

## Independent Limited Assurance Report to the members of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

We have been engaged by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (hereafter 'PwC') to provide independent limited assurance on PwC 2024 non-financial metrics for the United Kingdom (UK), Middle Eastern (ME) and Channel Islands (CI) businesses of PwC.

#### **Our conclusion**

On the basis of our procedures nothing has come to our attention that causes us to conclude that the non-financial information has not been prepared in all material respects in line with the Reporting Criteria as we understand them based on our discussions with the PwC team and based on the wording in the reviewed methodology and reporting documentation.

The full details of all metrics tested are set out in a series of appendices to this document.

This conclusion is to be read in the context of what we say below.

#### **Subject Matter Information**

The non-financial data included within the scope of our assurance report is set out in full below.

- Greenhouse Gas Inventory, comprising of Scope 1 (total), Scope 2 (total market based) and Scope 3 (total) emissions for the United Kingdom, Channel Islands and Middle Eastern businesses of the LLP;
- Corporate sustainability metrics, comprising the headings of Supply chain assessment and
  risk management; ESG governance and accountability; Near term SBT Net Zero performance;
  Carbon emissions: intensities; Energy transition and responsible consumption; Sustainable
  behaviours; Regenerative and circular consumption; and Nature Positive, for the United
  Kingdom business;
- Other non-financial metrics, comprising the headings of *Ethics & integrity; Information* protection; Pay transparency; Talent attraction and retention; Diversity; Employee Wellbeing; and Community Contribution, for the United Kingdom business;
- **2024 Carbon Reduction Plan** including: Baseline Emissions Footprint data, comprising Total Carbon Emissions under Scope 1, 2 and 3, *for the United Kingdom business*; being:
  - Scope 1
    - Gas (natural and biogas)
    - Fugitive emissions
    - Fuel for transport purposes
  - Scope 2
    - Electricity Consumption (location based)
  - Scope 3
    - Purchased goods & services
    - Fuel & energy-related activities
    - Upstream transportation & distribution
    - Waste generated in operations
    - Business travel including accommodation, air, rail, road (company car SECR and other road emissions)

- 30 June 2024 Emissions Footprint data, comprising Total Carbon Emissions under Scope 1, 2 and 3 as defined above
- Emissions reduction targets
- Energy and Carbon Reporting data including metrics and targeted under the following headings to be included in the 2024 Members' Report (covering FY24 reporting data, FY23 comparative and FY19 baseline year for UK, ME and CI)
  - Energy Consumption, comprising Total Streamlined Energy and Carbon Report energy consumption, Gas (natural and biogas), Biodiesel, Electricity, and Business Travel (road)
  - Carbon Emissions, comprising Carbon Emission Offset, Total emissions and Total Emissions intensity being:
    - Scope 1 Gas (natural and biogas), Biodiesel, Fugitive Emissions
    - Scope 2 Electricity (market and location based)
    - Scope 3 Business Travel
    - Scope 3 (extended) Purchases goods & services, employee commuting and Working from home

#### Community metrics

- Community inputs
- Number of hours contributed
- Monetary values of community activities
- o Total number of NGOs, social & micro enterprises and individual beneficiaries reached

The scope of our work does not extend to any other information.

#### Professional standards applied and level of assurance

We conducted a limited assurance review in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3000 - 'Assurance Engagements other than Audits and Reviews of Historical Financial Information' ('ISAE 3000'); and, in respect of the greenhouse gas emissions information, in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements 3410 - 'Assurance engagements on greenhouse gas statements' ('ISAE 3410'), issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. A limited assurance engagement is substantially less in scope than a reasonable assurance engagement. It does not include detailed testing of source data nor the operating effectiveness of processes and internal controls

#### Independence and quality control

We have complied with the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales ('ICAEW') Code of Ethics, which includes independence and other requirements founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour. We apply International Standard on Quality Control (UK) 1 and accordingly maintain a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards, and applicable legal and regulatory requirements. Our work was conducted by an independent and multi-disciplinary team with experience in sustainability reporting and assurance.

#### Understanding reporting and measurement methodology

The Selected Information needs to be read and understood together with PwC's Reporting Criteria. The absence of a significant body of established practice on which to draw, and hence to evaluate and measure

non-financial information, allows for different, but acceptable, measurement techniques and can affect comparability between entities and over time. The Reporting Criteria used for the reporting of the Selected Information are for the 2024 reporting year.

#### Work done

We are required to plan and perform our work in order to consider the risk of material misstatement of the Selected Information. In doing so, our procedures consisted primarily of:

- · Reviewing PwC's material issues and reporting boundaries
- Making enquiries of relevant members of management at PwC
- Evaluating the design of the systems of internal control for capturing and reporting the source data
- Performing sample tests on a selection of the data prepared by PwC: this included 14 sites, selected on the basis of their inherent risk and materiality to PwC
- Analytically reviewing the data included within the scope of our report: this included limited substantive testing of the Selected Information at corporate head office to check that data had been appropriately measured, recorded, collated and reported
- Assessing the disclosure and presentation of the Selected Information for the intended stakeholders

The procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for, a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had we performed a reasonable assurance engagement. Accordingly, we do not express a reasonable assurance opinion about whether the non-financial metrics for the year ended 30 June 2024 has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the Reporting Criteria.

