } \\
20 & \text { accrue unless it is payable at some point in time. We } \\
21 & \text { say it's an essential element of yearly interest that } \\
22 & \text { there exists an obligation to pay interest at some time, } \\
23 & \text { now or in the future, and that such an obligation is } \\
24 & \text { present during the time that the interest accrues } \\
25 & \text { because without that, interest does not accrue. }
\end{array}
$$

The legislation, when it's looking at yearly interest, is looking to the type of indebtedness that produces such interest. And as some of the cases -we'll see this, it's the thread running through lots of the cases -- some of the cases identify it as interest on an investment. The situation of a person who has passed out money to another over a period of time in which interest accrues and is payable periodically. The legislation used the terms "arises and accrues" for some 200 years. Yearly interest accrues in those circumstances, but per contra, a one month calculation in respect of a past period, does not create interest which accrues. That interest merely arises, it has never accrued. That's because it is the creature of something which wasn't intended, within the legislation, to constitute yearly interest. It's merely a calculation at a moment of time. It's not the type of ongoing obligation that was intended to create accruing yearly interest.

So interest accruing is being earned, earned by the creditor, and incurred by the debtor, day by day, throughout a period. As a creditor, I'm earning interest day by day, my learned friend is incurring interest day by day. I'm earning the accruing debt, and he is incurring the accruing liability. If that debt
has sufficient permanence, as it does in my example, it constitutes yearly interest. The interest on it constitutes yearly interest.
The point I'm making is that one will see in the cases, there are a number of strands identifying the same simple concept. When one starts, and can see virtually all of it in the decision in Bebb v Bunny which is the first case on this, but one can see it in the subsequent cases, where they're looking at an obligation giving rise to such interest as being something in the nature of attaching to real property. Bebb v Bunny itself, the vice chancellor in that case was assimilating the indebtedness in that case to a real property right, the unpaid property slightly(?) being assimilated, in his judgment, to a mortgage. One can see it in some of the other cases we refer to, and I'll take your Lordship to them in detail later, but if I just mention a run of them at the moment. We refer at paragraphs 29 and 30 to the decision of Mr Justice Rowlatt in a case called Garston v Carlisle. We quote what he says about it, "form of investment". Re Cooper, a decision of the Court of Appeal on judgment debts, the Master of the Rolls, Lord Cozens-Hardy, says this isn't the kind of yearly interest that was intended, and then in particular, Lord Sumner, again in

1 the Court of Appeal, in Gateshead Corporation v Lumsden which we refer to at paragraph 28. So that's a flight of cases, looking at it on the basis it has to be some kind of investment giving rise to this type of interest, the interest that accrues over a period. That's the way in which they look at it there, and there are a number of Scottish cases, but two in particular that we refer to, where they refer to the similar concept of there being a tract of future time. So interest accruing as a tract of future time. We submit, and that's why, in opening the case, I'm giving this sort of guidance, in a sense, to the authorities. We submit they're all to the like effect of recognising the concept that gives rise to yearly interest. We say this: that as I'm sure your Lordship will have seen, my learned friend's argument is that it all comes down to a period of calculation. That's what they said in their letter of 2 March, and I'll come to that later, 2 March of this year. That is, to put it shortly, what they're saying, at least as their primary argument in their skeleton. If you have a period of calculation of a year or more in these circumstances, you're yearly interest; if less, you're not. That's their position. If that were right, that no doubt should have been the basis on which all those cases in the 19th and 20th century should have

|  | been decided. None of them were decided on that basis. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | So their submission before your Lordship is completely |
| 3 | contrary to that whole line of authority. |
| 4 | In particular, and we would attach perhaps, the |
| 5 | greatest importance in this particular case to the |
| 6 | decision of the Court of Appeal in Gateshead Corporation |
| 7 | v Lumsden. I refer to the previous Court of Appeal |
| 8 | decision, and there are two of them, in re Cooper and |
| 9 | Goslings, et cetera, but Gateshead v Lumsden in |
| 10 | particular, we attach a great deal of significance to, |
| 11 | because in our submission, the argument put in that |
| 12 | particular case, and rejected by the Court of Appeal, is |
| 13 | the very same argument that's being put by my learned |
| 14 | friends in this particular case, and it was rejected. |
| 15 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I mean you say forbearance and |
| 16 | a moratorium are very similar. |
| 17 | MR GARDINER: Yes. We say, if you actually understand |
| 18 | Gateshead v Lumsden and what Lord Sumner was saying, |
| 19 | he's saying it's forbearance, it's exactly the same, we |
| 20 | say, as a matter of quality. And here there is |
| 21 | a moratorium. It's an enforced forbearance in a sense, |
| 22 | but it is a forbearance, an unintended consequence, |
| 23 | giving rise to a calculation, and it's not within the |
| 24 | context of yearly interest accruing over a period of |
| 25 | time, intended. As I said in opening, these creditors |
|  | Page 29 |
| 1 | did not intend to lend this money on a particular basis, |
| 2 | over the period of time that it's taken. |
| 3 | We do say, and I say it's an absolutely crunch point |
| 4 | in this particular case. If learned friend's argument |
| 5 | is right, he has to submit that Gateshead v Lumsden is |
| 6 | wrong, and with the greatest respect, before |
| 7 | your Lordship, he can't say that. It's a decision of |
| 8 | the Court of Appeal. |
| 9 | Then finally, or just to wrap up the opening, we |
| 10 | then come back to the decision of Mr Justice David |
| 11 | Richards. He's already held that the interest in this |
| 12 | particular case does not accrue at all. It does not |
| 13 | accrue over a period, it doesn't accrue at all, it |
| 14 | simply arises at a point of time, on the identification |
| 15 | of a surplus. There's no tract of future time. It |
| 16 | cannot be said that it arises from an investment or |
| 17 | loan, intended to subsist for any period. These |
| 18 | creditors have simply been caught up by the |
| 19 | administrative process. They weren't making loans |
| 20 | invested in LBIE for any period of time. The length of |
| 21 | time that they remain outstanding and any interest |
| 22 | payable is simply either a fortuitous or unhappy |
| 23 | consequence of the forced administration, as far as they |
| 24 | are concerned. |
| 25 | So all those points, in my respectful submission, |
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relate to the heart of the case. I thought, having said that, if I could give your Lordship five, relatively short propositions which we say are decisive of this case. Ordinarily, I'd give them at the end of my argument, and this is in anticipation of looking at the authorities and the cases, but I think it might be helpful to give them now. Fortunately, they are fairly short.

The first is this: that to have yearly interest, there must be an obligation to pay interest, now or some time in the future, in respect of a period during which the same accrues. That period needs at least to be capable of being for a year or more.

Secondly, and following on from that, a period of accruer is a necessary constituent of yearly interest. (Pause)

Thirdly, interest does not accrue during a period when there is no obligation to pay the same, even though such an obligation might arise in the future.

That follows here, in relation to statutory interest and the judgment of Mr Justice David Richards in Waterfall IIA, in particular, paragraphs 149 and 154.