#### PwC's responsibilities

PwC is responsible for:

- designing, implementing and maintaining internal controls over information relevant to the preparation of the Selected Information that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error
- establishing objective Reporting Criteria for preparing the Selected Information
- · measuring and reporting the Selected Information based on the Reporting Criteria

#### Our responsibilities

We are responsible for:

- planning and performing the engagement to obtain limited assurance about whether the Selected Information is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error
- forming an independent conclusion, based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained
- reporting our conclusion to the members of PwC

This report has been prepared to assist PwC in reporting its non-financial performance, to enable the members to show they have addressed their governance responsibilities by obtaining a sustainability assurance report. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than PwC and PwC's members as a body, for our work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Signature

Alex Hindson For and on behalf of Crowe U.K. LLP Chartered Accountants, London

Date: 30th August 2024

## APPENDIX A: STATUTORY METRICS TO BE INCLUDED IN FY24 STATUTORY ACCOUNTS

All figures in tCO2e unless otherwise stated

	C	onsolidate	d		UK		Cha	annel Islan	ds	Middle East Gro		oup
Metric	2024	2023	2019	2024	2023	2019	2024	2023	2019	2024	2023	2019
Scope 1 Total	1,219	1,231	1,921	312	376	841	16	16	14	892	839	1,067
Gas (natural and biogas)	666	644	951	252	305	697	10	12	14	405	327	241
Oil	6	4	0	0	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	0
Biodiesel	0	0	19	0	0	19	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
Diesel	83	99	170	0	0	0	0	0	0	83	99	170
Vehicles	404	413	656	0	0	0	0	0	0	404	413	656
Fugitive emissions	60	71	125	60	71	125	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
Scope 2 Total	0	0	5,616	0	0	2,139	0	0	158	0	0	3,319
Electricity consumption (market based)	0	0	5,616	0	0	2,139	0	0	158	0	0	3,319
Electricity consumption (location based)	8,044	7,926	10,316	3,990	4,002	6,879	94	85	118	3,960	3,839	3,319
Scope 3 Total	120,151	88,522	115,715	49,320	38,150	76,214	686	491	709	70,146	49,881	38,793
Fuel and energy related activities	2,754	2,872	2,957	1,553	1,699	2,076	34	33	31	1,167	1,140	851
Waste generated	544	457	451	20	16	44	0	0	0	524	441	406
Accommodation	25,622	21,810	21,670	2,524	2,568	9,043	67	56	69	23,031	19,186	12,558
Air	81,502	55,867	81,574	39,683	29,910	58,531	574	396	593	41,245	25,561	22,449
Rail	1,647	1,462	2,153	1,645	1,461	2,149	2	2	4	0	0	0
Road	8,082	6,054	6,910	3,894	2,497	4,371	9	5	11	4,179	3,553	2,529
Company car (SECR)	1,258	1,345	3,713	1,258	1,345	3,713	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other road emissions	2,644	1,156	669	2,636	1,152	658	9	4	11	0	0	0
Business travel	116,853	85,193	112,307	47,746	36,436	74,094	652	459	678	68,455	48,300	37,536
Scope 3 (extended) Total	216,893	202,539	165,141	86,331	86,989	104,452	11,434	8,355	5,320	119,129	107,195	55,369
Purchased goods and services	192,974	179,960	146,035	72,910	74,062	90,687	11,368	8,289	5,271	108,697	97,609	50,077
Employee commuting and working from home	23,919	22,579	19,106	13,421	12,927	13,765	66	66	49	10,432	9,586	5,292
Total Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions (market based)	N/A	N/A	N/A	49,632	38,527	79,194	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions (location based)	N/A	N/A	N/A	53,621	42,529	83,934	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions (SECR)	N/A	N/A	N/A	5,500	5,652	11,308	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total emissions offset (percentage)	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total emissions intensity SECR (tonnes CO2e / £m revenue)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.3	1.4	3.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total emissions intensity (tonnes CO2e / £m revenue)	19.2	15.5	29.1	11.7	9.3	22.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### APPENDIX B: DEMOGRAPHIC DIVERSITY METRICS