Fourthly, if, as in the present case, any entitlement to interest only arises on or after the repayment of the principal, then it cannot be yearly
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```
interest, there is no accruer or continuation of the
interest, there is merely a one-off obligation
calculated by reference to a past period. (Pause)
    Then fifthly and lastly, we say the period of
calculation cannot be the discrimen. In that regard, we
particularly draw attention to the case of Gateshead v
Lumsden, where the interest was paid for many years.
Sadly, we don't know how long, it might even have been
30, but for many years, but it was still held not to be
yearly interest. Another case is where the interest is
paid for less than a year but it is still held to
constitute yearly interest.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD:So points one to four are necessary
qualities, point five is not a necessary quality?
MR GARDINER: Yes.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD:Yes. Just as it occurs to me, I'm
    going to ask it in case later, I dwell on it,
    a preference right would probably qualify for one, two
    and three but possibly not four?
MR GARDINER: Yes.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: A preference share right?
MR GARDINER: Yes. Because there is a right there to which
is -- well, the only point, if it were a fixed
preference share, then yes, because it gives rise to
a right and the interest would accrue on it over
```

|  | a period of time. The company might default in not |
| ---: | :---: |
| 2 | paying it or whatever, but the amount of interest would |
| 3 | be accruing over that period of time. |
| 4 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, because it wouldn't be |
| 5 | an absolute obligation to pay. |
| 6 | MR GARDINER: No. |
| 7 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I'm just wondering how a preference |
| 8 | share fits in. You would accept that the coupon payable |
| 9 | on a preference, to try and use a neutral term, would or |
| 10 | could be yearly interest, except for the fact that it |
| 11 | doesn't arise after payment of principal? |
| 12 | MR GARDINER: Well, first of all of course, it's not debt, |
| 13 | I mean in strict terms it's a dividend, so therefore, |
| 14 | we're only talking in terms of analogy. But if it were |
| 15 | a fixed rate preference share upon which five per cent |
| 16 | per annum were payable, yes, then it would satisfy the |
| 17 | accruer concept. If it were a situation whereby -- say |
| 18 | you were talking about ordinary shares, then of course, |
| 19 | you wouldn't get any kind of accruer at all, because the |
| 20 | only right of an ordinary share would be once a dividend |
| 21 | is actually paid. |
| 22 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. But subject to your debt point, |
| 23 | the preference share, the obligation to pay, is only if |
| 24 | there's a declaration dividend to that effect? |
| 25 | MR GARDINER: Yes, but that's why I'm making the point that |
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if it were a fixed rate preference share accruing over a period of time, so the company had to pay it, insofar as it had profits to do so --
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right.
MR GARDINER: -- then the amount would accrue over a period of time. If it's purely discretionary, then it wouldn't. But I mean that is assuming, of course, there is a debt, and there wouldn't be, because it's a preference share. But I accept your Lordship's point that it's just a matter of analogy. But as I said, just finishing off, if I might, my fifth point and fortunately, my last one here, I referred to Gateshead v Lumsden, with interest payable for many years but still held not to be yearly interest, and then the other cases where the interest is paid for less than a year but it's still held to constitute yearly interest. That's following the principle in Bebb v Bunny. In both instances, the calculation period is not the discrimen to determining whether it's yearly or not. It's the qualities that do, it's the period of accruer, and contemplated accruer that does. So we say that the contentions of the Revenue in this case are contrary to that whole line of authority which is referred to in our skeleton between paragraphs 20 and 36, and I'll take your Lordship to that later. But in particular, we say
contrary to the ratio of the Court of Appeal in Gateshead v Lumsden. When we come to look at that case in detail, we will see that the argument advanced in that case was in all essentials, the same as the principal argument advanced in this case on behalf of the Revenue. It was rejected by the Court of Appeal. Therefore, in our respectful submission, the position is quite plain. In any court below the Supreme Court, this point is actually covered by binding authority, of that case in particular. (Pause)

So, my Lord, having said that, if I might then go to our skeleton and develop the argument from that, because obviously, I need to show your Lordship the legislation that I briefly referred to, and the cases. If I could then look at our skeleton. I'll simply take paragraphs 1 to 12 as read, dealing with the facts and witness statements, et cetera, and obviously, I'm grateful your Lordship's had time to read all that material. Paragraphs 13 to 16 deal with statutory interest, in particular, dealing with rule 288.7 and dealing with the judgment of Mr Justice David Richards in Waterfall IIA. I'd like to deal with that after I've dealt with the tax legislation, if I might. I think that might be the most helpful way in which I can develop it.

The tax legislation starts at paragraph 17 of our skeleton. There we set out section 874.1 and 2. I don't think I need take you to it, but it's at tab 12 of volume 1 of the authorities that I think for our purposes, we've simply reproduced it in paragraph 17, which I think ought to be sufficient for present purposes. So the section applies if a payment of yearly interest arising in the United Kingdom is made. We make the point here, and perhaps the point I make on the history, that the tax law rewrite project, which some think was a great boon and some of the rest of us think was a bit of a disaster really, losing some of that which had been built up over a long period of time, made certain changes to our terminology, being for the better understanding of -- I think the man in the street was the idea. And, therefore, the terminology "arising and accruing", which had lasted for over 200 years, from 1803, is simplified into "arising" from 2005 onwards. Which we have in 17, one can see, 874.1:
"This section applies if a payment of yearly interest arising ... "

So the old provision about deduction of tax at source, was talking about "arising or accruing". The point taken about the tax law rewrite project was that "arising" will have encompassed "arising and accruing",

|  | so therefore "arising" is a simpler term. But anyway, | 1 | that introduced the preceding year basis. If one needs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | there we have 874. I would then like to commence, | 2 | to pick it up, it's eight-odd lines down: |
| 3 | really, with historical analysis which we've set out in | 3 | "Profits or gains in the preceding year." |
| 4 | the appendix to our skeleton which we refer to in 18 and | 4 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. |
| 5 | which I've briefly touched on, because one can see the | 5 | MR GARDINER: So there one can see Addington's Act. Then |
| 6 | way in which the concept was dealt with in the | 6 | behind tab 14 is the particular provision that we say is |
| 7 | legislation over the years from that development. If | 7 | the antecedent of the provision we're concerned with, |
| 8 | one could then refer to the appendix to our skeleton. | 8 | 874, here. That's just below the middle of the page, |
| 9 | We refer at paragraphs 1 and 2 to Pitt's Act, but | 9 | the second break on that page. There one sees in Roman |
| 10 | I don't think I need take you to that at all. | 10 | numerals, section 208. Perhaps if I just read that out: |
| 11 | Paragraph 3, referring to Addington's Act and it's the | 11 | " ... and be it further enacted that upon all |
| 12 | point I made about section 208 already. We say in | 12 | annuities, yearly interest of money or other annual |
| 13 | paragraph 3: | 13 | payments, whether such payments shall be payable within |
| 14 | " ... introduced the principle of deduction of tax | 14 | or out of Great Britain, either as a charge on any |
| 15 | at source, as well as the scheduler system." | 15 | property of the person or persons paying the same, or as |
| 16 | The two most fundamental parts of our income tax | 16 | a reservation thereafter, or as a personal debt or |
| 17 | system: | 17 | obligation, by virtue of any contract, or whether the |
| 18 | "Interest on money was not one of the scheduled | 18 | same shall be received and payable half yearly or at any |
| 19 | sources of income, however ..." | 19 | shorter or more distant periods, they shall be charged |
| 20 | And here is the phrase which we reproduced but I'll | 20 | ..." |
| 21 | take you to the legislation: | 21 | So if we just pause there. It's "whether the same |
| 22 | ' ... annuities, yearly interest of money or other | 22 | shall be payable half yearly or any shorter or more |
| 23 | annual payments, whether the same shall be received and | 23 | distant periods", so it's talking about periodic |
| 24 | payable half yearly or any shorter or more distant | 24 | payments over a period, and charged in respect of yearly |
| 25 | periods were expressly charged by section 208 of the | 25 | interest. It's charging the yearly interest, regardless |
|  | Page 37 |  | Page 39 |
| 1 | 1803 Act." | 1 | of whenever they're payable. And then the statutory |
| 2 | The point we make in footnote 20, we say it is also | 2 | notice: |
| 3 | clear from the wording of the provision, it goes on to | 3 | " ... for every 20 shillings of the annual amount |
| 4 | refer to "such annual payment", that yearly interest is | 4 | thereof, the sum of one shilling without deduction, |
| 5 | regarded as a type of annual payment. That provision is | 5 | according to and under and subject to the provisions by |
| 6 | in tab 13. These provisions start in the first bundle | 6 | which the duty in schedule 1 may be charged ..." |
| 7 | of the authorities at tab 13. | 7 | And that's the preceding basis. But it then goes |
| 8 | The first page behind tab 13 just gives the | 8 | on: |
| 9 | schedule D charge. It's remarkable that we have | 9 | " ... provided that in every case where the same |
| 10 | schedule A, B, C, D and E back in 1803, that have lasted | 10 | shall be payable by any person or persons out of any |
| 11 | with us for well over 200 years. Just notice the first | 11 | profits or gains charged by virtue of this Act, no |
| 12 | line of schedule D: | 12 | assessment shall be made upon such annuity, interest or |
| 13 | " ... upon the annual profits or gains arising or | 13 | other annual payment, but the whole duty due in respect |
| 14 | accruing to any person or persons residing in Great | 14 | of such profits or gains, shall be charged without |
| 15 | Britain." | 15 | regard to such annual payments ..." |
| 16 | One sees there the term "arising or accruing". And | 16 | So pausing there, it's there referring to "such |
| 17 | then the second page, at the top of the page, and this | 17 | annual payment", and it's plainly encompassing within |
| 18 | is schedule D , the third case. I'm sure your Lordship | 18 | that terminology, yearly interest, annuities, as well as |
| 19 | will remember when you were at the bar, that we were all | 19 | annual payments. It's, therefore, plainly in its own |
| 20 | taxed on the basis of being within case 2 of schedule D , | 20 | terms, expressly encompassing yearly interest as being |
| 21 | carrying on a profession. Case 1 was trades, case 2 was | 21 | an annual payment: |
| 22 | professions, case 3 always included interest, annuities | 22 | " ... and the person so liable to make such annual |
| 23 | and other annual payments. The only point I would make | 23 | payment shall be authorised to deduct out of such annual |
| 24 | about that -- again, I don't need to take to you it, but | 24 | payment at the rate of one shilling for every |
| 25 | again, looking at a feature of our income tax regime, | 25 | 20 shillings of the amount thereof, except for the party |
|  | Page 38 |  | Page 40 |


|  | to whom the payment is to be made, shall produce |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | a certificate of exemption or abatement." |
| 3 | And that, I think for the moment, is all I need |
| 4 | read. So clearly, therefore, in the terminology, and we |
| 5 | will see all the subsequent authorities, yearly interest |
| 6 | is an annual payment. |
| 7 | The way in which that worked just makes the point |
| 8 | that the government was, as it were, putting the burden |
| 9 | on the payer of these amounts. If the payer had taxable |
| 10 | income of 100 and was then making a payment of yearly |
| 11 | interest of, say, an amount less than that 100, then the |
| 12 | payer would be taxed on his 100 of income but would not |
| 13 | be given a deduction for the yearly interest he was |
| 14 | paying out of it, but was given the ability to deduct |
| 15 | the tax on that yearly interest because he had already |
| 16 | paid tax on the income out of which he was making the |
| 17 | payment. So that's how it worked, and that's how they |
| 18 | imposed the deduction of tax at source, which avoided |
| 19 | the government, the Revenue, having to directly assess |
| 20 | the recipient of that yearly interest or annual payment. |
| 21 | As far as the terminology there, as we'll see, it's |
| 22 | picked up, that terminology, in all the cases, Bebb v |
| 23 | Bunny, Goslings, subsequently Moss' Empires, et cetera, |
| 24 | Lord Maugham, et cetera, that is a species of annual |
| 25 | payments, that is something that recurs, and part of the |
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quality of the thing is its recurrence or capacity to recur.

So that, reverting to our skeleton, is the point that we make in paragraph 4, where we refer to the deduction provision which I've just read out and I've just attempted to explain. Then perhaps more significantly, paragraph 5, we say:
"Thus at inception, the deduction of tax at source in respect of interest, only applied to yearly interest, as opposed to any other form of interest."

And we say:
"It must have been the rationale that such deduction procedures were only intended for ongoing, continuing situations of some permanence and significance, such as yearly interest and other annual payments. Non-yearly interest was not generally charged to tax."

And then paragraph 6 of our skeleton, and again, this is the 1805 Act, behind tab 15 . This is, for the first time, bringing in a charge in respect of all interest. If one looks behind tab 15, the third page, towards the end of the page, one can see the heading "The third case", that's the third case of schedule D:
"The duty to be charged in respect of profits of an uncertain annual value, not charged to schedule A."

Schedule A, your Lordship will appreciate, is income
from property. Again, you can see in the first part of that, it refers to the preceding year basis. But then the second part:
"The profits on all Exchequer bills and other securities bearing interest payable out of the public revenue and all discounts and on all interest of money not being annual interest payable, paid by any persons."

So in 1803, there is a deduction at source provision brought in in respect of yearly interest, no charge on any other form of interest. In 1805, they bring in a general charge on all interest other than yearly interest, because yearly interest is covered by the deduction at source procedure for the first time. But the point that is plain from these particular provisions is that yearly interest was intended to be something going on, as we say, accruing interest over a period in the future. That was the animal, if I may call it that, identified in 1803, without any other interest being charged. When they do introduce a charge in respect of any other interest, they don't actually produce a charge giving rise to a deduction at source, in respect of any other interest. If and insofar as that ever actually occurs, that only occurs in 1888, some 83 years later. (Pause)

So we make that point in paragraph 6, that the
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Income Tax Act 1805 substituted a new schedule D case 3, profits of uncertain annual value and the words I've just read out. Under the 1805 Act, all interest clearly chargeable, and there was clearly a distinction between yearly interest that was charged by section 192 of the 1805 Act which if paid out of profits or gains, was deductible at source, and non-yearly interest, which I've just looked at, for which no deduction of tax at source provision applies. So the deduction of provisions, therefore, as a conception, were only intended to apply to recurring yearly interest. We make the point by reference to section 192 which is behind tab 16. We make that point in our footnote 22. If I just read it there and then we look at tab 16, which is section 192 of the 1805 Act. Looking at our footnote 22, section 192:
"Where any payment shall be made from profits or gains not charged by this Act, or any interest of money shall not be reserved or charged or payable for the period of one year, the tax is charged directly, otherwise deduction at source was used."

So, again, talking about payable for a period of one year, it says there. That's the connection as far as yearly interest is concerned with a period, and we say it's a period of accruer because nobody in their right

|  | mind has interest paid day by day. You accrue interest | 1 | So, again, slightly enlarged terminology, but |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | day by day, but it's payable at certain periods. | 2 | basically to the same effect, and again, demonstrating |
| 3 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Do I have to worry about the words | 3 | that yearly interest was clearly an annual payment. |
| 4 | "not otherwise charged", which as a matter of fact | 4 | At paragraph 7 we say (Inaudible) Income Tax Act |
|  | appear in 1803 as well in the side note, or is that | 5 | 1806, that does not really assist us, we haven't |
| 6 | irrelevant? | 6 | referred to it. Then we say in paragraph 8 that income |
| 7 | MR GARDINER: No, it's the dichotomy that yearly interest | 7 | tax was abolished in 1816 but re-introduced by Sir |
| 8 | was charged by the deduction at source procedure, so | 8 | Robert Peel in 1842. Then this might be helpful. |
| 9 | that was the way in which it was charged. All other | 9 | Your Lordship may not be entirely familiar with the |
| 10 | interest is then just charged generally under | 10 | history of the Income Tax Act, but the 1842 Act was the |
| 11 | schedule D. | 11 | main Act introduced by Pitt. Then Gladstone introduced |
| 12 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I'm sorry, I've misunderstood. In | 12 | the 1853 Act. There is then a consolidation Act in |
| 13 | 1803, I thought only annual interest came into charge. | 13 | 1918, there is a further consolidation Act in 1952, and |
| 14 | MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lord, yes. | 14 | a further consolidation Act in 1970. Indeed, the final |
| 15 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So why does it say "not otherwise | 15 | consolidation Act in 1988. |
| 16 | charged? "Duty charged on all annual interest not | 16 | Then paragraph 8, the Income Tax Act 1842. |
| 17 | otherwise charged"; does it mean anything? | 17 | Section 102 at tab 18. You see 102. I'm not going to |
| 18 | MR GARDINER: It isn't otherwise charged. Yes, I'm sorry, | 18 | read this out, but would your Lordship just take it from |
| 19 | my learned friend is right, it might be for example, if | 19 | me, that those terms are identical to the terms that |
| 20 | ou have a bank that was trading in securities, that it | 20 | we've already seen. One can see it's section 102 and |
| 21 | might be otherwise charged on case one of schedule $D$, | 21 | runs down, relevantly, if one looks at the right hand |
| 22 | because it's dealing in interest | 22 | margin, to the terms "interest secured on rates." Just |
| 23 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. I see. | 23 | before that. There are various passages that we've |
|  | MR GARDINER: Yes, I'm sorry. I'm grateful to my learned | 24 | already seen. So, basically, as they reintroduce the |
| 25 | friend. | 25 | Act, they've reintroduced the provisions that were |
|  | Page 45 |  | Page 47 |
| 1 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. Okay. | 1 | there, starting with 1803 onwards that we've already |
| 2 | MR GARDINER: So if one just looks then, behind tab 16 at | 2 | seen. |
|  | section 192. So we've seen the non-yearly intere | 3 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. |
| 4 | brought into the schedule D case 3 charge. Then 192: | 4 | MR GARDINER: And then Gladstone in 1853. This is our |
| 5 | ' ... and defer(?) enacted that upon all annuities, | 5 | paragraph 9. Gladstone's Income Tax Act 1853, |
| 6 | yearly interest of money or other annual payments, | 6 | section 40. I'd better take your Lordship to that, |
| 7 | whether in Great Britain ..." | 7 | because that's the provision that the initial cases, |
| 8 | Et cetera, et cetera. | 8 | Bebb v Bunny and Goslings, et cetera, refer to. |
| 9 | And then five lines down: | 9 | Section 40: |
| 10 | "Whether the same ...(reading to the words)... | 10 | 'Where there was a deduction at source, this was to |
| 11 | shorter or more distant periods." | 11 | be determined by the rate at the time the interest |
| 12 | So it's, again, the same terminology. Then four | 12 | became due." |
| 13 | lines on, the proviso towards right hand side of the | 13 | That was the provision in the 1853 Act. The 1842 |
| 14 | page: | 14 | Act also brought: |
| 15 | "Provided that every case where the same shall be | 15 | "All interest in money, annuities ...(reading to the |
| 16 | ...(reading to the words)... on the person liable to | 16 | words)... schedule D." |
| 17 | such annual payment [and again, such annual payment] | 17 | One sees behind tab 19, the 1853 Act. The third |
| 18 | without distinguishing such annual payment on the person | 18 | page, schedule D , again it talks about annual profits or |
| 19 | so liable to make ...(reading to the words)... liable to | 19 | gains arising or accruing, and the last three lines on |
| 20 | deduction from which a deduction has been made, shall be | 20 | the page: |
| 21 | authorised to deduct out of such annual payment [and | 21 | " ... in respect of all interest of money, annuities |
| 22 | again, such annual payment] at the rate of one shilling | 22 | and other annual profits and gains not charged by virtue |
| 23 | for every 20 shillings of the amount thereof ...(reading | 23 | of any other schedules contained in this Act, to be |
| 24 | to the words)... exemption or abatement as herein before | 24 | charged for every 20 shillings in the annual amount." |
| 25 | mentioned." | 25 | Then behind tab 20 is the deduction provision, and |
|  | $\text { Page } 46$ |  | Page 48 |


|  | I had perhaps better just read the opening five or six | 1 | " ... and whereas under and by virtue of the 40th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | lines because this is the provision referred to in the | 2 | section of the Act passed on the 16th and 17th years of |
| 3 | authority. So it's section 40 of the 1853 Act: | 3 | Her Majesty's reign [so that's 1842, chapter 34], |
| 4 | "Deductions of duty on payment of rent, interest | 4 | persons liable to the payment of rent, yearly interest |
| 5 | ... every person who shall be liable to the payment of | 5 | or any annuity or other annual payment therein |
| 6 | any rent or any yearly interest of money or any annuity | 6 | mentioned, are entitled and authorised on making such |
| 7 | or other annual payment, either as a charge on any | 7 | payment, to deduct and retain thereout, the amount of |
| 8 | property or as a personal debt or obligation by virtue | 8 | the rate of income tax which shall be payable at the |
| 9 | of any contract, whether the same shall be received or | 9 | time when such payment becomes due." |
| 10 | payable half yearly or at any shorter or more distant | 10 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I'm so sorry, was it the 1853 Act that |
| 11 | periods, shall be entitled, as hereby authorised on | 11 | was being referred to? |
| 12 | making such payment, to deduct and retain thereout, the | 12 | MR GARDINER: Yes, I'm sorry, my Lord. |
| 13 | amount of the rate of duty which at the time when such | 13 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: You said 1854 but it's 1853. |
| 14 | payment becomes due, shall be payable under this Act." | 14 | MR GARDINER: Your Lordship is correct, yes, I'm sorry. |
| 15 | So very much as we've seen before, but there it is, | 15 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: And something in that caused this |
| 16 | section 40 in the 1853 Act. As one can see, "Determined | 16 | concern, and this supplements 40. |
| 17 | by the rate at the time the interest became due." | 17 | MR GARDINER: Yes. Section 40 of the 1853 Act provides for |
| 18 | Those last few words I read: | 18 | the principle of deduction at source. This is changing |
| 19 | " ... which at the time when such payment becomes | 19 | the rate at which that deduction is to be made. |
| 20 | due, shall be payable under this Act." | 20 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I see, and is that all it does? |
| 21 | Then the next provision, paragraph 10 of our annex, | 21 | MR GARDINER: That's all it does, yes. |
| 22 | is possibly the most significant of the provisions that | 22 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So right at the end, "anything in the |
| 23 | we're referring to in this, as far as analysis. Perhaps | 23 | said recited Act to the contrary notwithstanding", the |
| 24 | I can just read that. Paragraph 10 of our annex: | 24 | only thing to the contrary was the rate? |
| 25 | "Subsequently, where deduction at source applied [so | 25 | MR GARDINER: The rate, my Lord, that's absolutely correct. |
|  | Page 49 |  | Page 51 |
| 1 | the kind of provisions we've been looking at, section 40 |  | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right. |
| 2 | and the like], the determination of the rate was altered | 2 | MR GARDINER: So section 40 of the 1853 Act provides for the |
| 3 | by section 15 of the Revenue number 1 Act 1864, which | 3 | principle of deduction at source on yearly interest. It |
| 4 | specified [these are the terms] 'that the persons liable | 4 | said: you should deduct at source by reference to the |
| 5 | to and making any such payment as aforesaid, shall be | 5 | rate when the amount is due. That is substituted by the |
| 6 | entitled and are hereby authorised to deduct and retain | 6 | periods of accruer over which the interest accrues. The |
| 7 | thereout, the amount of the rate or a proportionate | 7 | rates or a combination of the rates over a period of |
| 8 | amount of the several rates of income tax which were | 8 | accruer. That's what this does. So it refers to |
| 9 | chargeable by law upon or in respect of such rent, | 9 | section 40. It then says that: |
| 10 | interest, annuity or other annual payment or the source | 10 | "The persons liable to the payment of rent, yearly |
| 11 | thereof, during the period through which the same was | 11 | interest or any annuity or other annual payment therein |
| 12 | accruing to. Anything in the said recited Act to the | 12 | mentioned, are entitled and authorised on making such |
| 13 | contrary, notwithstanding.' As a result, the tax rate | 13 | payment, to deduct and retain thereout, the amount of |
| 14 | was to be determined by a reference to averaging the tax | 14 | the rate of income tax which shall be payable at the |
| 15 | rates over the period of accruer, rather than simply | 15 | time when such payment becomes due." |
| 16 | taking the tax rate at the time the interest became | 16 | That's what section 40 had enacted. |
| 17 | due." | 17 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. |
| 18 | That provision is behind tab 21. If I just go to it | 18 | MR GARDINER: But then this is the change: |
| 19 | very briefly. It's the Revenue number 1 Act 1864. The | 19 | "Being enacted that the persons liable to and making |
| 20 | heading "Income tax levied under section 40." That's | 20 | any such payment as aforesaid, shall be entitled and are |
| 21 | the provision we see: | 21 | hereby authorised to deduct and retain thereout, the |
| 22 | " ... at the rate payable during the period when the | 22 | amount of the rate, or a proportionate amount of the |
| 23 | same was accruing." | 23 | several rates of income tax which were chargeable by law |
| 24 | So it's referring to section 40 , which is only | 24 | upon or in respect of such rent, interest ... " |
| 25 | referring to yearly interest: | 25 | And interest there it must be, when it's referring |
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| 1 | to "such", must be referring to yearly interest, so it's |
| ---: | :---: |
| 2 | referring to yearly interest: |
| 3 | " ... annuity or other annual payment or the source |
| 4 | thereof during the period through which the same was |
| 5 | accruing due, anything in the said recited Act to the |
| 6 | contrary notwithstanding." |
| 7 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I see, so maybe I have confused |
| 8 | myself. What this was doing was two things, not one. |
| 9 | One was saying "We're going to have a different rate |
| 10 | now", and because there was a different rate earlier, we |
| 11 | have to work out what, in the intervening period, was |
| 12 | the appropriate rate, and it's done according to the |
| 13 | rate in effect at the time, but it adds the words |
| 14 | "during the time it was accruing due"; is that right? |
| 15 | MR GARDINER: I think when it refers to "or a proportionate |
| 16 | amount of the several rates of income tax", let's assume |
| 17 | for a simple example, it's accrued over two years. |
| 18 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. |
| 19 | MR GARDINER: In the first year there is a rate of, say, 10 |
| 20 | per cent, in the second year a rate of 5 per cent, you |
| 21 | will end up with 7.5. |
| 22 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right. Do you say that the words |
| 23 | which you stress, "accruing due," were there sub |
| 24 | silentio before, or do you say that this was a new |
| 25 | requirement? |
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MR GARDINER: No, we say that the concept of yearly interest
was always recognising --
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Sub silentio, this was spelling out
what it meant?
MR GARDINER: Yes, this was recognising that fact and using
that fact as giving rise to the rate that you deduct
tax.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right.
MR GARDINER: So it's recognising the concept that I've
already said is in the legislation.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right.
MR GARDINER: That has been there from 1803 onwards, and
it's then using that fact which it recognises as
a criterion for yearly interest to exist. It's
recognising that fact and saying "We'll now use that
combination of rates over the period of accruer as being
the rate", and so just the rate at due.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: The important point from my point of
view, is the characteristic of accruing over the period,
is a characteristic which was always a characteristic of
annual or yearly interest, though it was not until this
date, 1864, that express words were used?
MR GARDINER: My Lord, that's why this is probably the most significant provision in the historic analysis, yes.
But as I think your Lordship, obviously, already has the