						F)/0.4
	Metric Reviewed	Unit	FY24	Metric Reviewed Asian - whole firm	Unit %	t FY24 24.68%
	Female - whole firm	%	48.09%	Black - whole firm	%	4.80%
	Male - whole firm	%	51.91%	Mixed - whole firm	%	2.99%
	Female - associates	%	48.80%	Other - whole firm White - whole firm	%	1.67% 63.52%
				Undisclosed - whole firm	%	2.33%
	Male - associates	%	51.20%	Asian - associates	%	36.90%
	Female - senior associates	%	47.86%	Black - associates Mixed - associates	% %	4.12% 3.16%
	Male - senior associates	%	52.14%	Other - associates	%	1.63%
0 1	Female - managers	%	50.68%	White - associates Undisclosed - associates	% %	52.76% 1.44%
Gender	Male - managers	%	49.32%	Asian - senior associates	% %	26.80%
	Female - senior managers	%	50.80%	Black - senior associates	%	7.30%
	<u> </u>		40.93%	Mixed - senior associates Other - senior associates	%	3.14% 1.80%
	Male - senior managers	%		White - senior associates	% %	59.19%
	Female - directors	%	40.93%	Undisclosed - senior associates	%	1.77%
	Male - directors	%	59.07%	Asian - managers Black - managers	%	27.57% 5.74%
	Female - partners	%	27.24%	Mixed - managers	%	2.97%
	Male - partners	%	72.76%	Other - managers	%	1.83%
	Ethnic minority - whole firm	%	34.15%	White - managers Undisclosed - managers	% %	59.07% 2.83%
	•			Asian - senior managers	%	19.03%
	Non ethnic minority - whole firm	%	63.52%	Black - senior managers	%	2.35%
	Undisclosed - whole firm	%	2.33%	Mixed - senior managers  Ethnicity sub- Other - senior managers	% %	2.94% 1.62%
	Ethnic minority - associates	%	45.80%	groupings White - senior managers	%	70.92%
	Non ethnic minority - associates	%	52.76%	Undisclosed - senior managers	%	3.13%
	Undisclosed - associates	%	1.44%	Asian - directors Black - directors	% %	11.49% 1.12%
	Ethnic minority - senior associates	%	39.04%	Mixed - directors	%	2.66%
	•			Other - directors White - directors	% %	1.33% 80.54%
	Non ethnic minority - senior associates	%	59.19%	White - directors Undisclosed - directors	%	2.86%
	Undisclosed - senior associates	%	1.77%	Asian - partners	%	9.59%
	Ethnic minority - managers	%	38.10%	Black - partners Mixed - partners	% %	1.03% 1.41%
Ethnicity	Non ethnic minority - managers	%	59.07%	Other - partners	% %	1.51%
	Undisclosed - managers	%	2.83%	White - partners	%	82.69%
	Ethnic minority - senior managers	%	25.95%	Undisclosed - partners Chinese - whole firm	% %	3.76% 5.30%
	,			Chinese - associates	%	16.28%
	Non ethnic minority - senior managers	%	70.92%	Chinese - senior associates	%	5.81%
	Undisclosed - senior managers	%	3.13%	Chinese - managers Chinese - senior managers	% %	3.35% 2.39%
	Ethnic minority - directors	%	16.60%	Chinese - directors	%	1.02%
	Non ethnic minority - directors	%	80.54%	Chinese - partners	%	0.94%
	Undisclosed - directors	%	2.86%	Mixed and Other - whole firm Mixed and Other - associates	% %	4.66% 4.79%
	Ethnic minority - partners	%	13.55%	Mixed and Other - senior associates	%	4.94%
	• •			Mixed and Other - managers Mixed and Other - senior managers	% %	4.80% 4.56%
	Non ethnic minority - partners	%	82.69%	Mixed and Other - senior managers  Mixed and Other - directors	% %	4.56% 3.99%
	Undisclosed - partners	%	3.76%	Mixed and Other - partners	%	2.92%

	Metric Reviewed	Unit	FY24
	Lower socio economic background - whole firm	%	15.81%
	Other socio economic background - whole firm	%	67.95%
	Undisclosed - whole firm	%	16.25%
	Lower socio economic background - associates	%	17.52%
	Other socio economic background - associates	%	67.35%
	Undisclosed - associates	% %	15.13%
	Lower socio economic background - senior associates  Other socio economic background - senior associates	%	15.57% 68.19%
	Undisclosed - senior associates	%	16.23%
Socio-	Lower socio economic background - managers	% %	14.93%
economic	Other socio economic background - managers	% %	67.38%
background	Undisclosed - managers	%	17.70%
	Lower socio economic background - senior managers	%	14.83%
	Other socio economic background - senior managers	%	68.09%
	Undisclosed - senior managers	%	17.08%
	Lower socio economic background - directors	%	12.67%
	Other socio economic background - directors	%	70.89%
	Undisclosed - directors	%	16.45%
	Lower socio economic background - partners	%	15.33%
	Other socio economic background - partners	%	70.65%
	Undisclosed - partners	%	14.02%
	I consider myself to have a disability - whole firm	%	4.45%
	I do not consider myself to have a disability - whole firm	%	87.89%
	Undisclosed - whole firm	%	7.67%
	I consider myself to have a disability - associates	%	6.00%
	I do not consider myself to have a disability - associates	%	87.87%
	Undisclosed - associates	%	6.13%
	I consider myself to have a disability - senior associates	%	4.50%
	I do not consider myself to have a disability - senior associates	%	87.39%
	Undisclosed - senior associates	%	8.10%
	I consider myself to have a disability - managers	%	4.03%
Disability	I do not consider myself to have a disability - managers	%	87.68%
	Undisclosed - managers	%	8.29%
	I consider myself to have a disability - senior managers	%	4.18%
	I do not consider myself to have a disability - senior managers	%	87.67%
	Undisclosed - senior managers	%	8.15%
	I consider myself to have a disability - directors	%	3.22%
	I do not consider myself to have a disability - directors	%	89.38%
	Undisclosed - directors	%	7.41%
	I consider myself to have a disability - partners	%	1.98%
	I do not consider myself to have a disability - partners	%	92.66%
	Undisclosed - partners	%	5.36%
	Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other - whole firm	%	4.15%
	Straight or Heterosexual - whole firm	%	83.98%
	Undisclosed - whole firm	%	11.87%
	Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other - associates	%	5.90%
	Straight or Heterosexual - associates	%	80.88%
	Undisclosed - associates	%	13.21%
	Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other - senior associates	%	4.75%
	Straight or Heterosexual - senior associates	%	86.10%
	Undisclosed - senior associates	%	9.15%
Sexual	Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other - managers	%	3.69%
orientation	Straight or Heterosexual - managers	% %	86.32%
	Undisclosed - managers		9.99%
	Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other - senior managers	%	3.21%
	Straight or Heterosexual - senior managers	%	84.43%
	Undisclosed - senior managers	%	12.35%
	Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other - directors	%	3.52%
	Straight or Heterosexual - directors	%	79.06%
	Undisclosed - directors	%	17.42%
	Lesbian/Gay/Bisexual/Other - partners	% %	1.41% 79.02%
	Straight or Heterosexual - partners	%	
	Undisclosed - partners	76	19.57%