```
point, we say it was always there, sub silentio as
a quality, it's simply recognising that now.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
    I don't know when would be a good time for a break.
How are you doing, transcript writers, are you feeling
like a break now or do you want to soldier on to the end
of the history or what would you like?
THE SHORTHAND WRITER: If we could have one now, that would
be appreciated.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Is that all right?
MR GARDINER: Yes, we're actually making quite good time in
terms of the progress.
(3.05 pm)
    (A short break)
(3.12 pm)
MR GARDINER: My Lord, I'm grateful. It's been drawn to my
attention that at paragraph 9, in the third line from
the end, we refer to the 1842 Act and your Lordship has
actually already picked it up, I think. We should have
actually referred to the 1853 Act, paragraph 9 of our
appendix.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
MR GARDINER:Could we just substitute 1853 for 1842, I'm
sorry about that.
    My point is really in relation to the 1864 Act which
```
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    I think I've made, but we say that that provision presupposes that yearly interest must accrue over a period. It doesn't provide for any other basis of determining the rate, it's talking about the rates over the period of accruer. It therefore presupposes that yearly interest, because it's only concerned with yearly interest, not with any other kind of interest, accrues over a period. That provision stood until 1927, so some 63-odd years. In 1927, it was changed to the rate when the interest was due. It's interesting to note that during that 63-year period, this is referred to in paragraph 11 of our appendix, the Customs and Inland Revenue Act 1888 brought in a deduction at source provision in respect of any other interest, yearly or otherwise, not payable out of profits or gains brought into charge. So from 1888 to 1927, we have a provision concerned with yearly interest, where the rate of tax was determined by reference to the rates applicable during the periods of accruer, and the provision introduced in 1888 by section 24.3, which we'll look at in a moment, that applies to all types of interest, so yearly or otherwise, in respect of payments made out of income not already taxed, was based on the tax rate at the time of payment. So there one has, at least for that period of time, some 39-odd years, the dichotomy
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10 MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
11 MR GARDINER: One can see it's section 24.3:
"Upon payment of any interest of money or annuities charged with income tax under schedule D [so any interest of money, not any yearly interest of money] and not payable or not wholly payable out of profits or gains brought into charge to such tax, the person by or through whom such interest [any interest] or annuities shall be paid, shall deduct thereout, the rate of income tax in force at the time of such payment."
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I'm being silly about this,
Mr Gardiner. This, you say, does not replace 1864, but extends the deduction method to other forms of interest, or does it replace 1864?
MR GARDINER: No, it doesn't replace 1864, 1864 goes on in exactly those terms, by reference to the periods of

1 MR GARDINER: And applies to any kind of interest. So it could be yearly or otherwise.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
MR GARDINER: And that just points to the distinction that when the draftsman is applying for a rate to deal with that, instead of adopting the rate of accruer, which is there on the statute book and continues in the case of yearly interest, he adopts a different rate because he's recognising that yearly interest accrues over a period of time, any other type of interest may well not accrue over a period of time. That must be the rationale for the distinction.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So the absence of that expression?
MR GARDINER: Yes. So when one has, for this period of a considerable number of years, the dichotomy between yearly interest, the rates applicable to the periods of accruer, because the draftsman recognises that for all types of interest, yearly or otherwise, there may be situations where there isn't a period of accruer which is peculiar, particularly to yearly interest, therefore he can't adopt the principle of having the rates during the period of accruer, he adopts the rate as at the time of payment.

## MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.

MR GARDINER: So simply the inference being, as we would
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1
say, non-yearly interest is something which might not accrue. We say there's a lot in the history of these points and I'll be making it as I go along, but this is probably the most significant of the lot. So it presupposes that yearly interest, like other annual payments, is something that necessarily accrues, it grows over a period.

I think I can be fairly brief with the rest of the appendix. As I said earlier this afternoon, I make the point in paragraph 12 about this distinction. Paragraph 13 of our appendix, we talk about the consolidation of the rules in the Income Tax Act 1918, rule 21 and rule 19, but they're the same rules that we've seen developed so far. Then we go to the Consolidation Act in paragraph 14 in 1952, section 169 and section 170. And, again, they're the same as rules 19 and 21 of the 1918 Consolidation Act. Then there is a change in 1965, when corporation tax was introduced. It was always regarded at the tax bar as a watershed year. It was when the Wilson government came in and created capital gains tax and corporation tax at a fell swoop. Corporation tax made it necessary to make a number of changes. All one can say is that in 1969, what happens, as one can see in 15 and 16 of our appendix, they took yearly interest away from annuities

|  | and other annual payments, but as we say, that wasn't |  | applying to the two. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | intended to create any difference in meaning, as far as | 2 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: But when does it arise and when does |
| 3 | yearly interest was concerned, having regard to all the | 3 | it accrue, I suppose? |
| 4 | case authority hitherto. And there's no suggestion | 4 | MR GARDINER: Well, we say the statutory interest in this |
| 5 | whatsoever that they were attempting to do so, it was | 5 | case arises and doesn't accrue. |
| 6 | simply to make a difference in the people to whom the | 6 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right. |
| 7 | deduction obligation should apply. | 7 | MR GARDINER: Otherwise interest which is intended to be the |
| 8 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: You mentioned 1927. Do I have to | 8 | type of ongoing interest, yearly interest, always |
| 9 | worry about $1927 ?$ | 9 | accrues. |
| 10 | MR GARDINER: No, the only difference in 1927, my Lord, is | 10 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: And is it common ground between you |
| 11 | just simply that the period of accruer as being the | 11 | that the fact that "accruer" is no longer used, doesn't |
| 12 | rate, was changed to the period due. We suspect it was | 12 | make any difference, it was simply intended to |
| 13 | because of the impending enactment of surtax, but it's | 13 | clarify -- introducing want of clarity at the same time, |
| 14 | a factor that one just recognises as the change but not | 14 | as it were? |
| 15 | of any great significance. The great significance in my | 15 | MR GARDINER: Well I hope that's common ground, it ought to |
| 16 | respectful submission, is the dichotomy in the drafting | 16 | be common ground. I don't know whether it is. We would |
| 17 | during that particular period of time, the recognition | 17 | say it follows from the remit of the tax law |
| 18 | of the quality of yearly interest as being in respect of | 18 | simplification project. They weren't intending to |
| 19 | a period of accruer. | 19 | actually produce a change in the law. |
| 20 | And then that takes us up -- we end up in | 20 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: That's a central point, in which case, |
| 21 | paragraph 17 of our appendix. Perhaps just to notice at | 21 | Mr Goy, that's common ground, is it? I don't have to |
| 22 | the end of paragraph 16, the 1969 Act, the direct | 22 | worry about it. When I see "arising", I can take it to |
| 23 | terminology as section 874 , the last four lines of | 23 | mean, if one is assisted by that sort of thing, |
| 24 | paragraph 16: | 24 | "accruing or arising"; is that right? |
| 25 | "Sections 26.1 and 4 of the Finance Act 1969 gave | 25 | MR GOY: Can I come back to that, if you don't mind? |
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| 1 | an obligation to deduct at source on account of the |  | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Okay. |
| 2 | Revenue in the case of yearly interest paid by | 2 | MR GARDINER: Anyway, that's what we say as far as the |
| 3 | companies, as well as certain other categories of payers | 3 | historical analysis. |
| 4 | ...(reading to the words)... someone whose usual place | 4 | If I might, then, I might go on to the case law in |
| 5 | of abode is outside the UK." | 5 | respect of the yearly interest which we deal with in |
| 6 | And that is what we have now in section 874. But | 6 | paragraphs 20 to 36 of our skeleton. We set out at, |
| 7 | the critical terminology, in our respectful submission, | 7 | I think, paragraph 17, section 874 already, and we |
| 8 | is the terminology "yearly interest". In our respectful | 8 | referred to the history in 18 and made a point by |
| 9 | submission, the historical analysis does actually help | 9 | reference to the history in 19. It's really |
| 10 | to understand what yearly interest has meant for 200-odd | 10 | paragraph 20 onwards, where we talk about the nature of |
| 11 | years and what it still means today, in the light of the | 11 | yearly interest. We make the point in paragraph 20 that |
| 12 | authority and the legislation, and the legislation that | 12 | deduction of tax must also only be applied to yearly |
| 13 | I've already referred to. It's been a well known | 13 | annual interest. Then the first reported case which |
| 14 | concept in tax legislation for over 200 years. As we | 14 | seems to be referred to in virtually most, at least, of |
| 15 | say, there is nothing in the present legislation to | 15 | the subsequent cases, is the case of Bebb v Bunny. |
| 16 | suggest that Parliament intended to change the meaning | 16 | I would like to go to it in a moment. It was decided in |
| 17 | of yearly interest. As far as "arising and accruing", | 17 | 1854, and it's at tab 30. That's the second volume of |
| 18 | the tax law simplification project was simply to try and | 18 | the authorities, the case law volume. If one looks just |
| 19 | modernise some of the language. It wasn't intended to | 19 | at the headnote: |
| 20 | make a substantive change. | 20 | "A purchaser liable to pay interest on his purchase |
| 21 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: What was the reason for using two | 21 | money, may deduct income tax from such interest. It was |
| 22 | words originally, "arising or accruing"? | 22 | the practise to deduct the tax from the interest on |
| 23 | MR GARDINER: I suspect that it was they recognised that | 23 | debts upon promissory notes and the like, in the offices |
| 24 | some interest might just arise whilst other interest | 24 | of the masters and chancellor. The tax is not deducted |
| 25 | accrued, and they wanted to make sure that they were | 25 | on payment of purchase money into court but the |
|  | Page 62 |  | Page 64 |

purchaser, it seems, may apply to have it deducted when
the purchase money is paid out of court."
But then one notices here, what the headnote writer, at least, is picking up as part of the ratio of the case:
"The words 'yearly interest' in section 40 mean not only interest accruing de anno in annum, but any interest at a fixed rate per cent per annum, though accruing de die in diem."

We say the decision of the vice chancellor in this particular case, if I can put it this way, I don't want to put it too high, is really definitional as to the concept of yearly interest, and within that definition, we say, he recognises the significance of de die in diem, which of course, is accruing day by day. And as we'll see in my learned friend's skeleton, of course they don't recognise that as part of the definition. This is Sir William Page Wood, later the Lord Chancellor, Lord Hatherle1y, and at page 437, at the top of the page, he says:
"Most mortgage deeds contain only a covenant to pay the principal with interest at a certain rate per annum ...(reading to the words)... After that, it accrues de die in diem and the interest without any particular reservation, ordinarily is received half yearly, from

And then towards the end of that paragraph, four or five lines from the end, towards the right hand side of the page:
"I consider the Act very singularly worded, the yearly interest being used, apparently, in the same sense as annual payment. But I am clearly of the opinion that it means at least all interest at a yearly rate and which may have to be paid de anno in annum, such as interest on purchase money, as well as mortgage interest, and that, therefore, the purchaser is entitled to deduct the tax in this way."
So he's looking at section 40, he's looking at yearly interest payable out of profits and gains brought into charge and he's looking at it on the basis that yearly interest is payable year by year or capable of being year by year. But significantly, and this is part of his definitional approach, as we say, he's looking at something accruing over a period. Something of some permanence or capable of some permanence of continuity, therefore a deduction at source regime applies, for the Revenue and the parties, an ongoing type of thing. That's the passages in particular, apart from the headnote and the first passage, that we set out in paragraph 22 of our skeleton. We say that first paragraph there is definitional and it includes the
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year to year. It is difficult to see the distinction
between interest so reserved and paid and that which by special agreement [and perhaps he's putting this on a particular basis by those words] accrues on purchase money which also goes on from day to day and may run on for a year or stop at any time on payment of the purchase money which in some shape or other forms a lien on the property."

So it seems to us that he's assimilating that situation with the position of a mortgage, ie an instrument that gives rise to interest accruing from day to day, de die in diem. Then down the page, after the next main paragraph, the paragraph beginning "The whole difficulty", and that is what we say is the definitional part of his judgment:
"The whole difficulty is in the expression; yearly interest of money' but I think it is susceptible of this view that it is interest reserved at a given rate per cent per annum, or at least in the construction of this Act, I must hold that any interest which may be or becomes payable de anno in annum, though accruing de die in diem is within the 40th section. I cannot make any solid distinction between interest on mortgage money and interest on purchase money."

Section 40 being of the 1853 Act that we've seen.

1

| 1 | In a sense, if my learned friend's argument were |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | right, they should have said "This is seven days, |
| 3 | therefore it's a calculation period of less than a year, |
| 4 | therefore it can't constitute yearly interest." But |
| 5 | they don't say that. So in the Court of Appeal, |
| 6 | Goslings and Sharpe, bankers, Lord Esher, the Master of |
| 7 | the Rolls, at page 326, in the first paragraph of his |
| 8 | judgment, he says: |
| 9 | "The point which we have to decide in this case is |
| 10 | as follows: where a banker or any other man lends money |
| 11 | for a specified time, less than a year, to be re-paid at |
| 12 | that fixed time, with the interest that has become due |
| 13 | up to that time, whether in such a case, the banker or |
| 14 | lender is bound to allow the borrower to deduct income |
| 15 | tax for the interest which he has to pay." |
| 16 | Now it is that case and that only, which was the |
| 17 | subject matter of the judgment of the Queen's Bench |
| 18 | Division and no other case is presented to us as |
| 19 | a matter for decision: |
| 20 | "The question then is whether such a case is within |
| 21 | the terms of the 40th section of the Act of 1853." |
| 22 | And then page 327, he refers to the language, and |
| 23 | then about five lines down the page, the sentence |
| 24 | beginning "Dealing with this." Having referred to the |
| 25 | language of the 40th section, he said: |
|  | Page 69 |
| 1 | "Dealing with this, according to ordinary English |
| 2 | idiomatic language." |
| 3 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: This is the eiusdem generis point? |
| 4 | MR GARDINER: Yes, it's the annual payment point, my Lord, |
| 5 | yes. So it's a species of annual payment, that's what |
| 6 | he says. In consequence of that, he says two or three |
| 7 | lines further on: |
| 8 | "Any yearly interest or money surely cannot be |
| 9 | interest for less than a year in ordinary English." |
| 10 | Then over the page, 328 , you'll see just below the |
| 11 | middle of the page, he refers to Bebb v Bunny and the |
| 12 | decision of Sir William Page Wood, and I think he says |
| 13 | that that case was well decided, but there's nothing |
| 14 | more that I would like to draw attention to in his |
| 15 | judgment. Lord Justice Lindley at page 330, says: |
| 16 | "I am of the same opinion. The question is one of |
| 17 | considerable difficulty, turning upon the meaning of the |
| 18 | expression 'annual interest'." |
| 19 | So he's accepting the fact that yearly interest and |
| 20 | annual interest are interchangeable. Because it |
| 21 | wouldn't have been a case of any difficulty at all, if |
| 22 | it was just the period of calculation, because the |
| 23 | period of calculation was only seven days. He said: |
| 24 | "The difficulty is not lessened by the circumstances |
| 25 | that most mortgages are loans for six months. The |
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ordinary ...(reading to the words)... to repay the loan in six months and if not then paid, a covenant to pay interest until the loan is repaid ...(reading to the words)... mortgages is intended to go on."

Is what he's saying. And then subsequently in the page --
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So this was a then quirk, was it, that
mortgages were in practice or often, repayable,
according to their terms, within six months, but not
expected to be repayable in the event, for over a much longer period; is that right?
MR GARDINER: My Lord, that is plainly the case from the case of Bebb v Bunny, Sir William Page Wood, and likewise, Lord Justice Lindley is recognising that at that time, things obviously change, as we can see later.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: But he says this is a different case, it's a short banking loan.
MR GARDINER: Yes. And it's again this dichotomy between something of the nature of investment intending to produce income over a period of time that recurs, et cetera, and here is just simply a short accommodation by a banker. He makes that distinction, I think, just above the middle of the page, when he says:
"In point of business, therefore, a mortgage is not
a short loan but a banker's loan at three months is
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```
a totally different thing."
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD:Right, and then Lord Justice Bowen
emphasises the narrow scope?
MR GARDINER: Yes, my Lord. That's the earlier part of
Lord Justice Bowen:
"We're dealing with this case with short loans only,
that is to say, with loans ...(reading to the words)...
and are not continued for a long period. It seems to
me, not yearly interest at all."
```

MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: "Is not calculated on the year nor on
the supposition that the loans would last for a year,
therefore it is not yearly interest."

MR GARDINER: Yes. It may be a small point on that particular case, but I do make the point that if it were simply the period of calculation, that would have been the most instantly easy way to resolve it, but that is not the way in which they resolve it, they look to it on the basis of a qualitative criterion that yearly interest must possess.

That's why we say on the basis of those two cases, just reverting to our skeleton, paragraph 24 and then 25 , just looking at those two cases, we say:
" ... that interest for a year is necessarily considered the period over which it is envisaged that the interest will accrue ...(reading to the words)...
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|  | matter how the interest rate is expressed." | 1 | shall pay the judgment debt in accordance with the terms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 25 , which is why we put it in bold type, we say: | 2 | of the judgement. To pay in accordance with the terms |
| 3 | "It follows from the above that it is a prequisite | 3 | of the judgment is to pay the amount for which judgment |
| 4 | that yearly interest must be capable of accruing and | 4 | has been recovered, with the legal interest attached to |
| 5 | must accrue for some period and repayable from year to | 5 | it by the statute. Assuming that payment by the debtor |
| 6 | year whilst it accrues." | 6 | of the debt, less the income tax, would be payment, yet |
| 7 | That's going back to the propositions I gave | 7 | that a notice requiring him to pay the whole sum was |
| 8 | earlier, the same proposition as the first two. We say: | 8 | nonetheless right and that the notice was valid on this |
| 9 | "This is the key point and to avoid any | 9 | ground also." |
| 10 | misunderstanding, this is the key point to this case | 10 | So on the headnote there is an alternative or |
| 11 | ..." | 11 | an additional basis upon which they can decide the case. |
| 12 | We're not there referring to Bebb v Bunny or | 12 | We're concerned, in our respectful submission, with the |
| 13 | Goslings, we're referring to this case: | 13 | first basis, which is very much in the judgment of the |
| 14 | " ... establishing that statutory interest cannot be | 14 | Master of the Rolls. |
| 15 | yearly interest." | 15 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I mean is Cooper -- it prima facie |
| 16 | And then paragraph 26, we make our point that I've | 16 | looks very much on point, but when you look at it, is it |
| 17 | already made by reference to the history of the 1864 | 17 | really? Because one interpretation of Cooper is that |
| 18 | Act, section 15, the period of accruer being determinant | 18 | all they were looking at was the validity of the notice, |
| 19 | of the rate. That's the point that we make at | 19 | and the notice said "You have to pay up the principal |
| 20 | paragraph 26. I've made all my submissions on that and | 20 | and the statutory sum", and the issue as to whether the |
| 21 | won't repeat them. It's just a summary, in a sense, of | 21 | payer could deduct didn't really arise, it was only |
| 22 | them, in paragraph 26. I won't even read that out. | 22 | a question of the validity of the notice. |
| 23 | 27. Again, this is another decision of the Court of | 23 | MR GARDINER: Yes. My Lord, in that respect it's rather |
| 24 | Appeal in 1911. In particular, the judgment of Lord | 24 | like Jefford v Gee, neither of those cases, actually, is |
| 25 | Cozens-Hardy, the Master of the Rolls then. This is | 25 | particularly helpful at the end of the day. |
|  | Page 73 |  | Page 75 |
| 1 | behind tab 35. This is a case of interest on a judgment | 1 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Lord Denning in Jefford v Gee says |
| 2 | debt. It's the case of Cooper. If one just notices the | 2 | "I'm not going to get into that", and I don't think the |
| 3 | headnote: | 3 | court should get into that. |
| 4 | "A bankruptcy note is required payment of a certain | 4 | MR GARDINER: And that, in a sense, is what |
| 5 | sum claimed as being the amount due on a final judgment. | 5 | Lord Justice Buckley is saying here. He says "If the |
| 6 | In the margin of the notice, particulars of the amount | 6 | notice specifies the gross amount of interest, then you |
| 7 | claimed were given, namely debt [so much], interest at | 7 | leave it to the payer as to whether he has an obligation |
| 8 | 4 per cent to date [so much]. Objection was taken that | 8 | to deduct or not." |
| 9 | this notice was bared, as it claimed interest in full | 9 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Lord Justice Buckley says that. Do |
| 10 | without allowing for income tax which could or ought to | 10 | the rest say that? |
| 11 | have been deducted by the debtor. Held that a judgment | 11 | MR GARDINER: Well Lord Justice Buckley says that, and then |
| 12 | debt, though by law, carrying interest from the date of | 12 | if you actually -- the Master of the Rolls doesn't |
| 13 | the judgment, was not a transaction to which the | 13 | because he gives his judgment first and then |
| 14 | language of the income tax acts relating to yearly | 14 | Lord Justice Buckley comes along later. Then |
| 15 | interest of money applied, and that the notice was valid | 15 | Lord Justice Kennedy says "I am of the same opinion", so |
| 16 | and the receiving order based upon it might be valid." | 16 | I rather assume they must be agreeing with what |
| 17 | So, again, looking at the headnote and what is | 17 | Lord Justice Buckley has just said. Then he says: |
| 18 | discerned to be the ratio, it's not looking at the | 18 | "My own view is that this is not a deduction that |
| 19 | period, it's looking at the nature of the interest | 19 | could be made in respect of income tax as claimed by the |
| 20 | flowing from a judgment debt, and it's saying that is | 20 | appellant here." |
| 21 | not the language of the Income Tax Acts relating to | 21 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So he does tread where Lord Justice |
| 22 | yearly interest which encompasses such interest. Then | 22 | Buckley and, later, Lord Denning, don't like to tread? |
| 23 | it goes on: | 23 | MR GARDINER: Yes, and he agrees with the Master of the |
| 24 | "Held also by Lord Justice Butler that what the | 24 | Rolls, because the Master of the Rolls has already said |
| 25 | bankruptcy notice has to require is that the debtor | 25 | it's not yearly interest. |
|  | Page 74 |  | Page 76 |

```
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD:Right, I see.So you do pray in aid
    two judgments, but you can't pray in aid
    Lord Justice Buckley, who deals with it only on the
    notice point?
MR GARDINER: Save only this, my Lord, that his opening
    sentence says:
        "Not differing from anything that the Master of the
    Rolls has said."
    MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right.
    MR GARDINER: So putting it at its lowest.
    MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I see, so he's not saying he
        disagrees.
    MR GARDINER: That's right.
    MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right. But he decides to do it on the
    short point?
    MR GARDINER:That's right, my Lord.
    MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I see.
    MR GARDINER: So, in effect, what we have here is the Master
    of the Rolls saying "It's not yearly interest", for the
    reasons -- we will just look at them very briefly in
    a moment perhaps. Lord Justice Buckley says "I'm not
    dissenting from that but there is this alternative
    basis", and then Mr Justice Kennedy has said "I agree,
    but I also agree with the Master of the Rolls", in
    effect.
```
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    MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: The Master of the Rolls does say "We
must answer that question in the negative." Yes, I see.
MR GARDINER: Yes, just picking up what the Master of the
Rolls says at page 553 and 554, just very briefly. The
first break on that page, he says:
"When we get back into the maze of the Income Tax
Acts [it was a maze then, it's even worse nowadays], it
seems really to depend upon whether this sum can be
properly called yearly interest. In my opinion it
cannot ... "
Two lines further on.
And then you'll see he then says:
"The words 'yearly interest' are satisfied, although
the interest be not payable yearly, but be payable
quarterly or ...(Reading to the words)... it being
really in the nature of an investment."
So that's what he is looking at, and then at the
last break on the page -- well he refers to Goslings and
Sharpe v Blake, and then he says:
"Now, in the present case, I ask myself is it
possible to suppose this was a transaction in which
anybody contemplated or intended anything permanent? It
is quite impossible so to regard it."
And then over the page, at the top the page, the
first line, Having referred to the full amount,
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MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: The Master of the Rolls does say "We must answer that question in the negative." Yes, I see.
MR GARDINER: Yes, just picking up what the Master of the
Rolls says at page 553 and 554, just very briefly. The first break on that page, he says:
"When we get back into the maze of the Income Tax
Acts [it was a maze then, it's even worse nowadays], it
seems really to depend upon whether this sum can be properly called yearly interest. In my opinion it cannot ... "
Two lines further on.
And then you'll see he then says:
"The words 'yearly interest' are satisfied, although
the interest be not payable yearly, but be payable
quarterly or ...(Reading to the words)... it being
really in the nature of an investment."
So that's what he is looking at, and then at the last break on the page -- well he refers to Goslings and Sharpe v Blake, and then he says:
"Now, in the present case, I ask myself is it
possible to suppose this was a transaction in which
anybody contemplated or intended anything permanent? It
is quite impossible so to regard it."
And then over the page, at the top the page, the
first line, Having referred to the full amount,
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of less than a year, ergo that's the result." None of them are saying that. We pick up that little quote in our paragraph 27, which I hope does encapsulate what the Master of the Rolls said.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So I suppose if you have a judgment debt in those days, and you have judgment rate interest, and the matter went to appeal more than a year later, on the Revenue's argument, that would be yearly interest.
MR GARDINER: Yes. That's right, my Lord, yes. On their argument.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. Mr Goy indicates no, so I just put that out as an Aunt Sally for him to explain.
MR GARDINER: This case is not decided on that basis, that's
the point I made earlier, that periods that are running over a year, it's not decided on that basis, but for periods that are less than a year, they could have all been decided on the basis of it's a period of less than a year, therefore not yearly interest. They weren't decided on that basis, they were decided on the nature of the transaction giving rise to the type of the interest we're talking about, the accrual of interest and all the rest of it that I've said.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I mean, the investment quality is subsequently not approved, I can't remember whether it was by Lord Donaldson or who it was by.
> including the interest in question, he says:
> "That again seems to me to be clearly beyond argument. I fail to see what ground there can be for saying with regard to a transaction of this kind, where in truth there was not even an agreement for a short loan [so he says not even an agreement for a short loan, therefore it must follow there wasn't even an agreement for a longer loan], where there was merely a judgment debt [merely a judgment debt], which it is true by law carries interest for this seven days in question, that that is a transaction to which the language of the income tax acts about yearly interest can fairly be applied. In my opinion, we must answer that question in the negative."

> So he recognises it's only carrying it for seven days, but he's not saying that that is the determinant, it's not the calculation period that is the determinant, it's the nature of the transaction. (Pause)
> MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, because judgment rate interest says nothing about the length during which the amount is to be outstanding.
> MR GARDINER: No. I mean as we say all these cases, when you actually come to analyse them, none of the judges are proceeding on the simplistic basis of the argument on the other side of just saying, "This is for a period

1 MR GARDINER: It's Sir John Donaldson in Cairns v
MacDiarmid, yes, a brief off the cuff remark, I'll come to look at it in a minute if I might, but I don't think with respect, of course, he was looking at all these old authorities and the nature of it in that particular case, but I'll come to deal with it if I might when I look at Cairns v MacDiarmid.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
MR GARDINER: But that's Cooper. The Gateshead case in
10 paragraph 28, as I said earlier this afternoon in
11 opening, we say now, in the light of my learned friend's
12 skeleton, is probably the most significant case of the
13 lot. I mean, Bebb v Bunny we started with as a really
14 significant authority, the first in definitional terms 15 of recognising what yearly interest was about, and 16 follows on with Goslings and Cooper et cetera and the 17 like. Gateshead Corporation v Lumsden, we just don't 18 see how the Revenue's argument gets over this authority.
19 It's at tab 36. It's set out in paragraph 28, and we
20 give a quote from it there, but in the light of its
21 significance, if I could just spend a little more time
22 on it. Tab 36, the headnote on the first page:
"The plaintiffs, as the urban authority of a borough, had, under section 150 of the Public Health Act 1875 and the Gateshead Improvement Act 1867, some

## Page 81

1 years before action brought paved and made up certain 2 streets and have from time to time apportioned the 3 expenses thereof among the owners of the premises 4 fronting thereon. The defendant was the owner of 5 premises in these streets and the plaintiffs [that's 6 Gateshead, under the power conferred upon them by 7 section 32 of the Gateshead Improvement Act 1867, 8 allowed him time for the repayment of the sums 9 apportioned in respect of his premises, interest being 10 payable thereon at the rate of 5 per cent per annum. 11 The defendant paid to the plaintiffs varying sums at 12 irregular intervals in part payment of the amount due, 13 which the plaintiffs credited in the first place to the 14 interest due and in the second place towards payment of 15 the principal. There was no evidence to show that the 16 plaintiffs made a regular practice of allowing these 17 expenses to remain unpaid bearing interest as a mode of 18

1
2 MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: So Mr Justice Rowlatt was upheld.
MR GARDINER: Yes, and I think as I said in opening, we think there is a very material analogy with the case here. If one just looks at the headnote, the Gateshead Corporation weren't in a position of lending money on this basis as an investment or whatever, and if one looks at it by reference to the situation here, Lumsden is in the position of LBIE, as a debtor. It should have paid off these debts, but it didn't. Now apparently there was no intention by Gateshead to make a loan. In our case there's no intention of LBIE's creditors to make a loan, or a loan giving rise to the circumstances in relation to interest that has arisen. So likewise with the creditors here, no intention that they should be lending to LBIE for the kind of term in respect of the interest that has arisen. If I then just look at the facts over the page at 884, at the top of the page:
"Some years before the commencement of the action, the plaintiffs, who were the urban sanitary authority for the Borough of Gateshead, in the exercise of their powers under section 150 of the Public Health Act 1875 and under the Gateshead Improvement Act 1867, from time to time paved and made up various streets in the borough which the owners ...(Reading to the words)... had failed
investing their funds. The defendant claimed, upon paying off the final amount due for principal and interest, to be entitled to deduct the income tax upon the amount due for interest as being yearly interest of money within section 40 of the Income Tax Act 1853. Held that the interest did not come been the words 'yearly interest of money' in section 40, and that therefore the defendant was not entitled to deduct the
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|  | deduct income tax from such interest. The ground of the | 1 | judgment: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | decision in that case [that's referring to Goslings v | 2 | "The sole point is whether upon payment of the |
| 3 | Blake] was that the loan was for a specified time less | 3 | agreed balance of ...(Reading to the words)... He has to |
| 4 | than a year [referring to Lord Justice Bowen, and again | 4 | show that he is authorised to make the deduction and |
|  | it's a passage referred to by my learned friends in | 5 | that involves showing that he is paying yearly interest |
| 6 | their skeleton]. We are dealing in this case with short | 6 | of money within the meaning of section 40 of the 1853 |
| 7 | loans only, that is to say ...(Reading to the words).. | 7 | Act. Although the local authority have certain powers |
| 8 | not intended to be continued and are not continued for | 8 | under section 33 of the local Act to take possession of |
| 9 | a long period. In order to take the case out of the | 9 | the premises, which, had they exercised them, might |
| 10 | provisions of section 40 , there must be a stipulation | 10 | perhaps have made their position somewhat analogous to |
| 11 | that the loan shall not be for more than a year and the | 11 | that of a mortgagee ...(Reading to the words)... It was |
| 12 | person who relies upon that stipulation must prove it. | 12 | apparently advantageous for the corporation." |
| 13 | The money here has remained unpaid for years [for | 13 | But then: |
| 14 | years], the interest being calculated with reference to | 14 | "It is said that the payment of interest in this |
| 15 | a year and therefore section 40 applies." | 15 | case is a payment of yearly interest of money because it |
| 16 | That is, with respect, the argument of HMRC in this | 16 | does not show that there was any agreement setting |
| 17 | case. That's the argument put forward on behalf of | 17 | a short term of less than a year to the loan which in |
| 18 | Lumsden in that case. | 18 | fact the defendant was enjoying. The contention that is |
| 19 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: It goes on: | 19 | in all cases, except where such a period is fixed by |
| 20 | "Where an annual sum is payable and nothing is said | 20 | agreement between the parties, there is a payment of |
| 21 | about income tax, income tax is deductible." | 21 | yearly interest of money within the meaning of |
| 22 | MR GARDINER: That's right, my Lord, you can see it again, | 22 | section 40 if the money remains for more than a year |
| 23 | and it's again a similar authority as relied on in this | 23 | outstanding and interest bearing." |
| 24 | case, if you noticed just past the middle page of the | 24 | That, with respect, is as close as it comes to the |
| 25 | page of that argument when they refer to In re Barrie's | 25 | arguments of HMRC in this case. Then he says the facts |
|  | Page 85 |  | Page 87 |
| 1 | Trusts, it then says: | 1 | are of the most meagre description, and just below the |
| 2 | "In re Craven's Mortgage [and again it's a case | 2 | middle of the page, there's a passage in the middle of |
| 3 | relied on by my learned friends and I'm not going to go | 3 | the page, a sentence beginning: |
| 4 | to it] shows that in the case of a loan which may run on | 4 | "If that case was made below, which I doubt, it was |
| 5 | beyond a year and on which the interest is calculable by | 5 | not proved and upon the materials before us I cannot |
| 6 | the year, income tax can be deducted from the interest." | 6 | infer a transaction of that effect." |
| 7 | So it's the same argument. It then refers to Bebb v | 7 | That is an intended investment type transaction. He |
| 8 | Bunny, and towards the end of that particular page, | 8 | says: |
| 9 | towards the penultimate line: | 9 | "All that we have is the fact that there was a debt |
| 10 | "Here the plaintiffs consented to the money | 10 | presently due incurred on account of the expenses, and, |
| 11 | remaining unpaid and to its bearing interest at the rate | 11 | if the Local Authority had chosen to enforce it, |
| 12 | of 5 per cent per annum and it remained outstanding for | 12 | presently payable, which debt the Local Authority under |
| 13 | many years." | 13 | the powers of section 32 of the local Act did not |
| 14 | We don't know, it could have been 30 years, but | 14 | immediately enforce and have not enforced for |
| 15 | I mean many years must be a number of years. | 15 | a substantial period of time." |
| 16 | "Though they could have called it in at any time. | 16 | Here of course the position of the creditors is that |
| 17 | The course ...(Reading to the words)... therefore | 17 | they couldn't enforce because of the administration, not |
| 18 | entitled to deduct income tax." | 18 | because they wanted to make a long term loan, and his |
| 19 | And then one can see: | 19 | analysis of the case is that the Local Authority weren't |
| 20 | "Danckwerts' case ...(Reading to the words)... the | 20 | intending to make a long term loan, they were just |
| 21 | plaintiffs were not called upon." | 21 | leaving the position in abeyance with the creditor, |
| 22 | That Danckwerts is the father of | 22 | allowing him to go on in that way. That's what he says |
| 23 | Lord Justice Danckwerts, that was Count Otto Danckwerts. | 23 | towards the end of that page, the penultimate sentence: |
| 24 | And then Lord Sumner, and it's his judgment that we | 24 | "All that the Local Authority did in this case was |
| 25 | respectfully very much rely on, the first break in his | 25 | to give time and to receive payment by instalments, the |
|  | Page 86 |  | Page 88 |


|  | amount of which was apparently left to the defendant, |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | with interest at the rate ...(Reading to the words)... |
| 3 | same rule must apply to the analogous case of interest |
| 4 | on purchased money." |
| 5 | And then we quote from Bebb v Bunny which we've |
| 6 | seen. And then there's passageway which my learned |
| 7 | friends refer to in their skeleton, so I had better read |
| 8 | it. After the reference to Lord Justice Bowen in |
| 9 | Goslings v Blake, my learned friends pick up the passage |
| 10 | beginning "whether or not": |
| 11 | "Whether or not the present case could have been |
| 12 | brought into line with the mortgage cases if it had been |
| 13 | shown by the evidence that the ...(Reading to the |
| 14 | words)... it is unnecessary to consider. It is |
| 15 | sufficient for the purposes of this case to say that no |
| 16 | such facts are shown here." |
| 17 | With respect, we find it quite difficult to see what |
| 18 | on earth in favour of their argument the Revenue |
| 19 | actually get out of that, because it seems to us wholly |
| 20 | against them. It is plainly contemplating a distinction |
| 21 | between a case where somebody intends to make |
| 22 | an investment giving rise to income accruing from time |
| 23 | to time and this situation, where fortuitously or |
| 24 | unfortuitously a situation has arisen and which is not |
| 25 | intended to have arisen, and that, it seems to us, is |
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1 entirely consistent with all the other authorities, and
entirely against the Revenue's argument in this case.

And then towards the end of that page, four or five lines up from the end, again a significant passage in his judgment, he says:
"I do not say that the present case is concluded by the conclusion in re Cooper, although I think it would be difficult to distinguish it."

If I just pause there, Cooper, you will remember, was the judgment debt case of seven days. He's saying this case, the interest being outstanding, the indebtedness being outstanding for years, he's saying it's covered by Cooper. So again, if the argument of the Revenue were right, it couldn't possibly be covered by Cooper, because Cooper was a seven day period, this is an umpteen years period. He's saying the principle in Cooper covers it. Then he goes on by saying:
"But applying the principle underlying that decision, I am unable to see how the words 'yearly interest' can apply to this transaction. There is no agreement for a short loan or a long loan. The debt is due and repayment is not enforced. Only in that sense is there a loan."

Those words, we would say, are particularly applicable here.
"Truly speaking, there is simply a forbearance ... "
Here there's something more than a forbearance by force of the administration. Debts are not recoverable unless and until the administration determines them to be recoverable.
"Truly speaking, there is simply a forbearance to put in suit the remedy for a debt."

Again, directly referable here.
"The repayment might have been enforced at any moment [no doubt the creditors would have loved to have enforced it]. The debt might have been paid by the debtor at any moment. It carried interest by law [in that particular case, not so here] because under section 32 ...(Reading to the words)... could and did attach a rate of interest to it. The fact that the rate of interest is calculable at an annual figure is, as was pointed out in Goslings v Blake, immaterial. The debt here was well secured and the creditor, unlike the creditor in re Cooper, did not desire immediately to enforce payment of it. The plaintiffs were no doubt to receive interest on it, but not in such a form as would apply to it the words 'any yearly interest of money' in section 40 of the Income Tax Act 1853. I am therefore of the opinion that the burden falls on the defendant to show he has a right to make the deduction. It has not
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been discharged and he fails in this appeal."
As I said earlier, that, we say, is this whole line of authority, but that is the most obvious case, that having regard to the factual situation arising that is most relevant to our circumstance, the argument put up on behalf of Mr Lumsden is, with respect, the like argument at the very least to the argument that we've seen in the Revenue's letters and in my learned friend's skeleton in this case, and it is rejected for those reasons, and for reasons in circumstances which are in our respectful submission directly applicable to the instant case.

So that's Gateshead v Lumsden, and we've quoted the most relevant parts of it, not the part my learned friend has relied on, but as I said, as far as that passage is concerned, it seems to us that passage is against his argument anyway. So those are the two passages set out in paragraph 28 of our skeleton.

Then Mr Justice Rowlatt in Garston Overseers v Carlisle, very much the same effect in paragraph 29 in our skeleton. We pick up the quotation which we put there:
"'Yearly' interest means substantially interest irrespective of the precise time in which it is collected, interest on sums which are outstanding by way
1 of investment as opposed to short loans, or as opposed
to monies presently payable and held over or anything of that kind."

We do draw attention to what Mr Justice Rowlatt is saying there, and it's interesting with
Mr Justice Rowlatt that quite often, if you read his judgments in the tax cases, it's quite often different from the judgment that he approves in the law reports, but this is a reference to the judgment of the law reports, so it's approved by him and its terminology, and its terminology is very precise. So's contrasting yearly interest on the one hand, which he says:
"Irrespective of the precise time which it is collected, interest on sums which are outstanding by way of investment."
As opposed to the other types of loans, so it's short loans, but it's not just short loan loans. He says:
"Short loans, or as opposed to monies presently payable and held over or anything of that kind."

And we're within that latter description of this. Garston is at tab 37. The headnote is actually very short. One can see what it's about:
"The overseers of the poor for a certain district kept a current account with a bank into which they paid
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the amounts collected by them as poor rate and out of which from time to time they made the payments for which they were liable. Under a longstanding arrangement with the bank, interest was allowed by the bank half yearly at an agreed rate [times, I'm afraid, have changed nowadays. But anyway] without deduction of income tax calculated upon the daily balances standing to the credit of the overseers. The overseers, contending that they were trustees for charitable purposes only within section 105 of the Income Tax Act 1842 [that's a charitable exemption], claimed an exemption from income tax in respect of the interest on the ground that it was yearly interest within that section ...(Reading to the words)... was not yearly interest within section 105."