## APPENDIX C: PAY GAP METRICS (APRIL – STAFF ONLY)

		FY 24 - A	PRIL(	Staff only)			FY 24 - APF	RIL(S	aff only)
Gender					Ethnicity		Median Pay Gan	%	-0.90%
		Median Pay Gap	%	2.10%		Group	Mean Pay Gap	%	
		Mean Pay Gap	%	6.00%		Group	Median Bonus Gap	%	30.90%
	Group	, ,						% %	35.00% 9.40%
		Median Bonus Gap	%	10.70%	Ethnicity - Non Regulatory Pay Gap & Bonus Gap Data	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Mean Pay Gap	%	
		Mean Bonus Gap	%	20.20%	(Staff only)			% %	
		Median Pay Gap	%	30.00%			Median Pay Gap	%	
Gender - Regulatory Pay		Mean Pay Gap	%	29.90%		PricewaterhouseCoopers Services	Mean Pay Gap	% %	6.60% 28.30%
Gap & Bonus Gap Data	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Median Bonus Gap		53.30%			Median Bonus Gap Mean Bonus Gap	%	
(April - Staff only)		Mean Bonus Gap	%	40.20%		Group	White ethnic groups	%	
								% %	
		Median Pay Gap	%	2.00%	roodived borido pay	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Minority ethnic groups	%	100.00%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services	Mean Pay Gap	%	5.60%		PricewaterhouseCoopers Services		%	
	i ricewaterriousecoopers dervices	Median Bonus Gap	%	11.50%			White Ethnic Groups		
		Mean Bonus Gap	%	20.80%		Group - Lower Quartile			
		Male	%	98.20%	<del>{</del>			% %	1.50%
	Group					Group - Lower Middle Quartile	Minority Ethnic Groups	s %	37.70%
		Female	%	98.70%				% %	1.50% 55.10%
Proportion of employees who	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Male	%	99.70%		Group - Upper Middle Quartile			
received bonus pay		Female	%	100.00%			Undisclosed	%	2.40%
	Drian and a harris Comment	Male	%	98.20%		Group - Top Quartile		% s %	
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services	Female	%	98.70%			Undisclosed	%	3.60%
		Male	%	54.30%		PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -		% e %	
	Group - Lower Quartile					Lower Quartile	Undisclosed	%	1.50%
		Female	%	45.70%		PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Mean Pay Gap Median Borus Gap Median Pay Gap Mean Borus Gap Mean Pay Gap Mean Pay Gap Mean Borus Gap Mean Borus Gap Mean Borus Gap Median Borus Gap Mean Pay Median pay	%	
	Group - Lower Middle Quartile	Male	%	48.60%	Ethnicity Quartiles -	Lower Middle Quartile		s % %	1.60%
	o. oup zone. madie quarine	Female	%	51.40%	Regulatory Pay Gap Data	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -			
	Constant I have a Mindalla Constitu	Male	%	50.70%		Upper Middle Quartile		s %	
	Group - Upper Middle Quartile	Female	%	49.30%		PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -		%	67.20%
		Male	%	55.80%		Top Quartile		s %	29.10%
	Group - Top Quartile	Female	%	44.20%		DringunterhouseCoopers LLD Lawer			87.20%
						Quartile Cuartile		s % %	
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Male	%	55.10%					
	Lower Quartile	Female	%	44.90%		Middle Quartile	Minority Ethnic Groups	s %	12.90%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Male	%	49.60%				% %	
Gender Quartiles -	Lower Middle Quartile	Female	%	50.40%		PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Upper Middle Quartile	Minority Ethnic Groups	s %	11.20%
Regulatory Pay Gap Data	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Male	%	51.10%		middle dddinio		% %	2.00% 89.60%
	Upper Middle Quartile	Female	%	48.90%		PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Top Quartile			
	• •					qualine		%	2.80%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Male	%	56.50%				% %	
	Top Quartile	Female	%	43.50%		Group - White vs Asian	Mean bonus	%	34.30%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Lower	Male	%	10.40%				% %	32.20% 14.50%
	Quartile	Female	%	89.60%		Croup White us Block		%	1.00%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Lower	Male	%	36.90%	Ethnicity Subgrouping - Non	Group - Writte to DIRUK		% %	49.60% 30.70%
	Middle Quartile	Female			Regulatory Pay Gap &			%	4.40%
			%	63.10%		Group - White vs Mixed	Median pay	%	-1.00%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Upper	Male	%	43.00%				% %	
	Middle Quartile	Female	%	57.00%			Mean pay	%	22.90%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Top	Male	%	55.40%		Group - White vs Chinese		% %	25.50% 57.00%
	Quartile	Female	%	44.60%				%	

		FY 24 - APRIL	.(Staff only)			FY 24 - APR	IL(Si	aff only)
Socio-economic backgroun	nd			Disability				
SEB - Pay Gap & Bonus		Median Pay Gap	% 11.80%	Disability - Non Regulatory		Median Pay Gap	%	18.30%
Gap Data (Staff only - SEB	Group	Mean Pay Gap	% 8.60%	Pay Gap & Bonus Data	Group	Mean Pay Gap	%	13.30%
Tier 1+2 v Tier 3)	Group	Median Bonus Gap	% 14.30%	(April - Staff only)	G. 54p	Median Bonus Gap	%	27.00%
		Mean Bonus Gap	% 12.70%	7,		Mean Bonus Gap	%	19.90%
		1 + 2	% 66.10%			Disabled	%	6.20%
	Group - Lower Quartile	3	% 20.70%		Group - Lower Quartile	Non-disabled	%	86.80%
		Undisclosed	% 13.20%			Undisclosed	%	7.00%
		1 + 2	% 67.30%			Disabled	%	4.60%
	Group - Lower Middle Quartile	3	% 16.30%		Group - Lower Middle Quartile	Non-disabled	%	87.30%
		Undisclosed	% 16.40%			Undisclosed	%	8.10%
		1 + 2	% 67.00%			Disabled	%	3.70%
	Group - Upper Middle Quartile	3	% 13.60%	1	Group - Upper Middle Quartile	Non-disabled	%	88.90%
		Undisclosed	% 19.40%	1		Undisclosed	%	7.40%
		1 + 2	% 68.40%	1		Disabled	%	3.20%
	Group - Top Quartile	3	% 13.30%	1	Group - Top Quartile	Non-disabled	%	88.20%
		Undisclosed	% 18.30%	1		Undisclosed	%	8.60%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	1 + 2	% 66.70%		PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Disabled	%	6.30%
	Lower Quartile	3	% 20.40%		Lower Quartile	Non-disabled	%	86.80%
	Lower Quartie	Undisclosed	% 12.90%	.[]	Lower Quartie	Undisclosed	%	6.90%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services - Lower Middle Quartile	1 + 2	% 68.30%	.[]	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Disabled	%	4.60%
		3	% 15.80%	1	Lower Middle Quartile	Non-disabled	%	87.10%
SEB Quartiles - Regulatory	Lower Wildale Quartile	Undisclosed	% 15.80%	J	Lower Middle Quartie	Undisclosed	%	8.30%
Pay Gap Data	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	1 + 2	% 68.10%	Regulatory Pay Gap Data	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Disabled	%	3.80%
	Upper Middle Quartile	3	% 13.10%	.[]	Upper Middle Quartile	Non-disabled	%	88.50%
	Oppor Middle addrine	Undisclosed	% 18.90%	.[]	opper middle dddi ne	Undisclosed	%	7.70%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	1 + 2	% 68.40%	.[]	PricewaterhouseCoopers Services -	Disabled	%	3.30%
	Top Quartile	3	% 12.50%	.[]	Top Quartile	Non-disabled	%	87.60%
	Top additio	Undisclosed	% 19.10%	.[]	Top Quartic	Undisclosed	%	9.10%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Lower	1 + 2	% 39.20%	.[]	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Lower	Disabled	%	3.60%
	Quartile	3	% 40.80%	,[]	Quartile	Non-disabled	%	93.60%
	Qual tile	Undisclosed	% 20.00%	.[]	Qualitic	Undisclosed	%	2.80%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Lower	1 + 2	% 54.20%	,[]	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Lower	Disabled	%	3.20%
	Middle Quartile	3	% 30.10%	.[]	Middle Quartile	Non-disabled	%	94.40%
	Wilder Quartie	Undisclosed	% 15.70%	,[]	Wilder Quartie	Undisclosed	%	2.40%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Upper	1 + 2	% 63.10%		PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Upper	Disabled	%	3.60%
	Middle Quartile	3	% 24.50%		Middle Quartile	Non-disabled	%	92.40%
	MICGIE QUALTIE	Undisclosed	% 12.40%		iviladie Quartile	Undisclosed	%	4.00%
	Princuptorhouse Coopers LLD Too	1 + 2	% 71.50%		DringwaterhouseCoopers LLD. Ten	Disabled	%	2.00%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Top Quartile	3	% 14.50%		PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP - Top Quartile	Non-disabled	%	96.00%
	Qualtic	Undisclosed	% 14.10%		Qualtile	Undisclosed	%	2.00%