You can see down the page, this was interest paid over a whole number of years, 1910, 1911, 1912, 1913, 1914, et cetera, but despite that fact, it was held not to constitute yearly interest. And the particular passage, I don't really want to draw a great deal of attention to it, is page 386.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, you've set that out and I've read that. I mean, I think Mr Justice Rowlatt seems to regard this as a fairly plain case, because they were merely monies that call, monies payable on demand.
MR GARDINER: Yes, it wasn't an investment, it wasn't
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intended to accrue interest as that kind of thing, of yearly interest. That again is this concept of yearly interest being subject to deduction of tax at source, et cetera, going right the way back in the legislation.

So, my Lord, it's here. The passage we've quoted, and it's the bottom of 386, the latter part of 386, and a small passage --
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Broad result.
MR GARDINER: Yes, that's right. It's that bit. Then over the page at 387 , just in the middle of the page:
"It is no doubt contemplated that the balance will continue for a long time, but what is the daily balance ...(Reading to the words)... money payable on demand."

And again, he's recognising the fact that the interest period was over all those years, the six years or whatever. He says that's neither here nor there, that doesn't make it yearly interest within the meaning of the Act.

And then just following on, then, our paragraph 31, Corinthian Securities v Cato, which is at tab 44. I always remember the individual, Mr Cato, was I think the longest standing pupil in the Middle Temple, who took 28 years to take the exams.

## MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: 28?

MR GARDINER: Yes, 28. He took them a number of times. He
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represented himself in this particular case and actually won, so to his credit. But we're not concerned with that.

Lord Denning you will see in the headnote, this was a loan initially made on the supposed terms for six months and then renewed. If one notices in the headnote at page 378 per Lord Denning:
"Interest is yearly interest of money ...(Reading to
the words)... whether it was repayable on demand or not."
That's what he identifies, and your Lordship is quite right, Sir John Donaldson says, "I don't know about that" subsequently. I think you can see the basis of his judgment at 383 , just below letter $B$, or at letter B, it says:
"Although payable on demand it was unlikely that any demand would be made so long as the interest -- "
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Sorry, where are you reading from? MR GARDINER: Sorry, 383, the judgment of the Master of the Rolls, Lord Denning, just at letter B.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
MR GARDINER: "Although payable on demand, it was unlikely
that any demand would be made so long ...(Reading to the
words)... from an ordinary loan or mortgage. The
interest was yearly interest of money."

|  | That's the basis upon which he puts it, and Sir John |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | Donaldson subsequently in Cairns v MacDiarmid says |
| 3 | "I don't know about that". But anyway, there's a lot of |
| 4 | authority to back up Lord Denning in that particular |
| 5 | case from the cases that we've seen. |
| 6 | Cairns v MacDiarmid is at tab 46. Again I can deal |
| 7 | with that very shortly, I think. This was -- the |
| 8 | headnote is long, I can state the case, really this was |
| 9 | a tax avoidance scheme and basically this was what was |
| 10 | called the advanced interest scheme. Essentially what |
| 11 | happened in these cases, this is an example of them, was |
| 12 | a taxpayer purported to borrow money for a number of |
| 13 | years, let's say five years, would pay all the interest |
| 14 | in advance on day one, so that was interest for five |
| 15 | years, and he would then by novation get rid of the |
| 16 | obligation to repay the loan to somebody else, who would |
| 17 | take it over and pay him what the right to receive the |
| 18 | amount of the repayment in five years' time would cost |
| 19 | at that particular moment of time. |
| 20 | So the intention of the parties as far as that loan |
| 21 | was concerned, albeit that in so-called contractual |
| 22 | terms it's suggested you were paying interest for |
| 23 | a period in excess of a year for a number of years, the |
| 24 | actual intention was that the loan would actually only |
| 25 | remain outstanding for a few days, and so they take the |

interest, if calculated at a yearly rate and if the intention of the parties is that it may have to be paid from year to year, Bebb v Bunny and Corinthian Securities v Cato ...(Reading to the words)... short term and indeed a very short term investment, eg overnight deposits, and such an investment does not involve any annual interest, regardless of whether the interest is calculated at an annual rate. On the facts found by the Commissioners, the loan to Mr Cairns was never intended to last for more than a few days, albeit he was entitled to postpone repayment for two years. In fact, as was always intended, his liability was discharged within the week, not by repayment, by novation. The payment of $£ 5,000$ is not, therefore, annual interest."

So just two points that we make out of that. First of all, when he's saying that the difference between annual and what is short depends on the intention of the parties, he's clearly in that situation, having in mind the contractual situation of two people entering into a contract, because it's the two people who are the parties to the contract, who determine the terms of the contract, so as to accord with their intentions. You can't actually transpose that terminology in his judgment to this type of case, because it is not my
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```
view on -- they refer to Ramsay(?) and they take the
view that looking at it as a matter of reality this was
only intended to be a short loan, therefore it's not
annual or yearly interest, therefore you don't get the
deduction for yearly interest.
    So that's what the case is about. The point that
Sir John Donaldson makes is on page 181, the final
paragraph the page, below letter H .
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: This is on the non-Ramsay bit. They
decide it on two bases, don't they?
MR GARDINER: Yes, they say first of all it's not annual
    interest, it's not yearly interest.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, and then they go on to Ramsay.
MR GARDINER: And, secondly, in any event, Ramsay would
    apply and it fails for that reason, it's not real
    interest at all. And then with respect, one would say
that they were correct on both bases.
From our point of view, the more relevant part of it is the not annual interest part. Page 181, just below letter H :
"It is well settled that the difference between what is annual and what is short interest, depends on the intention of the parties. Thus interest payable on a mortgage provided for repayment of the money after six months or indeed a shorter period, will still be annual
```
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clients, the joint administrators, and it is not the creditors in this particular case, who one might say are parties to the situation, who have actually determined the provisions that apply to the situation. It is the legislature which has determined the provisions that apply to this situation here, by the terms that it has enacted in the Insolvency Act and the rules. And, therefore, if one's trying to perceive what is the relevant intention here, it is the intention of the legislature in enacting what it has enacted, that is what Sir John Donaldson would have been looking at in a case like this, and looking at the purpose and the consequence of what Parliament has enacted. And that, we say, one can only discern from the terms of the legislation, and here, with respect, we say correctly determined from the terms of the legislation, but we do actually have the assistance of that exercise having already been undertaken by Mr Justice David Richards in Waterfall IIA.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I suppose on your case, you don't mind about the doubt which was cast by reference to the variety of loan instruments, but you say that the difference, is this right, is between on the one hand, money left outstanding at the will of the payee, and on the other hand, some moratorium or forced or voluntary,
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|  | or some other impediment, on recovery? |
| ---: | :---: |
| 2 | MR GARDINER: Yes, that is the essential distinction which |
| 3 | I say flows through all those authorities. With the |
| 4 | greatest of respect to Sir John Donaldson, the only |
| 5 | authority he was referred to in this case was |
| 6 | Bebb v Bunny, as far as that was concerned. Corinthian |
| 7 | Securities v Cato, he picks up and says "I don't know |
| 8 | about that." But I mean they didn't do the analysis in |
| 9 | the case, with respect, that we've attempted to do in |
| 10 | our submission, and you can see that -- I mean yes, |
| 11 | Bebb v Bunny and Goslings and Sharpe are referred to, |
| 12 | but Cooper isn't referred to, Gateshead isn't referred |
| 13 | to, and the rest of those cases. |
| 14 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. |
| 15 | MR GARDINER: And effectively, of course, much of it was |
| 16 | overtaken by the Ramsay type argument. |
| 17 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. |
| 18 | MR GARDINER: And, of course, one remembers the Court of |
| 19 | Appeal in those days, and I don't know how long the case |
| 20 | went on for, but I would be very surprised if it went on |
| 21 | for more than a couple of hours. (Pause) |
| 22 | MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes. |
| 23 | MR GARDINER: So that, I think, is all I want to say about |
| 24 | Cairns v MacDiarmid. The point, I think, I've already |
| 25 | made, is in paragraph 33, the distinction between |
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a contractual case and us. We say in paragraph 33:
"The reason for considering the ...(Reading to the words)... the quality and characteristics of the right to interest that have been created by the agreement between them, or in the case of statutory interest for the parties, LBIE and the joint administrators and the creditors, have not created the right ...(Reading to the words)... by legislation."

As far as the creditors are concerned, one can say that the statutory (inaudible) has been created against them, the moratorium, et cetera:
"Their intentions are not relevant in determining its nature."

We say it is the legislature's intention.
And I think in terminology, when we say "rather it is the legislators", I think we mean the legislature, the legislature's intention in creating the statutory right that is relevant:
"Matters according to be determined are referenced to the characteristics of the right, as discerned from the legislation, as here determined by Mr Justice David Richards and Waterfall IIA." And then paragraph 34, we refer to what Lord Justice Johnson says in the Scottish North American Trust v Farmer. It's another case on yearly interest or
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> that an interest liability arises almost by default, as per here, and thirdly, that you have to have something that is looking forward, a tract of future time. So at the outset, he must be talking about the intention at the outset, when he refers to Goslings. Looking at the outset, do you have something at the outset of a loan or indebtedness that is accruing forward, going forward, as a matter of time? That of course, for all the reasons that we've given, we say simply does not exist here. said nothing? terminology, perhaps because they weren't all Scottish.
That, I admit. They don't dissent from the decision,
they don't dissent from this particular quotation, but
they don't use the same language.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: There seems to be a reference in lord Atkinson's speech at page 12 to Goslings, but maybe it's irrelevant. If it is, just tell me so.
MR GARDINER: Yes. At page 12 of the --
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Top right. with that particular passage, I must say -look at?
not, and also adopted in the case of IRC v Hay. Farmer 2 is at 34 and Hay is at tab 38, and the way in which they 3 approach it, and again we say helpfully, in Scottish

MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: It went to the House of Lords but they

MR GARDINER: Yes, that's right, my Lord, they don't use the

MR GARDINER: Yes. (Pause) Yes, I don't find any difficulty

MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: No, but there's nothing you want me to

1 MR GARDINER: No, as we pick it up, the real relevant part
of it is when he enumerates a number of propositions on
3 page 10. After the first bit, it's that paragraph, "Now the authorities referred to ... establish the following propositions", and then I think it's the fifth one, "and that the loan must have a tract of future time, per Lord Johnson Scottish North American Trust Limited".

Then I am going to go on to the quality of recurrence. The only other authority which we wish to add to what I've said hitherto, and I think I can add it by just looking at the headnote, which is Moss' Empires Limited v Inland Revenue which is at tab 40, and that was a case on annual payments. I think as I said earlier today, the concept of annual payments are in the bones of all tax lawyers and the rest of it, but it's just the point, and you can pick it up at the headnote, I don't think I need to refer to anything else, but at page 875, it's a decision in the House of Lords and it's Lord Maugham. You can see that he held that "the payments were annual payments", and then:
"Per Lord Maugham, the word 'annual' in the rule must be taken to have the quality of being recurrent or being capable of recurrence and in this case, the payments had this quality."

One can refer to other authorities to that effect

[^0] MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I'm in your combined hands. I need to leave by 4.45/5 o'clock tomorrow. Obviously I kept you out in the morning and so I don't want to deprive you of any time that you need. I can sit late now or sit early in the morning, or simply adhere to court hours, depending on how long you feel that you need.
MR GARDINER: Well, my Lord, if we were to start at 10.30 tomorrow, I think I could guarantee that I wouldn't go past 12 o'clock.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Mr Goy, what do you say about that?

MR GOY: I had rather thought I might have the equivalent of the morning. Two and a half hours would be what I would imagine.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Right, so if Mr Gardiner stopped at
12, you would then have until 3.30, and Mr Gardiner would then have a short reply.
MR GARDINER: I would be content with that, but if your Lordship wants me to, I can certainly happily go on another 15 minutes or so.

MR GOY: Or if we started at 10 o'clock in the morning, would that give a bit more flexibility? MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I'm going do rather weakly say I will abide by your preference really.
MR GARDINER: My Lord, should I go on? If your Lordship listened to me another ten or 15 minutes or so and made some more progress now?
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Are the transcribers all right? Everyone else all right?
SHORTHAND WRITER: We are struggling a little bit. If it's only 15 minutes, we can manage.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: All right. Mr Gardiner, shall we have a further 15 minutes and we'll then -- I'm very happy to sit at 10 , if you think that is the safer course.
MR GARDINER: I suspect that if we have another 15 minutes or so, we can easily finish by close of play tomorrow.
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## MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Okay.

MR GARDINER: I had really got to paragraph 37 of our skeleton, where we say "Is the interest under rule 288.7 yearly interest?" Perhaps if I just read the first paragraph. We say:
"It is uncontroversial that statutory interest is interest generally for tax purposes. This follows from rule 288.7 itself. It mandates the paying of interest ...(Reading to the words)... under section 183A [that's at tab 8] and sections 369.1 and 371 ..."

Which are tab 7.
And, again, I don't I think I need take
your Lordship to it, because it's in those terms that we've incorporated into that particular paragraph. Then we say at paragraph 38 :
"However, as explained above at paragraph 15, the statutory interest does not accrue from day to day, it's not payable from year to year. No period of accruer and the interest is not payable unless a surplus has been ascertained, following payment of the debts ...(Reading to the words)... deduction of tax at source applies."

We say that is the tax law that I've dealt with already. We then say that:
"That quality does not attach to yearly interest because of the legislation and its proper
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| 1 | interpretation, and we say that follows from the |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | judgment of Mr Justice David Richards in Waterfall IIA, |
| 3 | summarised and quoted in paragraphs 15 and 16 above." |
| 4 | As I said when I opened, I want to come back to |
| 5 | those. If I could then come back to them now. It's |
| 6 | paragraph 13, where we set out rule 288 itself in our |
| 7 | skeleton. Obviously it's in the legislation bundle of |
| 8 | authorities but it's set out in full here, so I can deal |
| 9 | with it here. I'm sure your Lordship will be more |
| 10 | conversant with this than I. 288.1: |
| 11 | "When a debt proved in the administration bears |
| 12 | interest [so it bears interest prior to the company |
| 13 | being into administration], that interest is provable as |
| 14 | part of the debt, except insofar as it is payable in |
| 15 | respect of any period after the company entered |
| 16 | administration." |
| 17 | So pausing there, a debt of $£ 100$ bearing interest at |
| 18 | 5 per cent per annum, let's assume, although I doubt |
| 19 | these debts are of that nature, but let's assume that, |
| 20 | then that will bear interest up to the date of entering |
| 21 | into the administration, but as far as rule 288.1 is |
| 22 | concerned, not thereafter. So it basically affects the |
| 23 | contractual position of the parties that from the date |
| 24 | of the administration, the interest does not accrue, it |
| 25 | does not continue to accrue: |
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"2. In the following circumstances, the creditor's claim may include interest on the debt for periods before the company entered administration."

So two points there. So it allows the creditor to prove for interest on his debt, so contractual interest on his debt, for periods before the company entered administration. Again, the terminology is really quite specific here. So it's talking about interest for that period. Again, it must be talking about interest accruing over that period, for that period. That's up to, if I call it D Day, the administration:
"3. If the debt is due by virtue of a written instrument and payable at a certain time, interest may be claimed for the period from that time, to the date when the company entered administration again. If the debt is ...(Reading to the words)... to that of the companies entering administration."

So all the provisions stop interest running, from the moment that the company goes into administration. So it stops the contractual accrual or obligation in respect of the debt, from the date of the company going into administration. And one notices, in particular, in 288.2, it's talking about interest on the debts for the periods. Then we get to 7 , which is our position. That says:
"Any surplus remaining after payment of the debts proved, shall before being applied for any purpose ..."

So any surplus, so you have to have a surplus after payment of the debts proved, so the surplus only arrives after the debts have been proved. So the surplus only comes into being after the so-called principle amount has been repaid:
" ... be applied in paying interest on those debts in respect of the periods."

So there is a small point, we make it in our skeleton, that a small point of construction, that the draftsman is careful in his terminology. Here in 7, he's not talking about "after payment of the debts proved shall, before being applied for any purpose, be applied in paying interest on those debts for the period", it's "in respect of the periods." Because he is recognising that what he is doing is simply a calculation by reference to a past period. So he's talking about not the contractual interest accruing on the debt, he's talking about a calculation calculated in respect of the periods during which they have been outstanding, since the company entered into administration:
"All interest payable under paragraph 7 ranks equally, whether or not the debts on which it is
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payable, rank equally."
And indeed it may well be that there was a debt that never carried any interest but in consequence of these provisions, it gets interest and it gets interest at the judgment rate.

So that's the rule in 288. Then we say this issue was decided by Mr Justice David Richards, because he was asked to apply, as it were, the rule in Bower v Marris whereby in the past, in insolvencies, creditors have been able to say "I will treat all the early payments as payments of interest", and therefore the principle remains outstanding for longer and that, of course, will then increase the amount of interest payable to them. And he was asked to apply that rule and he rejected the application of that rule by reference to the construction of the terms of 288 . That is the point that we summarised at paragraph 15 . We say, and I won't bother to read them out, but I would stress the points in paragraph 15. They are, with respect, made out from his judgment, each and every one of them, and they are actually critical to the understanding of the point in this case, in particular, the points that we make at subparagraphs 4, 5, 6 and 7 of paragraph 15 .

If I just read those out. We've asked your Lordship to read them and I'm sure your Lordship has read the