		FY 24 - APR	IL(Si	aff only)_
Sexual orientation			(2)	
		Median Pay Gap	%	18.30%
Sexual orientation - Non	_	Mean Pay Gap	%	10.30%
Regulatory Pay Gap & Bonus Data	a Group	Median Bonus Gap		34.00%
(April - Staff only)		Mean Bonus Gap		15.20%
		Non-LGBT	%	83.50%
	Group - Lower Quartile	LGBT	%	6.00%
		Undisclosed	%	10.60%
		Non-LGBT	%	85.60%
	Group - Lower Middle Quartile	LGBT	%	4.50%
		Undisclosed	%	9.90%
		Non-LGBT	%	86.00%
	Group - Upper Middle Quartile	LGBT	%	3.40%
		Undisclosed	%	10.60%
		Non-LGBT	%	82.00%
	Group - Top Quartile	LGBT	%	3.20%
		Undisclosed	%	14.70%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers	Non-LGBT	%	83.50%
	Services - Lower Quartile	LGBT	%	6.10%
	Colvides Lower Qualific	Undisclosed	%	10.50%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers	Non-LGBT	%	85.80%
	Services - Lower Middle	LGBT	%	4.60%
Sexual ortientation Quartiles -	Quartile	Undisclosed	%	9.60%
Regulatory Pay Gap Data	PricewaterhouseCoopers	Non-LGBT	%	86.60%
	Services - Upper Middle	LGBT	%	3.40%
	Quartile	Undisclosed	%	10.00%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers	Non-LGBT	%	83.10%
	Services - Top Quartile	LGBT	%	3.40%
	Cornect rep quartie	Undisclosed	%	13.50%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Non-LGBT	%	74.80%
	- Lower Quartile	LGBT	%	1.60%
		Undisclosed	%	23.60%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Non-LGBT	%	76.70%
	- Lower Middle Quartile	LGBT	%	0.40%
		Undisclosed	%	22.90%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Non-LGBT	%	70.70%
	- Upper Middle Quartile	LGBT	%	2.00%
	.,	Undisclosed	9 % 34.0 % 15.2 % 6.0 % 85.6 % 10.0 % 85.6 % 10.0 % 85.6 % 10.0 % 85.6 % 10.0 % 82.0 % 14.1 % 83.5 % 10.0 % 85.6 % 10.0 %	27.30%
	PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	Non-LGBT		69.50%
	- Top Quartile	LGBT		2.80%
		Undisclosed	%	27.70%

## APPENDIX D: PAY GAP METRICS (JUNE - STAFF AND PARTNERS)

		FY 24 - JUNE(Staf	f & Pa	rtners)
Gender		Madaa Day Caa	~	7.000/
	Pay Gap	Median Pay Gap Mean Pay Gap	% %	7.00%
		Male	76 %	60.70%
	Upper Quartile	Female	% %	39.30%
Gender - Non Regulatory Pay Gap		Male	%	50 20%
Data (June - Staff & Partners	Upper Middle Quartile	Female	%	49.80%
excluding Galaxy)		Male	%	45.80%
	Lower Middle Quartile	Female	%	54.20%
	Lower Quartile	Male	%	52.70%
	Lower Quartile	Female	%	47.30%
Ethnicity				
	Pay Gap		%	4.50%
	ruy cup	Mean Pay Gap	%	35.30%
		White	%	73.70%
	Upper Quartile	Ethnic minority		22.60%
		Undisclosed	%	3.70%
L		White	%	56.60%
Ethnicity - Pay Gap Data (Staff &	Upper Middle Quartile	Ethnic minority		40.90%
Partners)		Undisclosed White	%	2.50%
			%	61.70%
	Lower Middle Quartile	Ethnic minority Undisclosed	% %	36.40%
	Lower Quartile	White	% %	63.10%
	Lower Quartile	Ethnic minority		
		Undisclosed White vs Asian	%	1.30%
		White vs Asian White vs Black		
	Median Pay Gap	White vs Black White vs Mixed	% %	6.10%
Ethnicity subgroupings - Pay Gap Data (Staff and Partners)		White vs Chinese	%	36.60%
Data (Stail and Partners)		White vs Asian White vs Black		36.10% 42.60%
	Mean Pay Gap		%	
		White vs Mixed White vs Chinese	%	28.50%
Socio-economic background		White vs Chinese	%	50.40%
		Median Pay Gap	%	12.20%
	Pay Gap	Mean Pay Gap	%	7.80%
		1+2	%	62.80%
	Upper Quartile	3	%	12.60%
		Undisclosed	%	24.60%
		1+2	%	55.30%
SEB - Pay Gap (SEB Tier 1+2 vs	Upper Middle Quartile	3	%	10.70%
3) (Staff & Partners)		Undisclosed	%	34.00%
		1+2	%	50.70%
	Lower Middle Quartile	3	%	13.80%
		Undisclosed	%	35.50%
		1+2	%	34.80%
	Lower Quartile	3	%	11.90%
		Undisclosed	%	53.30%
Disability		Median Pay Gap	%	16,70%
	Pay Gap	Mean Pay Gap	76 %	32.10%
		Non-Disabled	% %	88.30%
	Upper Quartile	Disabled	%	3 40%
	apper would the	Undisclosed	%	8.30%
		Non-Disabled		88.60%
Disability - Pay Gap (Staff &	Upper Middle Quartile	Disabled	% %	4.10%
Partners)	opper middle duarne	Undisclosed	%	7.30%
		Non-Disabled		87 20%
	Lower Middle Quartile	Disabled	%	4.60%
1	mode adding	Undisclosed	%	8.20%
		Non-Disabled	%	87 60%
	Lower Quartile	Disabled	%	6.00%
	como: Qual inc	Undisclosed	%	6.40%
		Oriuiscioseu	/0	0.4078
Sexual orientation				
	Pav Gap	Median Pay Gap		15.70%
	. uy wap	Mean Pay Gap	%	22.90%
1		Non-LGBT	%	80.30%
1	Upper Quartile	LGBT	%	3.10%
1		Undisclosed	%	16.60%
		Non-LGBT		85.40%
Sexual Orientation - Pay Gap	Upper Middle Quartile	LGBT	%	3.70%
(Staff & Partners)		Undisclosed	%	10.90%
1		Non-LGBT	%	86.40%
		LGBT	%	4.10%
	Lower Middle Quartile	LGBI		
	Lower Middle Quartile	Undisclosed	%	9.50%
	Lower Middle Quartile		%	
	Lower Middle Quartile  Lower Quartile	Undisclosed	%	9.50%