```
substantial sort of part of his judgment that is
relevant to this case.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I mean Bower v Marris occurred outside
a regime of statutory interest, didn't it?
MR GARDINER: Yes.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: And the question was whether it should
nevertheless apply, now that bankruptcy and liquidation
and administration provided for the payment of statutory
interest.
MR GARDINER: That's right, and, I mean -- perhaps if I read
out what he said as we summarise it, but I'm sure if
I were to use my own terminology, I'm bound to put it
slightly differently and I'm not sure that would help.
Subparagraphs 4, 5, 6 and 7, we say:
    "The right to be paid statutory interest out of
a surplus under rule 288, is not a right to ...(Reading
to the words)... commencement of the administration and
the payment of the dividend or dividends on the proved
debts."
    That's paragraph }149\mathrm{ of his judgment.
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes.
MR GARDINER: "5. The dividends cannot be appropriated
between the proved debts and interest accruing due under
rule 288 because at the date of the dividends, no
interest was payable."
```
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That's paragraph 149. Now I pause there. That goes back to the propositions I made earlier this afternoon, our propositions 1 and 2. They're the same:
"6. The entitlement under rule 288 to interest is a purely statutory entitlement, arising once there is a surplus, after payment of the debts proved and payable only out of that surplus."

That's paragraph 149 again. So those three points all come from the same paragraph, that's why we subsequently quote it in full in paragraph 16. And then:
"7. The position is very different in relation to interest on contractual debts, judgment debts or analogous types of interest, for example ...(Reading to the words)... deceased estate, where the interest accrues due whilst it [that is the debt] is outstanding."

That's a reference to paragraphs 109 to 114 and 145. Then we say the critical passages in his judgment are in that long passage. 129 is where he's actually set out all the argument for the other side, and then he starts from paragraph 129 , saying "this is my analysis of what is the right answer". Waterfall IIA is at tab 47. If I perhaps just pick up the really critical passages which are those four referred to there. One can see --
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if one notices that paragraph 129 starts after paragraph 128, and after paragraph 128 , he says:
"These are powerful submissions but I have concluded that an application ...(Reading to the words)... is incompatible with the regime established by rule 288."

Then he goes on to set out, 129 onwards, all his reasons for so concluding. If I pick up just at the top of -- well, paragraph 135, the last four lines of it:
"Importantly, interest is not therefore payable in respect of any period after the relevant distribution. An application of the principle in Bower v Marris will involve the payment of interest in respect of periods long after the distribution or distributions in question."

But then the critical passages. 144, he says:
"There is a further strong factor suggesting that Bower v Marris does not apply to the payment of post-insolvency interest under the 1986 legislation. As earlier discussed, the principle in that case is derived from the legal rules as to appropriation of payments ...(Reading to the words)... those rules that at the date when a payment is made, there are two outstanding debts payable by the debtor to the creditor. The source of the debt may be, but not need be, a contract ...(Reading to the words)... If only one debt is payable

Page 115
on that date, the payment by the debtor can, in the absence of express agreement between the parties, be applied only to that debt."

145:
"In applying the rules as to appropriation of payments to the administration of estates, the foundation remains that as at the date of payment from the estate, it is treated as being made on account ...(Reading to the words)... the creditor's claim that the amount due from the co-obligor is to be calculated by applying the amount of dividends from time to time received from the bankruptcy estate of the other co-obligor, in discharge of the interest then due and the surplus, if any, in discharge ...(Reading to the words)... that no creditor would apply any payment to the discharge of part of the principle whilst any interest remained due. In commenting on section 132 of the Bankruptcy Act ...(Reading to the words)... that interest which, by the course of administration in bankruptcy, they had lost. If dividends were to be attributed to principle instead of to the interest due, the creditor would not have received interest upon his debt to the same extent as he would if there had been no bankruptcy. This is necessarily a reference to interest falling due, apart from the insolvency process, during

```
the period of that process."
    So that's important. That is a reference to the
    interest falling due during, it would appear, the
    administration process which of course it doesn't fall
    due.
    Then 149 is the one which is actually critical and
    we've quoted it in full, and I don't know if I should
    propose to read it again, but not having read it, as
    I say, it is the most important paragraph of the lot.
    MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I have read it. By all means read it
    again if you like, but it does -- I see why you rely on
    it.
    MR GARDINER: Yes. We've quoted it in full in paragraph 16.
    So I'm not proposing to read it, but I would read 154,
    which is the other one that we've particularly relied
    on. 154:
    "Mr Dicker(?) as counsel, submitted that the
        principle in Bower v Marris was more fundamental than
        simply requiring payments on account to be treated as
        applicable, first to interest payable, at the date of
        those payments. It was, he submitted, intended to
        reflect an underlying principle that in an insolvency,
        creditors should not be prejudiced by the late payment
        of their debts."
        Then there's this passage, this is the critical bit:
```
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        "The statutory right to interest arising under rule
        288 can be regarded with hindsight, as having accrued on
        a day to day basis, since the commencement of the
        insolvency process, albeit contingently on there being,
        ultimately, a surplus."
        If I pause there, that is part of Mr Dickers'
        submissions. Then he says:
        "Once the event occurs, the right to interest is
        treated as having accrued during the relevant period."
            And, again, that's part of his submission. But then
        he says:
            "I do not accept this submission."
            Now, with respect, if one looks at that submission,
        that is the basis of the Revenue's case in this
        particular case. That is the basis of what they say in
        their letter of 2 March, which I haven't shown
        your Lordship yet, but I will show your Lordship
        tomorrow. It's their letter of 2 March which I think is
        at tab 5 --
        MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I think you asked me to read it and
        I did.
    MR GARDINER: I'm sorry, my Lord, I didn't quite catch that.
    MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: I said you asked me to read it and
        I did.
        MR GARDINER: I'm grateful. That is the submission that
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"I do not accept this submission." their letter. He gives the reason: principle itself is to be modified to fit in with the regime created by rule 288. As will already be rule 288 leaves room for the application of the to that principle."

Then he goes on to the next issue. And so, as of respect, that they don't accept the rigour of his the reasons set out in his judgment.
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at paragraph 43, the position of the Revenue and to deal
relatively briefly, I suspect, with what we say about
what they say. That might be an appropriate time, my
Lord.
you want 10.00 or do you want 10.30 ; will you agree
between you?
we will finish it.
MR GOY: I would prefer 10.00. I just don't feel I would
like to be under pressure to finish more quickly than
I would otherwise want to, if that's of no difficulty.
o'clock?
MR GARDINER: I don't mind.
we finish early, then well and good.
( 4.57 pm )
(The court adjourned until 10 o'clock the following day)

Mr Dicker makes, and Mr Justice David Richards says:

So he doesn't accept what the Revenue rely on in
"It involves saying not only that the principle in Bower v Marris is to be applied to the calculation and payment of interest under rule 288 but also that the apparent, I do not accept that the regime created by principle in Bower v Marris. I am further satisfied that it contains no warrant for any statutory adjustment I said in opening, we apprehend that the other side, at the moment at least, don't say that he was wrong, or don't challenge that, but we do say, with a great deal judgment. They say that interest accrued during that period, contingently on the payment of the surplus. That's what Mr Dicker advanced as his contention in that particular case and that was, for the reasons given by Mr Justice David Richards, rejected and rejected for all
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Yes, that seems a good time. Now, do
MR GARDINER: I would be quite content with 10.30. I think
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: Mr Gardiner, are you all right for 10
MR JUSTICE HILDYARD: We'll start at 10 o'clock because that
means we can't go wrong, he says with confidence, and if
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[^0]:    and all the rest of it, but we see from the very beginning, the Bebb case and Vice Chancellor Page-Wood. We've seen Goslings and they pick up this point about, as your Lordship put it, the eiusdem generis sort of rule of construction, yearly interest, annuities and other annual payments. Annual payments inevitably have the concept of recurrence within them. That, we say, is therefore a quality that yearly interest necessarily must have because it, likewise, is another annual payment.
    I don't know, my Lord, it's obviously entirely for your Lordship. I'm quite happy to go on, but I think we've made quite a lot of progress. If you think it's an appropriate time -- I mean we could pause there which might be a sensible place to pause, but I don't know.