# APPENDIX E: OTHER PEOPLE, COMMUNITY AND GOVERNANCE METRICS

OTHER READ E METRICO	
OTHER PEOPLE METRICS	
Average partner pay v average staff pay	12.80
Absence through sickness	2.9%
Percentage of staff who 'agree' or 'strongly agree' with firmwide staff Youmatter survey que%	67%
New hires - female	48%
New hires - minority ethnic groups	48%
New hires - lower socio-economic backgrounds	12%
Voluntary turnover	9%
Staff perception of our purpose	80%
Staff perception of ethical culture	76%
People engagement score	75%
COMMUNITY METRICS	
Total community contribution	10.2
Volunteered time (hours)	84,079
Proportion of people volunteering	33%
Skills-based volunteering	33%
Community beneficiaries	32,590
Social mobility: secondary school students supported with skills development	17,692
Workplace experiences provided (New World New Skills Work Experience students)	298
GOVERNANCE METRICS	
Average supplier payment days	24.92
Breaches of external audit independence regulations	0.22%
Issues raised via our SpeakUp channels	259
Diamicagle for missendust	Staff: 31
Dismissals for misconduct	Partners: 2

### APPENDIX F: OTHER SUSTAINABILITY & GHG METRICS

UK FIRM METRICS	Unit	FY24	FY23	FY19
ESG GOVERNANCE AND ACCOUNTABILITY				
External rating of business sustainability performance	%	86%	81%	-
External certification of Net zero progress	%	73%	73%	-
NEAR TERM SBT NET ZERO PERFORMANCE				
Scope 1 and 2 GHG emissions	Tonnes CO2e	312	376	298
Scope 3 Business travel GHG emissions	Tonnes CO2e	47,746	36,436	74,09
Suppliers with a Science-Based Target	%	50%	39%	59
GHG emissions offset	%	100%	100%	1009
GHG emissions removed	%	0%	0%	09
GHG EMISSIONS: INTENSITIES				
Total GHG emissions intensity by revenue	Tonnes CO2e/£m	12	9	2
Total GHG emissions intensity by FTE	Tonnes CO2e/FTE	1.8	1.4	3.
Business travel emissions intensity by FTE	Tonnes CO2e/FTE	1.9	1.4	3.
Supply chain emissions intensity by spend	Tonnes CO2e/£m	109	110	16
ENERGY TRANSITION AND RESPONSIBLE CONSUMPTION				
Office Energy Use Intensity (EUI)	kWh/m2	180	198	24
Energy consumption	Million kWh	51	55	3
Office energy consumption	Million kWh	28	31	3
WFH energy consumption	Million kWh	23	25	
Office electricity: renewable percentage	%	100%	100%	779
Office energy: renewable percentage	%	95%	94%	739
OTHER ENERGY & CONSUMPTION METRICS				
Total emissions intensity SECR	Tonnes CO2e/£m	1.3	1.4	3.
Total SECR energy consumption	Million kWh	32	35	4
Gas (natural and biogas)	Million kWh	9	10	
Electricity	Million kWh	19	21	2
Business travel: road	Million kWh	4	4	1
SUSTAINABLE BEHAVIOURS	THIRD T KTTT			_
Number of enhanced sustainability offerings accessed by employees	Number	2085	1849	N/
Proportion of PwC's car scheme that are hybrid or EV	%	88%	82%	N/
NATURE POSITIVE	,0	0070	02/0	14//
PwC offices in or adjacent to KBAs or PAs	number	5	5	
PwC floor plate in or adjacent to KBAs or PAs	hectares	0.76	0.76	0.7
Proportion of PwC floor plate in or adjacent to KBAs/PAs	%	20%	20%	189
Proportion of PwC offices with green spaces	%	55%	2070	- 10.
Proportion of PwC floor plate with green spaces	%	12%		
Proportion of carbon offsets that support nature	%	100%	100%	1009
REGENERATIVE AND CIRCULAR CONSUMPTION	70	100%	100%	100
Paper procured	Tonnes	42	55	23
Water used	m3 (k)	86	84	11
Water use in high water-stressed areas	m3 (k)	57	84 56	
	%			8
Proportion of water use in high water-stressed areas	% Tonnes	66%	66%	709
Waste generated	Tonnes	1,081	911	2,27
Recycling and reuse		1,002	838	2,05
Incineration	Tonnes	79	73	21
Landfill	Tonnes	0	0	
Proportion recycled and reused	%	93%	92%	909
SUPPLY CHAIN ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT				
Spend with suppliers assessed through our third party sustainability assessment platform	%	66%	61%	-
Spend with suppliers obtaining silver+ status through our third party sustainability assessment platform	%	30%	32%	-
Assessed key suppliers who operate in high risk sectors have taken actions to prevent child labour, forced labour & human trafficking	%	97%	83%	-
Spend in supply chain modern slavery hotspots	%	5%	7%	-
Assessed key suppliers with a Human Rights policy	%	99%	97%	-
Payments to social enterprises	£ million	2.7	2.3	1
r dyments to social enterprises		100%	100%	-
	%	100%		
Employees who have completed modern slavery training Assessed key suppliers with a publicly announced GHG reduction target	%	85%	83%	-
Employees who have completed modern slavery training				-
Employees who have completed modern slavery training Assessed key suppliers with a publicly announced GHG reduction target	%	85%	83%	-
Employees who have completed modern slavery training Assessed key suppliers with a publicly announced GHG reduction target Assessed key suppliers reporting GHG emissions reduction performance in line with targets	%	85% 72%	83% 60%	:

UK FIRM METRICS	Unit	FY24	FY23	FY19
OTHER GHG METRICS	Unit	F124	FTZ3	FTI9
	Tonnes CO2e	49.632	38.527	79,194
Total scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions	Tonnes CO2e	5,500	5.652	., .
Total Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions (SECR)		.,	-,	11,308
Total GHG emissions (Market Based) [CRP]	Tonnes CO2e	135,963	125,516	183,646
Total GHG emissions (Location Based) [CRP]	Tonnes CO2e	139,953	129,518	188,386
Scope 3 GHG emissions [CRP]	Tonnes CO2e	135,650	125,139	180,666
GHG INVENTORY				
Total GHG emissions (market based)	Tonnes CO2e	49,632	38,527	79,194
Total GHG emissions (location based)	Tonnes CO2e	53,622	42,529	83,935
Scope 1 GHG emissions	Tonnes CO2e	312	376	841
Stationary combustion of fuels	Tonnes CO2e	252	305	716
Renewable - Biogas consumption	Tonnes CO2e	2	2	1
Renewable - Biodiesel combustion	Tonnes CO2e	n/a	n/a	19
Non renewable - Natural Gas consumption	Tonnes CO2e	250	303	695
Fugitive emissions	Tonnes CO2e	60	71	126
Gas (natural and biogas)	Tonnes CO2e	252	305	697
Scope 2 GHG emissions	Tonnes CO2e	0	0	2,139
Electricity consumption (market based)	Tonnes CO2e	0	0	2,139
Renewable(market based)	Tonnes CO2e	0	0	0
Non renewable(market based)	Tonnes CO2e	0	0	2,139
Electricity consumption (location based)	Tonnes CO2e	3,990	4,002	6,879
Renewable(Location based)	Tonnes CO2e	3.967	3.987	5,290
Non renewable(Location based)	Tonnes CO2e	23	15	1.589
Scope 3 GHG emissions	Tonnes CO2e	49.319	38.151	76,214
Fuel and energy related activities	Tonnes CO2e	1,553	1.698	2,076
Waste generated	Tonnes CO2e	20	16	44
Recycling	Tonnes CO2e	18	14	40
Incineration	Tonnes CO2e	2	2	-10
Landfill	Tonnes CO2e	0	0	0
Business Travel	Tonnes CO2e	47.746	36.436	74,094
Air	Tonnes CO2e	39,683	29,910	58,531
Rail	Tonnes CO2e	1,645	1.461	2,150
Road	Tonnes CO2e	3,893	2,497	4,371
Road Company Car (SECR)	Tonnes CO2e	1,258	1,345	3,713
Other Road	Tonnes CO2e	,	,	-, -
	Tonnes CO2e	2,636 2,524	1,152	657 9.043
Accomodation	Tonnes CO2e		2,569	.,
Scope 3 (extended) GHG emissions		86,331	86,989	104,452
Purchased goods and services	Tonnes CO2e	72,910	74,062	90,687
PG&S purchased from external suppliers	Tonnes CO2e	63,778	67,024	85,304
PG&S generated by PwC Service Delivery centers	Tonnes CO2e	9,132	7,038	5,382
Employee commuting and working from home	Tonnes CO2e	13,421	12,927	13,765
Employee commuting	Tonnes CO2e	7,638	6,739	12,785
Employee working from home	Tonnes CO2e	5,784	6,188	980
Capital goods	Tonnes CO2e	-	-	-
Investments	Tonnes CO2e	-	-	-
Upstream transportation and distribution	Tonnes CO2e	-	-	-
BASIS FOR RATIOS				
Floor area	m2	154,849	154,736	140,948
FTE incl. contingent workers	No of People	27,576	28,495	23,107
FTE excl. contingent workers	No of People	24,938	25,763	20,258
Revenue	£ million	4,257	4,139	3,460